Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine

cambridge.org/erm

Review

*These authors contribute equally to this work.

Cite this article: Lv X, Lv Y, Dai X (2023). Lactate, histone lactylation and cancer hallmarks. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine* **25**, e7, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1017/ erm.2022.42

Received: 13 January 2022 Revised: 20 September 2022 Accepted: 10 December 2022

Key words:

Cancer; epigenesis; hallmark; histone lactylation; lactate; therapeutic translation

Author for correspondence:

Xiaofeng Dai, E-mail: xiaofeng.dai@jiangnan.edu.cn

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press



CrossMark

Lactate, histone lactylation and cancer hallmarks

Xinyu Lv^{1,*}, Yingying Lv^{2,*} and Xiaofeng Dai^{1,3} 💿

¹Wuxi School of Medicine, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China; ²Department of Clinical Laboratory, Shanghai Pudong New Area People's Hospital, Shanghai 201299, China and ³National Local Joint Engineering Research Center for Precision Surgery & Regenerative Medicine, Shaanxi Provincial Center for Regenerative Medicine and Surgical Engineering, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710061, China

Abstract

Histone lactylation, an indicator of lactate level and glycolysis, has intrinsic connections with cell metabolism that represents a novel epigenetic code affecting the fate of cells including carcinogenesis. Through delineating the relationship between histone lactylation and cancer hallmarks, we propose histone lactylation as a novel epigenetic code priming cells toward the malignant state, and advocate the importance of identifying novel therapeutic strategies or dual-targeting modalities against lactylation toward effective cancer control. This review underpins important yet less-studied area in histone lactylation, and sheds insights on its clinical impact as well as possible therapeutic tools targeting lactylation.

Introduction

Nucleosome, being the basic repeating unit of eukaryotic chromatin, is composed of a histone octamer (H3, H4, H2A and H2B) and 147 bp DNA packaged in the form of a bead on a string (Ref. 1). The terminal tail of nucleosome is subjected to various epigenetic modifications such as methylation, acetylation, succinvlation phosphorylation, SUMOylation and ubiquitination. While some of these epigenetic marks such as H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 are inheritable, some such as H3K36me3 and acetylation codes have unknown heritability (Refs 2, 3). Accumulated evidence has suggested the critical and diversified roles of epigenetic codes in cell development and decision making under physiological and pathological conditions including complex disorders such as cancers.

Intensive efforts have been dedicated to profile the epigenome of human cells, and increasing number of novel epigenetic codes have been discovered including lactylation with the development of high-throughput sequencing technologies. Histone lactylation was firstly reported in 2019 by Zhang *et al.* as an addition of a lactyl (La) group to the lysine amino acid residues in the tails of histone proteins (Ref. 4). Ever since then, histone lactylation has been consecutively reported in a diverse spectrum of organisms including cancers such as ocular melanoma (Ref. 5), non-small cell lung cancer (Ref. 6), sarcoma (Ref. 7), immunity relevant cells such as macrophage (Ref. 8), and plants such as rice grain (Ref. 9). Similar to other histone modification codes such as acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, lactylation was identified as another epigenomic modifier of gene expression (Ref. 4).

By systematically reviewing the metabolism of lactate and its association with histone lactylation in 'Lactate and histone lactylation' section and discussing the functionalities of histone lactylation in fostering cancer hallmarks in 'Histone lactylation and cancer hallmarks' section, this review identifies unresolved issues in lactylation for future research and advocates targeting lactylation as an innovative onco-therapeutics, alone or in combination with other treatment strategies, toward enhanced clinical outcome in 'Discussion' section.

Lactate and histone lactylation

Lactate, an end product of glycolysis (Fig. 1), had once been misinterpreted as a waste since its discovery in 1780. Accumulating evidence has suggested lactate as a universal metabolic fuel for normal tissues such as skeletal muscles (Ref. 10), heart (Ref. 11), brain (Ref. 12) and malignant cells (Ref. 13) to contribute to the cell fate decision making process such as macrophage polarisation. It is also considered as a metabolic buffer connecting glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation (Ref. 14). In addition, lactate can function as a signalling molecule with a variety of modulatory roles such as immune cell modulation (Ref. 15), lipolysis (Ref. 16), wound healing (Ref. 17) and cellular homoeostasis maintenance (Refs 18, 19). Lactate is abundantly produced in the tumour milieu and probably the most significant metabolic hallmark of cancer cells, known as the Warburg effect or the glycolytic switch (Refs 20, 21, 22) (Fig. 2). Non-malignant lymphocytes or stromal cells such as tumour-associated macrophage (TAM) and cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF) also contribute to lactate accumulation in the tumour microenvironment (TME), called the reverse Warburg effect (Ref. 23). Lactate secreted from hypoxic tumour cells can be up-taken by normoxic tumour cells to allow the diffuse of glucose toward the more hypoxic cells, and such a lactate-based metabolic symbiosis supports the



Fig. 1. Lactate metabolism. The main biochemical players in glycolysis and the TCA cycle that participate in lactate metabolism.

survival of both hypoxic and normoxic cancer cells in the acidic environment (Refs 22, 24). The lactate concentration is significantly higher in grade III ($7.7 \pm 2.9 \text{ mM}$) than grade II ($5.5 \pm$ 2.4 mM) breast cancer tissues, positively correlated with Nottingham Prognostic Index and negatively associated with lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA) level (Ref. 25). Isotope tracer measurements show a rapid lactate exchange between the tumour and circulation; most pyruvates produced from tumour cells are converted into lactates and excreted, and most pyruvates fed into the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle in tumour cells come from circulating lactates produced elsewhere (Ref. 26). In consistent with this, hyperpolarised $[1-^{13}C]$ pyruvate is converted more rapidly to lactate in tumours than the benign adjacent tissue; and the malignant tissue exhibits elevated levels of hyperpolarised $[1-^{13}C]$ pyruvate ratio on external infusion of hyperpolarised pyruvate in prostate cancers (Ref. 27).



Fig. 2. Simplified illustration on associations between lactate and cancer hallmarks, as well as possible therapeutic strategies targeting lactate and lactylation. Lactates, once generated from glycolysis (with LDHA being the enzyme catalysing the last step) and entered in the tumour microenvironment (TME) including tumour, stromal, various types of immune cells, and blood vessels, increases the TME acidity and thus contributes to '*onco-therapeutic resistance*'. Lactates, generated from peripheral tissues such as tumour associated fibroblasts (TAF), enter cells via MCT1/4 and are reutilised toward enhanced glycolysis via lactate shuttle, contributing to '*imetabolic reprogramming*'. Lactate accumulation and acidification of the TME are also relevant to '*tumour-associated inflammation*' by, e.g., stimulating TAM toward M2-like polarisation that is associated with enhanced production of cytokines and chemokines such as LG and CCLS, whereas prolonged inflammation triggers altered profiling of oncogenes and tumour suppressors that promote carcinogenesis. Lactates can also aid tumour cells in '*immunosurveillance evasion*' by, e.g., suppressing the antigen presentation ability of dendritic cells and triggering apoptosis of NK cells. Accelerated glycolysis toward excess lactate functions through receptors such as MCT1/4 and GPR81, the mutations of tumour driver genes such as pro-angiogenic accompanied with over-representation of *Arg1* and *Vegf*, ameliorating conjugations with the extracellular matrix components and increasing TME acidity to enable subsequent cancer cell migration. Regarding therapeutic opportunities, targeting the absociated is efficacy in suppressing LDHA. Bold text in caption signifies the possible therapeutic strategies targeting lactate and lactylation.

Lactagenesis (augmented lactate production) has been proposed to explain the Warburg effect that drives carcinogenesis (Ref. 28), which is featured by five steps, i.e., enhanced glucose uptake, increased glycolytic enzyme expression and activity, decreased mitochondrial function, elevated lactate generation, accumulation and release, as well as upregulated monocarboxylate transporters 1/4 (MCT1/4) for accelerated lactate shuttle (Ref. 28).

Histone lactylation is sensitive to lactate level. Glycolysis inhibitors reducing lactate production decrease lysine lactylation, mitochondrial inhibitors or hypoxia elevating lactate generation can increase lysine lactylation (Ref. 29). For instance, lysine lactylation is abolished when LDHA is not functional (Ref. 4); and is enhanced on the increase of cellular lactate level under conditions such as hypoxia, M1 macrophage polarisation, glucose supplementation and treatment with mitochondrial inhibitor rotenon (Ref. 4). Lactate is necessary for histone lactylation that stimulates the expression of genes responsible for switching macrophages from the M1 to M2 phenotype and favours cancer initiation and progression (Ref. 30). By adopting four orthogonal methods, Zhang et al. demonstrated histone lysine lactylation as an in vivo protein post-translational modification derived from lactate that represents a new avenue for deciphering the roles of lactate under diversified physiological and pathological conditions including cancers (Ref. 4).

Similar with other epigenetic codes such as methylation and acetylation, lactylation is regulated by writers (i.e., enzymes that transfer the lactyl moieties to the targeted proteins) and erasers (i.e., enzymes that remove the lactyl moieties from the targeted proteins), and functions together with its readers (i.e., proteins that identify lactylation to take on corresponding functionalities). Lactyl-CoA, which has been detected by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) in mammalian cells and tissues (Ref. 31), offers the substrate during the enzymatic lactylation, and lactylglutathione is involved in the lactyl moiety transfer of non-enzymatic lactylation. Relatively little has been reported on the writer, eraser and reader of lactylation except for p300 (Refs 4, 8), the first lactylation writer so far identified. As different forms of epigenetic codes may share the use of enzymes such as the 'writing' function of p300 in lactylation and acetylation (Refs 30, 32), it is possible that enzymes with writing, erasing and reading roles in other epigenetic marks take on similar functionalities in lactylation.

Histone lactylation and cancer hallmarks

As pointed out by Dr Hanahan and Dr Weinberg in 2011, tumours have gained an additional layer of complexity over the already identified 6 basic hallmarks in 2000 (i.e., sustained proliferation, apoptosis resistance, growth suppressor evasion, replicative immortality, tumour angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis) (Ref. 33) by recruiting and communicating with a repertoire of ostensibly normal cells that constitute to the TME, which enable 4 other cancer hallmarks, i.e., metabolic reprogramming, tumourassociated inflammation, immunosurveillance evasion and genome instability (Ref. 34).

Since lactylation is derived from lactate that is one end product of glycolysis, it has intrinsic connections with cell metabolism and TME. We start with 3 enabling cancer characteristics (i.e., metabolic reprogramming, tumour-associated inflammation and immunosurveillance evasion) that have well-documented tight connections with lactylation in the following subsections. Then, we discuss possible connections between histone lactylation and 'genome instability', the last enabling cancer hallmark that underlies an area deserving more attention. Lastly, we re-organise the six basic hallmarks into 'cell growth', 'tumour angiogenesis/ metastasis', 'drug resistance', and discuss the roles of lactylation in driving these cancer traits.

Histone lactylation and metabolic reprogramming

Reprogrammed metabolism is a well-known hallmark of cancer (Ref. 34). Lactylation reflects the level of lactate (an important metabolite) that, in turn, drives lactylation. This builds an intrinsic connection between lactylation and cell metabolism.

Histone lactylation functions as a linker between reprogrammed cell metabolism and disordered transcriptome in cancer cells (Ref. 29). Altered cell metabolism in malignant cells may affect the level of lactate as represented by an altered histone lactylation landscape (Ref. 29), and alterations in the histone lactylation profile of cancer cells may change the transcriptomic profile to adapt to the reprogrammed cell metabolism in the chaotic state. For instance, lactate was shown to modulate cellular metabolism by down-regulating the mRNA levels of glycolytic enzymes hexokinase 1 (HK1) and pyruvate kinase (PKM) and up-regulating that of TCA cycle enzymes succinate dehydrogenase complex flavoprotein subunit A (SDHA) and isocitrate dehydrogenase NAD(+)3 non-catalytic subunit gamma (IDH3G) through promoting histone lactylation in the promoter regions of these genes in non-small cell lung cancer (Ref. 6). Besides, a positive correlation was observed between histone lactylation and Arg1 expression in TAMs isolated from B16F10 melanoma and LLC1 lung tumour cells (Ref. 29); and exogenous lactate was shown to enhance the transcription of vascular endothelial growth factor A (Vegfa) during TAM functional polarisation (Ref. 35).

Histone lactylation and tumour-associated inflammation

In addition to functioning as an intermediate metabolite of energy source and biosynthetic pathway, lactate accumulation also occurs during local inflammation and thus is associated with tumour-associated inflammation, another hallmark of cancer (Ref. 34).

Macrophages, a heterogeneous cell cohort, play critical roles in regulating immune response and maintaining tissue homoeostasis, whereas its plasticity is modulated at least partly through epigenetic dynamics during inflammation (Ref. 36). There are two types of macrophages, i.e., the proinflammatory M1 state and the immune regulatory M2 state (Ref. 37). Transition of macrophages from the M1 to the M2 phenotype is vital for switching healthy cells from the inflammatory state back to immune homoeostasis. B-cell adapter for PI3 K (BCAP) promotes the transition of macrophages from an early inflammatory M1 signature to a late reparative M2 profile by elevating lactate production that is translated into enhanced histone lactylation and expression of reparative macrophage genes including forkhead box O1 (Foxo1) and glycogen synthase kinase- 3β (Gsk 3β) (Ref. 38). Lactate promotes homoeostatic macrophage polarisation by transcriptionally modifying the expression of mitochondrial antiviral-signalling protein and thus inhibiting pro-inflammatory interferon-mediated signalling (Ref. 39). Lactate-derived histone lysine lactylation (including H3K4, H3K18, H4K5, H4K) induces the expression of homoeostatic genes such as arginase 1 (Arg1), which is highly expressed and secreted by the immunosuppressive myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and TAMs during the transition of macrophages from the M1 to the M2-like phenotype (Ref. 4). Other view considers lysine lactylation as a consequence rather than a cause of macrophage activation co-occurring incidentally with Arg1-dependent metabolic rewiring under inflammation (Ref. 40).

While high lactate and low pH in inflamed tissues under hypoxia is a condition beneficial to pathogen clearance by confining T cells to the inflammatory site, it is harmful during tumourassociated inflammation via suppressing the cytolytic function of $CD8^+$ T cells or inducing the Th17 phenotype of $CD4^+$ T cells (Ref. 41). TAM exhibits the M1 proinflammatory phenotype with the anti-tumour activity at the tumour initiation stage, and skews to the M2 phenotype during cancer progression (Ref. 37). Lactate, under hypoxia, mediates the immunosuppressive effects of efferocytosis by inducing the expression of anti-inflammatory genes (Ref. 39).

Histone lactylation and immunosurveillance evasion

Cancers are featured by the ability of evading immunosurveillance (Ref. 34). Lactate in the TME has been shown to aid tumour cells in escaping immune surveillance by remodelling T cells and macrophages into the immunosuppressive phenotypes such as tumour-promoting Tregs and M2-like TAMs (Ref. 40). Lactate adversely affects the recruitment of CTLs into the TME via suppressing their proliferation, function and movement (Ref. 42), and induces the apoptosis of natural killer (NK) cells (Ref. 43). Lactate also inhibits cytokine production, and thereby reduces the cytotoxic effect (Ref. 7). Besides, tumour-derived lactate helps malignant cells evade immunosurveillance by suppressing the antigen presentation ability of dendritic cells (Ref. 44), and promotes the development of MDSC that suppresses the innate and adaptive immunities (Ref. 45).

Histone lactylation and genome instability

Little evidence has been reported so far on the direct association between histone lactylation and genome instability. Gate keepers such as p53, once perturbed, may result in accelerated genome mutation that ultimately leads to cancer initiation and the evolve of all other cancer hallmarks.

Lactagenesis has been shown to be orchestrated by genetic mutations, e.g., over-represented expression of genes encoding hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha (Hif-1 α) or cellular MYC (c-Myc) is associated with decreased mitochondrial function and elevated LDHA level (Ref. 30). Low p53 and high LDHA expression are associated with poor breast cancer overall survival, with demonstrated regulatory role of p53 on LDHA being reported (Ref. 46). In addition, the modulatory functionality of p53 on other critical factors involved in lactylation and lactate production such as MCT1 has been documented (Ref. 47). Thus, it is possible that lactylation is the consequence but not the cause of genome instability. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that lactylation contributes to genome instability by perturbing the transcriptome of cancer driver genes, given the crosstalk of lactylation with other epigenetic coding such as acetylation on histones. There also exists the possibility that lactylation occurs on DNA/RNA sequences besides proteins, similar to what we have witnessed on the discovery of mRNA acetylation (Ref. 48).

Histone lactylation and cancer growth

Lactate functions through monocarboxylic acid transporters such as MCT1/4 and G protein-coupled receptors such as GPR81 (also known as hydroxycarboxylic acid receptor 1) (Refs 49, 50). In particular, MCT4 is primarily expressed in highly glycolytic cells such as white muscle fibres to facilitate lactate export in response to hypoxia (Ref. 51), and MCT1 is predominantly present in red muscle fibres to consume secreted lactate for further oxidation (Ref. 52) (Fig. 2); GPR81 signalling is adopted by bone marrowderived inflammatory neutrophils for lactate release (Ref. 53).

Most hallmarks of cancers are relevant to the mechanism of malignant cells toward uncontrolled growth or resistance to death. Aberrant lactate production can foster cells with this hallmark. For example, tumour-produced lactate is eliminated by deleting *MCT1* in lung cancer cells (Ref. 54), the growth of leukaemia cells is arrested by inhibiting MCT1 (Refs 55, 56) or MCT4 (Ref. 56), and the proliferation of invasive bladder cancer cells is arrested through selective inhibition of *MCT4* (Ref. 57). Cancer-produced lactate activates GPR81 (Ref. 58), and *GPR81* deletion halts breast cancer growth both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Ref. 59), suggesting the promotive role of lactate on breast cancer proliferation.

Histone lactylation and tumour angiogenesis/metastasis

Most cancer-associated death events are caused by tumour metastasis where tumour angiogenesis prepares the network of blood vessels supplying tumours with a supportive microenvironment toward local or distant metastasis, both of which are basic cancer hallmarks (Ref. 34).

Lactate (especially tumour-derived lactate) is an angiogenesis promoter that participates in angiogenesis via stabilizing HIF1 α (Refs 60, 61, 62), and triggers TAM polarisation toward the M2 state that is pro-angiogenic accompanied with over-represented expression of *Arg1* and *Vegf* (Ref. 35). Lactate can induce the expression of another pro-angiogenic factor, interleukin-8, which sustains new blood vessels maturation during tumour angiogenesis (Ref. 63).

Lactate, in the TME, was reported to be capable of ameliorating conjugations with the extracellular matrix components to enable subsequent cancer cell migration by adjusting the binding of integrins on tumour cells (Ref. 64). Decreased extracellular pH as a result of lactagenesis further facilitates the motility and invasiveness of cancer cells (Ref. 65). Increased lactate levels exhibit a positive correlation with amplified metastatic potential in various human primary carcinomas (Ref. 66). For example, lactate triggers $Tgf\beta 2$ expression in glioma cells that activates matrix metalloproteinase-2 (Ref. 67), and elevates Klhdc8a expression that enhances the proliferation, migration and invasion of highgrade glioma cells (Ref. 68). A positive correlation has been established between cold atmospheric plasma (CAP)-induced lactate addiction and activated epithelial-mesenchymal transition in prostate cancer cells (Ref. 69). Supplementing exogenous lactate to cancer cells enhances the motility of different tumour cells (Ref. 70), and promotes the migration of endothelial cells.

Histone lactylation and onco-therapy resistance

Malignant cells may develop chemo- or radio- resistance against onco-therapeutics which is typically associated with acquired cancer stemness during the course of treatment (Refs 71, 72). Targeting glycolysis together with existing therapeutics has been proposed to overcome therapeutic resistance such as in the treatment of melanoma (Ref. 73).

Lactate substantially contributes to TME acidification and cancer cell drug resistance, as many drugs are weak bases that can be easily impaired by the acid milieu (Ref. 74). Specifically, charged molecules cannot freely penetrate through cell membrane; thus, the acidic TME hampers the cellular uptake of weak base drugs such as anthracyclines, anthracenediones, campothecins, Vinca alkaloids (Refs 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80), and complex drugs such as cisplatin (Ref. 81). Lactate contributes to the establishment of resistance to epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors in cancer cells (Ref. 82). G-protein coupled receptor 1 (GPR1), a receptor of lactate, is associated with lactate-induced chemoresistance in hepatic cancer cells (Ref. 83). Besides, immunotherapies, among other approaches, may lack therapeutic efficacies despite their recognised immense potential in killing cancer cells given the immunosuppressive role of lactate and the acidic TME it fosters.

Lactate also triggers radio-resistance in many types of cancers (Ref. 84) due to its demonstrated anti-oxidant properties (Ref. 85). For instance, lactate concentration is positively correlated with the resistance of human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) to fractioned irradiation (Ref. 86), and lactate dehydrogenase 5 over-representation is associated with the radio-resistance of HNSCC (Ref. 87), colorectal (Ref. 88) and prostate (Ref. 89) cancers. Additionally, high lactate concentration abrogates the sensitivity of cancer cells to oxidative stress toward the evolvement of resistance to hydrogen peroxide, high-dose ascorbate and photodynamic therapy (Ref. 90).

Discussion

Non-histone lactylation

Similar to other epigenomic codes such as acetylation, lactylation may also occur in non-histone proteins. A global lysine lactylome analysis was conducted in *Botrytis cinerea* (a fungal pathogen) by LC-MS/MS, where 273 lysine lactylation were identified from 166 proteins. Among these proteins, 36% are distributed in the nucleus, 27% in the mitochondria, and 25% in the cytoplasm (Ref. 91). Several proteins with critical functionalities in cancers can be lactylated such as MAPK lactylation at K60. These evidences are suggestive of the prevalence and wide-spread roles of lysine lactylation in cells at both the healthy and abnormal states, and non-histone lactylation that has attracted relatively less attention may represent a future direction deserving intensive investigations.

Crosstalk between lactylation and other epigenomic events

Lactate associates lactylation with other epigenetic codes such as methylation given its pivotal roles in epigenomic reprogramming (Ref. 92). For instance, tumour-derived lactate promotes

Table 1. Onco-therapeutic approaches targeting the lactate axis

exists in two enantiomers, i.e., R-2HG and S-2HG, both of which inhibit α -KG-dependent dioxygenases including TETs (Ref. 94). While R-2HG is an oncometabolite generated from α -KG, S-2HG is generated by LDH or malate dehydrogenase under hypoxia (Ref. 95). These create a negative feedback loop involving LDH, lactate, α -KG, 2HG and TET that collectively orchestrate the crosstalk between lactylation and methylation.

In addition, lactate has been shown to be an endogenous histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor toward enhanced expression of genes associated with HDAC proteins (Refs 49, 96). Of particular relevance, is the similarity and coordination between lactylation and acetylation. Both types of epigenetic codes prefer lysine and share the use of some enzymes, e.g., p300 as the writer (Refs 30, 32). Interestingly, p300 is highly enriched in the promoter regions of pluripotency genes such as Oct4, Sall4, c-Myc during reprogramming, suggesting the coordinated roles of lactylation and acetylation as driven by fluctuations between lactate and acetyl-CoA during cell decision making (Refs 97, 98). Besides, histone lactylation can affect RNA modifications and contribute to tumorigenesis. For example, histone lactylation facilitates the expression of genes encoding YTH N6-methyladenosine RNA binding protein 2 (Ythdf2) that recognises m6A-modified period circadian regulator 1 (Per1) and p53 mRNAs (two tumour suppressors) for degradation in ocular melanoma (Ref. 5). It is possible that enhanced lactate production in cancer cells as a result of aberrant metabolic reprogramming leads to a higher concentration of lactyl-CoA than acetyl-CoA that drives the epigenetic modification at a certain histone lysine site toward lactylation rather than acetylation; and this will lead to a higher level of histone lactylation

Target	Drug name	status	Example cancer	Mechanism	Ref/Trial No.
MCT1	AZD3956	Clinical trial	Advanced cancers	Lactate excretion	NCT01791595
MCT1	BAY8002	Pre-clinical	Haematopoietic cancer, some solid cancers	Lactate excretion	(Ref. 102)
MCT1	SR13800	Pre-clinical	Neuroblastoma, lyphoma, breast cancer	Lactate excretion	(Ref. 103)
MCT4	Syrosingopine	Pre-clinical	Liver cancer, lung cancer	Lactate excretion	(Refs 104, 105)
MPC	UK5099	Pre-clinical	Cervix cancer, breast cancer, ovarian cancer	Pyruvate transport	(Ref. 106)
LDH	NA	Pre-clinical	Solid and haematologic cancers	Lactate production	(Ref. 98)
GPR1	Curcumin	In clinics	Colon cancer, pancreatic cancer, head and neck cancer, multiple myeloma, cervical cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer	Lactate excretion	(Refs 107, 108, 109)
GPR1	LRH7-G5	Pre-clinical	Triple negative breast cancer	Lactate excretion	(Ref. 99)
HK2	2DG	Pre-clinical	Breast cancer, prostate cancer, ovarian cancer, lung cancer, glioma, pancreatic cancer, osteosarcoma	Glucose uptake	(Ref. 110)
HK2	Benz	Pre-clinical	Colorectal cancer, colon cancer, breast cancer, hepatoma carcinoma	Glucose uptake	(Ref. 111)
HK2	3-BrPA	Pre-clinical	Triple negative breast cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer	Glucose uptake	(Ref. 112)
HK2	Tristetraprolin	Pre-clinical	Breast cancer	Glucose uptake	(Ref. 113)
HK2	BAG3	Pre-clinical	Pancreatic cancer, triple negative breast cancer, ovarian cancer, endometrial cancer, gastric cancer, colon cancer, testicular cancer, bladder cancer, glioblastoma	Glucose uptake	(Ref. 114)

NA represents 'not available'.

than acetylation in cancer cells and accelerated carcinogenesis. Alternatively, co-enzymes of lactylation or acetylation may exist to discriminate the use of lactyl- and acetyl-CoA for the epigenetic modification of a certain site and determine the levels of lactylation and acetylation. Yet, these are all hypotheses that require experimental validations.

Onco-therapeutic opportunities via targeting lactylation

Given accumulated evidence on the positive association of lactylation with carcinogenesis, lactate production or lactate transporters such as LDHA, MCT1/4 and GPR1 have been proposed as novel onco-therapeutic targets, alone or in combination with other anti-cancer strategies (Refs 41, 85, 99, 100) (Table 1). For instance, LDHA has been proposed as an oncotarget alone or through creating synergies with redox-sensitive onco-therapies in a p53/NAD(H)-dependent manner (Ref. 101). Also, targeting the lactate axis can abolish the resistance of cancer cells to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors *in vivo* (Ref. 82). Curcumin (Ref. 83) and LRH7-G5 (Ref. 102), via targeting GPR1, can restore tumour cells' sensitivity to chemotherapies. AZD3956, a drug that targets MCT1, is currently under the clinical trial (NCT01791595).

On the other hand, the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), catalysing the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA and playing key roles in histone acetylation (Ref. 103), is associated with elevated histone lactylation once inhibited (Ref. 4). Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinases (PDKs) are specific kinases of PDCs suppressing their activities. PDK inhibitors such as dichloroacetate (DCA) or diisopropylamine dichloroacetate (DATA) reduce lactate production and histone typrosine lactylation levels that leads to enhanced radio-sensitivity of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells, non-small cell lung cancer cells, glioblastoma cells and breast cancer cells (Refs 104, 105). While the efficacy of DCA as an onco-therapeutic strategy has already been examined in a phase II clinical trial (Ref. 106), a superior efficacy was reported for DADA using a breast cancer *in vivo* model (Ref. 107).

Many targeted therapies and immunotherapies fail due to the evolved cancer cell resistance, which triggers the development of duel-targeting strategies such as the combined use of duvelisib and rituximab in the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (Ref. 108) and the aforementioned combinatorial strategies involving targets of the lactate axis. Despite its great promise, dual-targeting still relies on limited receptor-mediated signalling and does not represent the ultimate option for cancer cure. The call for emerging onco-therapeutics from a novel perspective is thus imperative and timely. CAP, being an emerging oncotherapeutic approach against cancer cells with multi-modality nature, does not rely on any single receptor or pathway to take on actions (Ref. 109). Among the many evidences demonstrating its selectivity against cancer cells, CAP was shown capable of suppressing LDHA and creating synergies with other drugs toward enhanced anti-cancer efficacy. Thus, onco-therapeutic strategies taking advantages of the glycolysis switch as represented by lactylation and acetylation with the aid of CAP may shift the paradigm of anti-cancer investigations into an innovative era that possibly leads to the ultimate cure of cancer.

Conclusion

Studies on lactylation and its clinical impact are in its infancy. Comprehensively delineating the landscape of how lactylation coordinates with other epigenetic codes toward reprogrammed cell metabolism and rewired fates is urgently needed toward effective methodological design against cancers. These include investigations on non-histone lactylation, novel functionalities they represent (and in particular during cancer initiation and progression), as well as specific writers, erasers and readers that may involve.

Unlike the other layers of epigenetic coding marks that largely play dual roles in cancer initiation and progression, all evidence on lactylation so far reported have associated it with the oncogenic role. Yet, strategies targeting lactylation and their clinical translation are still at the infant stage. Thus, novel oncotherapeutic approaches taking advantages of lactylation are urgently called for to resolve tumours or rewire drug resistant malignant cells toward the sensitive state, which represent an encouraging trend in the future.

Authors' contribution. X. Dai conceptualised the idea, drafted the manuscript, and finalised the figures. X. Lv contributed in literature searching and figure preparation. X. Dai provided the financial support.

Financial support. This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81972789), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. JUSRP22011), Technology Development Funding of Wuxi (Grant No. WX18IVJN017).

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no competing interest.

References

- de la Cruz Munoz-Centeno M, Millan-Zambrano G and Chavez S (2012) A matter of packaging: influence of nucleosome positioning on heterologous gene expression. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 824, 51–64.
- Mikkelsen TS et al. (2007) Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. Nature 448, 553–560.
- Bernstein BE, Meissner A and Lander ES (2007) The mammalian epigenome. Cell 128, 669–681.
- Zhang D et al. (2019) Metabolic regulation of gene expression by histone lactylation. *Nature* 574, 575–580.
- Yu J et al. (2021) Histone lactylation drives oncogenesis by facilitating m (6)A reader protein YTHDF2 expression in ocular melanoma. *Genome Biology* 22, 85.
- Jiang J et al. (2021) Lactate modulates cellular metabolism through histone lactylation-mediated gene expression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Frontiers in Oncology* 11, 647559.
- Hua G et al. (2014) Targeting glucose metabolism in chondrosarcoma cells enhances the sensitivity to doxorubicin through the inhibition of lactate dehydrogenase-A. Oncology Reports 31, 2727–2734.
- Cui H et al. (2021) Lung myofibroblasts promote macrophage profibrotic activity through lactate-induced histone lactylation. American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology 64, 115–125.
- Meng X et al. (2021) Comprehensive analysis of lysine lactylation in rice (Oryza sativa) grains. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 69, 8287–8297.
- Nikooie R, Moflehi D and Zand S (2021) Lactate regulates autophagy through ROS-mediated activation of ERK1/2/m-TOR/p-70S6K pathway in skeletal muscle. *Journal of Cell Communication and Signaling* 15, 107–123.
- 11. Matejovic M, Radermacher P and Fontaine E (2007) Lactate in shock: a high-octane fuel for the heart? *Intensive Care Medicine* **33**, 406–408.
- Dienel GA (2014) Lactate shuttling and lactate use as fuel after traumatic brain injury: metabolic considerations. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* 34, 1736–1748.
- Bonuccelli G et al. (2010) Ketones and lactate "fuel" tumor growth and metastasis: evidence that epithelial cancer cells use oxidative mitochondrial metabolism. *Cell Cycle* 9, 3506–3514.
- 14. Haas R et al. (2016) Intermediates of metabolism: from bystanders to signalling molecules. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **41**, 460–471.
- Lee TY (2021) Lactate: a multifunctional signaling molecule. Yeungnam University Journal of Medicine 38, 183–193.
- Ahmed K et al. (2010) An autocrine lactate loop mediates insulindependent inhibition of lipolysis through GPR81. Cell Metabolism 11, 311–319.

- 17. Weinreich J et al. (2011) Rapamycin-induced impaired wound healing is associated with compromised tissue lactate accumulation and extracellular matrix remodeling. *European Surgical Research* **47**, 39–44.
- Jha MK and Morrison BM (2020) Lactate transporters mediate Glia-neuron metabolic crosstalk in homeostasis and disease. *Frontiers* in Cellular Neuroscience 14, 589582.
- Jain M et al. (2020) A D-lactate dehydrogenase from rice is involved in conferring tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses by maintaining cellular homeostasis. Scientific Reports 10, 12835.
- Vaupel P and Multhoff G (2021) The Warburg effect: historical dogma versus current rationale. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* 1269, 169–177.
- Chen J et al. (2020) Warburg effect is a cancer immune evasion mechanism against macrophage immunosurveillance. Frontiers in Immunology 11, 621757.
- Kes MMG et al. (2020) Oncometabolites lactate and succinate drive pro-angiogenic macrophage response in tumors. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, Reviews on Cancer* 1874, 188427.
- Vlachostergios PJ et al. (2015) Elevated lactic acid is a negative prognostic factor in metastatic lung cancer. Cancer Biomarkers: Section A of Disease Markers 15, 725–734.
- 24. Sonveaux P *et al.* (2012) Targeting the lactate transporter MCT1 in endothelial cells inhibits lactate-induced HIF-1 activation and tumor angiogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 7, e33418.
- Cheung SM et al. (2020) Lactate concentration in breast cancer using advanced magnetic resonance spectroscopy. British Journal of Cancer 123, 261–267.
- Hui S et al. (2017) Glucose feeds the TCA cycle via circulating lactate. Nature 551, 115–118.
- Nelson SJ et al. (2013) Metabolic imaging of patients with prostate cancer using hyperpolarized [1-(1)(3)C]pyruvate. Science Translational Medicine 5, 198–108.
- San-Millan I and Brooks GA (2017) Reexamining cancer metabolism: lactate production for carcinogenesis could be the purpose and explanation of the Warburg effect. *Carcinogenesis* 38, 119–133.
- Izzo LT and Wellen KE (2019) Histone lactylation links metabolism and gene regulation. *Nature* 574, 492–493.
- Chen AN et al. (2021) Lactylation, a novel metabolic reprogramming code: current Status and prospects. Frontiers in Immunology 12, 688910.
- Varner EL et al. (2020) Quantification of lactoyl-CoA (lactyl-CoA) by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry in mammalian cells and tissues. Open Biology 10, 200187.
- Wang T et al. (2021) Acetylation of lactate dehydrogenase B drives NAFLD progression by impairing lactate clearance. *Journal of Hepatology* 74, 1038–1052.
- Hanahan D and Weinberg RA (2000) The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* 100, 57–70.
- Hanahan D and Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144, 646–674.
- Colegio OR et al. (2014) Functional polarization of tumour-associated macrophages by tumour-derived lactic acid. Nature 513, 559–563.
- 36. Geissmann F et al. (2010) Development of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells. Science 327, 656–661.
- 37. Wynn TA, Chawla A and Pollard JW (2013) Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature* **496**, 445–455.
- Irizarry-Caro RA et al. (2020) TLR signaling adapter BCAP regulates inflammatory to reparatory macrophage transition by promoting histone lactylation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 117, 30628–30638.
- Ivashkiv LB (2020) The hypoxia-lactate axis tempers inflammation. Nature Reviews Immunology 20, 85–86.
- 40. Dichtl S et al. (2021) Lactate and IL6 define separable paths of inflammatory metabolic adaptation. *Science Advances* 7, 3505.
- Siska PJ et al. (2020) The immunological Warburg effect: can a metabolic-tumor-stroma score (MeTS) guide cancer immunotherapy? *Immunological Reviews* 295, 187–202.
- Brand A et al. (2016) LDHA-Associated lactic acid production blunts tumor immunosurveillance by T and NK cells. Cell Metabolism 24, 657–671.
- Harmon C et al. (2019) Lactate-mediated acidification of tumor microenvironment induces apoptosis of liver-resident NK cells in colorectal liver metastasis. Cancer Immunology Research 7, 335–346.

- 44. Gottfried E et al. (2006) Tumor-derived lactic acid modulates dendritic cell activation and antigen expression. *Blood* 107, 2013–2021.
- 45. Husain Z et al. (2013) Tumor-derived lactate modifies antitumor immune response: effect on myeloid-derived suppressor cells and NK cells. *Journal of Immunology* **191**, 1486–1495.
- Zhou Y et al. (2019) P53/lactate dehydrogenase A axis negatively regulates aerobic glycolysis and tumor progression in breast cancer expressing wild-type p53. Cancer Science 110, 939–949.
- Boidot R *et al.* (2012) Regulation of monocarboxylate transporter MCT1 expression by p53 mediates inward and outward lactate fluxes in tumors. *Cancer Research* 72, 939–948.
- Liu CL et al. (2009) Lactate inhibits lipolysis in fat cells through activation of an orphan G-protein-coupled receptor, GPR81. Journal of Biological Chemistry 284, 2811–2822.
- Moussaieff A et al. (2015) Glycolysis-mediated changes in acetyl-CoA and histone acetylation control the early differentiation of embryonic stem cells. *Cell Metabolism* 21, 392–402.
- Hadzic A et al. (2020) The lactate receptor HCA1 Is present in the choroid plexus, the Tela Choroidea, and the Neuroepithelial lining of the dorsal part of the third ventricle. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 21, 6451.
- Luo F et al. (2017) Enhanced glycolysis, regulated by HIF-1alpha via MCT-4, promotes inflammation in arsenite-induced carcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 38, 615–626.
- 52. Garcia CK *et al.* (1994) Molecular characterization of a membrane transporter for lactate, pyruvate, and other monocarboxylates: implications for the Cori cycle. *Cell* **76**, 865–873.
- Khatib-Massalha E et al. (2020) Lactate released by inflammatory bone marrow neutrophils induces their mobilization via endothelial GPR81 signaling. *Nature Communications* 11, 3547.
- Faubert B et al. (2017) Lactate metabolism in human lung tumors. Cell 171, 358–371. e9.
- 55. **Pivovarova AI and MacGregor GG** (2018) Glucose-dependent growth arrest of leukemia cells by MCT1 inhibition: feeding Warburg's sweet tooth and blocking acid export as an anticancer strategy. *Biomedicine* & *Pharmacotherapy* **98**, 173–179.
- 56. Saulle E et al. (2020) Targeting lactate metabolism by inhibiting MCT1 or MCT4 impairs leukemic cell proliferation, induces two different related death-pathways and increases chemotherapeutic sensitivity of acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Frontiers in Oncology* 10, 621458.
- Todenhofer T et al. (2018) Selective inhibition of the lactate transporter MCT4 reduces growth of invasive bladder cancer. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 17, 2746–2755.
- Xie Q et al. (2020) A lactate-induced snail/STAT3 pathway drives GPR81 expression in lung cancer cells. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, Molecular Basis of Disease* 1866, 165576.
- 59. Brown TP et al. (2020) The lactate receptor GPR81 promotes breast cancer growth via a paracrine mechanism involving antigen-presenting cells in the tumor microenvironment. Oncogene 39, 3292–3304.
- 60. Haaga JR and Haaga R (2013) Acidic lactate sequentially induced lymphogenesis, phlebogenesis, and arteriogenesis (ALPHA) hypothesis: lactate-triggered glycolytic vasculogenesis that occurs in normoxia or hypoxia and complements the traditional concept of hypoxia-based vasculogenesis. *Surgery* 154, 632–637.
- Vallee A, Guillevin R and Vallee JN (2018) Vasculogenesis and angiogenesis initiation under normoxic conditions through Wnt/beta-catenin pathway in gliomas. *Reviews in the Neurosciences* 29, 71–91.
- Lu H, Forbes RA and Verma A (2002) Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 activation by aerobic glycolysis implicates the Warburg effect in carcinogenesis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277, 23111–23115.
- Vegran F et al. (2011) Lactate influx through the endothelial cell monocarboxylate transporter MCT1 supports an NF-kappaB/IL-8 pathway that drives tumor angiogenesis. *Cancer Research* 71, 2550–2560.
- Webb BA et al. (2011) Dysregulated pH: a perfect storm for cancer progression. Nature Reviews Cancer 11, 671–677.
- Busco G et al. (2010) NHE1 Promotes invadopodial ECM proteolysis through acidification of the peri-invadopodial space. FASEB Journal 24, 3903–3915.
- Walenta S and Mueller-Klieser WF (2004) Lactate: mirror and motor of tumor malignancy. Seminars in Radiation Oncology 14, 267–274.
- Baumann F et al. (2009) Lactate promotes glioma migration by TGF-beta2-dependent regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-2. *Neuro-Oncology* 11, 368–380.

- Zhu X et al. (2020) Lactate induced up-regulation of KLHDC8A (Kelch domain-containing 8A) contributes to the proliferation, migration and apoptosis of human glioma cells. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* 24, 11691–11702.
- Ippolito L et al. (2019) Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote prostate cancer malignancy via metabolic rewiring and mitochondrial transfer. Oncogene 38, 5339–5355.
- Goetze K et al. (2011) Lactate enhances motility of tumor cells and inhibits monocyte migration and cytokine release. *International Journal of Oncology* 39, 453–463.
- 71. Yue J et al. (2021) LncRNAs link cancer stemness to therapy resistance. American Journal of Cancer Research 11, 1051–1068.
- Lopez-Menendez C et al. (2021) E2A modulates stemness, metastasis, and therapeutic resistance of breast cancer. Cancer Research 81, 4529– 4544.
- Cascone T et al. (2018) Increased tumor glycolysis characterizes immune resistance to adoptive T cell therapy. Cell Metabolism 27, 977–987. e4.
- 74. Taylor S et al. (2015) Microenvironment acidity as a major determinant of tumor chemoresistance: proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) as a novel therapeutic approach. Drug Resistance Updates: Reviews and Commentaries in Antimicrobial and Anticancer Chemotherapy 23, 69–78.
- Adar Y et al. (2012) Imidazoacridinone-dependent lysosomal photodestruction: a pharmacological Trojan horse approach to eradicate multidrug-resistant cancers. Cell Death & Disease 3, e293.
- De Milito A and Fais S (2005) Tumor acidity, chemoresistance and proton pump inhibitors. *Future Oncology* 1, 779–786.
- Ellegaard AM et al. (2013) Sunitinib and SU11652 inhibit acid sphingomyelinase, destabilize lysosomes, and inhibit multidrug resistance. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 12, 2018–2030.
- Jansen G et al. (1999) Multiple mechanisms of resistance to polyglutamatable and lipophilic antifolates in mammalian cells: role of increased folylpolyglutamylation, expanded folate pools, and intralysosomal drug sequestration. *Molecular Pharmacology* 55, 761–769.
- Mahoney BP et al. (2003) Tumor acidity, ion trapping and chemotherapeutics. I. Acid pH affects the distribution of chemotherapeutic agents in vitro. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 66, 1207–1218.
- Wojtkowiak JW et al. (2011) Drug resistance and cellular adaptation to tumor acidic pH microenvironment. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* 8, 2032–2038.
- Federici C et al. (2014) Exosome release and low pH belong to a framework of resistance of human melanoma cells to cisplatin. PLoS ONE 9, e88193.
- Apicella M et al. (2018) Increased lactate secretion by cancer cells sustains non-cell-autonomous adaptive resistance to MET and EGFR targeted therapies. *Cell Metabolism* 28, 848–865. e6.
- Soni VK et al. (2020) Curcumin circumvent lactate-induced chemoresistance in hepatic cancer cells through modulation of hydroxycarboxylic acid receptor-1. International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology 123, 105752.
- 84. Tang L et al. (2018) Role of metabolism in cancer cell radioresistance and radiosensitization methods. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research: CR 37, 87.
- Taddei ML et al. (2020) Lactate in sarcoma microenvironment: much more than just a waste product. Cells 9, 102–109.
- 86. Sattler UG et al. (2010) Glycolytic metabolism and tumour response to fractionated irradiation. *Radiotherapy & Oncology* 94, 102–109.
- Koukourakis MI et al. (2009) Lactate dehydrogenase 5 expression in squamous cell head and neck cancer relates to prognosis following radical or postoperative radiotherapy. Oncology 77, 285–292.
- Koukourakis MI et al. (2011) Prognostic and predictive role of lactate dehydrogenase 5 expression in colorectal cancer patients treated with PTK787/ZK 222584 (vatalanib) antiangiogenic therapy. *Clinical Cancer Research* 17, 4892–4900.
- Koukourakis MI et al. (2014) Lactate dehydrogenase 5 isoenzyme overexpression defines resistance of prostate cancer to radiotherapy. British Journal of Cancer 110, 2217–2223.
- Koncosova M et al. (2021) Inhibition of mitochondrial metabolism leads to selective eradication of cells adapted to acidic microenvironment. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22, 594743.

- Gao M, Zhang N and Liang W (2020) Systematic analysis of lysine lactylation in the plant fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. Frontiers in Microbiology 11, 594743.
- Bhagat TD *et al.* (2019) Lactate-mediated epigenetic reprogramming regulates formation of human pancreatic cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Elife* 8, 5091.
- Zhang M et al. (2021) Ten-eleven translocation 1 mediated-DNA hydroxymethylation is required for myelination and remyelination in the mouse brain. *Nature Communications* 12, 5091.
- 94. Sulkowski PL et al. (2017) 2-Hydroxyglutarate produced by neomorphic IDH mutations suppresses homologous recombination and induces PARP inhibitor sensitivity. *Science Translational Medicine* 9, 20188– 20197.
- Nadtochiy SM et al. (2016) Acidic pH is a metabolic switch for 2-hydroxyglutarate generation and signaling. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 291, 20188–20197.
- Genders AJ et al. (2019) A physiological drop in pH decreases mitochondrial respiration, and HDAC and Akt signaling, in L6 myocytes. *American Journal of Physiology. Cell Physiology* 316, C404–C414.
- Chisolm DA and Weinmann AS (2018) Connections between metabolism and epigenetics in programming cellular differentiation. *Annual Review of Immunology* 36, 221–246.
- Dai X et al. (2021) Histone lactylation: epigenetic mark of glycolytic switch. Trends in Genetics 38, 124–127.
- Feng J et al. (2017) Tumor cell-derived lactate induces TAZ-dependent upregulation of PD-L1 through GPR81 in human lung cancer cells. Oncogene 36, 5829–5839.
- Feichtinger RG and Lang R (2019) Targeting L-lactate metabolism to overcome resistance to immune therapy of melanoma and other tumor entities. *Journal of Oncology* 2019, 2084195.
- 101. Allison SJ et al. (2014) Identification of LDH-A as a therapeutic target for cancer cell killing via (i) p53/NAD(H)-dependent and (ii) p53-independent pathways. Oncogenesis 3, e102.
- Huang C et al. (2020) A screened GPR1 peptide exerts antitumor effects on triple-negative breast cancer. *Molecular Therapy Oncolytics* 18, 602–612.
- de Boer VC and Houten SM (2014) A mitochondrial expatriate: nuclear pyruvate dehydrogenase. Cell 158, 9–10.
- 104. Dong G et al. (2016) Diisopropylamine dichloroacetate enhances radiosensitization in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by increasing mitochondria-derived reactive oxygen species levels. Oncotarget 7, 68170–68178.
- Bonnet S et al. (2007) A mitochondria-K + channel axis is suppressed in cancer and its normalization promotes apoptosis and inhibits cancer growth. *Cancer Cell* 11, 37–51.
- 106. Powell SF et al. (2022) Phase II study of dichloroacetate, an inhibitor of pyruvate dehydrogenase, in combination with chemoradiotherapy for unresected, locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Investigational New Drugs* 40, 622–633.
- 107. **Su L et al.** (2016) Superior anti-tumor efficacy of diisopropylamine dichloroacetate compared with dichloroacetate in a subcutaneous transplantation breast tumor model. *Oncotarget* **7**, 65721–65731.
- Davids MS et al. (2021) A phase 1b/2 study of duvelisib in combination with FCR (DFCR) for frontline therapy for younger CLL patients. *Leukemia* 35, 1064–1072.
- 109. Dai X et al. (2018) The emerging role of gas plasma in oncotherapy. Trends in Biotechnology 36, 1183–1198.
- Pajak B et al. (2019) 2-Deoxy-d-glucose and its analogs: from diagnostic to therapeutic agents. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21(1), 234.
- 111. Li W et al. (2017) Benserazide, a dopadecarboxylase inhibitor, suppresses tumor growth by targeting hexokinase 2. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research 36(1), 58.
- 112. **Zhang Q et al.** (2014) Hexokinase II inhibitor, 3-BrPA induced autophagy by stimulating ROS formation in human breast cancer cells. *Genes and Cancer* 5(3-4), 100–112.
- 113. Kim DJ et al. (2019) Tristetraprolin-mediated hexokinase 2 expression regulation contributes to glycolysis in cancer cells. *Molecular Biology of* the Cell **30**(5), 542–553.
- 114. An MX et al. (2017) BAG3 directly stabilizes Hexokinase 2 mRNA and promotes aerobic glycolysis in pancreatic cancer cells. *Journal of Cell Biology* 216(12), 4091–4105.