## WEAKLY CLOSE-TO-CONVEX MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

LAURELLEN LANDAU-TREISNER AND ALBERT E. LIVINGSTON

1. Introduction. Classes of functions, meromorphic and univalent in

$$\Delta = \{ z : |z| < 1 \}$$

with simple pole at z = p, 0 , have been discussed in several placesin the literature ([3], [6], [8], [10], [11], and [12]). The purpose of this paperis to discuss a class of Close-to-Convex functions with pole at <math>p analogous to the class of Close-to-Convex functions with pole at zero studied by Libera and Robertson [9].

Let  $\Sigma(p)$  be the class of functions which are univalent and analytic in  $\Delta - \{p\}$ , with a simple pole at z = p, 0 . A function <math>f in  $\Sigma(p)$  with f(0) = 1is said to be in  $\Lambda^*(p)$  if f maps  $\Delta$  onto a domain whose complement is starlike with respect to the origin. The class  $\Lambda^*(p)$  has been studied in ([3], [8], [10], [11], and [12]). Functions f(z) in  $\Lambda^*(p)$  are characterized by the fact that there exists F in  $\Sigma^*$ , the class of meromorphic univalent starlike functions with pole at zero of residue one, such that

(1.1) 
$$f(z) = \frac{-pz}{(z-p)(1-pz)}F(z).$$

We let I(0) be the class studied by Libera and Robertson [9]. Thus *h* is in I(0), if *h* is analytic in  $\Delta - \{0\}$  with a simple pole of residue one at z = 0 such that there exists *G* in  $\Sigma^*$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ , so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}G(z)}\right) > 0$$

for 0 < |z| < 1.

Analogously, if 0 , we let <math>I(p) be the class of functions f, analytic in  $\Delta - \{p\}$ , with a simple pole at z = p and such that there exists g in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , an  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ , and a  $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$ , so that

(1.2) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g(z)}\right) > 0$$

for  $\delta < |z| < 1$ .

Received May 2, 1988.

In what follows we let  $\mathcal{P}^*$  be the class of functions P(z), analytic in  $\Delta$  satisfying  $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$  for z in  $\Delta$ .

THEOREM 1. If f is in I(p), there exists g in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , an  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$  and a P(z) in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  such that for z in  $\Delta - \{p\}$ ,

(1.3) 
$$f'(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{(z-p)(1-pz)}g(z)P(z).$$

*Proof.* There exists g in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , an  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ , and a  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that (1.2) holds.

Let  $\delta < r < 1$  and  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$  and  $g_r(z) = g(rz)$ , then

(1.4) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf_r'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g_r(z)}\right) > 0$$

for |z| = 1. The function  $zf'_r(z)/e^{i\alpha}g_r(z)$  in analytic in  $\overline{\Delta}$  except for a simple pole at z = p/r. Let

$$P_r(z) = \frac{(z - \frac{p}{r})(1 - \frac{p}{r}z)}{z} \left(\frac{zf'_r(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g_r(z)}\right)$$

Since (z - p/r)(1 - pz/r) is real and positive for |z| = 1, it follows from (1.4) that  $\operatorname{Re}P_r(z) > 0$  for |z| = 1. Since  $P_r(z)$  is analytic for  $|z| \leq 1$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{Re}P_r(z) > 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ . Since  $P_r(0) = -pf'(0)e^{-i\alpha}$  is independent of r, there exists a sequence  $r_n$  tending to 1 such that  $P_{r_n}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Delta$  to P(z) in  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Since  $f_{r_n}(z)$  and  $g_{r_n}(z)$  converge uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Delta - \{p\}$  to f(z) and g(z) respectively, it follows that

$$P(z) = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)}{z} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g(z)}\right)$$

from which we obtain (1.3).

COROLLARY 1. If f(z) is in I(p), then  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \neq p$ .

Because of the corollary, there is no loss in generality in assuming that f'(0) = 1 for f(z) in I(p), 0 . In the sequel we therefore make the added assumption that <math>f'(0) = 1 for f in I(p), 0 .

Because of Theorem 1, we also define another class of functions  $I^*(p)$ . We will say that f(z) is in  $I^*(p)$ ,  $0 , if it is analytic in <math>\Delta - \{p\}$  with a simple pole at z = p and f'(0) = 1, and there exists g(z) in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , a P(z) in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and an  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ , so that

(1.5) 
$$f'(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{(z-p)(1-pz)}g(z)P(z).$$

A function f is said to be in  $I^*(0)$  if it is analytic in  $\Delta - \{0\}$  with a simple pole at z = 0 and there exists g(z) in  $\Sigma^*$ , P(z) in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ , such that

(1.6) 
$$f'(z) = e^{i\alpha}g(z)P(z).$$

Thus, by Theorem 1,  $I(p) \subset I^*(p)$ . Also,  $I(0) = I^*(0)$ .

We widen the class  $I^*(p)$  to allow for logarithmic singularities at z = p. In the sequel the statement "f'(z) is analytic in  $\Delta - \{p\}$ " will refer to a function f(z) which is analytic in  $\Delta - \{z : p \le z < 1\}$  and such that f'(z) can be analytically continued in  $\Delta - \{p\}$ . In what follows  $\Lambda^*(0) = \Sigma^*$ .

We will say that f is in  $J^*(p), 0 \le p < 1$ , if f'(z) is analytic in  $\Delta - \{p\}$  and there exists g in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , and  $\alpha, |\alpha| \le \pi$  and P(z) in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  such that

(1.7) 
$$f'(z) = \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{(z-p)(1-pz)}g(z)P(z).$$

The essential difference between  $J^*(p)$  and  $I^*(p)$  is that in  $J^*(p)$  we are allowing the function to possibly have a logarithmic type singularity at z = p. That is, for z in  $\{z : |z - p| < 1 - p\} - \{z : p \le z < 1\}$ 

$$f(z) = \frac{\alpha}{z-p} + \beta \log(z-p) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-p)^n.$$

If f satisfies (1.7) with 0 , then it is easily seen that <math>f'(z)/f'(0) has the form (1.7). We will thus assume, without loss of generality, that f'(0) = 1 for all f in  $J^*(p), 0 . Similarly we may assume with loss of generality that$ 

$$\text{Res}(zf'; 0) = -1$$
 for all  $f$  in  $J^*(0)$ .

The following two functions will be important in the sequel. Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be defined by

(1.8) 
$$F'_1(z) = \frac{p^2(1-z)^3}{(z-p)^2(1-pz)^2(1+z)}$$

and

(1.9) 
$$F'_2(z) = \frac{p^2(1+z)^3}{(z-p)^2(1-pz)^2(1-z)}$$

Both functions  $F_1(z)$  and  $F_2(z)$  are members of  $J^*(p)$  but not of  $I^*(p)$ .

2. An alternate definition of  $J^*(p)$ . In [8], functions of  $\Lambda^*(p)$  were defined by their relationship with functions of  $\Sigma^*$ . A somewhat different relationship can be found between functions of  $J^*(p)$ ,  $0 , and <math>J^*(0)$ . We first need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. If g is in  $\Lambda^*(p)$  and  $\beta_p = \text{Res}(g;p)$  then

$$G(z) = \frac{1 - p^2}{\beta_p} g\left(\frac{z + p}{1 + pz}\right)$$

is in  $\Sigma^*$  and  $\beta_p = (1 - p^2)/G(-p)$ . Conversely, if G is in  $\Sigma^*$  and  $\beta_p = (1 - p^2)/G(-p)$ , then

$$g(z) = \frac{\beta_p}{1 - p^2} G\left(\frac{z - p}{1 - pz}\right)$$

is in  $\Lambda^*(p)$  and  $\beta_p = \operatorname{Res}(g; p)$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from the fact that a properly normalized function is a member of  $\Sigma^*$  or  $\Lambda^*(p)$  if and only if it maps  $\Delta$  onto a domain whose complement is starlike with respect to the origin.

THEOREM 2. If f is in  $J^*(p)[I^*(p)]$  and  $\alpha_p = -\text{Res}((z - p)f'; p)[\alpha_p = \text{Res}(f; p)]$  then there exists h in  $J^*(0)[I^*(0)]$  such that

(2.1) 
$$f(z) = \frac{\alpha_p}{1-p^2}h\left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz}\right).$$

Conversely, if h is in  $J^*(0)[I^*(0)]$  and  $\alpha_p = 1/h'(-p)$ , then f(z), defined by (2.1) is in  $J^*(p)[I^*(p)]$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the statement about  $J^*(p)$ . The proof concerning  $I^*(p)$  is similar. If f is in  $J^*(p)$  then there exists g in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , P in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  and an  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq \pi$ , such that

$$P(z) = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g(z)}.$$

Thus

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1}{1 - p^2} P\left(\frac{z + p}{1 + pz}\right)$$

is also in  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Now consider the functions

$$h(z) = \frac{1 - p^2}{\alpha_p} f\left(\frac{z + p}{1 + pz}\right)$$

where  $\alpha_p = -\text{Res}((z-p)f';p)$  and

$$G(z) = \frac{1 - p^2}{\beta_p} g\left(\frac{z + p}{1 + pz}\right)$$

where  $\beta_p = \operatorname{Res}(g; p)$ . Then,

(2.2) 
$$P_1(z) = \frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} \frac{zh'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}G(z)} = \left|\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p}\right| \frac{zh'(z)}{e^{i\gamma}G(z)}$$

for a suitably chosen  $\gamma$ .

Since g is in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ , G is in  $\Sigma^*$  by Lemma 1. Also h' is analytic in  $\Delta - \{0\}$  with a pole of order 2 at z = 0 and  $\operatorname{Res}(zh'; 0) = -1$ . From (2.2) we have

$$h'(z) = \frac{e^{i\gamma}G(z)Q(z)}{z}$$

where  $Q(z) = |\beta_p / \alpha_p| P_1(z)$  is in  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . Thus *h* is in  $J^*(0)$  and (2.1) holds. The proof of the converse is similar.

*Remark.* Since functions in  $I^*(0)$  need not be univalent [9], it follows from Theorem 2, that functions in  $I^*(p)$  need not be univalent.

**3. Integral means.** In this section we use a technique of Baernstein [1] as employed by Leung [7] to obtain bounds on the integral means of |f'|. For this purpose we first mention some results that will be used.

For g(x), a real valued integrable function on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , the Baernstein \*function is defined by

$$g^*(\theta) = \sup_{|E|=2\theta} \int_E g,$$

for  $0 < \theta \leq \pi$ , where |E| is the Lebesque measure of the set E in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

Statements A, B and C of the following Lemma were proven by Baernstein [1] and statement D was proven by Leung [7].

LEMMA 2. (A) For g, h in  $L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ , the following are equivalent: (i) For every convex non-decreasing function  $\Phi$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ 

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(g(x)) dx \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(h(x)) dx.$$

(ii) For every t in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ 

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [g(x) - t]^{+} dx \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [h(x) - t]^{+} dx.$$

(iii)  $g^*(\theta) \leq h^*(\theta)$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ .

(B) If f is in  $S = \{f : f \text{ is analytic and univalent in } \Delta \text{ with } f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) = 1\}$ , then for each r, 0 < r < 1,

$$(\pm \log |f(re^{i\theta})|)^* \leq (\pm \log |K(re^{i\theta})|)^*$$

for any Koebe function  $K(z) = z/(1 - e^{i\alpha}z)^2$ .

(C) For g in  $L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ , if  $\overline{g}(x)$  is the symmetric non-increasing rearrangement of g [4], then

$$g^*(\theta) = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \overline{g}(x) dx = (\overline{g})^*(\theta).$$

(D) For g, h in  $L^1[-\pi, \pi]$ ,

$$[g(\theta) + h(\theta)]^* \leq g^*(\theta) + h^*(\theta)$$

with equality if and only if g and h are both symmetric and non-increasing on  $[0, \pi]$ .

THEOREM 3. For any f in  $J^*(p)$  and every convex non-decreasing function  $\Phi$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\pm \log |f'(re^{i\theta})|) d\theta \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\pm \log |F'_2(re^{i\theta})|) d\theta$$

where  $F_2$  is in  $J^*(p)$  and is defined by (1.9).

*Proof.* If f is in  $J^*(p)$  then combining (1.1) and (1.7), there exists G in  $\Sigma^*$  an  $\alpha, |\alpha| \leq \pi$ , and P in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  such that

$$f'(z) = \frac{-pe^{i\alpha}z}{(z-p)^2(1-pz)^2}G(z)P(z).$$

Thus

(3.1) 
$$\log |f'(re^{i\theta})| = \log \frac{pr}{|re^{i\theta} - p|^2 |1 - pre^{i\theta}|^2} + \log |G(re^{i\theta})| + \log |P(re^{i\theta})|.$$

The first term on the right side of (3.1) is symmetric and non-increasing on  $[0, \pi]$ . For the second term, since G is in  $\Sigma^*$ , 1/G is in S and by Lemma 2 (B)

$$\left[\log |G(re^{i\theta})|\right]^* = \left[-\log \frac{1}{|G(re^{i\theta})|}\right]^* \leq \left[-\log |K(re^{i\theta})|\right]^*.$$

Choose  $K(z) = z/(1+z)^2$ , then

(3.2) 
$$\left[\log |G(re^{i\theta})|\right]^* \leq \left[\log \frac{|1+re^{i\theta}|^2}{r}\right]^*$$

For the third term, since  $p^{-1}P(z)$  is a function of positive real part and  $|p^{-1}P(0)| = 1$ , by Corollary 1 of [7]

(3.3) 
$$[\log |P(re^{i\theta})|]^* \leq \left[\log p \left| \frac{1+re^{i\theta}}{1-re^{i\theta}} \right| \right]^*.$$

Using Lemma 2 (D), we have from (3.1)

$$[\log |f'(re^{i\theta})|]^* \leq \left[\log \frac{pr}{|re^{i\theta} - p|^2|1 - pre^{i\theta}|^2}\right]^* + [\log |G(re^{i\theta})|]^* + [\log |P(re^{i\theta})|]^*.$$

Now using (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.4) \qquad [\log|f'(re^{i\theta})|]^* \leq \left[\log\frac{pr}{|re^{i\theta} - p|^2|1 - pre^{i\theta}|^2}\right]^* + \left[\log\frac{|1 + re^{i\theta}|^2}{r}\right]^* + \left[\log p \left|\frac{1 + re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}}\right|\right]^*$$

Since all three functions on the right of (3.4) are symmetric and non-increasing on  $[0, \pi]$ , by Lemma 2 (D)

$$\begin{split} [\log |f'(re^{i\theta})|]^* &\leq \left[\log \frac{p^2 |1 + re^{i\theta}|^3}{|re^{i\theta} - p|^2 |1 - pre^{i\theta}|^2 |1 - re^{i\theta}|}\right]^* \\ &= [\log |F'_2(re^{i\theta})|]^*. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2, Part A

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |f'(re^{i\theta})|) d\theta \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(\log |F'_2(re^{i\theta})|) d\theta.$$

The proof of the inequality

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(-\log|f'(re^{i\theta})|)d\theta \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi(-\log|F'_{2}(re^{i\theta})|)d\theta$$

is similar.

COROLLARY 2. For any f in  $J^*(p)$  and any real  $\lambda$ ,

(3.5) 
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda} d\theta \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F'_{2}(re^{i\theta})|^{\lambda} d\theta.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 3 with  $\Phi(x) = e^{tx}$ , t > 0. COROLLARY 3. If f is in  $J^*(p)$ , then for  $r \neq p$ 

(3.6) 
$$F'_{2}(-r) \leq |f'(re^{i\theta})| \leq F'_{2}(r)$$

where  $F'_2(z)$  is defined by (1.9).

618

The bounds in (3.6) are of course sharp in  $J^*(p)$  and the upper bound gives

$$\max_{|z|=r} |f'(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)$$

for f in  $J^*(p)$  and hence for f in  $I^*(p)$ . The order estimate O(1/(1-r)) can not be improved in  $I^*(p)$ .

To see this we will construct a function  $f_{\epsilon}$  for each  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , such that  $f_{\epsilon}$  is in  $I^*(p)$  and

$$\lim_{r\to 1} (1-r)^{\epsilon} |f_{\epsilon}'(r)| = \infty.$$

Given any  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , choose  $\epsilon'$  with  $\epsilon < \epsilon' < 1$ , then

$$G_{\epsilon}(z) = \frac{(1-z)^{1-\epsilon'}(1+z)^{1+\epsilon'}}{z}$$

is in  $\Sigma^{\ast},$  and

$$g_{\epsilon}(z) = \frac{-p(1-z)^{1-\epsilon'}(1+z)^{1+\epsilon'}}{(z-p)(1-pz)} = -\frac{pz}{(z-p)(1-pz)}G_{\epsilon}(z)$$

is in  $\Lambda^*(p)$ . Let

$$f_{\epsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{g_{\epsilon}'(0)}g_{\epsilon}(z),$$

then  $f_{\epsilon}$  is in  $I^*(p)$  and

$$\lim_{r\to 1} (1-r)^{\epsilon} |f_{\epsilon}'(r)| = \infty.$$

## 4. Coefficient estimates.

LEMMA 3. If g is in  $\Lambda^*(p)$  and

$$g(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

for |z| < p, then for  $n \ge 1$ 

(4.1) 
$$|b_n| \leq \frac{(1+p)(1-p^{2n})}{(1-p)p^n}.$$

Equality is attained in (4.1) by the function

$$g(z) = \frac{-p(1+z)^2}{(z-p)(1-pz)}.$$

*Proof.* Using a result of Jenkins [5] it was pointed out in [10] that (4.1) would be true for all n for which the Bieberbach conjecture is true. Thus, due to the proof of the conjecture by L. deBranges [2], we can say that (4.1) is valid for all n.

Using a comparison of coefficients, some detailed computations and Lemma 3, we can prove the following theorem. We omit the proof, choosing instead to prove a slightly different type of inequality in Theorem 5, which relates the coefficients of a function in  $J^*(p)$  to those of a function in  $I^*(p)$ .

THEOREM 4. If f is in  $J^*(p)$  and

$$f(z) = a_0 + z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

for |z| < p, then for  $n \ge 2$ 

$$|a_n| \le |a_n^{(2)}| = \frac{1-p^n}{p^{n-1}(1-p)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[ (2j+1) - \frac{2j(j+1)}{n} \right] p^j$$
$$= \frac{1-p^{2n}}{p^{n-1}} \frac{(1+p)}{(1-p)^3} \left[ 1 - \frac{4p(1-p^n)}{n(1+p^n)(1-p^2)} \right]$$

where  $a_n^{(2)}$  is the n-th coefficient of  $F_2$  in  $J^*(p)$  defined by (1.9).

In what follows we let  $\alpha_p = -\text{Res}((z-p)f';p)$ . Note that for f in  $I^*(p)$ ,  $\alpha_p = \text{Res}(f;p)$ .

LEMMA 4. For f in  $J^*(p)$ 

(4.2) 
$$\frac{p^2(1-p)}{(1+p)^3} \le |\alpha_p| \le \frac{p^2(1+p)}{(1-p)^3}.$$

These bounds are sharp, being attained by  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  given by (1.8) and (1.9).

*Proof.* For f in  $J^*(p)$ , there exists G in  $\Sigma^*$ , an  $\alpha, |\alpha| \leq \pi$ , and P in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  so that

$$f'(z) = \frac{-pe^{i\alpha}z}{(z-p)^2(1-pz)^2}G(z)P(z).$$

We then have

$$\alpha_p = \lim_{z \to p} (z - p)^2 f'(z) = [-p^2 e^{i\alpha} / (1 - p^2)^2] G(p) P(p).$$

Using well known bounds on |G(p)| and |P(p)|, we obtain (4.2).

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1989-027-7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

LEMMA 5. If h is in  $J^*(0)$  and

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + d\log z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

for 0 < |z| < 1, then

 $|d| \leq 4.$ 

Equality is attained by h in  $J^*(0)$ , defined by

$$h'(z) = \frac{-(1+z)^3}{z^2(1-z)}.$$

*Proof.* There exists  $G(z) = 1/z + B_0 + B_1 z + \cdots$  in  $\Sigma^*$ , and  $P(z) = p_0 + p_1 z + \cdots$  in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  so that

$$zh' = e^{i\alpha}G(z)P(z).$$

It follows that

(4.3) 
$$d = e^{i\alpha}(B_0p_0 + p_1).$$

It is well known that  $|B_0| \leq 2$  and  $|p_1| \leq 2|p_0| = 2$ . We thus obtain  $|d| \leq 4$ , from (4.3).

LEMMA 6. If f is in  $J^*(p)$  and

$$f(z) = \frac{\alpha_p}{(z-p)} + d\log(z-p) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-p)^n$$

for  $\{z : |z-p| < 1-p\} - \{z : p \le z < 1\}$ , then

$$\left|\frac{d}{\alpha_p}\right| \le \frac{4}{1-p^2}$$

and the bound is sharp.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 there exists h in  $J^*(0)$  so that

(4.4) 
$$\frac{f(z)}{\alpha_p} = \frac{1}{1-p^2}h\left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz}\right).$$

Let

(4.5) 
$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + c \log z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n z^n$$

for  $\{z : |z| < 1\} - \{z : 0 \le z < 1\}$ . Substituting (4.5) in (4.4) and equating coefficients, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{\alpha_p} = \frac{c}{1-p^2}.$$

Lemma 6 now follows by applying Lemma 5.

For sharpness, consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{\alpha_p}{1 - p^2} h\left(\frac{z - p}{1 - pz}\right)$$

where *h* in  $J^*(0)$  is the function given in Lemma 5 and  $\alpha_p = 1/h'(-p)$ . For this function we have

$$d/\alpha_p = -4/(1-p^2).$$

THEOREM 5. If f is in  $J^*(p)$  and

$$f(z) = a_0 + z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

for |z| < p, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{\sup_{f \in J^*(p)} \left| \frac{a_n}{\alpha_p} \right|}{\left| \frac{a_n^{(1)}}{\alpha_p^{(1)}} \right|} \right] = 1$$

where  $a_n^{(1)}$  and  $\alpha_p^{(1)}$  are the coefficients in the expansion about z = 0 and the residue at z = p of

$$f_1(z) = \frac{-p^2(1-z)^2}{(1-p)^2(z-p)(1-pz)},$$

which is in  $I^*(p)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\frac{(1+p)}{2} < R < 1$  and sufficiently small  $\delta$ ,

(4.6) 
$$na_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{f'(w)}{w^n} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-p|=\delta} \frac{f'(w)}{w^n} dw.$$

The first integral on the right side of (4.6) can be bounded by using Corollary 2 and the inequality

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1-re^{i\theta}|} \leq C \log \frac{1}{1-r},$$

where C is a constant independent of r [13].

$$(4.7) \qquad \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{f'(w)}{w^n} dw \right| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2\pi R^{n-1}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_2'(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi R^{n-1}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{p^2 |1 + Re^{i\theta}|^3 d\theta}{|Re^{i\theta} - p|^2|1 - pRe^{i\theta}|^2|1 - Re^{i\theta}|} \\ \leq \frac{p^2 (1+R)^3}{2\pi R^{n-1} (R-p)^2 (1-pR)^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1 - Re^{i\theta}|} \\ \leq \frac{C}{R^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{1-R}\right)$$

where C is a constant independent of f and R. For the second integral, we note that

$$1 \int f'(w) = (f'(z))$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-p|=\delta} \frac{f'(w)}{w^n} dw = \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{z^n}; p\right).$$

Let

$$f'(z) = -\frac{\alpha_p}{(z-p)^2} + \frac{d}{(z-p)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z-p)^n$$

for |z - p| < 1 - p, then

$$\frac{f'(z)}{z^n} = \frac{-\alpha_p}{p^n(z-p)^2} + \left(\frac{d}{p^n} + \frac{n\alpha_p}{p^{n+1}}\right)\frac{1}{z-p} + \cdots$$

for |z - p| < 1 - p. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w-p|=\delta}\frac{f'(w)}{w^n}dw=\frac{\alpha_p}{p^{n+1}}\left[\frac{pd}{\alpha_p}+n\right].$$

Using Lemma 6, we obtain

(4.8) 
$$\left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|w-p|=\delta}\frac{f'(w)}{w^n}dw\right| \leq \frac{|\alpha_p|}{p^{n+1}}\left[\frac{4p}{1-p^2}+n\right].$$

Combining (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) we have,

(4.9) 
$$n|a_n| \leq \frac{-C\log(1-R)}{R^n} + \frac{|\alpha_p|}{p^{n+1}} \left[\frac{4p}{1-p^2} + n\right].$$

Using the fact that  $1/|\alpha_p| \leq (1+p)^3/p^2(1-p)$  from Lemma 4, we obtain for R > (1+p)/2.

$$\left|\frac{a_n}{\alpha_p}\right| \leq \frac{1}{p^{n+1}} \left[\frac{-Cp(p/R)^n \log(1-R)}{n|\alpha_p|} + \frac{4p}{n(1-p^2)} + 1\right]$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{p^{n+1}} \left[\frac{C_{R,p}}{n} + 1\right]$$

where  $C_{R,p}$  is a constant independent of n and f. Since

$$\left|\frac{a_n^{(1)}}{\alpha_p^{(1)}}\right| = \frac{1 - p^{2n}}{p^{n+1}}$$

we obtain from (4.9)

$$1 \leq \frac{\sup_{f \in J^*(p)} \left| \frac{a_n}{\alpha_n} \right|}{\left| \frac{a_n^{(1)}}{\alpha_p^{(1)}} \right|} \leq \frac{1}{1 - p^{2n}} \left[ \frac{C_{R,p}}{n} + 1 \right].$$

We thus obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{f \in J^*(p)} \left| \frac{a_n}{\alpha_p} \right|}{\left| \frac{a_n^{(1)}}{\alpha_p^{(1)}} \right|} = 1.$$

## REFERENCES

- 1. A. Baernstein, Integral means, univalent functions and circular symmetrization, Acta Math. 133 (1974), 139–169.
- 2. L. deBranges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Acta. Math. 154 (1985), 137-152.
- 3. P. J. Eenigenberg and A. E. Livingston, *Meromorphic starlike functions*, Rocky Mountain J. 11 (1981), 441–457.
- 4. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities* (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959).
- J. A. Jenkins, On a conjecture of Goodman concerning meromorphic univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 25–27.
- 6. W. E. Kirwan and G. Schober, *Extremal problems for meromorphic univalent functions*, J. D'Analyse Math. 30 (1976), 330–348.
- 7. Y. J. Leung, Integral means of the derivatives of some univalent functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11 (1979), 289–294.
- 8. R. J. Libera and A. E. Livingston, Weakly starlike meromorphic univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (1975), 181–191.
- 9. R. J. Libera and M. S. Robertson, *Meromorphic close-to-convex functions*, Michigan Math. J. 8 (1961), 167–175.
- A. E. Livingston, Weakly starlike meromorphic univalent functions II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), 47–53.
- 11. A class of meromorphic starlike functions, Rocky Mountain J. 13, (1983), 259–269.

- J. Miller, Convex and starlike meromorphic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 607– 613.
- 13. Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 259–269.

University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware