Charge Transfer Effects in CuO Nanofibers, Observed by EELS and XPS.

M Piñón-Espitia¹, J.A Torres-Ochoa², O Córtazar-Martínez³, A Herrera-Gomez³, Guillermo Herrera-Perez¹ and M.T. Ochoa-Lara¹

¹Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, Chihuahua, Chihuahua, Mexico, ²Universidad Politécnica Juventino Rosas, Santiago de Querétaro, Queretaro de Arteaga, Mexico, ³CINVESTAV -Unidad Querétaro, Santiago de Querétaro, Queretaro de Arteaga, Mexico

* Corresponding author: manuel.pinon@cimav.edu.mx

The copper (II) oxide semiconductor (CuO) (E_g of 1.2 eV) is one of the materials most studied, due to its photoelectronic, photochemical, thermosolar and semiconductor properties, among others, however, the CuO as 1D structured material [1], especially as nanofiber shape, has showed a good surface/volume relation, excellent physical properties, easy to produce, etc. Improvement of its properties may be generated by doping CuO, especially with transition metals as Manganese (Mn), providing diluted magnetic semiconductors or changes in electronic properties.

Pure and Mn doped copper oxide nanofibers (CuO-NFs) at 2.5%, were synthesized by the electrospinning method, based on the literature [2], using a solution of Cu acetate (Cu(CH₃COOH)₂ H₂O) dissolved in a aqueous solution of PVA (8% wt). For the doped CuO-NFs, Mn acetate ((CH₃COOH)₂ Mn 4H₂O) at 2,5%, was added.

The material obtained was calcined at 700°C, to stabilize the tenorite-type phase of CuO, verified by X-Ray diffraction (XRD, Panalytical XpertPRO model) and by Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED, model JEM2200FS). The morphological features, such as size and shape, were analyzed using a HR-STEM, model JSM 7401F. The electronic structure and the charge transfer effects were monitored by EELS (inside an *environmental of* model Philips CM200 TEM). The acquisition of X-Ray photoemission spectra was done by an ESCALAB 250Xi Thermoscientific TM. The Charge Transfer Effects, both by EELS and XPS techniques were detected, as well as the presence of the chemical species: Cu¹⁺, Cu²⁺, and Cu³⁺ ions.

Fig. 1 a and b show the XRD patterns for undoped and Mn-codoped (2.5% wt) CuO-NFs, indexed with powder diffraction file (PDF) No. 80-1268. The crystallite size was determined by the spherical harmonic method in the Fullprof Suite program [2], founding values of 36.1 nm pure for CuO-NFs and 14.9 nm for Mn doped CuO-NFs. The d and f panels show the images of two necklace-type shape for the pure and Mn doped CuO-NFs, respectively. The SAED patterns, showed in e and g, were indexed with the CrysTBox program, PDF No. 80-1268 [3]. **Fig. 2** displays (a) the Cu 2*p* XPS and (b) the L_{3,2} edges EELS profiles. Three chemical species attributed to Cu¹⁺, Cu²⁺ and Cu³⁺ were elucidated. These results agree with those reported in the literature [4, 5]. The displacements associated with the charge transfer at the edge of the Cu¹⁺ related to the dopant also are showed [6].





Figure 1. XRD patterns and the refinement of XRD pattern for a) CuO-NFs, b) Mn doped CuO-NFs, c) powder diffraction file; BF-HR-STEM images for d) CuO-NFs and f) Mn doped CuO-NFs. SAED patterns for e) CuO-NFs, g) Mn doped CuO-NFs.



Figure 2. Cu 2p XPS for a) CuO NFs and b) Mn doped CuO NFs; Cu L2,3-edge EELS for c) CuO-NFs and d) Mn doped CuO-NFs.

References

[1] S. Sharma, R. Rani, R. Rai, and T. S. Natarajan, Adv. Mat. Lett. 4 (2013) 749–753.

[2] J Rodríguez-Carvajal, Phys. B Condens. Matter. 192 (1993) 55–69.

[3] M. Klinger, J. Appl. Cryst. 50 (2017) 1226-1234.

[4] J A. Torres-Ochoa, D. F Mulato-Gomez, D. German-Cabrera, M. Bravo-Sanchez, A. Herrera-Gomez, M. B. S. (2017) 125–126.

[5] M. F Noelia Benito, Phys. Chem. C, 121 (2017) 18771–18778.

[6] M. Piñón-Espitia thanks for the CONACyT grant No. 467043. The authors greatly Luis Gerardo Silva Vidaurri (XPS), C. Ornelas-Gutiérrez (TEM), K. Campos-Venegas (SEM), E. Guerrero-Lestarjette (XRD).