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#### Abstract

For a meromorphic (or harmonic) function $f$, let us call the dilation of $f$ at $z$ the ratio of the (spherical) metric at $f(z)$ and the (hyperbolic) metric at $z$. Inequalities are known which estimate the sup norm of the dilation in terms of its $L^{p}$ norm, for $p>2$, while capitalizing on the symmetries of $f$. In the present paper we weaken the hypothesis by showing that such estimates persist even if the $L^{p}$ norms are taken only over the set of $z$ on which $f$ takes values in a fixed spherical disk. Naturally, the bigger the disk, the better the estimate. Also, We give estimates for holomorphic functions without zeros and for harmonic functions in the case that $p=2$.


1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the complex plane, let $D=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, and let $D_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r\}$. For a meromorphic function $f$, let

$$
f^{\#}(z)=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}
$$

denote its spherical derivative. A function $f$ meromorphic in $D$ is called a normal function if the family $\{f \circ \gamma: \gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)\}$ is a normal family in the sense of Montel, where $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ is the group of Möbius transformations of $D$ onto itself. A harmonic function $h$ is called a normal function if for every sequence $\left\{h \circ \gamma_{n}\right\}, \gamma_{n} \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{h \circ \gamma_{n_{k}}\right\}$ which locally uniformly converges to a harmonic function, to $+\infty$ or to $-\infty$ identically. It is known that a meromorphic function $f$ is normal if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)=\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}<\infty, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a harmonic function $h$ is normal if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)}<\infty . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the definitions and general properties of normal functions see for example [6], [7] and [8].

The following theorem, proved by Pommerenke [12] for $p=2$ and by Aulaskari, Hayman, and Lappan [2] for $p>2$, gives an integral condition for an automorphic meromorphic function to be normal.
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THEOREM. Let $f$ be a function meromorphic in $D$ and automorphic with respect to a Fuchsian group Г. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\iint_{F}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p \geq 2$, where $F$ is a fundamental region of $\Gamma$, then $f$ is normal and, furthermore, for $p>2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq 3 \max \left(I^{1 / p}, I^{1 /(p-2)}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [4], we strengthened the conclusion of the above theorem by proving that the assumption (3) implies the strong normality of the function $f$ with respect to the group $\Gamma$. Strong normality means that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \rightarrow 0, \quad z \longrightarrow \partial D, z \in F
$$

At the same time, we obtained a similar result for harmonic functions. Now, in Section 3 of this paper, we weaken the assumption (3) by taking the integral only on a subset $F_{\delta}$ of $F$ in which $f$ assumes values in a fixed spherical disk of angular radius $\delta$ only. Under this weaker assumption, we prove that $f$ is still normal and that, for $p>2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & \sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq C_{\delta} \max \left(I^{1 / p}, \quad I^{1 /(p-2)}\right) \\
& \sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq M\left(1+1 / R^{2}\right)+1 / R
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $C_{\delta}$ is a constant depending on $\delta$ only and $R=\tan (\delta / 2)$. In general, there is no estimate like (4) for $p=2$. However, in Section 4, we prove that such an estimate does exist for holomorphic functions without zeros and $F_{\delta}=D$, and we give examples to show that our restriction is quite reasonable. As applications of the above results, we obtain, in Section 5, corresponding theorems for harmonic functions, which improve a theorem of Aulaskari and Lappan [3]. In addition, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for a harmonic function to be normal.
2. Some lemmas. The following version of the Ahlfors Lemma is similar to that formulated by Pommerenke [11] and Ahlfors [1]. The proof is almost the same as in [1].

AhLFORS LEMMA. Let $\rho(z)|d z|$ be a continuous Riemannian metric in $D$ such that for every $z \in D$, either $\rho(z) \leq 1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ or $\rho(z)|d z|$ is smooth and has constant Gaussian curvature -4 in a neighbourhood of $z$. Then, in fact $\rho(z) \leq 1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ for every $z \in D$

LEMMA 1. Let h be a real-valued function harmonic in $D$, then $h$ is normal if and only if for every conjugate harmonic function $\tilde{h}$ of $h$, the holomorphic function (without zeros) $g=\exp (h+i \tilde{h})$ is normal.

Proof. Assume that $h$ is normal. For any sequence $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ we can choose a subsequence $\left\{\gamma_{n_{k}}\right\}$ such that $\left\{h \circ \gamma_{n_{k}}\right\}$ locally uniformly converges to a harmonic function
$h_{0}$, to $+\infty$ or to $-\infty$ identically. In the former case we have $\left|g \circ \gamma_{n_{k}}\right| \rightarrow \exp h_{0}$. Consequently, by a theorem of Montel about sequences of holomorphic functions bounded locally uniformly, we can choose again a subsequence of $\left\{g \circ \gamma_{n_{k}}\right\}$ which converges locally uniformly to a holomorphic function $g_{0}$ with $\left|g_{0}\right|=\exp h_{0}$. If the latter case happens, then $g \circ \gamma_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \infty$ or 0 locally uniformly. This argument is reversible. The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2. Letf be a function holomorphic in D without zeros. If $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M$ for $z \in D$ such that $|f(z)|=1$, then

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq|f(z)|(2|\log | f(z)| |+M)
$$

for every point $z \in D$.
Proof. Set

$$
\rho(z)|d z|=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right||d z|}{|f(z)|(2|\log | f(z)| |+M)} .
$$

This continuous metric has constant Gaussian curvature -4 at every point $z \in D$ with $|f(z)| \neq 1$ and $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$. In fact, if $|f(z)|>1, \rho(z)|d z|$ is obtained from the Poincaré metric of $\mathbb{C} \backslash D_{r}, r=e^{-M / 2}$, by the substitution $w=f(z)$. Also, $\rho(z)|d z|$ is obtained from the Poincaré metric of $D_{1 / r} \backslash\{0\}$ if $|f(z)|<1$. At a point $z$ with $|f(z)|=1$ or $f^{\prime}(z)=0$, we have $\rho(z) \leq 1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ by the assumption of the lemma or $\rho(z)=0$ respectively. Thus, applying the Ahlfors Lemma gives $\rho(z) \leq 1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ for every point $z \in D$. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 3. Letf be a function meromorphic in D. If $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M$ for $z \in D$ with $|f(z)| \leq R$, then

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \beta|f(z)|^{2}-1 / \beta
$$

for $z \in D$ with $|f(z)| \geq R$, where

$$
\beta=\frac{M+\sqrt{M^{2}+4 R^{2}}}{2 R^{2}} \leq \frac{M}{R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R}
$$

Proof. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho(z)|d z|=\frac{\beta\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right||d z|}{\beta^{2}|f(z)|^{2}-1}, \text { if }|f(z)| \geq R, \\
\rho(z)|d z|=\frac{1}{M}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right||d z|, \text { if }|f(z)| \leq R .
\end{gathered}
$$

This time, the metric is obtained from the Poincaré metric $\beta|d w| /\left(\beta^{2}|w|^{2}-1\right)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash D_{\beta^{-1}}$ for $z$ with $|f(z)|>R$. We have $\rho(z) \leq 1 /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)$ for every $z \in D$ with $|f(z)| \leq R$ by hypothesis. The Ahlfors Lemma gives the conclusion of the lemma.

The following lemma is due to J. Dufresnoy [5].

LEMMA 4. Let $f$ be a function meromorphic in the disk $D_{r}$ and let $A$ denote the spherical area of $f\left(D_{r}\right)$, counted without consideration of multiplicity. If $A \leq \sigma \pi$ with $0 \leq \sigma<1$, then

$$
f^{\#}(0) \leq \frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

We will use a result of Hayman [6] on a covering property of meromorphic functions in D , which is stated as follows.

LEMMA 5. Let $f(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be a function meromorphic in $D$ and let $E$ denote the set of all positive numbers $r$ such that the circle $\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|=r\}$ meets $\mathbb{C} \backslash f(D)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{1}\right| \int_{E} \frac{d r}{\left(\left|a_{0}\right|+r\right)^{2}} \leq 4
$$

LEMMA 6. Letf $(z)$ and $E$ be defined as in Lemma 5 and let $G=(0,1) \backslash E$. If $a_{0}=0$, then

$$
2 \pi \int_{G} r d r \geq \pi\left(\frac{\left|a_{1}\right|}{4+\left|a_{1}\right|}\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $G$ consists of intervals $l_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots$, where $l_{1}=(0, \delta)$. The value $A=2 \pi \int_{G} r d r$ denotes the total area of the annuli $\left\{w \in D:|w| \in l_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \cdots$. Given $\epsilon>0$, choose $l_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots, l_{n}$ such that

$$
\int_{E^{\prime}} \frac{d r}{r^{2}}<\int_{E} \frac{1}{r^{2}} d r+\epsilon
$$

where,

$$
E^{\prime}=(0,1) \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}
$$

Thus, by Lemma (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E^{\prime}} \frac{d r}{r^{2}}<\frac{4}{\left|a_{1}\right|}+\epsilon \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moving the finite number of intervals $l_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots, l_{n}$ to the left to form a single interval $\left(0, r^{\prime}\right)$ so that they lie one after another without gaps nor overlaps, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi r^{\prime 2}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{r^{\prime}} r d r \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 \pi \int_{l_{i}} r d r \leq A \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the integral $\int_{l_{i}} r d r$ decreases as $l_{i}$ is moved to the left. On the other hand, $E^{\prime}$ is moved to the right when we move the $l_{i}$ to the left, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E^{\prime}} \frac{d r}{r^{2}} \geq \int_{r^{\prime}}^{1} \frac{d r}{r^{2}}=\frac{1}{r^{\prime}}-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\int_{E^{\prime}} r^{-2} d r$ decreases when each of its intervals is moved to the right. Combining (5), (6) and (7), we obtain

$$
A \geq \pi r^{\prime 2}>\pi\left(\frac{1}{4 /\left|a_{1}\right|+1+\epsilon}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ may be arbitrarily small, we have

$$
A \geq \pi\left(\frac{\left|a_{1}\right|}{4+\left|a_{1}\right|}\right)^{2}
$$

The lemma is proved.
As a consequence of Lemma 4, we have the following.
LEMMA 7. Let h be a real-valued function harmonic in $D_{r}$. If

$$
\iint_{D_{r}}\left\{\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)}\right\}^{2} d x d y \leq \sigma \pi
$$

with $0 \leq \sigma<1$, then

$$
\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(0)|}{1+h^{2}(0)} \leq \frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

PROOF. Let $f=h+i \tilde{h}$ be a holomorphic function and $\tilde{h}(0)=0$. Since

$$
f^{\#}(z)=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \leq \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)}
$$

we have

$$
\iint_{D_{r}}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \leq \sigma \pi
$$

Thus, Lemma 4 gives

$$
f^{\#}(0) \leq \frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

Since

$$
f^{\#}(0)=\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(0)|}{1+h^{2}(0)}
$$

the conclusion of Lemma 7 follows.

## 3. Meromorphic functions.

THEOREM 1. Let $p \geq 2$, let $f$ be a function meromorphic in $D$ and automorphic with respect to a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$, let $F$ be a fundamental region for $\Gamma$, and let $K_{\delta}$ be a spherical disk whose angular radius measured from the center of the sphere is $\delta$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\iint_{F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y<\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\delta}=\left\{z \in F: f(z) \in K_{\delta}\right\}$, then $f$ is normal. Furthermore, if $p>2$, set

$$
M=\sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z), \quad R=\tan (\delta / 2)
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
M \leq \max \left(23 I^{1 / p}, \quad 7 I^{1 /(p-2)}\right) \text { if } \delta \geq \pi / 2,  \tag{6}\\
M \leq \max \left(46 I^{1 / p}, \quad 14 R^{-2 /(p-2)} I^{1 /(p-2)}\right) \text { if } \delta \leq \pi / 2,  \tag{7}\\
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq M\left(1+1 / R^{2}\right)+1 / R . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. If $p=2$, the value of the integral $I$ denotes the spherical area of the part of the covering surface $f(D)$ over $K_{\delta}$, and $I<\infty$ implies that, for almost every point $w \in K_{\delta}$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(w)$ has only finitely many points in $F$. Thus, according to a theorem of Pommerenke [12], $f$ is normal.

The normality of $f$ in the case that $p>2$ is a consequence of (6), (7) and (8). However, we would like to give an independent proof. If $f$ is not normal then, by a theorem of Lohwater and Pommerenke [10], there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset D$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}$ such that $\rho_{n}=o\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)$ and $g_{n}(z)=f\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} z\right)$ converges to a non-constant meromorphic function $g(z)$, spherically and locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$. Since $g$ assumes every complex value with two possible exceptions, it is clear that there exists a positive number $R^{\prime}$ such that $g_{n}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right) \cap K_{\delta}$ has a spherical area, without consideration of multiplicity, greater than $\pi(1-\cos \delta) / 4$ for sufficiently large $n$. Set $\phi_{n}(z)=z_{n}+\rho_{n} z$ and $\Delta_{n}=\phi_{n}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right)$. Then $f\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \cap K_{\delta}$ has a spherical area $A_{n} \geq \pi(1-\cos \delta) / 4$. For any $n$, let $E_{n} \subset \Delta_{n}$ be a measurable set such that $f(z) \in K_{\delta}$ for $z \in E_{n}$, no points in $E_{n}$ are equivalent and, for every point $z$ in $\Delta_{n}$ with $f(z) \in K_{\delta}$, there is a point $\zeta \in E_{n}$ equivalent to $z$. Since $f$ is automorphic,

$$
f\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \cap K_{\delta}=f\left(E_{n}\right), \quad \iint_{E_{n}}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y=A_{n} \geq \pi(1-\cos \delta) / 4
$$

Let $E_{n}^{\prime} \subset F$ be a measurable set equivalent to $E_{n}$. Then $E_{n}^{\prime} \subset F_{\delta}$ and

$$
\iint_{E_{n}^{\prime}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y=\iint_{E_{n}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y
$$

since $f$ is automorphic. Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y \\
& \geq \iint_{E_{n}^{\prime}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y=\iint_{E_{n}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y \\
& \quad \geq\left(\iint_{E_{n}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} d x d y\right)^{1-p / 2}\left(\iint_{E_{n}}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y\right)^{p / 2} \\
& \quad \geq \delta_{n}^{1-p / 2}(\pi(1-\cos \delta) / 4)^{p / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{n}$ is the non-Euclidian area of $\Delta_{n}$, which tends to zero since $\rho_{n}=o\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)$. This contradicts the assumption (5), since $\delta_{n}^{1-p / 2} \rightarrow \infty$. The normality of $f$ is proved.

Now, we proceed to prove the second half of Theorem 1. To prove (6), choose a point $z_{0} \in F_{\delta}$ arbitrarily. We want to prove that if $\delta \geq \pi / 2$, then

$$
\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{0}\right) \leq \max \left(23 I^{1 / p}, 7 I^{1 /(p-2)}\right)
$$

Without loss of generality we may, by replacing $f(z)$ by $f\left(\left(z+z_{0}\right) /\left(1+\bar{z}_{0} z\right)\right)$, assume that $z_{0}=0$. Then, the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\#}(0) \leq \max \left(23 I^{1 / p}, 7 I^{1 /(p-2)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha^{\prime}>0$ be the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{2 / p}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3 \alpha^{2}}\right)^{1-2 / p}=\frac{2}{5} \pi \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\alpha=\max \left(\alpha^{\prime}, 2\right)$. Let $E \subset D_{1 / \alpha}$ be a measurable set such that (i) $f(z) \in K_{\delta}$ for $z \in E$, (ii) no points in $E$ are equivalent, and (iii) for every point $z$ in $D_{1 / \alpha}$ with $f(z) \in K_{\delta}$, there is a point $\zeta \in E$ equivalent to $z$. There is a measurable set $E^{\prime} \subset F$ which is equivalent to $E$. Then, $f\left(D_{1 / \alpha}\right) \cap K_{\delta}=f(E), E^{\prime} \subset F_{\delta}$ and

$$
\iint_{E^{\prime}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y=\iint_{E}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y
$$

since $f$ is automorphic.
There are two different cases $\alpha^{\prime} \geq 2$ and $\alpha^{\prime}<2$ to be discussed separately. Note that $\alpha^{\prime} \geq 2$ if and only if $I \geq(6 / 5)^{p / 2} \pi / 3$. If $\alpha^{\prime} \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=\left(\frac{5}{2 \pi}\right)^{p / 2(p-2)}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)^{1 / 2} I^{1 /(p-2)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Hölder's inequality for non-Euclidean area measure, noting (11) and (10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{E}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \\
& \leq\left(\iint_{E}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y\right)^{2 / p}\left(\iint_{E}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} d x d y\right)^{1-2 / p} \\
& \leq\left(\iint_{E^{\prime}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y\right)^{2 / p}\left(\iint_{D_{1 / \alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-2} d x d y\right)^{1-2 / p} \\
& \leq I^{2 / p}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3 \alpha^{2}}\right)^{1-2 / p}=I^{2 / p}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3 \alpha^{\prime 2}}\right)^{1-2 / p}=\frac{2}{5} \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

However,

$$
f\left(D_{1 / \alpha}\right) \subset\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{\delta}\right) \cup\left(f\left(D_{1 / \alpha}\right) \cap K_{\delta}\right)=\left(\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{\delta}\right) \cup f(E),
$$

so the spherical area, without consideration of multiplicity, of $f\left(D_{1 / \alpha}\right)$ is not greater than

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}+\iint_{E}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \leq \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{2}{5} \pi=\frac{9}{10} \pi
$$

since $\delta \geq \pi / 2$. Thus, it follows from Lemma 4 and (11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\#}(0) & \leq \alpha\left(\frac{9 / 10}{1-9 / 10}\right)^{1 / 2}=3 \alpha \\
& =3\left(\frac{5}{2 \pi}\right)^{p / 2(p-2)}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)^{1 / 2} I^{1 /(p-2)} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\alpha^{\prime}<2$, then $\alpha=2$ and, since the equation (10) has a solution $\alpha^{\prime}<2$, the left side of (10) will be less than $2 \pi / 5$ when $\alpha^{\prime}$ is replaced by 2 . Thus, in this case,

$$
\iint_{E}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \leq(\pi / 3)^{1-2 / p} I^{2 / p}<2 \pi / 5
$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4 and the definition of $E$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\#}(0) & \leq 2\left(\frac{(\pi / 3)^{1-2 / p} I^{2 / p}+\pi / 2}{\pi-(\pi / 3)^{1-2 / p} I^{2 / p}-\pi / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{13}\\
& \leq 2(10 / \pi)^{1 / 2}\left((\pi / 3)^{1-2 / p} I^{2 / p}+\pi / 2\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

The estimate (13) is not good for small $I$, since the upper bound for $f^{\#}(0)$ tends to a constant $2 \cdot 5^{1 / 2}$ as $I \rightarrow 0$. To get a better bound for $f^{\#}(0)$, we assume that $f^{\#}(0) \leq 6$. By a rotation of the $w$-sphere which carries $w=f(0)$ to $w=0$, we may assume that $f(0)=0$. Of course, the spherical disk $K_{\delta}$ is also carried to another one which is still denoted by $K_{\delta}$ and which now contains 0 . Now, we have $f(0)=0$ and $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 6$. Set $g(z)=f(z / 2)$ for $z \in D$. Let $G$ denote the set of all positive numbers $r<1$ such that the circle $\{w \in D:|w|=r\}$ is contained in $g(D)=f\left(D_{1 / 2}\right)$ completely. Let $H=\{w \in D:|w| \in G\}$ and let $A$ be the Euclidean area of $H$. Then, applying Lemma 6 to the function $g(z)$, we know that

$$
A=2 \pi \int_{G} r d r \geq \pi\left(\frac{\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}{4+\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right)^{2}=\pi\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|}{8+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right)^{2}
$$

The spherical area of $H$ is not less than $A / 4$. Since $H \subset D$ consists of annuli with center $w=0,0 \in K_{\delta}$ and $\delta \geq \pi / 2$, it is clear that $H \cap K_{\delta}$ has a spherical area not less than $A / 8$. Define $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ in $D_{1 / 2}$ just as above. Since $H \cap K_{\delta} \subset f\left(D_{1 / 2}\right) \cap K_{\delta}=f(E)$, the spherical area of $f(E)$ is not less than $A / 8$. Thus,

$$
\iint_{E}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \geq \frac{A}{8} \geq \frac{\pi}{8}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|}{8+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{\pi}{1568}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}
$$

From the preceding paragraph, we have

$$
\iint_{E}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \leq(\pi / 3)^{1-2 / p} I^{2 / p}
$$

Therefore, for $I<(6 / 5)^{p / 2} \pi / 3$ and $f^{\#}(0)<6$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1568}{\pi} \iint_{E}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \leq \frac{1568}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^{1-2 / p} I^{2 / p}<523(3 / \pi)^{2 / p} I^{2 / p}  \tag{14}\\
f^{\#}(0)=\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 23(3 / \pi)^{1 / p} I^{1 / p}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us return to the estimates for $f^{\#}(0)$ we have obtained earlier. If $I \geq(6 / 5)^{p / 2} \pi / 3$, then, by (12), we have

$$
f^{\#}(0) \leq 3\left(\frac{5}{2 \pi}\right)^{p / 2(p-2)}\left(\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)^{1 / 2} I^{1 /(p-2)}<7 I^{1 /(p-2)}
$$

If $I<(6 / 5)^{p / 2} \pi / 3$, then (13) is valid. However, the right side of (13) is less than 6 as $I<(6 / 5)^{p / 2} \pi / 3$. By (14), we have

$$
f^{\#}(0) \leq 23(3 / \pi)^{1 / p} I^{1 / p}<23 I^{1 / p} \text { for } I<(6 / 5)^{p / 2} \pi / 3
$$

Hence, (9) and, consequently, (6) is proved.
To prove (7) and (8), we may assume that $K_{\delta}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|<R=\tan (\delta / 2)\}$. For an arbitrary $\delta \leq \pi / 2$, set $g(z)=R^{-1} f(z)$. Then, $|g(z)|<1$ for $z \in F_{\delta}$ and $|g(z)| \geq 1$ for $z \in F \backslash F_{\delta}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\#}(z)=\frac{R^{-1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2} / R^{2}} \leq \frac{R^{-1}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}}=R^{-1} f^{\#}(z), \\
f^{\#}(z)=\frac{R\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+R^{2}|g(z)|^{2}} \leq R\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{2 R\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|g(z)|^{2}}=2 R g^{\#}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $z \in F_{\delta}$, and consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq 2 R \sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) g^{\#}(z) \\
& I^{\prime}=\iint_{F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2} g^{\#}(z)^{p} d x d y \leq R^{-p} I
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the result we have proved for $\delta \geq \pi / 2$ to $g(z)$ and noting the above inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) & \leq 2 R \sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) g^{\#}(z) \\
& \leq \max \left(46 R\left(I^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad 14 R\left(I^{\prime}\right)^{1 /(p-2)}\right) \\
& \leq \max \left(46 I^{1 / p}, \quad 14 R^{-2 /(p-2)} I^{1 /(p-2)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (7).
Let $z \in D$ be such that $|f(z)|<R$ and $\zeta \in F$ be the point equivalent to $z$, then $|f(\zeta)|<R, \zeta \in F_{\delta}$, and consequently,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\#}(z)\right|=\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(\zeta) \leq M, \quad\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M\left(1+R^{2}\right)
$$

By continuity, for $|f(z)| \leq R$,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M\left(1+R^{2}\right)
$$

Thus, from Lemma 3,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left(M+M / R^{2}+1 / R\right)|f(z)|^{2}
$$

for $z \in D$ with $|f(z)| \geq R$, and

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq M\left(1+1 / R^{2}\right)+1 / R \text { for } z \in D
$$

This proves (8), and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
In the conclusion of Theorem 1 , there is a factor $R^{-2 /(p-2)}$ preceding $I^{1 /(p-2)}$, which tends to $\infty$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ for a fixed $p$. We show that the power $-2 /(p-2)$ is best by the following example.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $f_{n}(z)=n z$ for $z \in D$ and $n=1,2, \cdots$, and let $K_{\delta}=\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|<$ $R=\tan (\delta / 2)\}$. Then, $F=D$ and $F_{\delta}=D_{R / n}$ for $f_{n}(z)$. We have

$$
M=\sup _{z \in F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)=f^{\#}(0)=n
$$

and, for fixed $p$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\iint_{F_{\delta}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{R / n} \frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{p-2} n^{p}}{\left(1+n^{2} r^{2}\right)^{p}} r d r d \theta \approx \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{R / n} \frac{n^{p}}{\left(1+n^{2} r^{2}\right)^{p}} r d r d \theta \\
& =\frac{\pi n^{p-2}}{p-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+R^{2}\right)^{p-1}}\right) \approx \pi R^{2} n^{p-2}, \text { as } R \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
M / I^{1 /(p-2)} \approx \pi^{-1 /(p-2)} R^{-2 /(p-2)}
$$

4. Holomorphic functions without zeros. In the theorem formulated in the introduction, the estimate (4) is valid only for $p>2$. Set $f_{n}(z)=n z(n=1,2, \cdots)$. We have, for $p=2$,

$$
I_{n}=\iint_{D}\left\{f_{n}^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y<\pi
$$

but $f_{n}^{\#}(0) \longrightarrow \infty$. This shows that it is, in general, impossible to bound $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z)$ in terms of the integral $I$ for $p=2$. Note that the functions $f_{n}(z)$ do not assume $\infty$. The following example indicates that there need not be such an estimate for $p=2$ even for functions which are automorphic with respect to a fixed group and omit two fixed complex values.

EXAMPLE 2. We consider the functions $f_{n}(z)=n e^{z}(n=1,2, \cdots)$ in the left halfplane $L=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Re z<0\}$. They do not assume 0 and $\infty$, and are automorphic with respect to the group generated by the mapping $\gamma(z)=z+2 \pi i$, which has the strip $F=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Re z<0,0 \leq \Im z<2 \pi\}$ as its fundamental region. Recall that the Poincaré metric on $L$ is $-(2 \Re z)^{-1}|d z|$. It is obvious that

$$
\iint_{F}\left\{f_{n}^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y<\pi, \text { for } n=1,2, \cdots
$$

However, letting $z_{n}=-\log n \in F$ for $n=2,3, \cdots$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{n}^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right)=n\left|e^{z_{n}}\right| /\left(1+n^{2}\left|e^{z_{n}}\right|^{2}\right)=1 / 2 \\
\quad\left(-2 \Re z_{n}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{n}\right)=\log n \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Nevertheless, for all meromorphic functions which omit two fixed complex values, we do have an estimate like (4), in which the integral $I$ is taken over the whole unit disk D

THEOREM 2. Letf be a function holomorphic in $D$ without zeros, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \\
I & =\iint_{D}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

If $I<\infty$, then $f$ is normal and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq C \max \left(I^{1 / 2}, I\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $C$ is an absolute constant.
Proof. By the result of Pommerenke [12], we know that $f$ is normal, i.e., $M<\infty$. Let $z_{0} \in D$ be a point such that $\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right) f^{\#}\left(z_{0}\right) \geq 14 M / 15$. We may assume that $z_{0}=0$ and $|f(0)| \leq 1$. Then, $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \geq 14 M / 15$. We have

$$
\sup _{|f(z)|=1}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 2 M
$$

and, by Lemma 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq 2|f(z)|(|\log | f(z)| |+M) \text { for } z \in D \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for every $\theta$, since $\left\{r \in[0,1-\delta):\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=1\right\}$ consists of finitely many points and segments,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log \left(\log ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|+M\right) \leq \frac{2}{1-r^{2}}
$$

for all $r \in[0,1)$ with a countable number of exceptional values $r$, and consequently

$$
\log ^{+}|f(z)| \leq \frac{2 M|z|}{1-|z|} \text { for } z \in D
$$

To prove (15), first assume that $M \geq 2$. Set $g(z)=\{f(z)\}^{2 / M}$. Since $f(z) \neq 0, \infty, g(z)$ is a single-valued function. Then, if $z \in D$ is a point such that $|f(z)|<1 / 3$, we have, from (16),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) & \leq \frac{2|f(z)| \log \left(|f(z)|^{-1}\right)+2 M|f(z)|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \\
& \leq \sup _{[0,1 / 3]} 2 \cdot \frac{x \log x^{-1}+M x}{1+x^{2}}<\frac{14}{15} M
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that $1 / 3 \leq|f(0)| \leq 1$, and

$$
3^{-2 / M} \leq|g(0)| \leq 1, \quad\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|=\frac{2}{M}|f(0)|^{2 / M-1}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \geq 20 / 15
$$

and

$$
\log ^{+}|g(z)|=\frac{2}{M} \log ^{+}|f(z)| \leq \frac{4|z|}{1-|z|}<4 \text { for } z \in D_{1 / 2}
$$

It is well-known that $g\left(D_{1 / 2}\right)$ contains a disk $\Delta_{1}=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w-g(0)|<C_{1}\right\}$, where $C_{1}^{-1}=q\left(1+e^{4}\right)$. Set $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{w \in \Delta_{1}: 3^{-2 / M}<|w|<1\right\}$. Then, $1 / 3<|f(z)|<1$ and $3^{-2 / M}<|g(z)|<1$ for $z \in g^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. The area $A$ of $\Delta^{\prime}$ tends to zero as $M \rightarrow \infty$, since $\Delta^{\prime}$ is thinner and thinner when $M \rightarrow \infty$. However, it is clear that there exists an absolute constant $C^{\prime}$ such that $A \geq\left(C^{\prime} M\right)^{-1}$. Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \geq \iint_{g^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \geq \frac{1}{4} \iint_{g^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
&=\frac{M^{2}}{16} \iint_{g^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)}|g(z)|^{M-2}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
& \geq \frac{M^{2}}{144} \iint_{g^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
& \geq \frac{A M^{2}}{144} \geq \frac{M}{144 C^{\prime}} \\
& M \leq\left(144 C^{\prime}\right) I
\end{aligned}
$$

If $M<2$, then we have, for $z \in D_{1 / 2}$,

$$
\log ^{+}|f(z)| \leq \frac{4|z|}{1-|z|}<4, \quad|f(z)|<e^{4}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \geq \iint_{D_{1 / 2}}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y \\
& \geq\left(1+e^{8}\right)^{-2} \iint_{D_{1 / 2}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \geq \frac{\pi}{4}\left(1+e^{8}\right)^{-2}\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \frac{14}{15} M \leq\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi^{1 / 2}}\left(1+e^{8}\right) I^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad M \leq \frac{15}{7 \pi^{1 / 2}}\left(1+e^{8}\right) I^{1 / 2}<6040 I^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
The conclusion (15) of Theorem 2 states that $M \leq C I$ for $I>1$. One may expect that $C I$ can be replaced by $C I^{1 / 2}$. However, this is impossible as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 3. $\operatorname{Set} f_{n}(z)=n z^{n}$ for $z \in U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Im z>0\}$. Recall that the Poincaré metric of $U$ is $(2 \Im z)^{-1}|d z|$. Then,

$$
\iint_{U}\left\{f_{n}^{\#}(z)\right\}^{2} d x d y=\frac{n \pi}{2}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
(2 \Im z) f_{n}^{\#}(z)=\frac{2 n|z|^{n-1} \Im z}{1+|z|^{2 n}} \leq \frac{2 n|z|^{n}}{1+|z|^{2 n}} \leq n
$$

and

$$
(2 \Im z) f_{n}^{\#}(z)=n \text { for } z=i
$$

Thus,

$$
\sup _{z \in U}(2 \Im z) f^{\#}(z)=n
$$

Theorem 2 may be generalized so that 0 and $\infty$ are replaced by any two distinct complex values. We can also consider the situation where the integral $I$ is taken over a subset of $D$, not the whole disk.
5. Harmonic functions. The following theorems on harmonic functions are direct consequences of Theorems 1 and 2.

THEOREM 3. Let h be a real-valued function harmonic in D. If

$$
I=\iint_{G}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|^{p} d x d y<\infty
$$

where, $p \geq 2, G=\{z \in D: a<h(z)<b\}$, then $h$ is normal.
PROOF. $\quad \operatorname{Set} f(z)=\exp (h(z)+i \tilde{h}(z))$, where $\tilde{h}(z)$ is a harmonic function conjugate to $h(z)$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\#}(z)=\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z))} \leq \frac{1}{2}|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \\
\iint_{G}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left\{f^{\#}(z)\right\}^{p} d x d y \leq 2^{-p} I<\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $z \in G$ if and only if $e^{a}<|f(z)|<e^{b}$, it follows from Theorem 1 that $f$ is normal. Consequently, $h$ is also normal by Lemma 1. This proves Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. Let h be a real-valued function harmonic in D. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\iint_{D} \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|^{2}}{(\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z)))^{2}} d x d y<\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $h$ is normal and

$$
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z))} \leq M=C \max \left(I^{1 / 2}, I\right),
$$

where $C$ is the constant in Theorem 2.
Both Theorem 3 and 4 improve a result of Aulaskari and Lappan [3], which asserts the normality of a harmonic function having the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{D} \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|^{2}}{\left(1+h^{2}(z)\right)^{2}} d x d y<\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As consequences of Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, we have the following results.
THEOREM 5. Letf be a holomorphic function in D without zeros. If

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq M
$$

for $z \in D$ with $|f(z)|=1$, then

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) f^{\#}(z) \leq \frac{|f(z)|(2|\log | f(z)| |+M)}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} \leq A+\frac{M}{2}
$$

for every $z \in D$, where $A$ is an absolute constant, and consequently $f$ is normal.
THEOREM 6. Let h be a real-valued function harmonic in D. If

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq M
$$

for $z \in D$ with $h(z)=a$, then $h$ is normal and

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq 2|h(z)-a|+M
$$

for every $z \in D$.
Proof. Set $f(z)=\exp (h(z)-a+i \tilde{h}(z))$, where $\tilde{h}(z)$ is a harmonic function conjugate to $h(z)$. Then,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq M
$$

for $z \in D$ with $|f(z)|=1$, i.e., $h(z)=a$. By Theorem 5 and Lemma 1, $h$ is normal. By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq|f(z)|(2|\log | f(z)| |+M) \text { for } z \in D
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq 2|h(z)-a|+M \text { for } z \in D
$$

The theorem is proved.

THEOREM 7. For a real-valued function $h$ harmonic in $D$ the following five conditions (the constants $M$ may be different) are equivalent:
(i) $h$ is normal;
(ii) there exists a positive number $M$ and a real value a such that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq M
$$

for $z \in D$ with $h(z)=a$;
(iii) there exists a positive number $M$ such that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq M+2|h(z)| \text { for } z \in D
$$

(iv) there exists a positive number $M$ such that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq M\left(1+h^{2}(z)\right) \text { for } z \in D
$$

(v) there exists a constant $M$ such that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq M(\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z))) \text { for } z \in D
$$

Proof. It is obvious that (iii) implies (iv), (iv) implies (v), and (v) implies (ii). Theorem 6 asserts that (ii) implies (iii). It is known that (i) is equivalent to (iv). This proves Theorem 7.

EXAMPLE 4. Consider the normal harmonic function $h(z)=y$ in the upper halfplane. We have $|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|=1$. Recall that the Poincaré metric of the upper half-plane is

$$
\lambda(z)|d z|=(2 y)^{-1}|d z|
$$

Then,

$$
\lambda(z)^{-1}|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|=2 h(z)
$$

This shows that, for a normal harmonic function $h(z)$, (iii) is the best upper bound for $\lambda(z)^{-1}|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|$ in terms of $|h(z)|$.

To conclude this paper, we give a bound for $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| /\left(1+h^{2}(z)\right)$ in terms of the integrals in (17) and (18).

THEOREM 8. Let $h$ be a function harmonic in $D$ and let

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\iint_{D} \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|^{2}}{(\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z)))^{2}} d x d y \\
I^{\prime}=\iint_{D}\left\{\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)}\right\}^{2} d x d y \\
M^{\prime}=\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $I<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} \leq \max \left(A_{M}, 2 M\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $M=C \max \left(I^{1 / 2}, I\right)$ with the absolute constant $C$ defined in Theorem 2,

$$
A_{M}=M \cdot \frac{e^{x_{M}}+e^{-x_{M}}}{1+x_{M}^{2}}=\frac{2 x_{M}+2 M}{1+x_{M}^{2}}
$$

and $x_{M}$ is the unique positive solution of the equation $M \operatorname{ch} x=x+M$. If $I^{\prime}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} \leq \max \left((2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} I^{1 / 2}, \quad 3 C I^{\prime}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is also the absolute constant defined in Theorem 2.
Proof. If $I<\infty$, by Theorem 4 we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z))} \leq M=C \max \left(I^{1 / 2}, I\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq 2 M
$$

for $z \in D$ with $h(z)=0$. Then, by Theorem 6,

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\operatorname{grad} h(z)| \leq 2|h(z)|+2 M
$$

for every $z \in D$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)} \leq \frac{2|h(z)|+2 M}{1+h^{2}(z)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in D$. From (21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)} \leq M \cdot \frac{\exp (-h(z))+\exp (h(z))}{1+h^{2}(z)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in D$.
Consider the functions

$$
f_{M}(x)=M \cdot \frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{1+x^{2}}, \quad g_{M}(x)=\frac{2 x+2 M}{1+x^{2}}
$$

for $x \geq 0$. We have $f_{M}(0)=g_{M}(0)$. The other point $x$ such that $f_{M}(x)=g_{M}(x)$ is the unique positive solution $x_{M}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \operatorname{ch} x=x+M \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that $x_{M}$ increases with $1 / M$ and that $x_{M} \longrightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_{M} \rightarrow \infty$ as $M \rightarrow 0$. There exists an absolute constant $x_{1}$ such that $f_{M}(x)$ decreases as $0 \leq x \leq x_{1}$ and increases as $x \geq x_{1}$, while $g_{M}(x)$ increases as $0 \leq x \leq x_{2}$ and decreases as $x \geq x_{2}$,
where $x_{2}=\left((M+1)^{1 / 2}+M\right)^{-1}<1$. We can show that $x_{1} \approx 1.5434$, however the easier estimate $1<x_{1}<2$ is sufficient for our purposes. From the above facts, $x_{M}>x_{2}, g_{M}(x)$ decreases as $x \geq x_{M}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\min \left(f_{M}(x), g_{M}(x)\right)=f_{M}(x) \\
\leq \max \left(f_{M}\left(x_{M}\right), 2 M\right)=\max \left(A_{M}, 2 M\right), \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq x_{M}  \tag{25}\\
\min \left(f_{M}(x), g_{M}(x)\right)=g_{M}(x) \leq g_{M}\left(x_{M}\right)=A_{M}, \text { for } x \geq x_{M} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (22), (23), (25) and (26) that

$$
\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)} \leq \max \left(A_{M}, 2 M\right)
$$

This proves (19).
Now, assume that $I^{\prime}<\infty$. It is obvious that $I \leq I^{\prime}$. If $I^{\prime} \leq \pi / 2$, it follows from Lemma 7 that

$$
\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(0)|}{1+h^{2}(0)} \leq\left(\frac{I^{\prime} / \pi}{1-I^{\prime} / \pi}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} I^{1 / 2}
$$

For any point $z^{\prime} \in D$, let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ be such that $\gamma(0)=z^{\prime}$ and let $\phi(z)=h(\gamma(z))$. Then,

$$
\iint_{D}\left\{\frac{|\operatorname{grad} \phi(z)|}{1+\phi^{2}(z)}\right\}^{2} d x d y=\iint_{D}\left\{\frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)}\right\}^{2} d x d y=I^{\prime} \leq \pi / 2
$$

Thus,

$$
\left(1-\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|\operatorname{grad} h\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|}{1+h^{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{|\operatorname{grad} \phi(0)|}{1+\phi^{2}(0)} \leq(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} I^{1 / 2}
$$

This proves that $M^{\prime} \leq(2 / \pi)^{1 / 2} I^{1 / 2}$ when $I^{\prime} \leq \pi / 2$.
If $I^{\prime}>\pi / 2$, it follows from (22), since $C$ is quite large and

$$
M=C \max \left(I^{1 / 2}, I\right) \leq C \max \left(I^{1 / 2}, I^{\prime}\right)=C I^{\prime}
$$

that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)} \leq \frac{2|h(z)|+2 M}{1+h^{2}(z)} \leq 1+2 M \leq 1+2 C I^{\prime}<3 C I^{\prime}
$$

This proves (20) for $I^{\prime}>\pi / 2$ and the proof of the theorem is complete.
Let us investigate estimate (19) in Theorem 8 further. Assume that $M_{0}$ is a constant such that

$$
\frac{e^{x_{M_{0}}}+e^{-x_{M_{0}}}}{1+x_{M_{0}}^{2}}=2
$$

i.e., $A_{M_{0}}=f_{M_{0}}\left(x_{M_{0}}\right)=2 M_{0}$. Then, $\max \left(A_{M}, 2 M\right)=2 M$ for $M \geq M_{0}$, and $\max \left(A_{M}, 2 M\right)=A_{M}$ for $M \leq M_{0}$. A numerical calculation gives $x_{M_{0}} \approx 2.9829$ and $M_{0}=x_{M_{0}}^{-1} \approx 0.3352$. Thus, for $I \geq 1, M=C I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} \leq 2 M=2 C I \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $M \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{M} \rightarrow \infty, \quad A_{M}=\frac{2 x_{M}+2 M}{1+x_{M}^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \\
A_{M}=\frac{2}{x_{M}} \cdot \frac{1+M / x_{M}}{1+\left(x_{M}\right)^{-2}} \approx \frac{2}{x_{M}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $x=\log M^{-1}$ in equation (24). Then the right side will be smaller than the left side provided that $M$ is sufficiently small. This shows $x_{M}>\log M^{-1}$ and $A_{M}<$ $(2+o(1))\left(\log M^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ as $M \rightarrow 0$. Thus, since $M=C I^{1 / 2}$ for $I \leq 1$, (19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime} \leq A_{M}<(4+o(1))\left(\log I^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently small $I$. We do not know if the coefficient $4+o(1)$ in (28) is best. However, the following example indicates that $4+o(1)$ cannot be replaced by a constant $k<1$.

EXAMPLE 5. Let $h_{m, n}(z)=n(m+x)$ for $z=x+i y \in D, 0<m<1$ and $n=1,2, \cdots$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{m, n}^{\prime} & =\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{\left|\operatorname{grad} h_{m, n}(z)\right|}{1+h_{m, n}^{2}(z)} \\
& =\sup _{z \in D} \frac{n\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{1+n^{2}(m+x)^{2}}=\sup _{-1<x<1} \frac{n\left(1-x^{2}\right)}{1+n^{2}(m+x)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $n\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(1+n^{2}(m+x)^{2}\right)$ attains its maximum at $x_{m, n} \in(-1,1)$, where $x_{m, n}$ is the solution of the equation $x+n^{2}(m+x)(m x+1)=0$. It is obvious that $x_{m, n} \rightarrow-1 / m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for a given $m$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
n M_{m, n}^{\prime}=\frac{1-x_{m, n}^{2}}{n^{-2}+\left(m+x_{m, n}\right)^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1-m^{-2}}{\left(m-m^{-1}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{m^{2}-1}  \tag{29}\\
M_{m, n}^{\prime} \approx \frac{1}{m^{2}-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m, n} & =\iint_{D} \frac{\left|\operatorname{grad} h_{m, n}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left(\exp \left(-h_{m, n}(z)\right)+\exp \left(h_{m, n}(z)\right)\right)^{2}} d x d y \\
& =\iint_{D} \frac{n^{2}}{\left(e^{-n(m+x)}+e^{n(m+x)}\right)^{2}} d x d y \\
& \leq \iint_{D} n^{2} e^{-2 n(m+x)} d x d y \\
& \leq 2 n^{2} e^{-2 n m} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-2 n x} d x \\
& \leq n e^{-2 n(m-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For a given $m$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\log I_{m, n}^{-1}\right)^{-1} & \leq\left(\log n^{-1}+2 n(m-1)\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2(m-1)}+o(1)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (29) and (30), we see that the coefficient $4+o(1)$ in (28) cannot be replaced by a constant $k<1$, since

$$
\frac{1}{m^{2}-1}: \frac{1}{2(m-1)} \rightarrow 1 \text { as } m \rightarrow 1
$$

Theorem 8 asserts that

$$
M^{\prime}=\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|}{1+h^{2}(z)} \leq 3 C I^{\prime}=3 C \iint_{D} \frac{|\operatorname{grad} h(z)|^{2}}{\left(1+h^{2}(z)\right)^{2}} d x d y
$$

for large $I^{\prime}$, where C is the absolute constant defined in Theorem 2. The following example shows that in the above estimate $I^{\prime}$ cannot be replaced by $I^{\prime \alpha}$ with $\alpha<1$.

EXAMPLE 6. Let $h_{n}(z)=n x$ for $z \in D$ and $n=1,2, \cdots$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
M^{\prime}=\sup _{z \in D} \frac{n\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)}{1+n^{2} x^{2}}=n \\
I^{\prime}=\iint_{D} \frac{n^{2}}{\left(1+n^{2} x^{2}\right)^{2}} d x d y=\frac{n^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{1+n^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}=\frac{n^{2} \pi}{\sqrt{1+n^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, $M^{\prime} \approx I^{\prime} / \pi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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