Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **55** (2), 2012 pp. 242–248 http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2011-078-6 © Canadian Mathematical Society 2011



Convergence in Capacity

Urban Cegrell

Abstract. In this note we study the convergence of sequences of Monge–Ampère measures $\{(dd^c u_s)^n\}$, where $\{u_s\}$ is a given sequence of plurisubharmonic functions, converging in capacity.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the complex Monge–Ampère operator is continuous under monotone limits, but not continuous in the L^1_{loc} -topology [3]. Therefore it is important to find conditions on sequences of plurisubharmonic functions so that the sequence converges to a function having Monge–Ampère measure equal to the weak limit of the Monge–Ampère measures of the functions in the sequence. Convergence in capacity is such a condition and is very useful in pluripotential theory, see [2,4,11].

With notations introduced in the next section, the purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem Assume that $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and that $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a sequence with $u_0 \leq u_s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{u_s\}$ converges to a plurisubharmonic function u in C_{n-1} -capacity, then the sequence of measures $\{(dd^c u_s)^n\}$ converges to $(dd^c u)^n$ in the weak*-topology as s tends to $+\infty$.

This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 in [5], where the assumption was that $\{u_s\}$ converges to u in C_n -capacity as s tends to $+\infty$. The theorem also generalizes [1, Theorem 5.3], [12, Theorem 1], and [13, Theorem 5] and is quite sharp, as shown in [12, Theorem 2(ii)].

The sequence $\{\max(\frac{1}{s} \log |z|, s \log |w|)\}$ shows that the theorem would be false without the assumption of a common minorizing function $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$.

2 Preliminaries

Recall that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 1$ is a *bounded hyperconvex domain* if it is a bounded, connected, and open set such that there exists a bounded plurisubharmonic function $\varphi: \Omega \to (-\infty, 0)$ such that the closure of the set $\{z \in \Omega : \varphi(z) < c\}$ is compact in Ω , for every $c \in (-\infty, 0)$. We denote by $\mathcal{PSH}(\Omega)$ the family of plurisubharmonic functions defined on Ω

We say that a bounded plurisubharmonic function φ defined on Ω belongs to \mathcal{E}_0 if $\lim_{z\to\xi} \varphi(z) = 0$, for every $\xi \in \partial\Omega$, and $\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n < +\infty$. See [6,9] for details.

Received by the editors February 23, 2009; revised July 10, 2009.

Published electronically April 25, 2011.

AMS subject classification: 32U20, 31C15.

Keywords: complex Monge-Ampère operator, convergence in capacity, plurisubharmonic function.

Let \mathcal{E} be the family of plurisubharmonic functions φ defined on Ω , such that for each $z_0 \in \Omega$ there exists a neighborhood ω of z_0 in Ω and a decreasing sequence $\{\varphi_s\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0$ that converges pointwise to φ on ω as $s \to +\infty$ and

$$\sup_{s}\int_{\Omega}\left(dd^{c}\varphi_{s}\right)^{n}<+\infty.$$

Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{F}(\subset \mathcal{E})$ denote those functions for which we can take $\omega = \Omega$. For $v \in \mathfrak{PSH}(\Omega)$, $-1 \leq v < 0$, fixed, we define the C_{n-1}^{v} -capacity by

$$C_{n-1}^{\nu}(E) = C^{\nu}(E)$$

= $\sup \left\{ \int_{E} dd^{c} w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c} w_{n-1} \wedge dd^{c} v : -1 \le w_{j} \le 0, \ w_{j} \in \mathfrak{PSH}(\Omega), \ 1 \le j \le n-1 \right\}.$

Following [12] we define for $E \subset \Omega$, the C_{n-1} -capacity as C_{n-1}^{ν} in the case when $\nu \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $-1 \leq \nu \leq 0$ is a strictly plurisubharmonic function. By [8] such a function always exists.

Let $u, u_s, s \in \mathbb{N}$, be real-valued, Borel measurable, functions defined on Ω . Then we say that $\{u_s\}$ converges to u in C^v -capacity as s tends to $+\infty$ if for every compact subset K of Ω and every $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty} C^{\nu}(\{z\in K: |u_s(z)-u(z)|>\varepsilon\})=0.$$

Furthermore, for $v \in \mathcal{E}_0$, $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ we define

$$C_{n-1}^{\nu,u_0}(E) = C^{\nu,u_0}(E) =$$

$$\sup\left\{\int_E dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-1} \wedge dd^c \nu : u_0 + \nu \le w_j \in \mathcal{F}, \ 1 \le j \le n-1\right\},$$

and we say that $\{u_s\}$ converges to u in C_{n-1}^{ν,u_0} -capacity as s tends to $+\infty$ if for every compact subset K of Ω and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty}C^{\nu,u_0}(\{z\in K:\{|u_s(z)-u(z)|>\varepsilon\})=0.$$

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $u, u_s, s \in \mathbb{N}$, are real-valued, Borel measurable, functions. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i) the sequence $\{u_s\}$ converges to u in C^{ν} -capacity,
- (ii) the sequence $\{u_s\}$ converges to u in C^{v,u_0} -capacity.

Proof For every $K \Subset \Omega$, there exists a constant $A_K > 0$ such that $-u_0 \ge A_K$ on K. Therefore, $C^{\nu}(E \cap K)A_K^{n-1} \le C^{\nu,u_0}(E)$. On the other hand, for $u_0 + v \le w_j \in \mathcal{F}$, $1 \le j \le n - 1$, it follows from [10, Theorem 4.1] that for each m > 0 it holds that

$$\chi_{\{w_1>-m\}}\cdots\chi_{\{w_{n-1}>-m\}}dd^cw_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dd^cw_{n-1}\wedge dd^cv =$$

$$\chi_{\{w_1>-m\}}\cdots\chi_{\{w_{n-1}>-m\}}dd^c\max(w_1,-m)\wedge\cdots\wedge dd^c\max(w_{n-1},-m)\wedge dd^cv.$$

Hence,

$$\chi_{\{u_0+\nu>-m\}}dd^c w_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dd^c w_{n-1}\wedge dd^c \nu =$$

$$\chi_{\{u_0+\nu>-m\}}dd^c \max(w_1,-m)\wedge\cdots\wedge dd^c \max(w_{n-1},-m)\wedge dd^c \nu,$$

and therefore we have that

$$\begin{split} \int_{E\cap K} dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &= \int_{E\cap \{u_0+\nu > -m\}\cap K} dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &+ \int_{E\cap \{u_0+\nu \leq -m\}\cap K} dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &\leq m^{n-1} C^{\nu}(E\cap K) + \frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega} -(u_0+\nu) \, dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &\leq m^{n-1} C^{\nu}(E\cap K) + \frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c (u_0+\nu))^n. \end{split}$$

3 Convergence in Capacity

Lemma 3.1 Assume that μ is a positive measure defined on Ω that vanishes on all pluripolar sets, $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\mu(\Omega) - \int_{\Omega} u_0 d\mu < +\infty$. Assume that $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a sequence with $u_0 \leq u_s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{u_s\}$ converges in the sense of distributions to a function u, then

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty}\int_{\Omega}u_s\,d\mu=\int_{\Omega}u\,d\mu.$$

Proof Without loss of generality we can assume that $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Let $d\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure, and use [6, Theorem 2.1] to choose $\tilde{u}_s \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, $\tilde{u}_s \geq u_s$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\tilde{u}_s - u_s)(d\mu + d\lambda) < \frac{1}{s}.$$

Then $\{\tilde{u}_s\}$ converges in the sense of distributions to a function *u*, and

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_s \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u}_s \, d\mu\right) = 0.$$

Convergence in Capacity

Therefore, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty}\int_{\Omega}\tilde{u}_s\,d\mu=\int_{\Omega}u\,d\mu$$

To simplify the notation we let \tilde{u}_s be denoted by u_s , and therefore in the rest of this proof $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Theorem 6.3 in [4] implies that there are functions $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$, $f \in L^1((dd^c\psi)^n)$ with $\mu = f(dd^c\psi)^n$, and by [4, Lemma 5.2] we have that for every $p < +\infty$ it holds that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty}\int_{\Omega}u_s\,d\mu_p=\int u\,d\mu_p,$$

where $\mu_p = \min(f, p)(dd^c\psi)^n$. The monotone convergence theorem now gives us that

$$\lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_s \, d\mu = \lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_s \, d\mu_p + \lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_s (f - \min(f, p)) (dd^c \psi)^n$$
$$\geq \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu_p + \int_{\Omega} u_0 (f - \min(f, p)) (dd^c \psi)^n \xrightarrow{p \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu.$$

On the other hand, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\limsup_{s\to+\infty}\int_{\Omega}u_s\,d\mu\leq\int_{\Omega}u\,d\mu,$$

which yields the desired conclusion.

Lemma 3.2 Let $v \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$. Assume that $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, and that $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is a sequence with $u_0 \leq u_s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{u_s\}$ converges to a function u in C^{v,u_0} -capacity, then

$$\lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_1 (dd^c u_s)^j dd^c w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j} \wedge dd^c v = \int_{\Omega} w_1 (dd^c u)^j dd^c w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j} \wedge dd^c v \quad 1 \le j \le n-1,$$

for all $w_j \in \mathcal{F}$, $u_0 + v \le w_j$, j = 1, ..., n - j.

Proof By [6, Theorem 5.5], $\mu = dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-1} \wedge dd^c v$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1. Integration by parts shows the statement in this lemma is true for j = 1. Assume now that the lemma is true for j < n - 1. We shall prove it is true for

Capacity

245

j + 1. Let w_1, \ldots, w_{n-j-1} be as in the statement, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} w_1 (dd^c u_s)^{j+1} \wedge dd^c w_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &- \int_{\Omega} w_1 (dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c u \wedge dd^c w_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u_s - u) (dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &= \int_{\{|u_s - u| > \varepsilon\}} (u_s - u) (dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_s - u| \le \varepsilon\}} (u_s - u) (dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &= \mathbf{I}_s + \mathbf{II}_s \,. \end{split}$$

By the induction hypotheses we have that

$$\lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} w_1 (dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c u \wedge dd^c w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v = \int_{\Omega} w_1 (dd^c u)^{j+1} \wedge dd^c w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v \quad 1 \le j < n-1.$$

Hence, it remains to estimate $I_s + II_s$. We have that

$$\begin{split} |\operatorname{II}_{s}| &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (dd^{c}(u_{0}+\nu))^{n-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu, \\ |\operatorname{I}_{s}| &\leq \int_{\{|u_{s}-u|>\varepsilon\}} -2u_{0}(dd^{c}u_{s})^{j} \wedge dd^{c}w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c}w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} (-u_{0} + \max(u_{0}, -N))(dd^{c}u_{s})^{j} \wedge dd^{c}w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c}w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu \\ &\quad -2 \int_{\{|u_{s}-u|>\varepsilon\}} \max(u_{0}, -N)(dd^{c}u_{s})^{j} \wedge dd^{c}w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c}w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} (-u_{0} + \max(u_{0}, -N))(dd^{c}u_{s})^{j} \wedge dd^{c}w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c}w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu \\ &\quad -2 \int_{\{|u_{s}-u|>\varepsilon\} \cap \{\nu>-\varepsilon\}} u_{0}(dd^{c}u_{s})^{j} \wedge dd^{c}w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c}w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu \\ &\quad +2N \int_{\{|u_{s}-u|>\varepsilon\} \cap \{\nu\leq-\varepsilon\}} (dd^{c}u_{s})^{j} \wedge dd^{c}w_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^{c}w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^{c}\nu. \end{split}$$

Convergence in Capacity

By the induction hypotheses, we have that

$$2\int_{\Omega} (-u_0 + \max(u_0, -N)) (dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v$$
$$\xrightarrow[s \to +\infty]{} 2\int_{\Omega} (-u_0 + \max(u_0, -N)) (dd^c u)^j \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c v,$$

which is small when N is big enough. For N fixed, then

$$2N\int_{\{|u_s-u|>\varepsilon\}\cap\{v\leq-\varepsilon\}}(dd^c u_s)^j\wedge dd^c w_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1}\wedge dd^c v\xrightarrow[s\to+\infty]{}0,$$

since $\{u_s\}$ tends to u in C^{v,u_0} -capacity. Also

$$\begin{aligned} -2\int_{\{|u_s-u|>\varepsilon\}\cap\{\nu>-\varepsilon\}} u_0(dd^c u_s)^j \wedge dd^c w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c w_{n-j-1} \wedge dd^c \nu \\ &\leq -2\int_{\Omega} u_0(dd^c u_0+\nu)^{n-1} \wedge dd^c \max(\nu,-\varepsilon). \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (dd^c (u_0+\nu))^n. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete, since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary.

Proof of the Theorem It is enough to prove this theorem for $u \in \mathcal{F}$. For if ψ is any negative plurisubharmonic function, then $\{\max(u_s, \psi)\}$ tends to $\max(u, \psi)$ in C^{ν} -capacity, and since $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, there is to every compact subset K of Ω a function $u^K \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ such that $u_0 \leq u^K$ with equality near K. Also by Lemma 2.1 we can equally well work with C^{ν,u_0} -capacity. We claim

(3.1)
$$\lim_{s \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \nu (dd^c u_s)^n = \int_{\Omega} \nu (dd^c u)^n,$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} v (dd^c u_s)^n &= \int_{\Omega} u_s (dd^c u_s)^{n-1} \wedge dd^c v \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u_s - u) (dd^c u_s)^{n-1} \wedge dd^c v + \int_{\Omega} u (dd^c u_s)^{n-1} \wedge dd^c v \,, \end{split}$$

and Lemma 3.2 yields that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty}\int_{\Omega}u(dd^{c}u_{s})^{n-1}\wedge dd^{c}v=\int_{\Omega}v(dd^{c}u)^{n},$$

and from the proof of Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty}\int_{\Omega}(u_s-u)(dd^c u_s)^{n-1}\wedge dd^c v=0.$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2011-078-6 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hence, we have that (3.1) is true.

Let now $v \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $-1 \leq v < 0$, be a strictly plurisubharmonic function. Equality (3.1) together with an application of [7, Lemma 2.1] completes the proof of the theorem.

The following corollary generalizes [14, Theorem 3.5].

Corollary 3.3 Assume that $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and that $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is a sequence with $u_0 \leq u_s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $-1 \leq v \leq 0$, is a strictly plurisubharmonic function and that f is a negative and locally bounded plurisubharmonic function. We can assume $v + f \geq -1$. If $\{u_s\}$ converges to a plurisubharmonic function u in C^{v+h} -capacity for every $h \in \mathcal{E}_0$, $f \leq h$, then the sequence of measures $\{f(dd^c u_s)^n\}$ converges to $f(dd^c u)^n$ in the weak*-topology as s tends to $+\infty$.

Proof We have already observed that we can assume that $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ so $\{u_s\} \subset \mathcal{F}$. If $f \in \mathcal{E}_0$, then the corollary follows from (3.1). To complete the proof we need only observe that every negative and locally bounded plurisubharmonic function is locally equal to a function in $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$.

Acknowledgements It is with great pleasure we thank Per Åhag, Phạm Hoàng Hiệp and Yang Xing for useful discussions.

References

- [1] P. Åhag and R. Czyż, On the Cegrell classes. Math. Z. 256(2007), no. 2, 243–264.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-006-0067-2
- [2] T. Bloom and N. Levenberg, Capacity convergence results and applications to a Bernstein-Markov inequality. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351(1999), no. 12, 4753–4767. http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02556-8
- U. Cegrell, Discontinuité de l'opératur de Monge-Ampère complexe. C. R.Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 296(1983), no. 21, 869–871.
- [4] _____, Pluricomplex energy. Acta Math. 180(1998), no. 2, 187–217. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02392899
- [5] _____, Convergence in capacity. Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences preprint series NI01046-NPD (2001). arxiv:math/0505218v1
- [6] _____, The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator. Ann. Inst. Fourier
- (Grenoble) **54**(2004), no. 1, 159–179.
- [7] _____, Weak*-convergence of Monge-Ampère measures. Math. Z. 254(2006), no. 3, 505–508. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-006-0953-7
- [8] _____, Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions in hyperconvex domains. In: Complex Analysis and Digital Geometry. Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis Skr. Uppsala Univ. C. Organ. Hist.86. Uppsala Universitet, Uppsala, 2009 pp. 125–129.
- [9] S. Kolodziej, The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 178(2005), no. 840.
- [10] V. K. Nguyễn and A. Pham, A. comparison principle for the complex Monge-Ampère operator in Cegrell's classes and applications. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361(2009), no. 10, 5539–5554. http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-09-04730-8
- [11] Y. Xing, A decomposition of Monge-Ampère measures. Ann. Polon. Math. 92(2007), no. 2, 191–195. http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/ap92-2-7
- [12] _____, Continuity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124(1996), no. 2, 457–467. http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-96-03316-3
- [13] _____, Weak convergence of currents Math. Z. 260(2008), no. 2, 253–264. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-007-0271-8
- [14] _____, Convergence in capacity. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58(2008), no. 5, 1839–1861.

Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden e-mail: Urban.Cegrell@math.umu.se