Plasma n-3 fatty acids and clinical outcomes in recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis

Susanna M. Proudman¹,²*, Leslie G. Cleland¹,², Robert G. Metcalf¹, Thomas R. Sullivan³, Llewellyn D. Spargo¹ and Michael J. James¹,²

¹Rheumatology Unit, Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia
²Discipline of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia
³Public Health (TRS), University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia

(Submitted 29 March 2015 – Final revision received 15 June 2015 – Accepted 22 June 2015)

Abstract

A randomised controlled trial (RCT) of high-dose ω-3 low-dose fish oil in recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis (RA) demonstrated that the group allocated to high-dose fish oil had increased remission and decreased failure of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) therapy. This study examines the relationships between plasma phospholipid levels of the n-3 fatty acids in fish oil, EPA and DHA, and remission and DMARD use in recent-onset RA. EPA and DHA were measured in blood samples from both groups of the RCT. The data were analysed as a single cohort, and Cox proportional hazards models were used to examine relationships between plasma phospholipid (PL) EPA and DHA and various outcome measures. When analysed as a single cohort, plasma PL EPA was related to time to remission, with a one unit increase in EPA (1 % total fatty acids) associated with a 12 % increase in the probability of remission at any time during the study period (hazard ratio (HR) = 1.12; 95 % CI 1.02, 1.23; P = 0.02). Adjustment for smoking, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies and ‘shared epitope’ HLA-DR allele status did not change the HR. Plasma PL EPA, adjusted for the same variables, was negatively related to time to DMARD failure (HR = 0.95; 95 % CI 0.72, 0.99; P = 0.047). The HR for DHA and time to remission or DMARD failure were similar in magnitude to those for EPA, but not statistically significant. Biomarkers of n-3 status, such as plasma PL EPA, have the potential to predict clinical outcomes relevant to standard drug treatment of RA patients.
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Meta-analysis of studies with long-standing rheumatoid arthritis (RA) indicated that fish oil supplementation at doses supplying at least 2.9 g/d of the n-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA decreased tender joint count and duration of morning stiffness (1,2). Limitations of these studies include disease duration of 10–11 years and outdated drug regimens, which comprised sequential use of single-agent disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) rather than combination therapy, and withdrawal of participants requiring dose or drug changes due to disease activity or drug toxicity (3).

These limitations were addressed in a double-blind randomised controlled trial (RCT) of fish oil supplementation in patients with recent-onset RA where the median disease duration was 16 weeks (4). The RCT intervention was conducted within the framework of a standardised drug algorithm in which participants commenced triple DMARD therapy with methotrexate (MTX), sulphasalazine (SSZ) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), and dose escalation or drug changes were made according to rules that reflected the level of disease activity. This allowed DMARD failure to be used as a primary outcome measure along with remission. There was an increased rate of remission and decreased rate of DMARD failure in the fish oil group at 1 year follow-up (4). The main n-3 fatty acids present in fish oil are EPA and DHA. They are precursors for the E- and D-resolvins and PGD₂, which are involved in resolution of inflammation and can suppress production of both pro-inflammatory lipids such as PGE₂ and leukotriene B₄ and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and interleukin-1β (5-8). Thus, it is plausible that tissue levels of EPA and/or DHA are responsible for the clinical effects observed in the RCT of fish oil in recent-onset RA (4). Plasma levels of n-3 fatty acids obtained from fish oil consumption are highly variable between individuals even under clinical trial conditions with good compliance (9). Moreover, the proportionate increase in plasma EPA with fish oil ingestion is much larger than that for DHA and they have very different turnover times, resulting in tissue levels of DHA being much higher than those of EPA without ingestion of fish or
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fish oil\(^{10-15}\). This can lead to considerable overlap of both plasma EPA and DHA levels between control and fish oil intervention groups in parallel group RCTs, limiting the ability of intention-to-treat analysis to assess the relationship between the clinical effects of fish oil and the plasma levels of \(n-3\) fatty acids\(^9\). The purpose of this study was to examine the relationships between the outcome measures of remission and DMARD failure with plasma biomarkers of fish oil supplementation – EPA and DHA.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Consecutive patients who attended the Early Arthritis Clinic at the Royal Adelaide Hospital were screened. Those who satisfied the inclusion criteria for active RA that met the 1987 revised American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria, with disease duration <12 months and no previous treatment with DMARD, were enrolled into a RCT with a fish oil intervention arm. The study was approved by the Royal Adelaide Hospital Research Ethics Committee, and participants gave their informed consent. The Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registration number is ACTRN12613000579796. The complete inclusion and exclusion criteria have been described\(^4\).

**Fish oil treatment**

For the RCT, subjects were allocated 2:1 to receive high-dose or low-dose fish oil. The high-dose group was instructed to take 10 ml/d fish oil concentrate (BLT Incromega TG3525) providing 3·2 g EPA + 2·3 g DHA/d as TAG, and the low-dose group was instructed to take 10 ml/d sunola oil:capelin oil (2:1) providing 0·21 g EPA + 0·19 g DHA/d as TAG. The 1 year results of the trial have been reported\(^4\).

**Protocol and drug treatment**

After enrolment to the RCT, subjects were seen every 3 weeks for the first 12 weeks and then every 6 weeks thereafter. At each visit, clinical and biochemical assessments of disease activity were performed and blood was sampled for fatty acid measurements. The assessments of disease activity included twenty-eight joint tender and swollen joint counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and determination of C-reactive protein levels, which were used to determine whether there should be drug escalation according to pre-defined rules\(^14\).

All the subjects commenced treatment on triple DMARD therapy comprising SSZ 0·5 g/d, HCQ 200 mg twice per d (bd) and MTX 10 mg once per week SSZ was increased by 0·5 g/d at weekly intervals to 1 g bd. HCQ dose remained unchanged. MTX was increased only when there was active disease above a pre-defined threshold during the scheduled clinic visits (Fig. 1). The criteria for the level of disease activity that triggered drug dose escalation have been described and it was determined that they equated to a Disease Activity Score (DAS28) ≥ 3·2\(^4\). The DAS28 score is a composite of tender and swollen joint counts for twenty-eight joints, ESR and general health score\(^15\). A DAS28 score of 3·2 is considered to be minimal disease activity. If the disease activity was not above this threshold, then the MTX dose was held constant. If MTX reached the maximum allowable dose of 25 mg/week, then it was considered to be a case of ‘DMARD failure’ and leflunomide 10 mg/d was introduced (Fig. 1).

**Outcome measures**

DMARD failure, as measured by progression to leflunomide treatment, was used as a measure of disease control by the drugs used according to the algorithm described above.

---

**Fig. 1.** Drug treatments allowed by the rules-based algorithm. Disease activity was assessed at each clinic visit and drug escalation occurred if the disease activity exceeded a pre-specified threshold described in the text. DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; SSZ, sulfasalazine; bd, twice per d; MTX, methotrexate.
Remission was assessed by two standards – (a) the ACR criteria for remission and (b) a DAS28-ESR score <2.6, which is the accepted cut-off for DAS28 remission\textsuperscript{(16)}. ACR remission is the more stringent assessment and is considered to be complete absence of measurable disease\textsuperscript{(17)}. However, there frequently is residual disease activity in those with DAS28 scores <2.6, although it is called remission.

**Fatty acid measurement**

Blood was collected into EDTA-containing tubes at clinic visits. The number of clinic visits in 12 months varied between subjects according to how well their disease was controlled. The mean number of blood samples per subject from which fatty acids were measured was 5-4. The plasma fraction was removed and extracted fresh with isopropanol–chloroform (1:2). The chloroform layer was stored at \text{~20°C} until analysis, which was typically within 1–2 months. Phospholipids (PL) were separated by TLC, subjected to methanolysis and the fatty acids methyl esters were determined by GLC as we have described previously\textsuperscript{(18)}.

**Analyses**

The original RCT group allocations were ignored and the subjects were treated as a single cohort. The analyses sought to determine whether there were relationships between plasma PL EPA or DHA and to determine the following outcome measures: time (from randomisation) to DMARD failure, to ACR remission and to DAS28 remission. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were fitted to the data. The strength of these models is the ability to incorporate time-varying covariates. Therefore, mean values of plasma PL EPA or DHA over the year were not used, but rather PL EPA and DHA were treated as time-varying covariates. Therefore, mean values of plasma PL EPA or DHA over the year were not used, but rather PL EPA and DHA were treated as time-varying covariates with their measurements updated at each follow-up appointment. Proportionality assumption was tested and appeared reasonable in all models. Quadratic terms for EPA and DHA were not statistically significant in any of the models. After obtaining an unadjusted hazard ratio (HR) for plasma PL EPA or DHA (model 1), the baseline confounders, smoking history, plasma anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies (anti-CCP) and the genetic HLA-DR\textsubscript{B1} risk marker, known commonly as the ‘shared epitope’, were added to the model. These measures and markers have prognostic value and they were included as covariates in the original analysis of the RCT\textsuperscript{(4)}.

**Results**

**Subjects**

Subjects had recent-onset active RA with median disease duration of 16 weeks and mean DAS28 score of 5.7 (Table 1).

**Disease outcomes**

The first occurrences of ACR and DAS28 remission were at 3 weeks after commencement of treatment, and the events accumulated in a linear manner throughout the year (Fig. 2). At 1 year, the overall ACR and DAS28 remission rates were 33 and 61%, respectively. The first occurrence of DMARD failure and commencement of leflunomide occurred at 13 weeks, and by 1 year the cumulative rate was 21% (Fig. 2).

**Fatty acids**

Combining the high-dose and low-dose fish oil groups from the RCT provided a range of plasma PL EPA values from 0-4 to 10.5 and DHA values from 1.9 to 9.9% of total fatty acids. In general, the EPA and DHA values from subjects in the high-dose fish oil group were higher compared with the low-dose group, but there was considerable overlap (Fig. 3).
EPA was positively associated with time to ACR remission in univariate analysis such that a one unit increase in plasma PL EPA (as % of total fatty acids) was associated with a 12.2% increase in the probability of ACR remission at any time during the study period ($HR = 1.12, 95\% CI 1.02, 1.23$; $P = 0.02$) (Table 2). This was not altered by correction for the baseline characteristics of smoking, anti-CCP antibodies and shared epitope. EPA, adjusted for the same variables, was negatively related to time to DMARD failure ($HR = 0.85; 95\% CI 0.73, 0.99; P = 0.047$). The HR for DHA and time to ACR remission or DMARD failure were numerically similar to those for EPA, but not statistically significant. Plasma PL EPA + DHA was positively associated with time to ACR remission, and, although the association with time to DMARD failure was in the positive direction, it was not quite statistically significant ($HR = 0.92; 95\% CI 0.84, 1.01; P = 0.08$). There was no relationship between EPA or DHA or EPA + DHA and time to DAS28 remission. There was no relationship between arachidonic acid/EPA and any of the outcome measures (Table 2).

### Discussion

A double-blind, RCT with these participants demonstrated that the fish oil supplementation group had decreased failure of DMARD therapy and increased ACR remission at 1 year follow-up with no effect on DAS28 remission. The RCT used a fish oil concentrate and aimed for ingestion of 5·5 g/d EPA + DHA. Compliance monitoring indicated that the achieved intake was 3.7 g/d EPA + DHA, which exceeded the intake most likely to demonstrate an anti-inflammatory effect, which is 2·9 g/d ($2,19$). Although the by-group analysis of RCT results is useful, it is limited as it provides no information on target levels of intake.

The rationale behind the study of fish oil in RA is that EPA and DHA can suppress inflammatory mediator production and are precursors of molecules that can resolve inflammation ($^5$–$^8$). It has been demonstrated that supplementation with fish oil increased plasma or serum concentrations of E- and D-resolvins, but greater increases were found for their monohydroxy precursors 17-hydroxy DHA and 18-hydroxy EPA ($^{20,21}$). If these are among the mechanisms behind the benefits of fish oil in RA, the tissue and/or blood levels of EPA and DHA are most likely related to outcomes, and knowledge of these could be useful for implementation of the RCT findings.

The present analysis exploited the range of plasma PL EPA and DHA values generated by the high-dose and low-dose fish oil groups of the RCT. Higher plasma PL EPA levels were associated with a higher likelihood of ACR remission and a lower likelihood of failure of triple DMARD therapy. The HR for plasma PL DHA and the same outcome measures were numerically similar to those for EPA but were not statistically significant. Possibly, this is a result of a narrower range of plasma PL DHA compared with plasma PL EPA values as seen in Fig. 3. Participants in the low-dose fish oil group of the RCT generally had low plasma PL EPA, but not low plasma PL DHA levels. This is a common finding, which may be due to the slower turnover of DHA compared with EPA ($^{10,12,13}$). Plasma PL EPA and DHA levels were highly correlated with each other (Spearman’s $r = 0.87; 95\% CI 0.81, 0.91$; $P < 0.0001$), and therefore the observed associations of EPA and DHA with the clinical

### Table 2. Relationship between plasma phospholipid n-3 fatty acids and disease outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Hazard ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACR remission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>1·12</td>
<td>1·02, 1·23</td>
<td>0·02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>1·02, 1·24</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1·12</td>
<td>0·95, 1·32</td>
<td>0·17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>0·93, 1·31</td>
<td>0·27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA + DHA</td>
<td>1·07</td>
<td>1·01, 1·14</td>
<td>0·04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>1·00, 1·14</td>
<td>0·05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/EPA</td>
<td>0·99</td>
<td>0·93, 1·06</td>
<td>0·77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>0·93, 1·06</td>
<td>0·92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28 remission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>1·03</td>
<td>0·95, 1·12</td>
<td>0·47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>0·95, 1·12</td>
<td>0·47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>1·03</td>
<td>0·91, 1·17</td>
<td>0·65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>0·90, 1·18</td>
<td>0·65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA + DHA</td>
<td>1·02</td>
<td>0·97, 1·07</td>
<td>0·51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>0·97, 1·07</td>
<td>0·51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/EPA</td>
<td>0·99</td>
<td>0·95, 1·04</td>
<td>0·63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^*$</td>
<td>0·95, 1·04</td>
<td>0·77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACR, American College of Rheumatology; AA, arachidonic acid; DAS28, Disease Activity Score.

* Corrected for smoking, anti-CCP and shared epitope.

† Failure of disease-modifying anti-inflammatory drugs signified by commencement of tumefonide.
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**Fig. 3.** Mean plasma phospholipid levels of EPA and DHA averaged over 1 year with the exclusion of the baseline values in the total cohort of recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis patients who had participated in a randomised controlled trial with a 2:1 random allocation to high-dose or low-dose fish oil ($^{46}$). ○, Low-dose fish oil; ●, high-dose fish oil.

Relationships between fatty acids and disease outcomes

Discussion

A double-blind, RCT with these participants demonstrated that the fish oil supplementation group had decreased failure of DMARD therapy and increased ACR remission at 1 year follow-up with no effect on DAS28 remission ($^{44}$). The RCT used a fish oil concentrate and aimed for ingestion of 5·5 g/d EPA + DHA. Compliance monitoring indicated that the achieved intake was 3·7 g/d EPA + DHA, which exceeded the intake most likely to demonstrate an anti-inflammatory effect, which is 2·9 g/d ($^{2,19}$). Although the by-group analysis of RCT results is useful, it is limited as it provides no information on target levels of intake.
outcomes may not reflect the true independent effects of DHA and EPA due to potential confounding.

The lack of association of plasma PL EPA with DAS28 remission was congruent with the lack of effect of fish oil on DAS28 remission in the RCT. In both cases, there were positive effects of fish oil ingestion or plasma PL EPA levels on ACR remission but not on DAS28 remission. The reasons for this difference are not known, but ACR and DAS28 measures do not reflect the same level of disease suppression. DAS28 remission is defined as DAS28 <2.6 and reflects low disease activity as well as remission. Accordingly, the proportions of this cohort achieving DAS28 remission and the more stringent ACR remission were 61 and 33 %, respectively. Moreover, the sample variation around the mean DAS28 scores was large(43).

The HR of 1·12 for EPA and time to ACR remission can be interpreted as the likelihood of ACR remission at any time during the study period being increased by 12 % for a one unit increase in EPA, which is an increase in plasma PL EPA of 1 % of total fatty acids. A 1·1 % increase in plasma PL EPA has been achieved with ingestion of fish oil containing 1·5 g EPA and 1·8 g DHA/d on 2 d/week and this was considered the equivalent of two meals per week of fatty fish such as salmon(22). Although most other fish would not provide these amounts of EPA + DHA, it is an indication that elevation of plasma PL EPA is achievable with fish even if higher levels are likely to be obtained with daily fish oil supplementation.

All RA patients at our clinics receive advice to take 10–15 ml fish oil per day, and uptake of this advice ranges from nil to partial to complete(13). The results of this study demonstrate the potential to use plasma biomarkers such as EPA levels to make predictions about outcomes in clinical practice with RA, and this could be used to give advice on target levels to patients. The importance of this is that the plasma levels of EPA and DHA correlated poorly with dose(9–15). Feasibility of such an approach is demonstrated by the application of erythrocytes EPA + DHA as a risk factor for ischaemic heart disease(23), and this is now a test offered by commercial providers in the USA.
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