
ERRATUM

A Race to the Top? The Aid Transparency Index and the Social Power of Global Performance Indicators – ERRATUM

Dan Honig and Catherine Weaver

doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818319000122>; Published by Cambridge University Press 16 July 2019.

In the original publication of Hoing and Weaver (2019), several errors were introduced during the production of this article.

On page 589 paragraph 2, the figures mentioned in the text were labeled incorrectly. The correct paragraph is reproduced below:

A visual examination of ATI scores and changes over time underscores the heterogeneity of agency response to the ATI. The wide differences in donors' behavior are demonstrated in Figure 1, which shows the variation in realized scores, and Figure 2, which compares each agency's score in its most recent year of ATI coverage to the agency's score in its first year of ATI coverage.

On page 594 footnote 42, a model number was labeled incorrectly. The correct footnote appears below:

42. Drawn from model 8, Table 4. Net treatment effect is the sum of the beta on the interaction term (37.97) and the beta on the effect of ATI coverage (−9.038).

In addition, page 595 should contain both figures 3 and 4. In paragraph 1, model numbers were mislabeled. The correct paragraph is reproduced below:

Figures 3 and 4 graphically represent the role of independence for aid and non-aid agencies respectively (Table 4, models 6 and 8), demonstrating the importance of agency independence for aid but not non-aid agencies.

The following references should not have been included in the final version of this article:

Davies, Tim. 2014. Open Data in Developing Countries: Emerging Insights from Phase I. World Wide Web Foundation. Available at <<http://www.opendataresearch.org/content/2014/704/open-data-developingcountries-emerging-insights-phase-i>>.

Davies, Timothy, Perini Fernando, and Jose Alonso. 2013. Researching the Emerging Impacts of Open Data. World Wide Web Foundation. Available at <<http://www.opendataresearch.org/sites/default/files/posts/Researching%20the%20emerging%20impacts%20of%20open%20data.pdf>>. Accessed 13 January 2018.

Global Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation. 2014. *2014 Progress Report: Making Development Cooperation More Effective*. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development and the United Nations Development Program.

Gutman, Jeffrey, and Claire Horton. 2015. *Accessibility and Effectiveness of Donor Disclosure Policies: When Disclosure Clouds Transparency*. Global Economy and Development Working Paper 85. Brookings Institution.

Hudson, Alan. 2014. Opening Government: What Does the Data Say? Global Integrity (blog), 9 May. Available at <<https://www.globalintegrity.org/2014/05/09/opening-government-what-does-the-datasay/>>. Accessed 27 February 2019.

International Aid Transparency Initiative. 2015. IATI Annual Report 2015. Available at <http://iatistandard.org/documents/19/IATI_Annual_Report_2015-EN.pdf>. Accessed 27 February 2019.

Jorgens, Helge. 2004. Governance by Diffusion—Implementing Global Norms Through Cross-National Imitation and Learning. In *Governance for Sustainable Development: The Challenge of Adapting Form to Function*, edited by William M. Lafferty, 246–83. Edward Elgar.

Kalafut, Jen. 2006. Assessing World Bank Openness: A Transparency Scorecard. Global Transparency Initiative and Bank Information Center. Available at <<http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/APCITY/UNPAN028712.pdf>>. Accessed 27 February 2019.

Lucas, Sarah. 2014. A Race to the Top: The 2014 Aid Transparency Index and Why It Matters. Hewlett Foundation Blog, Nextgov, 16 October. Available at <<https://www.hewlett.org/a-race-to-the-top-the-2014-aid-transparency-index-and-why-it-matters/>>. Accessed 13 January 2018.

Merry, Sally, Kevin Davis, and Benedict Kingsbury. 2015. *The Quiet Power of Indicators: Measuring Governance, Corruption, and Rule of Law*. Cambridge University Press.

Paxton, Sally. 2014. What We Want to See in the US Ranking vs. What We Expect to See. Publish What You Fund Blog, 26 September. Available at <<http://www.publish-whatyoufund.org/updates/by-country/us/what-we-want-see-u-s-ranking-vs-what-we-expect-see/>>. Accessed 13 January 2018.

Rank, Rachel, and Liz Steele. 2014. Progress Since Busan: Transparency and Accountability. Report for the Global Partnership for Effective Development and Cooperation. Global Partnership for Effective Development Co-operation (blog), 9 April. Available at <https://www.publishwhatyoufund.org/files/2014/04/Transparency-and-accountability-discussion-paper_FINAL.pdf>. Accessed 27 February 2019.

Schueth, Sam. 2015. Winning the Rankings Game: The Republic of Georgia, USAID, and the Doing Business Project. In *Ranking the World: Grading States as a Tool of Global Governance*, edited by Cooley, Alex and Jack Snyder, 151–76. Cambridge University Press.

Tvedt, Terje. 2006. The International Aid System and the Non-Governmental Organizations: A New Research Agenda. *Journal of International Development* 18 (5):677–90.

United Kingdom Department for International Development. 2015. Key Topics in Transparency. Memo of the International Development Sector Transparency Panel, 5 March. Available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/441699/Key_topics_in_transparency_-_Paper_5_March_2015.pdf>. Accessed 13 January 2018.

United States Agency for International Development. 2015b. Aid Transparency Country Pilot Assessment. Washington, DC: USAID.

Reference

Honig, D., & Weaver, C. A Race to the Top? The Aid Transparency Index and the Social Power of Global Performance Indicators. *International Organization*, 1–32. Published online by Cambridge University Press, 16 July 2019. doi:10.1017/S0020818319000122.