Effect of purified β-glucans derived from Laminaria digitata, Laminaria hyperborea and Saccharomyces cerevisiae on piglet performance, selected bacterial populations, volatile fatty acids and pro-inflammatory cytokines in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs
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Abstract

β-Glucans have been identified as natural biomolecules with immunomodulatory activity. The first objective of the present study was to compare the effects of purified β-glucans derived from Laminaria digitata, L. hyperborea and Saccharomyces cerevisiae on piglet performance, selected bacterial populations and intestinal volatile fatty acid (VFA) production. The second aim was to compare the gene expression profiles of the markers of pro- and anti-inflammation in both unchallenged and lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-challenged ileal and colonic tissues. β-Glucans were included at 250 mg/kg in the diets. The β-glucans derived from L. hyperborea, L. digitata and S. cerevisiae all reduced the Enterobacteriaceae population (P<0.05) without influencing the lactobacilli and bifidobacteria populations (P>0.05) in the ileum and colon. There was a significant interaction between gastrointestinal region and β-glucan source in the expression of cytokine markers, IL-1α (P<0.001), IL-10 (P<0.05), TNF-α (P<0.05) and IL-17A (P<0.001). β-Glucans did not stimulate any pro- or anti-inflammatory cytokine markers in the ileal epithelial cells. In contrast, the expression of a panel of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1α, IL-10, TNF-α and IL-17A) was down-regulated in the colon following exposure to β-glucans from all the three sources. However, the data suggest that the soluble β-glucans derived from L. digitata may be acting via a different mechanism from the insoluble β-glucans derived from L. hyperborea and S. cerevisiae, as the VFA profile was different in the L. digitata-treated animals. There was an increase in IL-8 gene expression (P<0.05) in the gastrointestinal tract from the animals exposed to L. digitata following an LPS ex vivo challenge that was not evident in the other two treatment groups. In conclusion, β-glucans from both seaweed and yeast sources reduce Enterobacteriaceae counts and pro-inflammatory markers in the colon, though the mechanisms of action may be different between the soluble and insoluble fibre sources.
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Piglets are subjected to many stresses at weaning due to a range of factors including separation from the sow, mixing with other piglets and dietary changes(1). These abrupt changes often result in decreased feed intake and reduced daily body-weight (BW) gain. The equilibrium of the microbiota in the gut is also disrupted leading to an increased susceptibility to enteric pathogens(2). In an attempt to control some of these problems, there has been widespread use of in-feed antibiotics at both therapeutic and sub-therapeutic levels(3). Since the introduced ban on in-feed antibiotics, there is an urgent need to identify reliable alternatives to reduce stress-associated problems in weaned pigs.

The use of natural bioactive compounds, such as β-glucans, has received considerable attention as an alternative to in-feed antibiotics(4–6). β-Glucans are a heterogeneous group of glucose polymers, which form the main constituent of the cell walls of cereals, fungi and macroalgae. As well as being considered as a source of dietary fibre in single-stomached nutrition, β-glucans also have distinctive immunomodulatory characteristics(7). There is, however, considerable variation in the biochemical and solubility characteristics of β-glucans from different sources. Laminarin is a seaweed-derived (1→3)-β-D-glucan with a chemical structure consisting mainly of a linear β-(1→3)-linked glucan with some
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random β-(1 → 6)-linked side chains, which is dependent on the variety of seaweed\(^{(8)}\). β-Glucans derived from Laminaria digitata are water soluble and contain small numbers of β-(1 → 6)-linked side chains. In contrast, β-glucans derived from L. hyperborea are water insoluble and only contain linear β-(1 → 3)-linked residues\(^{(9)}\). β-Glucans derived from yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) are composed mainly of branched β-(1 → 3)-glucan (about 85 %) of high molecular weight and contain about 3 % β-(1 → 6)-glucosidic interchain linkages\(^{(10)}\).

Recent studies have indicated that the inclusion of laminarin derived from Laminaria spp. in pig diets has accelerated feed efficiency and growth performance\(^{(11,12)}\), and reduced Enterobacteriaceae\(^{(5,6)}\). However, β-glucans vary in their structure and chemical composition which may modulate their effect on animal performance and gastrointestinal health\(^{(13)}\). Therefore, the first objective of the present study was to compare β-glucans derived from L. digitata, L. hyperborea and S. cerevisiae on piglet performance, selected bacterial populations and volatile fatty acid (VFA) production. The second aim was to compare the gene expression profiles of both unchallenged and LPS-challenged ileal and colonic tissues.

**Materials and methods**

All procedures described in the present experiment were conducted under experimental licence from the Irish Department of Health in accordance with the Cruelty to Animals Act 1876 and the European Communities (Amendments of the Cruelty to Animals Act, 1876) Regulations.

**Experimental design and animal diets**

The present experiment was designed as a complete randomised design. A total of thirty-two, 49-d-old pigs, with an initial BW of 15·3 (SD 1·32) kg, were blocked on the basis of BW and age. Then, the pigs were randomly assigned to one of the four dietary treatments as follows: (T1) basal diet (control, n 8); (T2) basal diet supplemented with 250 parts per million (ppm) laminarin from L. digitata (n 8); (T3) basal diet supplemented with 250 ppm laminarin from L. hyperborea (n 8); (T4) basal diet supplemented with 250 ppm β-glucans from S. cerevisiae (n 8). Experimental feeding continued for 28 d ad libitum. Purified laminarin from L. digitata and L. hyperborea (990 g laminarin/kg) was sourced from Bioatlanlis Limited and extracted according to the procedure described by Lynch et al.\(^{(14)}\). The yeast β-glucans (650 g β-glucans/kg) were sourced from Biothera. The molecular weights of the laminarin and yeast β-glucans were measured using the method of Friedlaender et al.\(^{(15)}\). The molecular weights of laminarin from both varieties of seaweed were less than 5000 Da while the molecular weights of yeast β-glucans were between 5000 and 80000 Da. β-Glucans derived from L. digitata were 83 % water soluble while β-glucans derived from L. hyperborea were 31 % water soluble. β-Glucans derived from S. cerevisiae were 90 % water insoluble. The diets were formulated to have similar digestible energy (14·4 MJ/kg) and ileal digestible lysine (12·5 g/kg) contents. The ingredient composition and chemical analysis of the dietary treatments are presented in Table 1.

**Animals and management**

Initially, the pigs were housed individually in fully slatted pens (1·7 × 1·2 m) and were allowed a 10 d dietary adaptation period. After that, they were weighed (18·8 (SD 1·39) kg) and transferred to individual metabolism crates which facilitated total but separate collection of urine and faeces. The pigs were given a further 5 d to adapt to the metabolism crates before collections begun. Nutrient faecal apparent digestibility was measured over a 5 d collection period. The daily food allowance (digestible energy intake in MJ/d) was calculated as 3·44 × BW\(^{(15)}\) and was divided over two meals per d. Water was provided with the meal on a 1:1 ratio by weight, as a mash and between meals, fresh water was provided ad libitum. The metabolism crates were located in an environmentally controlled room which was maintained at a constant temperature of 22 ± 1·5°C throughout the experiment. During collections, total faeces weight was recorded daily. At the end of the collection period, faecal samples were pooled and a subsample retained for laboratory analysis. The pigs were then rehoused to their respective pens and diets until day 28 when they were humanely killed by Euthanyl injection (pentobarbitone sodium BP) at a rate of 0·7 ml/kg BW.

**Table 1. Composition and chemical analysis of the experimental diets (g/kg, unless otherwise indicated)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Basal diet*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>686-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyabean meal</td>
<td>260-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soya oil</td>
<td>24-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals and vitamins†</td>
<td>23-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine HCl</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Threonine</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Methionine</td>
<td>0-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysed composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DM</th>
<th>888-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (N × 6-25)</td>
<td>186-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral-detergent fibre</td>
<td>115-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>46-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross energy (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>17-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca‡</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P‡</td>
<td>4-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine‡</td>
<td>10-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine and cysteine‡</td>
<td>6-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine‡</td>
<td>6-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan‡</td>
<td>1-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ppm. Parts per million.

* T1, basal diet; T2, basal diet supplemented with 250 ppm laminarin from Laminaria digitata; T3, basal diet supplemented with 250 ppm laminarin from L. hyperborea; T4, basal diet supplemented with 250 ppm β-glucans from Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
† Vitamin and mineral inclusion (per kg diet): 3 mg retinol; 0·05 mg cholecalciferol; 40 mg α-tocopherol; 25 mg Cu as CuSO₄; 100 mg Fe as FeSO₄; 100 mg Zn as ZnO; 0·3 mg Se as sodium selenite; 25 mg Mn as MnO; 0·2 mg iodine as calcium iodate on a calcium carbonate/lactate carbonate carrier.
‡ Calculated from proximate analysis\(^{(26)}\).
Chemical analysis for nutrient digestibility

Both feed and faeces were analysed for nitrogen, DM, ash, gross energy and neutral-detergent fibre. Following collection, faeces was dried at 100°C for 48h. The feed and dried faeces samples were milled through a hammer mill provided with a 1 mm screen (Christy and Norris). The DM of dried faeces and feed were determined after drying overnight at 103°C. Ash was determined after ignition of a known weight of concentrates or faeces in a muffle furnace (Nabertherm) at 500°C. The nitrogen content of both feed and faeces was determined using the LECO FP 528 instrument (Leco Instruments; UK Limited). Neutral-detergent fibre was determined using a Fibertec extraction unit (Tecator). The total laminarin and yeast β-glucan content in the diets was determined using a Megazyme kit (Megazyme). The solubility of both laminarin and yeast β-glucans was determined using a Megazyme kit (Megazyme).

Microbial and volatile fatty acid analysis

Immediately after slaughter, the entire digestive tract was removed by blunt dissection and the digesta (approximately 10 (SD 1)g) was removed from the ileum, 10 cm from the ileo-caecal valve and from the second loop of the ascending colon, using sterile instruments. These digesta samples were removed and stored in sterile containers ( Sarstedt) on ice and transported to the laboratory immediately. Bifidobacteria, lactobacilli and enterobacteria species were isolated according to the methods described by Pierce et al. (17). In brief, a 1·0 g sample was removed from each digesta sample, serially diluted (1:10) in 9·0 ml aliquots of maximum recovery diluent (Oxoid), and spread plated (0·1 ml aliquots) onto selective agars as follows. Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium spp. were isolated on de Man, Rogosa, Sharpe agar (Oxoid). Lactobacillus spp. were incubated overnight (18–24 h) at 37°C in a microaerophilic (5% CO2) environment and bifidobacteria cultures were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 72 h according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Oxoid). An API 50 CHL kit (BioMerieux) was used to confirm the presence of Lactobacillus spp. and a Gram stain was used to distinguish Bifidobacterium spp. from lactobacilli based on colony appearance and rod size and shape. Enterobacteriaceae species were isolated on MacConkey agar (Oxoid), following aerobic incubation at 37°C for 18–24 h. Positive Enterobacteriaceae colonies were confirmed with API 20E (BioMerieux). Bacterial colonies from each plate were counted and bacterial numbers were presented as log10 colony-forming units/g digesta (log10 colony-forming units/g digesta). Digesta samples were collected from the ileum, caecum and colon and were mixed with sodium benzoate and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, in order to stop any bacterial activity and minimise the effects of post-thawing fermentation on resulting VFA concentrations. VFA analysis was performed using GLC according to the method described by Pierce et al. (18). All pH measurements were made on a Mettler Toledo MP 220 pH meter. Distilled water was added to very viscous digesta samples to allow their pH to be read. Digesta samples from the caecum and colon were collected to determine the concentration of ammonia-nitrogen using the microdiffusion method described by Reilly et al. (5).

Collection of tissue samples and tissue challenge procedure

Ileal and colonic tissues were sampled from the same location as described for microbiological samples. Excised tissues were emptied by dissecting them along the mesentery and rinsing them using sterile PBS (Oxoid). Tissue sections of 1 cm2, which had been stripped of the overlying smooth muscle, were cut from each tissue. Then, two sections from each tissue were placed in 1 ml of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, Invitrogen Corporation), one in the presence of bacterial LPS (Sigma Aldrich Corporation) at a concentration of 10 μg/ml. The other tissue sample was used as a control and incubated in sterile Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium in the absence of LPS. Both challenged and unchallenged tissues were incubated at 37°C for 90 min before being removed, blotted dry and weighed. Approximately 1000–2000 mg of the porcine ileal and colonic tissues were cut into small pieces and stored in 15 ml RNA Later® (Applied Biosystems) overnight at 4°C. RNA Later® was then removed before storing the samples at −80°C.

RNA extraction and complementary DNA synthesis

RNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg tissue samples using the GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma Aldrich Corporation) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The purity of the total RNA was analysed using 1 μl of total RNA on a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer ND1000 (Thermo Scientific) and samples with a 260/280 ratio ≥ 2·0 were considered suitable for complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis. Total RNA integrity (i.e. quality and quantity) was also assessed by analysing 1 μl of total RNA using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser version A.02.12 (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) using RNA Nano LabChips® (Caliper Technologies Corporation).

cDNA synthesis was performed using 1 μg of total RNA and oligo(dT)20, primers in a final reaction volume of 20 μl using the Superscript™ III First-Strand synthesis Kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Quantitative real-time PCR and normalisation of quantitative PCR data

All primers for selected cytokine genes, IL-1α, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-17A, TNF-α, interferon-γ and toll-like receptor 4, were designed using Primer Express™ (PE Applied Biosystems) and synthesised by MWG Biotech. Primer sequences are presented in Table 2. Specificity was established in silico using BLAST and confirmed by examining the dissociation curves for each primer set. The efficiencies of all primer sets were established using a semi-log curve of quantity vs. control, of 2-fold serial dilutions of cDNA as reported previously by
The following four porcine reference genes were used as described previously by Ryan et al.\(^6\): β-actin (ACTB); hypoxanthine ribose transferase cyclophilin (HPRT); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH); β-2-microglobulin (BM). Quantitative PCR was then carried out on cDNA using the ABI PRISM 7500 Fast sequence detection system for ninety-six-well plates (Applied Biosystems). All samples were prepared in duplicate using the SYBR Green system for ninety-six-well plates (Applied Biosystems). All samples were prepared in duplicate using the SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), cDNA as the template and specific primers for the genes selected. For each reaction, 5 μl cDNA, 1.2 μl forward and reverse primer mix (5 μM) and 10 μl Fast SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (PE Applied Biosystems) were added and made up to a final volume of 20 μl. The two-step PCR programme was as follows: 95°C for 10 min for one cycle, followed by 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min for forty cycles.

The raw Ct values for the reference genes were converted to relative quantities using the formula \(Q = E \times \Delta C_t\), where \(E\) is the PCR efficiency of the assay and \(\Delta C_t\) is the value calculated for the difference between the lowest \(C_t\) value and the \(C_t\) value of the sample in question for each gene. The relative quantities of the endogenous controls were then analysed with geNorm\(^{20}\). The stability ‘M’ value generated by the geNorm application for the selected endogenous controls (ACTB, GAPDH and BM) which was less than 1·5 indicated their suitability as endogenous controls for these intestinal samples. The geometric mean of the relative quantities for ACTB, GAPDH and BM (normalisation factor) was then calculated using geNorm. The relative quantities were divided by the normalisation factor (obtained in geNorm) for that sample to give the final normalised relative expression for each target gene.

### Statistical analysis

All data, except cytokine gene expression, from the experiment were analysed as a complete randomised design using the general linear model procedure of the Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) Institute\(^{21}\). The probability value that denotes significance is \(P < 0.05\).

### Results and discussion

The 2006 ban on in-feed antibiotics has prompted the search for alternative compounds, in particular bioactive materials derived from natural sources, which support animal health without inhibiting animal performance. In the present experiment, β-glucans derived from \(L.\) hyperborea, \(L.\) digitata and \(S.\) cerevisiae all reduced \((P < 0.05)\) the Enterobacteriaceae population in the ileum and colon without influencing the lactobacilli and bifidobacteria populations. This was associated with a reduction in the expression of a number of pro-inflammatory genes in the colon. However, the data suggest that the soluble β-glucans from \(L.\) digitata may be acting via a different mechanism from the insoluble β-glucans from \(L.\) hyperborea and \(S.\) cerevisiae, as the SCFA profile (ratio of acetic:propionic acid and valeric acid) in the proximal colon was different in the \(L.\) digitata-treated animals, but not in the animals exposed to the β-glucans from \(L.\) hyperborea and \(S.\) cerevisiae compared with the control diet. Also, there was a significant increase in IL-8 gene expression in the colon from the animals exposed to \(L.\) digitata following an LPS ex vivo challenge that was not evident in the \(L.\) hyperborea group.

### Animal performance and nutrient digestibility

There were minimal effects on animal performance (food intake, daily gain or food conversion ratio) or digestibility...
coefficients of DM, organic matter, ash, nitrogen or gross energy, with β-glucan inclusion from either the seaweed or yeast sources (P>0.05; results not shown). The fact that purified β-glucans, from both macroalgal sources and yeast, did not influence performance measurements is important as any natural alternative feed supplement in the porcine diet should not compromise the host in any way. In contrast, previous studies have reported a decrease in average daily gain in pigs supplemented with extracts of *Aspicophyllum nodosum* (22). However, this extract also contained phenolic compounds and alginates. Hence, the extraction and purification methodologies can have a substantial effect on the biological properties of these compounds.

**Microbiology and volatile fatty acids**

There was a significant reduction (P<0.05) in Enterobacteriaceae in the ileum and colon with β-glucans derived from *S. cerevisiae, L. hyperborea* and *L. digitata* (Table 3). The relevance of measuring Enterobacteriaceae populations as an indicator of pathogenic bacteria is debated. However, increased coliform counts were recorded in the intestine of scouring pigs (23) and the density of coliforms in the gastrointestinal tract is used as an indicator of salmonella and *Escherichia coli* in pigs (24,25). Hence, coliform reductions due to dietary intervention are, within limits, considered by many to be beneficial (22,24).

Bifidobacteria and lactobacilli populations were enumerated as a reflection of changes in the population structure of beneficial bacteria (26). There was no effect of β-glucans on the number of bifidobacteria or lactobacilli in the ileum, caecum or colon in the present experiment. This is consistent with previously published work in pigs offered laminarin from *L. digitata* (6).

The amount and composition of the resident microbiota and fermentable substrate affect the quantity and composition of VFA produced in the large intestine (27). Seaweed-derived polysaccharides are considered to be a source of dietary fibre, as they are resistant to hydrolysis by digestive enzymes in the upper gastrointestinal tract (28), which explains the low concentration of VFA in the ileum of supplemented pigs (Table 4). There was a significant decrease in total VFA concentrations in the ileum with the inclusion of laminarin from *L. hyperborea* compared with the control diet (Table 4). β-β-Glucans from *L. hyperborea* do not have a high degree of branching, making them predominately insoluble in water, and, as a result, escape hydrolysis in the upper gastrointestinal tract.

There was an increase (P<0.05) in the molar proportion of acetic acid and a decrease in the molar proportion of propionic acid (P<0.05) in the ileum with the inclusion of laminarin from *L. digitata* compared with the control. There was an increase (P<0.05) in total VFA in the caecum with the inclusion of laminarin from *L. digitata* and *S. cerevisiae* compared with the control diet. There was also a decrease (P<0.05) in the molar proportion of acetic acid in the colon with the inclusion of laminarin from *L. digitata* compared with the control and *L. hyperborea* diets. The ratio of acetic:propionic acid was lower (P<0.05) in the colon with the inclusion of laminarin from *L. digitata* compared with all the other diets. Previous research has reported that the ratio of acetic:propionic acid decreases when carbohydrate replaces protein as the fermentation substrate (27). This is due to a low digesta C:N ratio in the large intestine, possibly from a lack of specific carbohydrate. In the present experiment, the NH₃ concentration in the colon was lower (P<0.05) with the inclusion of laminarin from *L. digitata* compared with the control diet. The decrease in the acetic:propionic acid ratio as well as a decrease in NH₃ concentration in the colon due to laminarin supplementation from *L. digitata* indicates that this source of laminarin is being fermented in the colon.

**Cytokine gene expression**

The expression of cytokine markers, IL-6 (P<0.05) and IL-8 (P<0.01), was lower in the ileum than in the colon of pigs. There was a significant interaction between gastrointestinal region and β-glucan source in the expression of the cytokine markers IL-1α (P<0.001), IL-10 (P<0.05), TNF-α (P<0.05) and IL-17A (P<0.001). β-Glucans did not stimulate any

---

**Table 3. Effect of seaweed- and yeast-derived β-glucans on selected microbial populations in the ileum, caecum and colon of pigs (log₁₀ colony-forming units/g digesta)**

(Least-square mean values and standard errors, n=8 pigs per treatment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Laminaria digitata</th>
<th>Laminaria hyperborea</th>
<th>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacteria spp.</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteria spp.</td>
<td>3.45 bile</td>
<td>1.57b</td>
<td>1.52b</td>
<td>1.07b</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacilli spp.</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacteria spp.</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteria spp.</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacilli spp.</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal colon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacteria spp.</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteria spp.</td>
<td>5.20 bile</td>
<td>3.23b</td>
<td>2.21b</td>
<td>2.70b</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacilli spp.</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Least-square mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0.05).*
Table 4. Effect of seaweed- and yeast-derived β-glucans on the total volatile fatty acid (VFA; mmol/l wet digesta) concentrations, the molar proportions of VFA, and pH in the ileum, caecum, and proximal colon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Laminaria digitata</th>
<th>Laminaria hyperborea</th>
<th>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ileum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VFA</td>
<td>35·5b</td>
<td>30·8b</td>
<td>39·9b</td>
<td>37·4ab</td>
<td>4·75</td>
<td>0·0299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>0·843a</td>
<td>0·911b</td>
<td>0·839b</td>
<td>0·899ab</td>
<td>0·024</td>
<td>0·0345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>0·047a</td>
<td>0·025b</td>
<td>0·037b</td>
<td>0·026b</td>
<td>0·007</td>
<td>0·0412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric acid</td>
<td>0·005</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>0·045</td>
<td>0·004</td>
<td>0·043</td>
<td>0·036</td>
<td>0·009</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovaleric acid</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>0·011</td>
<td>0·010</td>
<td>0·009</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeric acid</td>
<td>0·009</td>
<td>0·013</td>
<td>0·014</td>
<td>0·018</td>
<td>0·005</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic:propionic acid ratio</td>
<td>20·7</td>
<td>37·0</td>
<td>30·8</td>
<td>28·2</td>
<td>6·62</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched-chain fatty acids pH</td>
<td>6·773</td>
<td>6·920</td>
<td>6·916</td>
<td>6·440</td>
<td>1·77</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caecum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VFA</td>
<td>114·7a</td>
<td>161·8b</td>
<td>155·3ab</td>
<td>164·5b</td>
<td>4·69</td>
<td>0·0345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>0·587</td>
<td>0·584</td>
<td>0·600</td>
<td>0·584</td>
<td>0·010</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>0·288</td>
<td>0·292</td>
<td>0·278</td>
<td>0·291</td>
<td>0·009</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric acid</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>0·109</td>
<td>0·100</td>
<td>0·104</td>
<td>0·107</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovaleric acid</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeric acid</td>
<td>0·013</td>
<td>0·015</td>
<td>0·014</td>
<td>0·015</td>
<td>0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic:propionic acid ratio</td>
<td>2·07</td>
<td>2·02</td>
<td>2·18</td>
<td>2·01</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched-chain fatty acids pH</td>
<td>5·523</td>
<td>5·506</td>
<td>5·583</td>
<td>5·483</td>
<td>0·061</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proximal colon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VFA</td>
<td>159·4</td>
<td>164·1</td>
<td>164·6</td>
<td>160·9</td>
<td>4·26</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>0·592b</td>
<td>0·563a</td>
<td>0·592a</td>
<td>0·585ab</td>
<td>0·010</td>
<td>0·0398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>0·275</td>
<td>0·291</td>
<td>0·280</td>
<td>0·281</td>
<td>0·008</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyric acid</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td>0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>0·111</td>
<td>0·110</td>
<td>0·107</td>
<td>0·109</td>
<td>0·006</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovaleric acid</td>
<td>0·004</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeric acid</td>
<td>0·015a</td>
<td>0·022b</td>
<td>0·016a</td>
<td>0·017pa</td>
<td>0·001</td>
<td>0·0488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic:propionic acid ratio</td>
<td>2·185b</td>
<td>1·903a</td>
<td>2·133b</td>
<td>2·001b</td>
<td>0·085</td>
<td>0·0452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched-chain fatty acids pH</td>
<td>0·022</td>
<td>0·027</td>
<td>0·022</td>
<td>0·025</td>
<td>0·003</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5·780</td>
<td>5·675</td>
<td>5·656</td>
<td>5·693</td>
<td>0·093</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH3 concentration (mg/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>13·2</td>
<td>9·9</td>
<td>14·2</td>
<td>11·2</td>
<td>2·35</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>43·3b</td>
<td>20·7b</td>
<td>29·7b</td>
<td>31·3b</td>
<td>4·83</td>
<td>0·0483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*,**, Least-square mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0·05).
Table 5. Effect of seaweed- and yeast-derived β-glucans and gastrointestinal (GI) site on the immune response following an ex vivo lipopolysaccharide (LPS) tissue challenge (Least-square means of fold change of normalised relative gene expression and standard errors, n 8 pigs per treatment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GI region</th>
<th>L. digitata</th>
<th>L. hyperborea</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T</strong>...</td>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>L. digitata</strong></td>
<td><strong>L. hyperborea</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PBS</strong></td>
<td>0.0397</td>
<td>0.0984</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0845</td>
<td>0.1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>0.0911</td>
<td>0.1229</td>
<td>0.0942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.1693</td>
<td>0.1822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>0.0575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17A</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.1062</td>
<td>0.1224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
<td>0.0940</td>
<td>0.1201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>0.0842</td>
<td>0.1228</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Col</strong></th>
<th><strong>Control</strong></th>
<th><strong>L. digitata</strong></th>
<th><strong>L. hyperborea</strong></th>
<th><strong>S. cerevisiae</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T</strong>...</td>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>L. digitata</strong></td>
<td><strong>L. hyperborea</strong></td>
<td><strong>S. cerevisiae</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PBS</strong></td>
<td>0.0397</td>
<td>0.0984</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
<td>0.1262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0845</td>
<td>0.1680</td>
<td>0.0925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>0.0911</td>
<td>0.1229</td>
<td>0.0942</td>
<td>0.0421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.1693</td>
<td>0.1822</td>
<td>0.1262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0430</td>
<td>0.0575</td>
<td>0.0727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17A</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.1062</td>
<td>0.1224</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>0.0854</td>
<td>0.0940</td>
<td>0.1201</td>
<td>0.0723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>0.0842</td>
<td>0.1228</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
<td>0.1127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Significance</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **PBS** | 0.0160 | 0.0084       | 0.0426      |
| **IL-4**| 0.0416 | 0.1498       | 0.1059      |
| **IL-6**| 0.2939 | 0.2394       | 0.2461      |
| **IL-8**| 0.3483 | 0.2627       | 0.1917      |
| **IL-10**| 0.5138 | 0.1803       | 0.1250      |
| **TNF-α**| 0.4477 | 0.1301       | 0.1047      |
| **IL-17A**| 0.1033 | 0.1236       | 0.1525      |
| **IFN-γ**| 0.5205 | 0.1943       | 0.1635      |
| **IL-1α**| 0.1200 | 0.2162       | 0.0953      |
| **TLR4** | 0.1185 | 0.1285       | 0.0120      |

| **LPS** | 0.0160 | 0.0084       | 0.0426      |
| **IL-4**| 0.0416 | 0.1498       | 0.1059      |
| **IL-6**| 0.2939 | 0.2394       | 0.2461      |
| **IL-8**| 0.3483 | 0.2627       | 0.1917      |
| **IL-10**| 0.5138 | 0.1803       | 0.1250      |
| **TNF-α**| 0.4477 | 0.1301       | 0.1047      |
| **IL-17A**| 0.1033 | 0.1236       | 0.1525      |
| **IFN-γ**| 0.5205 | 0.1943       | 0.1635      |
| **IL-1α**| 0.1200 | 0.2162       | 0.0953      |
| **TLR4** | 0.1185 | 0.1285       | 0.0120      |

T, treatment; L, Laminaria, S., Saccharomyces; IFN-γ, interferon-γ; TLR4, toll-like receptor 4.
metabolites such as NH₃ and amines, as has been shown in the present experiment, that have been implicated in the clinical expression of diarrhoea. Second, there may be a possibility of an interaction between laminarin from *L. digitata* and fibre coming from the other ingredients. However, it was not within the scope of the present experiment to test this theory.

In contrast, the mechanism by which *L. hyperborea* and *S. cerevisiae* suppress pro-inflammatory cytokines appears to be different from that of *L. digitata*. This could be expected, as the physical characteristics of β-glucans from both sources of macroalgae are different: *L. digitata* is a soluble fibre, while both *L. hyperborea* and yeast are insoluble fibres. While supplementation with *L. hyperborea* has resulted in a reduction in Enterobacteriaceae counts in the colon as well as a suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, there is no modification in the VFA profiles. A similar effect of *L. hyperborea* on Enterobacteriaceae and VFA has previously been reported. Hence, the mode of action of *L. hyperborea* is most probably SCFA/GPR43R independent.

It is possible that the insoluble nature of these extracts acts as anti-adhesive or coagulative agents, suppressing the ability for a subpopulation of Enterobacteriaceae from adhering to the gastrointestinal epithelium or causing them to agglutinate and be excreted in the faeces. Unlike carrageenans, β-1,3-glucans in the present experiment had no effect on the expression of toll-like receptor 4. Further research is warranted to explore the receptors that interact with β-1,3-glucans and the intracellular signalling systems activated downstream.

**Cytokine gene expression in response to an lipopolysaccharide challenge**

To mimic the response of the ileal and colonic tissues of animals exposed to β-glucans to a microbial challenge, these tissues were subsequently incubated with LPS *ex vivo*. The expression of the cytokine markers IL-1α (*P*<0.01), IL-6 (*P*<0.01), IL-8 (*P*<0.01) and IL-10 (*P*<0.001) was lower in the ileum than in the colon of pigs. There was an effect observed (*P*<0.05) for IL-8 gene expression of LPS-challenged tissues in animals exposed to *L. digitata* (Table 5). These data suggest that inclusion of laminarin from *L. digitata* in the diet could enhance the pro-inflammatory response to a microbial challenge – as far as can be extrapolated from an LPS challenge. The potential benefit of this enhanced gene up-regulation of IL-8 cytokines following the LPS challenge is significant for the host as the chemokine IL-8 plays an important role in acute inflammation and is responsible for neutrophil recruitment and activation to the initial site of infection.

A similar effect of *L. digitata*-derived β-glucan on IL-8 has previously been observed in this model. This effect was not seen in animals exposed to β-glucan from *L. hyperborea* or *S. cerevisiae*.

It is noteworthy that the level of inclusion of β-glucans used in the present experiment is very low (250 ppm) compared with the levels required for cereal β-glucans (20–40 g/kg) in order to show a biological response. β-Glucans derived from cereals are polysaccharides of glucose residues with β-(1 → 3), β-(1 → 4) linkages with high molecular weights. The biological activity that β-glucans exhibit depends on parameters such as primary structure, solubility, degree of branching and molecular weight, as well as the charge of their polymers and structure in aqueous media. In the present experiment, β-glucans were incorporated into the experimental diets on a weight basis. This could have a confounding effect on β-glucans derived from *S. cerevisiae* since these β-glucans had a higher molecular weight than those derived from *L. hyperborea* and *L. digitata*. Therefore, there may be a justification for including β-glucans in a diet on a molar basis rather than on a weight basis. However, previous work has shown that the optimum inclusion rate for β-glucans derived from *S. cerevisiae* is the range used for the present experiment (150–250 ppm).

**Conclusion**

β-Glucans derived from *L. hyperborea*, *L. digitata* and *S. cerevisiae* all reduced the Enterobacteriaceae population in the ileum and colon without influencing the lactobacilli and bifidobacteria populations. This was associated with a reduction in the expression of a number of pro-inflammatory cytokine genes in the colon. However, the data suggest that the soluble β-glucans from *L. digitata* may be acting via a different mechanism than the insoluble β-glucans from *L. hyperborea* and *S. cerevisiae*. There was a significant increase in IL-8 gene expression in the tissues from animals exposed to *L. digitata* following an LPS *ex vivo* challenge that was not evident in the *L. hyperborea* - or *S. cerevisiae*-supplemented diets.
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