The Stethoscope—
A Vector of Infection?

To the Editor:

In the course of investigating a hospital-wide outbreak of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, 67 physicians' stethoscopes were cultured. Both the bell and the diaphragm were sampled with a sterile cotton swab, and this was immediately streaked onto Columbia Nutrient Agar (BBL), a selective medium for gram-positive organisms. The catalase test was used to identify *Staphylococcus*, and a tube coagulation test served to distinguish between *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*. Staphylococci were isolated from 63 stethoscopes, and all but one were coagulase negative. The single *S. aureus* isolate was methicillin sensitive. Gram-negative bacteria were not sought in our study.

Somewhat surprised by the absence of *S. aureus* from stethoscope cultures, we then probed into physicians' cleaning habits of their stethoscopes. Of 53 physicians surveyed, 34 claimed to clean their stethoscopes with 70% isopropyl alcohol pads. Two physicians also used betadine pads. The frequency of this procedure varied greatly from twice a day to once every six months, but only four physicians cleaned their stethoscopes on a daily basis. Once a month was the preferred interval, followed by once every one or two weeks. Some physicians cleaned their stethoscopes only after examining an unkempt patient or one overtly infected. Nineteen physicians had never cleaned their stethoscopes. There was no difference in the gram-positive flora of regularly cleaned stethoscopes and those never cleaned.

This pervasive colonization of stethoscopes by *S. epidermidis* was also demonstrated in a study from Amsterdam. Other reports help to place this finding in perspective. Not only is *S. epidermidis* capable of producing serious infections in hospitalized patients, but it may serve as a reservoir for antibiotic resistance in *S. aureus*.

The stethoscope is usually ignored as a carrier of bacteria, but *S. aureus*, *Serratia* and *Pseudomonas* were recovered from 8% of stethoscopes in one study. Although stethoscopes are provided in most isolation rooms, many physicians will bring in their own, in effect wearing an eleventh ungloved finger. This practice could be minimized by furnishing better quality stethoscopes in isolation rooms. Whether stethoscopes may be incriminated as fomites still remains to be seen, but an awareness of their potential to harbor pathogenic organisms should be maintained.
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Disinfection Processes of Respiratory Therapy Tubing

To the Editor:

We were very interested in Dr. Spaulding's letter to the Editor (Infect Control 1983; 4(1):8-9) regarding the article by Dr. Townsend on "An Efficacy Evaluation of Synergized Glutaraldehyde-Phenate Solution in Disinfection Respiratory Therapy Equipment During Patient Use."

We also investigated the disinfection processes of respiratory therapy tubing. Our study compared the efficacy of machine-assisted chemical disinfection using a Glutaraldehyde pro-