

# COMPLETENESS OF ORDER STATISTICS

D. A. S. FRASER

**1. Introduction.** Under the non-parametric assumption that a set of observations is a sample from an absolutely continuous distribution, the order statistics are known to form a complete sufficient statistic. It is proved in this note that it suffices to have the class of uniform distributions over finite numbers of intervals *or* the class of uniform distributions over sets of a ring which is a basis for the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets. This result is derived as a particular case of that of several samples from more general distributions.

**2. Formulation and statement of results.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  stand for a measurable space:  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an arbitrary space and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets  $A \subset \mathfrak{X}$ . A class  $\mathfrak{B} = \{B\}$  of subsets of  $\mathfrak{X}$  will be called a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and written  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$  if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a ring and if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

Distributions over the space  $\mathfrak{X}$  will be given in terms of a finite measure  $\mu$  over  $\mathfrak{A}$  by means of a density function  $f(x)$ ; that is,

$$P_\eta(A) = \int_A f_\eta(x) d\mu(x),$$

and we are thus restricted to distributions which are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu(x)$ .

To describe a sample of  $n$  from a distribution  $P_\eta$ , we envisage the product space  $\mathfrak{X}^n$  with the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{A}^n$  and the power product measure  $\mu^n$ . Then, for  $C$  a measurable subset of  $\mathfrak{X}^n$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad P_\eta^n(C) = \int_C \prod_1^n f_\eta(x_i) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i)$$

and the distributions are given by  $\{\Pi f_\eta(x_i)\}$ .

A statistic based on a sample of  $n$  is a measurable function  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . A statistic of interest will be  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , that is, the set of  $x$ 's without regard for the order in which the  $x$ 's occur in the function  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Thus  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is invariant under the group of permutations of the  $x$ 's and is in fact the maximal invariant function under such transformations. Any statistic  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  which can be written as a function of  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a symmetric function of the  $x$ 's, and conversely.

We define a complete class of measures.  $\{v_\eta(C) | \eta \in \Omega\}$  is a complete class if

$$(2.2) \quad \int_y g(y) dv_\eta(y) \underset{\eta}{=} 0$$

---

Received May 4, 1953.

implies that  $g(y) = 0$  almost everywhere with respect to the measures  $\nu_\eta$ . In this note we prove that the class of distributions of  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  induced by the distributions  $\{P_\eta^n | \eta \in \Omega\}$  is complete subject to conditions on  $\Omega$ .

3. Derivation of results.

**THEOREM.** *The distributions of  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  induced by the distributions  $\{P_\eta^n | \eta \in \Omega\}$  over  $\mathfrak{X}^n$  form a complete class if  $\mu(x)$  is non-atomic and if  $\{f_\eta^n | \eta \in \Omega\}$  consists of uniform distributions over the sets  $B$  of a basis  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ .*

*Proof.* The density function  $f_\eta(x)$  of a uniform distribution will have the simple form

$$(3.1) \quad f_\eta(x) = c(\eta) \phi_\eta(x),$$

where  $\phi_\eta(x)$  is the characteristic function of a set  $B_\eta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$  and  $c(\eta)$  is a normalizing constant such that

$$1 = c(\eta) \int_{B_\eta} d\mu(x) = c(\eta) \mu(B_\eta).$$

To show that the distributions of  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  form a complete class, we show that any measurable function of  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  satisfying (2.2) is zero almost everywhere  $\mu^n$ . However, such a function is necessarily a symmetric function  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of the  $x$ 's and hence (2.2) gives

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{X}^n} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_1^n f_\eta(x_i) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i) = 0.$$

Since  $c(\eta) \neq 0$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{B^n} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i) = 0.$$

Let  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  be any  $n$  disjoint sets belonging to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$  is a ring, any sum of  $B$ 's will belong to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$  and therefore

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{(B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_r})^n} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i) = 0.$$

If we define

$$I(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \int_{B_{i_1} \times \dots \times B_{i_n}} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i),$$

then the symmetry of  $h$  implies that  $I$  is symmetric. From (3.4) with  $r = 1$  we obtain

$$I(1, \dots, 1) = 0, \quad I(2, \dots, 2) = 0;$$

and with  $r = 2$ ,

$$\sum_{j_1=1}^2 \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^2 I(j_1, \dots, j_n) = 0.$$

Then by subtraction,

$$\sum_{\alpha(1,2)} I(j_1, \dots, j_n) = 0$$

where  $\alpha(1,2)$  is all  $n$ -tuples  $(j_1, \dots, j_n)$  containing both and only 1's and 2's.

Proceeding inductively, we obtain finally

$$\sum_{\alpha(1, \dots, n)} I(j_1, \dots, j_n) = 0$$

where  $\alpha(1, \dots, n)$  is the set of permutations of  $(1, \dots, n)$ . From the symmetry of  $I$  it follows that

$$I(1, \dots, n) = 0,$$

that is,

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{B_1 \times \dots \times B_n} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i) = 0.$$

Since  $\mu$  is non-atomic it follows from Halmos (1) that  $\mathfrak{X}$  can be divided into a finite number of disjoint sets  $\{S_i^\epsilon\}$  each of which has  $\mu$ -measure less than or equal to  $\epsilon$ . Consequently the diagonal space of  $\mathfrak{X}^n$ ,

$$D(\mathfrak{X}^n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \exists i, j \ni x_i = x_j, i \neq j\}$$

can for any positive  $\delta$  be enclosed in a set  $E_\delta(\mathfrak{X}^n)$  having  $\mu^n$ -measure less than or equal to  $\delta$ . For example,

$$E_\delta(\mathfrak{X}^n) = \bigcup_{i, P} \{S_i^\epsilon \times S_i^\epsilon \times \mathfrak{X}^{n-2}\},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is chosen sufficiently small ( $= \epsilon(\delta)$ ) and where the union is taken over  $i$  and over the image sets under permutations  $P$  of the coordinates.

Since the sets  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$  form a basis for  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the sets  $\{B_1 \times \dots \times B_n\}$  with disjoint  $B$ 's belonging to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$  form a basis for the  $\sigma$ -algebra

$$\mathfrak{A}_\delta^n = \{C \cap (\mathfrak{X}^n - E_\delta(\mathfrak{X}^n)) | C \in \mathfrak{A}^n\}.$$

By extending the signed measure (3.4), we obtain

$$\int_{C^*} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_1^n d\mu(x_i) = 0,$$

where  $C^* \in \mathfrak{A}_\delta^n$ . The Radon-Nikodym theorem then establishes that  $h = 0$  almost everywhere  $\mu^n$  in  $\mathfrak{X}^n - E_\delta(\mathfrak{X}^n)$ . Since  $\delta$  can be arbitrarily small, the theorem follows.

**COROLLARY.** *The distributions of  $O(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, O(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  induced by the distributions  $\{P_\eta^n \times \dots \times P_\xi^m | (\eta, \dots, \xi) \in \Omega \times \dots \times \Xi\}$  over  $\mathfrak{X}^n \times \dots \times \mathfrak{Y}^m$  form a complete class if  $P_\eta, \dots, P_\xi$  satisfy the conditions in the Theorem.*

The proof is a straight extension of that for the Theorem.

*Example.* Consider the space  $\mathfrak{X} = \{(z, y) = ] - \infty, 0[ \times ]0, \infty[$  and take as a basis for the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets the class of sets consisting of finite

unions of rectangles. If we take as probability measures the uniform distributions over sets of the basis above, then for a sample of  $n$  the theorem gives the completeness of the probability measures of the statistic

$$\{(z_1, y_1), \dots, (z_n, y_n)\}.$$

## REFERENCE

1. P. Halmos, *The range of a vector measure*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54 (1948), 416–421.

*University of Toronto*