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Abstract As most of the pristine forests of South-east Asia have been lost, the ability of its animal species to coexist with humans becomes increasingly important. Dian’s tarsier Tarsius dianae, one of the smallest primates, lives in forests of central Sulawesi, Indonesia that are experiencing a dramatic increase in degradation by humans. To evaluate the effects of anthropogenic disturbance on tarsiers we used a comprehensive approach to estimate habitat suitability for these nocturnal insect-hunters. On four study plots along a gradient of human land-use we determined population densities, home range sizes, nightly path lengths and group sizes of T. dianae. In total we captured 71 individuals and radio-tracked 30 of these. In more undisturbed sites, population densities were high and travel distances small. We found the smallest home ranges in slightly disturbed forest. In a heavily disturbed plantation densities were low, and ranges and nightly path lengths were large. These results show that undisturbed and slightly degraded forests are the most suitable tarsier habitats, and that focusing on different population parameters could lead to differing conclusions about the suitability of particular habitats.
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Introduction

As the destruction and degradation of the world’s tropical rainforests continues at an alarming rate (Collins et al., 1991), the fate of their wildlife becomes more uncertain. Some species face extinction, others undergo population decline but are able to survive at low densities, and some are even favoured by human activities (Collins et al., 1991; IUCN, 2004). As with other higher taxa (Brooks et al., 1999; Lockwood et al., 2000; Waltet et al., 2004) this is also true for primates (Johns & Skorupa, 1987; Chapman & Lambert, 2000). To develop conservation strategies a thorough understanding of species’ habitat requirements is needed. To examine the long-term survival of primates facing the effects of human activities most studies have focused on population densities (Chapman, 1987; Johns & Skorupa, 1987; Ganzhorn, 1999; Merker & Mühlenberg, 2000). However, primate density does not always reflect habitat suitability (Mühlenberg, 1993), and indicators that depend on resource availability, such as home range and territory sizes, may be more useful. With sufficient food and other vital prerequisites, animals use and defend smaller areas (Brown & Orians, 1970; Bolen & Robinson, 1995; Heiduck, 2002) and thus, energy expenditure for food acquisition is minimized. Relatively large ranges and long distances travelled may be indicators of less than optimum living conditions.

Few previous studies have looked at tarsier survival under different disturbance regimes. Population densities of western tarsiers Tarsius bancanus in Borneo are highest in secondary vegetation (Niemitz, 1979). Spectral tarsiers Tarsius spectrum in northern Sulawesi occur in several kinds of habitats, with population densities varying according to study region, habitat type and altitude (MacKinnon & MacKinnon, 1980). Dian’s tarsiers Tarsius dianae are slightly more abundant in secondary than in primary forest, but in heavily disturbed habitat densities are considerably lower (Merker & Mühlenberg, 2000).

For other prosimian primate species, findings vary. Ganzhorn (1995, 1999) reported better living conditions for several lemur species on Madagascar after selective logging than before. Population densities of the grey mouse lemur Microcebus murinus were found to be higher in primary than in secondary forest (Ganzhorn & Schmid, 1998). In most cases, varying food availability and predation pressure were thought to be responsible for the differing densities. For tarsiers, no previous...
research has compared home range sizes, path lengths or group sizes between habitats.

The goal of this study was to examine ranging patterns of Dian’s tarsiers along a gradient of human disturbance. Based on previous findings that tarsiers do well in secondary habitats, we wished to determine to what extent this species tolerates the effects of human activities and how the animals adapt to them.

**Study species**

Dian’s tarsier *Tarsius dianae* is endemic to Sulawesi, Indonesia’s fourth largest island. With head and body lengths of 12 cm and body weights of c. 100 g, the six currently recognized tarsier species are amongst the smallest of primates. Sulawesi tarsiers live in small groups, of up to eight individuals, composed of an adult male, 1–3 adult females and their offspring. They usually spend the daylight hours at a group-specific sleeping site comprised mostly of strangling figs (MacKinnon & MacKinnon, 1980; Gursky, 1995, 1998; Yustian, 2002; Merker, 2003). During the night they move through the undergrowth of the forest in search of live animal prey, feeding mainly on insects such as crickets, grasshoppers and moths. In the morning adult and subadult individuals frequently perform duet songs that strengthen group bonds and serve as a territorial advertisement (MacKinnon & MacKinnon, 1980). Little is known about the predators of tarsiers, although Gursky (2000a, 2002a,b, 2003) suggested that birds of prey, civets and snakes are the main predators. *T. dianae* was described by Niemitz et al. (1991) and is categorized as Lower Risk: conservation dependent on the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2004). It is still abundant in central Sulawesi but population sizes are declining (Merker et al., 2004).

**Study area**

We conducted this study at the north-eastern boundary of Lore Lindu National Park, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia, over July–December 2000 and February–December 2001. The ranger station Kamarora (altitude 660 m), with head and body lengths of 12 cm and body weights of c. 100 g, was described as a base camp (Fig. 1). The climate of Kamarora is slightly seasonal with > 100 mm of rain per month in 10 out of 11 consecutive months in 2001. Temperatures fall to 19.5 ± 1.0°C at night with the daily maximum averaging 32.9 ± 1.3°C and almost no seasonal variation (Merker, 2003). The forest adjacent to the field station is characterized by a variety of human land uses. Although it is a National Park, the area is being exploited by humans. Farmers grow coffee and cocoa within the forest, loggers extract rattan and timber to sell at the market in Palu, the provincial capital, and bamboo is cut for house construction. Due to an increase in forest encroachment in 2001 even the old-growth forest patch included in this study is now no longer pristine. However, the results presented here were not influenced by this degradation as all data were collected before this change.

Four study plots were selected along a gradient of ongoing human disturbance (Fig. 1). The plots, of 10–20 ha, depending on the population density of tarsiers, were at least 2 km apart. One patch (H1) was virtually undisturbed old-growth forest, crossed only by a narrow overgrown path to a small forest garden far beyond this patch. In another (H2), slight anthropogenic effects were visible; it was criss-crossed by small trails and showed signs of small-scale bamboo *Bambusa* spp. and rattan *Calamus* spp. harvesting. One plot (H3) was characterized by an intermediate disturbance regime; interspersed with small forest gardens, it was also used for bamboo and rattan collection as well as selective logging. One heavily disturbed study plot (H4) was a mixed-species plantation outside the natural forest; it consisted mainly of small cocoa plantations with *Gliricidia sepium* as shade trees and interspersed patches of dense shrubs, bamboo, alang-alang *Imperata cylindrica* and corn *Zea mays*. Over the course of this study the level of human disturbance did not change significantly in any of the four plots. According to local farmers living at the forest margin human activities in the four plots had been unchanged for at least the previous 2 years. We therefore believe the data collected in this study are representative of the four habitat types.

**Methods**

To characterize disturbance, six parameters were used (Bynum, 1999): the number of sawn tree stumps, exotic

---

**Fig. 1** Location of the study plots H1–H4 in the vicinity of Kamarora Field Station. The small road passing the ranger post marks the north-eastern boundary of Lore Lindu National Park. The inset map shows the island of Sulawesi and the location of the study area.
plants, rattan palms, large trees (diameter at breast height > 50 cm), epiphytes < 5 m above the ground, and the upper limit of moss growth on the trees. In each of the four study plots, 16 10 * 10 m quadrats were randomly placed. The disturbance parameters were determined in each and then integrated into a disturbance index (described in Merker, 2003; Merker et al., 2004) to compare the relative intensities of anthropogenic influence.

At eight sample points in each plot the abundance of insects eaten by Sulawesi tarsiers (Nietsch, 1993; Tremble et al., 1993) was estimated using two methods: (1) To count moths, winged termites and mantids, a Petromax kerosene pressure lantern was fastened at 1.5 m in a small tree, and during the subsequent 5 min all visible insects with body length > 1 cm attracted by the light were counted; by differentiating the animals by species, size and location it was possible to avoid repeat counts of single specimens. (2) To count grasshoppers, crickets and cicadas, insects vocalizing within a 10 m radius around the sample point within 5 min were counted; repeated counts were avoided by noting specific vocalization patterns and the locations of individuals. At each of the eight sample points three replicate counts, each on a different evening, were made over 19.00–21.00.

In each plot as many tarsier groups as possible were located by triangulating, with the help of 2–5 field assistants at fixed points within a mapped trail system, the positions of vocalizing tarsiers during their morning duet songs. Over the course of several days, core areas of groups and often-used travel paths could be distinguished. By closing in on the animals, they could be followed to their sleeping sites, which were then mapped. For sites that were within the core area of each plot and whose three nearest neighbours were also within the plot, distances to the three nearest neighbouring groups were measured. The population density (number of groups per area) was calculated as density = 0.7698 * groups/(mean distance)^2. This formula, derived from the Delaunay triangulation (Krebs, 1999), is described in Merker (2003). It allows a transformation of unidimensional distance data to 2-dimensional area data. The advantage of this method lies in its independence from study plot boundaries, which are sometimes difficult to define.

Around tarsier sleeping sites, in the undergrowth normally utilized by the animals, 2–6 mist nets of 6–12 m length were positioned. Nets were open between 05.00 and 06.30 and/or between 17.00 and 19.00. As tarsiers are able to escape from a mist net, all nets were continually monitored to ensure a high capture success. After being caught in a net tarsiers were immediately removed and put in cotton bags. Thirty individuals (six adult females in each of the four study plots and six adult males in plot H1) were tagged with 3.9 g radio-collars (PD-2C, Holohil Systems Ltd., Carp, Canada) fastened around the waist. For comparability between plots, we focus here only on the 24 females. In plots H1 and H2 six females, one in each of six different groups, were tagged, whereas in plots H3 and H4 six females of five different groups were tagged (two females turned out to be members of the same family group, i.e. they shared a common sleeping site).

Each tarsier was radio-tracked over the course of c. 2 weeks. Tracking was at 04.00–06.30 (six sessions per animal), 18.00–22.00 (three sessions per animal), or overnight from 18.00–06.00 (once per animal), giving 75–80 locations for each tarsier. Positions of an animal were estimated by triangulating two consecutive bearings obtained within 2 minutes from different points. Home range sizes were calculated using the minimum convex polygon (Bearder & Martin, 1980; White & Garrott, 1990). During overnight tracking each tarsier was located every 15 min, giving c. 48 fixes per night. Nightly path length, i.e. the total distance a tarsier moved during 18.00–06.00, was calculated by summing up all distances between consecutive locations.

Group sizes and compositions were estimated by integrating capture data (the number of different tarsiers caught per sleeping site), direct observations of moving animals (the number of individuals seen at a time) and the records of morning duets (the number of tarsiers of each sex that called simultaneously from within the group territory). The following sex and age categories were distinguished: infants, body mass < 35 g; juveniles, < 60 g; subadult females, < 95 g; subadult males, < 100 g; adult females, > 95 g; adult males, > 100 g and with descended testes. For individual recognition most non-radio-tagged tarsiers were marked with coloured plastic rings fastened around their elongated tarsus. Whenever possible, tarsal rings were removed after the study. Of the 30 radio-tracked tarsiers, 25 were recaptured and the collars retrieved. Due to illegal clear-cut logging that took place in H1 after data collection, five of the study animals could not be relocated even after an intensive search.

STATISTICA for Windows (StatSoft, Inc., 1999) was used for statistical analyses. All data sets were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For normally distributed data, ANOVA and the Least Significant Difference Post Hoc Test were used, and the non-parametric Median Test was used to detect significant differences between habitats. Pearson’s r was used to test correlations between parameters. All tests were two-tailed (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995).

Results

Altogether 71 Dian’s tarsier were captured a total of 140 times. All results are presented in Table 1. In each of H1,
H2 and H3 we located 10 or 11 sleeping sites, but only 5 in H4. Group sizes were not significantly different between the study plots (Median test, $\chi^2 = 3.66$, df = 3, $P = 0.30$). The size of female home ranges varied significantly between the plots (ANOVA, $F_{3,20} = 4.66$, $P = 0.01$) with smallest ranges at slight (H2) and medium (H3) disturbance regimes. By dividing the size of a home range by the number of group members, we computed the size of an ‘exclusive’ home range, i.e. a theoretical area that is used by a single tarsier and no other conspecific. This was smallest for tarsiers in H2, slightly larger in H1 and H3 and largest in heavily disturbed forest H4. Although path lengths were not significantly different between plots (ANOVA, $F_{3,20} = 1.45$, $P = 0.26$), there was a positive correlation between path length and the degree of disturbance (Pearson $r = 0.88$, $n = 4$, $P = 0.06$). The average distance between the sleeping site of a group and the sleeping sites of its three nearest neighbouring groups differed significantly between plots (ANOVA, $F_{3,19} = 3.76$, $P = 0.03$). Although the group density was similar in H2 and H3, the slightly larger groups in H2 gave a higher population density in this habitat. Population density decreased with increasing anthropogenic influences (Pearson $r = -0.96$, $n = 4$, $P = 0.02$). There was no significant difference between plots in the number of insects attracted by light (2-way ANOVA, $F_{3,26} = 0.48$, $P = 0.70$), but the abundance of vocalizing insects was significantly different (2-way ANOVA, $F_{3,26} = 24.69$, $P = 0.00$).

### Discussion

Home ranges of Dian’s tarsier in Kamarora were smallest in slightly disturbed forest (H2), and significantly smaller than in undisturbed old-growth forest (H1) and heavily disturbed habitat (H4). Ranges in moderately disturbed forest (H3) were similar to H2. Within these two forest types the animals did not have to roam over and defend large areas. The largest ranges were in heavily disturbed forest (H4), a mixed-species plantation outside the natural forest.

A slightly different picture emerges when looking at nightly path lengths. Although travel distances were not significantly different between the forest types, there was a trend of increasing nightly path lengths with increasing disturbance. Dian’s tarsiers in old-growth forest used a smaller fraction of their home range per night than in more disturbed habitats (Merker, 2003), and this explains...
the partially reversed rankings of home range size and nightly path length with degree of forest disturbance. White & Garrott (1990) considered path length to be a better indicator for energy expenditure than home range, in which case tarsiers in undisturbed forest have to expend less energy per night than those in disturbed habitat. However, whilst path length may be the best direct indicator of short-term energy use, home range sizes could reflect the capability of habitats to replenish resources.

Larger tarsier groups may be an indicator of a higher carrying capacity of a particular habitat type. For this to be true, groups must be stable, free emigration possible, and there must be no scarcity of potential sleeping sites (Harcourt & Nash, 1986). For mammals, food availability, predation pressure and reproductive condition are commonly described as the most important variables influencing group sizes (Krebs & Davies, 1981; Dunbar, 1988; Kappeler & Ganzhorn, 1993). As Gursky (2002a) confirmed these findings for spectral tarsiers in north Sulawesi, Dian’s tarsier groups are probably limited by similar factors. Although Dian’s tarsiers prefer to sleep in strangling figs, they are flexible in their choice of sleeping sites (Yustian, 2002; Merker, 2003). The availability of potential sleeping sites such as fig trees, bamboo stands, or dense shrubs did not appear to be a limiting factor in any of our study patches. Additionally, there was no evidence of predation. Thus, the role of predation pressure in structuring populations of Dian’s tarsier cannot be assessed. As tarsier females give birth to only one offspring per year, and as they regularly ‘park’ the infants at secure spots (MacKinnon & MacKinnon, 1980; Gursky, 1994, 2000a, 2002c, 2003) the number of young individuals (Table 1) is difficult to determine. Nevertheless, pregnant females were captured year-round and in all study habitats (Merker, 2003). Thus, there appears to be no seasonal variation in reproduction.

In this study, tarsier groups in undisturbed and slightly disturbed forests were slightly larger than in moderately and heavily disturbed habitats. However, as the observed differences were not significant, no clear inferences on habitat suitability for tarsiers can be drawn from these data on group size.

Home ranges of Dian’s tarsiers that belong to the same group overlap extensively (Merker, 2003) and therefore the size of the ‘exclusive’ home range, a theoretical area assigned to a single tarsier, probably better reflects the space requirements of individuals than the mean observed home range size. Comparing exclusive home ranges between the four study plots, the apparent advantage of forest with medium disturbance (H3) over undisturbed forest (H1) diminishes, and H2 is the habitat where a tarsier needs least space. Therefore, interpreting home range size with respect to group size, slightly disturbed forest (H2) seems to be the most suitable environment for T. dianae.

Population densities of Dian’s tarsiers decreased with increasing human influence, with 268 individuals km$^{-2}$ in undisturbed forest and only 45 individuals km$^{-2}$ in heavily disturbed plantations. A high number of animals per unit area is an often-used indicator of favourable conditions, and both group composition and distribution of the tarsiers were stable over the 1.5 years of this study (Merker, 2003). The plots were not crowded because of habitat loss elsewhere, and all were surrounded by more or less suitable tarsier habitat. Thus, focusing solely on population density, primary forest is the most important habitat for tarsier conservation. It is not clear what causes the lower abundance of tarsiers in the slightly disturbed habitats even though resources are plentiful. One possible reason may be the high susceptibility of these animals to visual and acoustic disturbance in their environment (Merker & Mühlenberg, 2000).

Unlike body weights and proportions, and ectoparasite loads of Dian’s tarsiers, which did not differ significantly between the four sites (Merker, 2003), home range size, nightly path length, group size and population density, discussed above, did not vary consistently across the four habitat types. No one of these measures alone can therefore be used to indicate which of the four levels of human disturbance are more suitable for Dian’s tarsier. In general it appears that a limited amount of human disturbance does not pose a major threat to this tarsier. Tarsiers can adapt to traditional land uses such as small-scale agroforestry (coffee or cocoa as cash crops) or selective logging (Merker & Mühlenberg, 2000). Slight disturbance may open up the forest canopy and result in a greater heterogeneity of the forest and subsequently a higher arthropod diversity and density. Insect abundance was found to be highest in the slightly disturbed habitats, H2 and H3, and lowest in the mixed-species plantation H4. The increased prey density in H2 and H3 may balance the adverse effects of selective logging and acoustic disturbance at these sites (Merker & Mühlenberg, 2000). If human disturbance is excessive, however, living conditions for tarsiers appear to deteriorate (as in H4). Another habitat factor influencing tarsier distribution is the availability of plant supports for locomotion, which is highest in the slightly and moderately disturbed habitats H2 and H3 (Merker, 2003).

Logging, rather than a limited amount of human disturbance, poses the most serious threat to these animals (Merker & Mühlenberg, 2000). Not only does it clear potential sleeping sites but it also commonly opens up the forest to other forms of land-use, such as cash-crop plantations, cattle farming, or permanent human settlement.

There are some potential limitations to our study. Firstly, due to the logistic difficulty of locating, capturing
and radio-tracking tarsiers in all habitats simultaneously, H1 to H4 were investigated in succession, and thus possible seasonal effects could have influenced our findings. Home range sizes of spectral tarsiers in north Sulawesi are, for example, dependent on seasonal changes in arthropod abundance due to marked seasonality of precipitation (Gursky, 2000b). However, rainfall and prey supply in central Sulawesi are both more evenly distributed throughout the year (Merker, 2003). Repeated radio-tracking of specific individuals of Dian’s tarsier in both field seasons and over the course of several months revealed no consistent variation in home range sizes, and there was no marked reproductive season (Merker, 2003). Secondly, home ranges of tarsiers were computed over the course of 2 weeks, and as the same procedure was followed for the entire study, comparisons can be made between different habitats. However, tracking the same animals over the course of a significantly longer period could result in larger estimates of home range.

Our findings emphasize the importance of a comprehensive approach when studying primates. For Sulawesi tarsiers different methods of estimating habitat suitability generated different outcomes. Considering the importance of slightly degraded habitats for these animals, conservation efforts based solely on a comparison of population densities might result in allocating scarce funds ineffectively. Human population pressure on Sulawesi’s remaining forests is high, and pristine patches are increasingly difficult to preserve. Hence, local governments and conservation biologists should strive to channel human land use into less damaging forms such as small-scale agroforestry. Many farmers wrongly suppose that tarsiers feed on cash crops. An educational campaign introducing their potential role as natural predators of insects and stressing their importance for ecotourism could greatly increase the tarsiers’ chances of survival. Additionally, it is essential to safeguard potential sleeping sites such as dense shrubs, bamboo stands and strangling figs. Maintaining comprehensive tracts of undergrowth as well as minimizing the use of chemical pesticides is also vital for tarsiers’ survival.
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