

## A MULTILINEAR YOUNG'S INEQUALITY

BY  
DANIEL M. OBERLIN

ABSTRACT. We prove an  $(n + 1)$ -linear inequality which generalizes the classical bilinear inequality of Young concerning the  $L^p$  norm of the convolution of two functions.

Let  $G$  be, say, a locally compact abelian group. For a function  $f$  on  $G$  and  $t \in G$ , define the translate  $f_t$  of  $f$  by  $f_t(x) = f(x - t)$ ,  $x \in G$ . An  $n$ -linear operator  $M$  taking  $n$ -tuples of functions on  $G$  to functions on  $G$  is called a multilinear convolution (see [2], [3]) if

$$M((f_1)_t, \dots, (f_n)_t) = (M(f_1, \dots, f_n))_t, t \in G.$$

We are interested in certain multilinear convolutions  $M$  defined as follows. Let  $\lambda$  be a locally finite Borel measure on  $G^n$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are continuous functions of compact support on  $G$ , put

$$M(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = \int_{G^n} f_1(x - x_1) \dots f_n(x - x_n) d\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n), x \in G.$$

Our question about such  $M$  is the question of  $L^p$ -boundedness: taking  $L^p$  norms with respect to Haar measure, when do we have an inequality

$$\|M(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_r \leq C \|f_1\|_{p_1} \dots \|f_n\|_{p_n}?$$

Along these lines, there is a general theorem with  $r = 1$  in [5]. The case with  $G = \mathbf{R}$  and  $\lambda$  equal to Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is the subject of [4]. The case considered in this note occurs when  $\lambda$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure on  $G^n$  and has density  $K$  in  $L^q(G^n)$ . Thus

$$M(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = \int_{G^n} f_1(x - x_1) \dots f_n(x - x_n) \\ \times K(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n, x \in G,$$

and the inequality

$$(1) \quad \|M(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_r \leq \|f_1\|_{p_1} \dots \|f_n\|_{p_n} \|K\|_q$$

---

Received by the editors June 14, 1987, and, in revised form, September 15, 1987.

Partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

AMS Subject Classification (1985): 43A15.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1987.

is a multilinear analogue of Young's inequality.

**THEOREM.** *Inequality (1) holds when the conditions below are met:*

- (a) 
$$0 \leq \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n} \leq 1;$$
- (b) 
$$\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{q};$$
- (c) 
$$n + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} + \frac{n}{q};$$
- (d) 
$$1 - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p_j} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

**COMMENTS.** (1) When  $n = 1$ , this is Young's inequality. Then (b) and (d) are redundant.

(2) If the  $p_j$  are equal, then (d) is redundant.

(3) If  $G$  is compact or discrete, then the inclusions between  $L^p$  spaces on  $G$  show that (1) will hold in cases not covered by the theorem. But (b)-(d) are all necessary for a general result. For example, if  $G = \mathbf{R}$ , let

$$D = \{ (x, x, \dots, x) + (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n : 0 \leq x \leq L, 0 \leq t_i \leq \delta \},$$

$$f_1 = \dots = f_n = \chi_{[0, \delta]}, K = \chi_D.$$

If (1) holds it follows that

$$\delta^n L^{1/r} \leq (\text{const.}) \delta^{(1/p_1) + \dots + (1/p_n)} (L \delta^{n-1})^{1/q} \text{ for } 0 < \delta \leq L < \infty.$$

Thus (b) and (c) must hold. To see that (d) holds, let

$$D = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) : |x_j| \leq L, |x_i| \leq 1 \text{ if } i \neq j \},$$

$$f_j = \chi_{[0, L]}, f_i = \chi_{[0, 1]} \text{ if } i \neq j, \text{ and } K = \chi_D.$$

Then (1) implies

$$L \leq (\text{const.}) L^{1/q} L^{1/p_j} \text{ for } L \geq 1.$$

Thus (d) holds.

**PROOF OF THEOREM.** Consider the closed convex subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+2}$  defined by

$$K = \{ k = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta, \gamma) : n + \gamma = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + n\beta,$$

$$0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta \leq 1, 1 - \beta \leq \alpha_i \leq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \}.$$

By the multilinear Riesz-Thorin theorem (see [1]), it is enough to show that (1) holds whenever

$$\left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{r}\right)$$

is an extreme point of  $K$ . Thus we begin by looking at the geometry of  $K$ .

The first step is to show that if  $0 < \beta < 1$ , then  $k$  is not an extreme point of  $K$ . So fix  $k = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Write  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and define subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $I_n$  by

$$i \in S \text{ if and only if } \alpha_i = 1, \quad i \in T \text{ if and only if } \alpha_i = 1 - \beta.$$

Consider first the case  $S \cup T = I_n$ . For  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= \beta + t, \\ \alpha_i(t) &= \alpha_i \text{ if } i \in S, \quad \alpha_i(t) = \alpha_i - t \text{ if } i \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\gamma(t) = \sum_1^n \alpha_i(t) + n\beta(t) - n = \sum_1^n \alpha_i + n\beta - n - \sum_{i \in T} t + nt = \gamma + |S|t.$$

If there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_n(t), \beta(t), \gamma(t)) \in K \text{ for } |t| < \delta,$$

then it is clear that  $k$  is not extreme. By definition,

$$n + \gamma(t) = \alpha_1(t) + \dots + \alpha_n(t) + n\beta(t) \text{ for all } t.$$

Also

$$1 - \beta(t) \leq \alpha_i(t) \leq 1 \text{ if } |t| < \delta_i \text{ for some } \delta_i > 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Thus it is only necessary to find  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for  $|t| < \delta_0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \gamma(t) \leq \beta(t) \leq 1, \text{ or} \\ 0 \leq \gamma + |S|t \leq \beta + t \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Such a  $\delta_0$  exists if either

$$\gamma = 0 \text{ and } |S| = 0, \text{ or } \gamma = \beta \text{ and } |S| = 1, \text{ or } 0 < \gamma < \beta.$$

Now recall that  $0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$  since  $k \in K$ . If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $|S| = 0$  follows from

$$n + \gamma = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + n\beta \text{ and } S \cup T = I_n.$$

But if  $0 < \gamma = \beta$ , then  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = (n - 1)(1 - \beta) + 1$ , so  $|S| = 1$ . Thus  $k$  is not extreme in the case  $S \cup T = I_n$ . If, on the other hand,  $S \cup T \neq I_n$ , fix  $l$  with  $1 - \beta < \alpha_l < 1$ . Define

$$\alpha_i(t) = \alpha_i \text{ if } i \in S, \quad \alpha_i(t) = \alpha_i - t \text{ if } i \notin S \text{ and } i \neq l,$$

and  $\alpha_l(t) = \alpha_l - mt$  where  $m = |S| + 1$  if  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $m = |S|$  if  $\gamma > 0$ .

Define

$$\beta(t) = \beta + t, \gamma(t) = \sum_1^n \alpha_i(t) + n\beta(t) - n = \gamma + (|S| + 1 - m)t.$$

Then, as before

$$(\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_n(t), \beta(t), \gamma(t)) \in K$$

for small  $|t|$ . Thus  $k$  is not extreme whenever  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

Suppose now that  $k$  is extreme in  $K$  and we will check that (1) holds if

$$\left(\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_n}, \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{r}\right) = k.$$

If  $\beta = 0$ , then  $\gamma = 0$  and each  $\alpha_i$  is 1. Thus  $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = 1$ ,  $q = r = \infty$ , and (1) is clear. So suppose  $\beta = 1$ . The set

$$\{k \in K: \beta = 1\}$$

is affinely isomorphic (by projection onto the first  $n$  coordinates) to

$$\left\{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n): 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \sum_1^n \alpha_i \leq 1\right\}.$$

The extreme points of this set occur when one of the  $\alpha_i$  is 1 and the rest are zero. So suppose  $p_l = 1$ ,  $p_i = \infty$  if  $i \neq l$ , and  $q = r = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |M(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x)| &\leq \prod_{i \neq l} \|f_i\|_\infty \int_G |f_l(x - x_i)| \\ &\times \left[ \int_{G^{n-1}} |K(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \prod_{i \neq l} dx_i \right] dx_l. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_G \left[ \int_{G^{n-1}} |K(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \prod_{i \neq l} dx_i \right] dx_l = \|K\|_1,$$

inequality (1) is true in this case and the proof of the theorem is complete.

REFERENCES

1. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
2. R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Fourier analysis of multilinear convolutions, Calderón's theorem, and analysis on Lipschitz curves*, Euclidean harmonic analysis (College Park, Md., 1979), pp. 104-122, Lecture Notes in Math. **779**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

3. M. Murray, *Multilinear convolutions and transference*, Michigan Math. J. **31** (1984), pp. 321-330.
4. D. Oberlin, *Multilinear convolutions defined by measures on spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (to appear).
5. ———, *The size of sums of sets*, Studia Math. **83** (1986), pp. 139-146.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY  
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32306