Dietary inflammation index and osteoarthritis in the elderly: is there a mediating role of physical activity?
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Abstract

We examined whether physical activity (PA) explains the association between dietary inflammatory potential and osteoarthritis (OA) in the elderly. A total of 1249 elderly people (≥65 years) were eligible for this study from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) from 2011 to 2016. The semi-quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) and Global PA Questionnaire (GPAQ) were used to evaluate the diet and PA of the elderly, respectively. The multivariable logistic regression model estimated the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) between Energy-adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index (E-DII) and OA. The interaction of E-DII and PA on depressive events was tested, and the mediation analysis of PA was performed. The average E-DII in this study was 4.26 (most pro-inflammatory). In comparison with the first quartile, the elderly from the second quartile (OR: 1.16 [95% CI: 1.06, 1.26]) to the fourth quartile (OR: 1.64 [95% CI: 1.13, 2.37]) had a higher risk of OA before adjustment for PA. An interaction was observed between E-DII and PA in terms of the risk of OA (Pinteraction < 0.001). The whole related part was mediated by PA (20.08%). Our findings indicated that the higher pro-inflammatory potential of diet was associated with a higher risk of OA, and low PA was an important part of the mediating factor in the relationship between systemic low-grade dietary inflammation and the risk of OA.
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Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common degenerative joint disease in the USA and the fastest cause of disability and pain. The prevalence of OA among American adults 20 years and older had increased from 6.6% in 1999 to 14.3% in 2014(1). The number of OA cases in the USA is expected to increase partly due to the obesity epidemic and the ageing population. Globally, approximately 18% of women aged over 60 and 9.6% of men have symptomatic OA. In addition, OA has been estimated to affect approximately 18% of women aged over 60 and 9% of men aged over 60(2). The prevalence of OA among American adults 20 years and older had increased from 6.6% in 1999 to 14.3% in 2014(1). The number of OA cases in the USA is expected to increase partly due to the obesity epidemic and the ageing population. Globally, approximately 18% of women aged over 60 and 9.6% of men have symptomatic OA. In addition, OA has been estimated to affect 130 million people by 2050(2).

Inflammation has been reported to play a potential role in the development of OA(3). This role is already recognised through several features. For example, synovitis (defined as the inflammation of the synovium) is a common early symptom in patients with OA of the joint(4). Epidemiological studies have shown that serum C-reactive protein levels are significantly associated with the incidence and progression of OA(5). Other studies have demonstrated a positive association between the serum levels of C-reactive protein and the histological evidence of synovitis before joint replacement(6,7). These observations strongly suggest that the systemic inflammation observed in OA reflects, at least in part, local synovial inflammation.

The dietary inflammation index (DII) is a literature-derived dietary tool that is used to assess the overall inflammatory potential of an individual’s diet(8). The association of high DII values with the risk of OA has been reported(9).

Abbreviations: DII, dietary inflammation index; MET, metabolic equivalents of task; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; OA, osteoarthritis; PA, physical activity.
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with high serum IL-6 and TNF-α levels suggests a strong relationship between this index and inflammatory parameters. DII is also used to assess the association of dietary inflammation with metabolic syndrome, asthma, breast cancer, colorectal cancer and fractures\(^\text{10,11,12,13}\). However, to our knowledge, no study has explored the relationship between DII and OA.

Physical activity (PA) is related to the regulation of the innate immune system and the treatment of OA\(^\text{14}\). For example, regular PA may increase the body’s serotonin synthesis, improve noradrenergic neurotransmission, trigger the release of endorphins and reduce the long-term increased sympathetic nervous system activity, thereby reducing systemic inflammation in the elderly\(^\text{15}\). In addition, individuals who regularly engage in PA are less likely to develop symptoms of OA in the future than those who do not. The possible mechanism is PA can prevent inflammation of chondrocytes and cartilage matrix by reducing IL-6 and TNF-α and other OA-related inflammatory markers, delaying the degeneration of articular cartilage, thereby improving joint function. At the same time, it may increase the level of protective inflammation markers in the body to inhibit the effect of OA progression\(^\text{16,17}\). Therefore, regular PA may play a central role in maintaining physical and mental health. However, until now, no studies have examined whether PA acts as an intermediate mechanism in the relationship between low-grade systemic dietary inflammation and subsequent OA.

Therefore, on the basis of the data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), the present study aims to assess the association between E-DII and OA prospectively and to evaluate the potential interactions and the mediating role of PA in this relationship.

**Methods**

**Study population**

In the present study, we analysed the data collected from NHANES. NHANES is a cross-sectional study designed to assess the health and nutritional status of adults and children in the USA, which is conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The data were collected through questionnaire surveys, physical examinations, household interviews including demographic, socio-economic, dietary, health-related questions and examinations, and laboratory tests. Detailed information about survey design and methodologies can be found elsewhere\(^\text{18}\). In this study, a total of 1249 participants aged 65 years were selected from the 2011–2016 NHANES (Fig. 1).

**Energy-Adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index**

There are some studies on the development and verification of DII in detail\(^\text{19}\). Research from more than 1900 peer-reviewed publications forms the basis of DII. The ‘Inflammatory Effect Score’ was created from these peer-reviewed publications for each DII food parameter, based on their impact on inflammatory cytokines. The calculation of the dietary inflammatory index links the personal dietary data obtained in each clinical study with the global average intake\(^\text{19}\). The world database provides standard averages and deviations of all DII food parameters. For each food parameter, create a Z-score by subtracting the individual’s estimated intake from the standard average. It is then divided by the world standard deviation and converted to a distribution centred at 0 and bounded between −1 and +1. This value is then multiplied by the inflammatory effect score for each food parameter, and then all food parameters are added together to create an overall DII score. The more positive scores mean the more pro-inflammatory diet, the more negative values, the stronger the anti-inflammatory effect\(^\text{20}\).

DII calculation formula:

\[
DII = \sum Z \text{score}^2 \times \text{the inflammatory effect score of each dietary component}
\]

In this study, twenty-seven of the forty-five food parameters can be obtained through NHANES data, including grams of alcohol, protein, fibre, fat, carbohydrates, cholesterol, \(n-3\) and \(n-6\) polyunsaturated fatty acids, saturated fatty acids/MUFA/PUFA, Mg, niacin, Zn, Fe, riboflavin, folic acid, β-carotene, caffeine, Se, thiamine and vitamins A, B\(_6\), B\(_{12}\), C, D and E. DII scores range from negative tail to positive tail, more negative values indicate anti-inflammatory properties and corrected scores indicate pro-inflammatory properties. E-DII represents the energy-adjusted amount of DII per 1000 energies in food intake to explain the impact of total intake on energy intake.

**Physical activity**

In NHANES, Participants self-reported their activity patterns through questions derived from the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire\(^\text{21}\). Each participant was required to recall the type, frequency (exercise days per week) and duration (exercise times/d) of PA they had undertaken during the past 7 d for a minimum of 10 min, including moderate and vigorous intensity related to work, transport and recreational activities.

Moderate/vigorous intensity activities are defined as activities that require moderate/hard physical effort and cause small/large increases in breathing or heart rate. Work-related physical activities are described as paid or unpaid work, household chores and yard work. For recreational PA, the information referred to sports, fitness and recreational activities. The active transportation domain referred to all walking and bicycling activities.

The metabolic equivalents of task (MET) are a unit used to describe the energy expenditure of a specific activity, where 1 MET refers to \(3.5 \text{~} \text{ml} \text{O}_2 \text{~kg}^{-1} \text{~min}^{-1}\). The suggested MET is 8-0 for vigorous work-related activity and vigorous leisure-time PA, while for moderate work-related activity, walking or bicycling for transportation and moderate leisure-time PA is 4-0\(^\text{22}\). Each PA is assessed by MET-min/week by multiplying the days spent performing this activity by the mean duration by the suggested MET and sum each activity value to obtain an estimate of total PA.
Osteoarthritis status

Information regarding the assessment of OA was obtained by self-report questionnaire. A previous study demonstrated great consistency (85%) between self-reported OA and clinically confirmed OA[23]. Participants were asked, ‘Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you had arthritis?’ If the answer was yes, a follow-up question was ‘Which type of arthritis was it?’ The participants were classified as OA groups based on the answers to the latter question. Those who did not have or had any signs or symptoms of arthritis were placed in the no arthritis group.

Study covariates

According to previous research, the multivariable model contains latent variables that confuse the association between E-DII and OA, which include age (continuous), race/ethnicity (category), BMI category, poverty:income ratio (continuous), hypertension (category), diabetes (category) and serum Ca (continuous) and serum phosphorus (continuous). NHANES calculated the BMI categories defined as four levels: underweight (BMI $< 18.5$), normal weight ($18.5 \leq \text{BMI} < 24.0$), overweight ($24.0 \leq \text{BMI} < 28.0$) and obesity ($\text{BMI} \geq 28.0$). Poverty:
income ratio is calculated by dividing the family income (based on the poverty criteria specific to the size of the family) by the year and state and is used to embody the socio-economic status of the participant in the entire family. Race/ethnicity is coded as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic (Mexican American and other Hispanics), etc.

**Statistical analyses**

The baseline characteristics of the study population were reported as the median (interquartile range, IQR) of continuous variables and the number (percentage) of categorical variables. We used a logistic proportional hazard model to estimate OR and 95% CI. DII is modelled in three different ways. For our main analysis, E-DII was included as a continuous variable, and we used an estimate of the effect of the 1-sd increase (Z-score) in E-DII. This method assumes a linear relationship between E-DII and OA. To verify the hypothesis, we then used a multivariable restricted cubic spline and placed three nodes at the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles of the E-DII distribution node to provide a graphical representation. The spline curve allows us to evaluate whether there was a significant difference from the linear correlation. Finally, we divided E-DII into quarters and used the first quartile group as the reference category in the logistic model.

Three models were proposed: the first model did not adjust for confounding factors; the second model further adjusted for known risk factors for depression and potential confounding factors, such as age, gender, BMI, education level, marital status, race, hypertension, diabetes, serum Ca and phosphorus concentrations; and the third model further adjusted PA. Confounding factors were pre-selected based on previously published articles and were associated with OA and E-DII\(^{24,25}\).

The interaction of E-DII and PA on the risk of OA was estimated by including a multiplication term between the two variables in the logistic model. Considering statistical significance, we examined the association between E-DII and OA stratified by quartile based on PA.

Regression-based mediation analysis was used to distinguish the direct effect of adherence to E-DII on the risk of depression and the indirect effect mediated by BMI. Three estimates were obtained as follows:

- Total effect, that is, the overall association between E-DII and the risk of depression, including the association mediated by BMI.
- Direct effect, that is, the association between E-DII and depression risk, adjusted according to BMI.
- Indirect effect, that is, the association between E-DII and depression risk is mediated by BMI.

In addition, to effectively understand the complex relationship between E-DII, PA and OA, we used a counterfactual mediation model that allows exposure of mediators to interact. According to this model, PA represents the relationship between E-DII and OA\(^{26-28}\).

All statistical analyses were performed using the software package R (http://www.R-project.org, The R Foundation). A two-tailed \(p\)-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

A total of 1249 participants were eligible for this study (median (interquartile range, IQR) age, 72 (68–78) years; 701 (56.1%) male), of which 401 (32.1%) cases of OA were identified based on self-report. The average E-DII in this study was +0.68 (se 0.08), and the score ranged from −5.32 (most anti-inflammatory) to +4.26 (most pro-inflammatory). Table 1 shows the distribution of characteristics across E-DII quartiles. Compared with subjects in the most anti-inflammatory E-DII category, the most pro-inflammatory subjects were more likely to be young, male, college degree or above, non-Hispanic white, normal weight, had lower serum Ca and phosphorus concentrations (\(p < 0.05\)) (Table 1).

**Energy-adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index and osteoarthritis**

Table 2 shows the crude and adjusted logistic regression results, describing the odds of OA compared with non-OA. In the first two models, E-DII was positively associated with the risk of OA in the second quartile (OR: 1.16 (95% CI: 1.06, 1.60)) to the fourth quartile (OR: 1.64 (95% CI: 1.13, 2.37)) compared with the first quartile. The analysis using E-DII to add 1-sd produced similar results (model 2: OR: 1.12 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.19)). The spline variable confirmed that E-DII was non-linearly related to the risk of OA (\(p = 0.012\)), and the graph shows that the increase in E-DII was accompanied by an increase in the risk of OA (as shown in Fig. 2). After including PA (model 3), all associations weakened and became invalid (\(p > 0.05\)) (Table 2).

**Energy-adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index and osteoarthritis risk stratified by physical activity category**

Table 3 shows the association between E-DII and OA stratified by quartile categories of PA. An interaction between E-DII and PA on the risk of OA was observed (\(p_{interaction} < 0.001\)). When stratified by PA category, among people with low levels of PA, the risk of E-DII and OA were significantly positively correlated (model 2: OR: 2.12 (95% CI: 1.46, 3.06), fourth quartile of E-DII vs. the first quartile). At the same time, in people with high levels of PA, low anti-inflammatory levels showed a protective effect on OA (model 2: OR: 0.75 (95% CI: 0.51, 0.90), the second quartile of E-DII vs. the first quartile), and no significant association was observed in other populations.

**The mediating role of BMI**

The result of the mediation analysis is shown in Fig. 3. First, we hypothesised a simple mediation model without the effect of the E-DII × PA interaction on the risk of OA. An increase in E-DII was associated with an increased risk of OA. This effect (22.82%) could be explained by the significant indirect effect of PA (natural indirect effect: OR: 1.058 (95% CI: 1.012, 1.126), as shown in Fig. 3(a)). Then, based on the aforementioned interaction of E-DII and PA on the risk of OA, we used a mediation model that
Table 1. Distribution of characteristics across quartiles of Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII) in National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, USA, 2011–2016.* (Mean values and standard deviations; numbers and percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Quartile 1 (n 312)</th>
<th>Quartile 2 (n 312)</th>
<th>Quartile 3 (n 313)</th>
<th>Quartile 4 (n 312)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>68.0–76.0</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>69.0–80.0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>&lt;18.50 (underweight)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.50–24.00 (normal weight)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.00 ≤ BMI &lt; 28.00 (overweight)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMI ≥ 28.00 (obese)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Less than 9th grade</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9th–11th grade</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school grad/GED or equivalent</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some college or AA degree</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College grad or above</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Hispanic</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Hispanic White</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other/multi-racial</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>Q1 (Mets ≤ 560)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q2 (560 &lt; Mets ≤ 1440)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 (1440 &lt; Mets ≤ 3360)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q4 (Mets &gt; 3360)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum calcium (mg/dl)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.2–9.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.2–9.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphorus (mg/dl)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4–4.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4–4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PIR, poverty:income ratio.

* Quartile 1: −5.32 to −0.08; Quartile 2: −0.07 to 1.22; Quartile 3: 1.23 to 2.26; Quartile 4: 2.27 to 4.26.
allows exposure–media interaction. According to this model, PA was both a mediating factor and an influencing factor in the relationship between E-DII and OA. An increase in E-DII was also associated with an increased risk of OA (natural indirect effect OR: 1·056 (95 % CI: 1·012, 1·092) and OR: 1·032 (95 % CI: 1·016, 1·068)), but the proportion explained by the indirect influence of PA was low (20·08 %, shown in Fig. 3(b)).

Discussion

On the basis of the baseline data of 1249 elderly people in the NHANES, we found a positive and nonlinear relationship between the baseline inflammation characteristics of diets and the risk of OA and that this relationship was independent of most known or potential risk factors or confounding factors. Participants with a higher DII (corresponding to the increased proinflammatory potential of diets) had a higher risk of OA than participants with a lower DII (corresponding to anti-inflammatory diets). This association was partly mediated by PA and its interaction with DII.

Observational studies on single dietary ingredients have not been confirmed through randomised trials. For example, compared with placebos, vitamin E supplements are ineffective, and vitamin D does not have a clinically important effect on OA joint pain(29). However, people do not consume nutrients or food in isolation. In the past few years, people have increasingly emphasised the importance of diet as a whole(30,31). Using the dietary index to quantify the inflammatory potential of the entire diet may increase the robustness and effectiveness of detecting the relationship between diseases(30). DII and logically expanded E-DII explain the relationship between various nutrients and foods and their underlying inflammation, and evidence showing that these nutrients and foods exert a proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory effect in diets exists(21). Our research is an improvement on previous observational studies that focused on single dietary components. This is a study that used DII to evaluate the relationship between the inflammatory potential of diets and OA. Our findings, if demonstrated in other large-scale prospective studies, may provide information for the development of prevention strategies with increased emphasis on the overall effect of diets for this highly prevalent debilitating disease.

Table 2. Risk of osteoarthritis according to quartile groups of Energy-Adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index (E-DII) (n 1249)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile groups of E-DII</th>
<th>Participants without OA</th>
<th>Participants with OA</th>
<th>Model 1a</th>
<th>Model 2b</th>
<th>Model 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For 1-SD increase</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>1·08</td>
<td>1·01, 1·17</td>
<td>1·12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>26·1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>22·7</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>24·4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>26·2</td>
<td>1·22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>25·8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>23·4</td>
<td>1·34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>23·7</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>27·7</td>
<td>0·016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OA, osteoarthritis; PIR, poverty: income ratio; PA, physical activity.

a Model 1: unadjusted.
b Model 2: age, sex, BMI, education level, race, hypertension, diabetes, marital status, PIR, serum Ca and phosphorus.

c Model 3: further adjusted for PA.

Fig. 3. Mediation analyses without (a) and with (b) an interaction between Energy-Adjusted Dietary Inflammatory Index (E-DII) and physical activity (PA) on the risk of osteoarthritis (OA). (a) Logistic model adjusted for age, sex, BMI, education level, race, hypertension, diabetes, marital status, poverty: income ratio (PIR), serum calcium and phosphorus. (b) The 95 % CI of these estimates was computed using the bootstrap method (1000 samples).
that PA had a central role in bone health and the regulation of systemic inflammation. The consistency of this finding with previous results indicated the mediation of the relationship between systemic low-grade inflammation and PA. In this study, low PA was found to be significantly associated with an increased risk of OA. In addition, elevated DII was significantly associated with a reduced risk of OA in people aged 50 years and older. Moreover, previous studies have revealed a correlation between systemic inflammation and PA. In this study, low PA was found to be significantly associated with an increased risk of OA. In addition, elevated DII was significantly associated with low PA. Therefore, our results indicated that individuals with elevated levels of systemic inflammation may be less likely to exercise moderately or vigorously every week than those without. This situation may increase the risk of experiencing elevated levels of OA. Importantly, PA explained approximately one-fifth of the total effect of systemic dietary inflammation on the subsequent elevation of OA. Future research needs to identify other intermediate mechanisms that explain this relationship. Our findings indicated that conventional PA may not only be a valuable tool for preventing OA but may also have potential anti-inflammatory effects.
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addition, regular PA can increase the body’s serotonin synthesis, improve noradrenergic neurotransmission, trigger the release of endorphins and reduce long-term increased sympathetic nervous system activity. PA is also related to the increase in muscle-derived IL-6. In this case, IL-6 can act as an anti-inflammatory muscle factor by inhibiting TNF-α. Importantly, these shared biological mechanisms play a major role in the pathophysiology of OA.

Our research still has some limitations. First of all, the main limitation of the current design is that NHANES is a cross-sectional database and thus severely hindered our ability to perform causal reasoning. Second, dietary intake was self-reported, with recall bias, estimated on the basis of a 24-h history, and could not reflect changes in daily dietary intake. Among the forty-five possible food parameters, only twenty-eight can be used for the calculation of DII, and previous studies have successfully verified the modified DII (twenty-eight items) in NHANES. However, these unbalanced twenty-seven items (if they are not equally divided into proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory items) may also erroneously distort the inflammatory potential of diets. Third, the measurement of PA was based on self-reporting rather than objective methods, and the risk of self-reporting bias was present. Finally, the judgements of study participants’ OA, diabetes and hypertension were based on self-report, and there is no clinical diagnosis.

Conclusion

In summary, our findings indicated that a diet with high proinflammatory potential was associated with a higher risk of OA in the elderly, and PA was one of the main mediators that may occur here. Our findings may provide some evidence for understanding the underlying mechanisms of dietary inflammation, PA and OA. Considering the cross-sectional design of NHANES, it is necessary to conduct further prospective cohort studies.
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