

REMARKS ON WEAK COMPACTNESS IN $L_1(\mu, X)$

by J. DIESTEL†

(Received 18 September, 1975; revised 18 November, 1975)

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space and X a Banach space. Denote by $L_1(\mu, X)$ the Banach space of (equivalence classes of) μ -strongly measurable X -valued Bochner integrable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ normed by

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega).$$

The problem of characterizing the relatively weakly compact subsets of $L_1(\mu, X)$ remains open. It is known that for a bounded subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$ to be relatively weakly compact it is *necessary* that the set be uniformly integrable; recall that $K \subseteq L_1(\mu, X)$ is uniformly integrable whenever given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\mu(E) \leq \delta$ then $\int_E \|f\| d\mu \leq \varepsilon$, for all $f \in K$. S. Chatterji has noted that in case X is reflexive this condition is also sufficient [4]. At present unless one assumes that both X and X^* have the Radon-Nikodym Property (see [1]), a rather severe restriction which, for purposes of potential applicability, is tantamount to assuming reflexivity, no good sufficient conditions for weak compactness in $L_1(\mu, X)$ exist. This note puts forth such sufficient conditions; the basic tool is the recent factorization method of W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pelczynski [3].

First, we present a method of recognizing many weakly compact sets in $L_1(\mu, X)$ *provided* one has a starting point. Later, we present several possible starting points.

Before presenting the first result, recall some basic facts about $L_1(\mu, X)$ and its dual space.

An additive set function $F: \Sigma \rightarrow X^*$ (the continuous dual of X) is said to be μ -Lipschitz whenever there exists $k > 0$ such that $\|F(E)\| \leq k\mu(E)$, for all $E \in \Sigma$. The space of μ -Lipschitz X^* -valued maps is denoted by $V_{\infty}(\mu, X^*)$. If $F \in V_{\infty}(\mu, X^*)$ then the Lipschitz norm of F is given by

$$\|F\| = \inf \{k > 0 : \|F(E)\| \leq k\mu(E), \text{ for all } E \in \Sigma\}.$$

If $F \in V_{\infty}(\mu, X^*)$ and $f = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \chi_{A_i}$ is an X -valued simple function modeled on Σ then

$\int f dF = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i F(A_i)$ is a well-defined scalar satisfying $|\int f dF| \leq \|F\| \|f\|_1$. Therefore, $\int dF$ extends in a unique manner to a linear functional $\int dF$ defined on all of $L_1(\mu, X)$. It is well known and easily established that each member of $L_1(\mu, X)^*$ is thus obtained; that is $V_{\infty}(\mu, X^*)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L_1(\mu, X)^*$ with the correspondence between $F \in V_{\infty}(\mu, X^*)$ and $\varphi \in L_1(\mu, X)^*$ given by

$$\varphi(f) = \int f dF.$$

† Supported in part by NSF grant MPS 08050.

Glasgow Math. J. **18** (1977) 87-91.

For more details regarding $L_1(\mu, X)^*$ we refer the reader to the book of N. Dinculeanu [5].

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a bounded uniformly integrable subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$. Suppose K satisfies the following condition: (*) given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable set Ω_ε such that $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon$ has measure no more than ε and such that $\{f\chi_{\Omega_\varepsilon} : f \in K\}$ is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_\varepsilon}, X)$. Then K is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\mu, X)$.*

Proof. Let (f_n) be a sequence of members of K . By (*) there exists a set $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega$ such that $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_1) < 1$ and such that $\{f\chi_{\Omega_1} : f \in K\}$ is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_1}, X)$. Choose a subsequence $(f_n^{(1)})$ of (f_n) and an $f^1 \in L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_1}, X)$ such that

$$f_n^{(1)}\chi_{\Omega_1} \rightarrow f^1 \text{ weakly in } L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_1}, X).$$

We may clearly assume that f^1 is defined on all of Ω and vanishes off Ω_1 . Now use (*) to manufacture a measurable set Ω_2 such that $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_2) < 1/2$ and $\{f\chi_{\Omega_2} : f \in K\}$ is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_2}, X)$. We may assume that $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$. There then exists a subsequence $(f_n^{(2)})$ of $(f_n^{(1)})$ and a function $f^2 \in L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_2}, X)$ such that

$$f_n^{(2)}\chi_{\Omega_2} \rightarrow f^2 \text{ weakly in } L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_2}, X).$$

Clearly, we may assume f^2 is defined on all of Ω , vanishes off Ω_2 and $f^2(x) = f^1(x)$ ($x \in \Omega_1$).

The procedure is now clear. We obtain by repeated use of (*) a sequence (Ω_n) of measurable subsets of Ω with $\Omega_n \subseteq \Omega_{n+1}$ for all n , $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_n) < 1/n$ and a sequence of subsequences $(f_n^{(k)})$ where each $(f_n^{(k+1)})$ is a subsequence of $(f_n^{(k)})$ with $f_n^0 = f_n$ and a sequence f^k of functions defined on Ω such that for each k , $f^{k+1}(x) = f^k(x)$ ($x \in \Omega_k$), f^k vanishes off Ω_k and

$$f_n^{(k)}\chi_{\Omega_k} \rightarrow f^k\chi_{\Omega_k} \text{ weakly in } L_1(\mu|_{\Omega_k}, X).$$

Define $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ in the obvious fashion; that is f is the almost everywhere limit of f^k 's. We claim that $f \in L_1(\mu, X)$ and $f_n^{(n)} \rightarrow f$ weakly in $L_1(\mu, X)$.

By K 's uniform integrability, $\overline{\text{co}} \{f\chi_E : f \in K, E \in \Sigma\} = H$ is also uniformly integrable. By Mazur's theorem, each f^k belongs to H . Therefore (f^k) is a bounded uniformly integrable sequence of functions in $L_1(\mu, X)$ which converges almost everywhere to f . It follows from Vitali's convergence theorem (see [2]) that $f \in L_1(\mu, X)$ and $\|f - f^k\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Now let us show that $(f_n^{(n)})$ converges weakly to f . To this end, let $F \in V_\infty(\mu, X^*)$. From the previous paragraph $\{f - f_n^{(n)} : n \in N\}$ is uniformly integrable and so, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(E) \leq \delta$ implies $\int_E \|f - f_n^{(n)}\| d\mu \leq \varepsilon/2(1 + \|F\|)$. Choose m so that $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_m) \leq \delta$. Then for $n \geq m$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_m} [f - f_n^{(n)}] dF \right| \leq \|F\| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_m} \|f - f_n^{(n)}\| d\mu < \varepsilon/2.$$

Now choose $n_0 \geq m$ so that if $n \geq n_0$ then $\left| \int_{\Omega_m} f^n - f_n^{(n)} dF \right| < \varepsilon/2$. Then for $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(f) - F(f_n^{(n)})| &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega_n} [f - f_n^{(n)}] dF \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_n} [f - f_n^{(n)}] dF \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega_n} [f^n - f_n^{(n)}] dF \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_n} [f - f_n^{(n)}] dF \right| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. *Let K be a weakly compact convex subset of X and consider the set $\tilde{K} = \{f \in L_1(\mu, X) : f(\omega) \in K \text{ for almost all } \omega \in \Omega\}$. \tilde{K} is weakly compact in $L_1(\mu, X)$.*

Proof. It is obvious that \tilde{K} is convex and closed (hence by Mazur's theorem weakly closed) in $L_1(\mu, X)$.

By [3], there exists a reflexive Banach space Y and a one-to-one continuous linear operator $T: Y \rightarrow X$ such that K is the image under T of some weakly compact convex set $J \subseteq Y$. Note that T is weakly continuous; hence $T|_J$ is a weak homeomorphism. Next, note that T "lifts" in a natural way to a continuous linear operator \tilde{T} from $L_1(\mu, Y)$ to $L_1(\mu, X)$. Moreover, the lifting of T to \tilde{T} takes $\{g \in L_1(\mu, Y) : g(\omega) \in J \text{ for a.a. } \omega \in \Omega\} = \tilde{J}$ onto \tilde{K} . (This needs proof: it is clear that \tilde{T} takes \tilde{J} into \tilde{K} . Let $f \in \tilde{K}$. Then define $g: \Omega \rightarrow J$ by $g(\omega) = T^{-1}f(\omega)$ if $f(\omega) \in K$ and $g(\omega) = 0$ otherwise; $g(\omega) \in J$ for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$. Also, g is strongly measurable. In fact, f is strongly measurable and, therefore, is weakly measurable and essentially (weakly) separably valued. T^{-1} is a weak homeomorphism on K , and so $T^{-1}f$, which coincides with g (μ almost everywhere), is weakly measurable and has weakly (hence norm) separable essential range.) By Chatterji's result, \tilde{J} is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\mu, Y)$, and so $\tilde{K} = \tilde{T}\tilde{J}$ is weakly compact.

REMARK. The above result also holds for $1 < p < \infty$; in this case, $L_p(\mu, Y)$ is reflexive.

It follows from the Krein-Šmulian theorem that if K is a relatively weakly compact set in X then the closed convex hull of K is weakly compact and so by Theorem 2 or the previous remark we have

$$\tilde{K}_p = \{f \in L_p(\mu, X) : f(\omega) \in K \text{ for a.a. } \omega \in \Omega\}$$

is relatively weakly compact.

The next result follows immediately from Theorems 1 and 2.

COROLLARY 3. *Let \tilde{K} be a bounded uniformly integrable subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$. Suppose that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable set Ω_ε and a weakly compact set $K_\varepsilon \subseteq X$ such that $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and for each $f \in \tilde{K}$, $f(\omega) \in K_\varepsilon$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega_\varepsilon$. Then \tilde{K} is a relatively weakly compact subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$.*

Proceeding similarly as in Theorem 2 one can prove the next theorem. However, the proof can be given without use of factorization and so we do it in that way.

THEOREM 4. *Let K be a weakly compact subset of X and J a bounded uniformly integrable subset of $L_1(\mu)$. Then $\tilde{K} = \{f(\cdot)x : f \in J, x \in K\}$ is a relatively weakly compact subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$.*

Proof. We note the following characterization of Banach spaces X possessing the Dunford–Pettis property [7]: a Banach space X has the Dunford–Pettis property if and only if given any Banach space Y and any weakly compact sets K, J in Y and X respectively $K \otimes J = \{k \otimes j : k \in K, j \in J\}$ is weakly compact in $Y \hat{\otimes} X$ —the projective tensor product of Y with X . Pertinent remarks here are that all $L_1(\mu)$ spaces have the Dunford–Pettis property [7] and Grothendieck [8] has shown that $L_1(\mu, X)$ is identifiable with $L_1(\mu) \hat{\otimes} X$ in a natural manner.

REMARK. A word or two on the aforementioned characterization of the Dunford–Pettis property is appropriate. It is well known that a Banach space X has the Dunford–Pettis property if and only if given weakly convergent sequences (x_n) in X and (x_n^*) in X^* one of which has limit zero, then $\lim_n x_n^* x_n = 0$ (cf. [9, pp. 263–6]). With this in mind, suppose X has the Dunford–Pettis property. To prove that the tensor of weakly compact sets, one factor from each of X and Y , is weakly compact, it suffices to show that if (x_n) is weakly null in X and y_n is weakly null in Y , then $x_n \otimes y_n$ is weakly null in $X \hat{\otimes} Y$. However, the dual of $X \hat{\otimes} Y$ is identifiable with the space of continuous linear operators from Y to X^* , where the action of such an operator T on $x \otimes y$ is given by $T(y)(x)$. If (y_n) is weakly null, then (Ty_n) is weakly null in X^* and so $(Ty_n)(x_n)$ is null by the assumption of the Dunford–Pettis property for X . The converse is even easier since one need only test $Y = X^*$ and evaluate the trace functional.

COROLLARY 5. Let \tilde{K} be a bounded uniformly integrable subset of $L_1(\mu, X)$. Suppose that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a measurable set Ω_ε with $\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$, a bounded uniformly integrable subset J_ε of $L_1(\Omega_\varepsilon, \mu|_{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ and a weakly compact set $K_\varepsilon \subseteq X$ such that if $f \in \tilde{K}$ then f admits a representation $f(\omega) = \sum_n \lambda_n f_n(\omega) x_n$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, for some sequence (λ_n) of scalars with $\sum_n |\lambda_n| \leq 1$, $f_n \in J_\varepsilon$, $x_n \in K_\varepsilon$. Then \tilde{K} is relatively weakly compact in $L_1(\mu, X)$.

REMARK. One might hope that Corollary 3 contains the sought after necessary condition for weak compactness in $L_1(\mu, X)$. This hope is destined to doom. Professor J. J. Uhl has noted that if X is not reflexive but X^* has the Radon–Nikodym property then proceeding as in [1], the sequence $(r_n x_n)$ tends to zero weakly (where (r_n) is the sequence of Rademacher functions and x_n is any bounded sequence without a weakly convergent subsequence) in $L_1(\mu, X)$, where μ is Lebesgue measure on $(0, 1)$ yet $(r_n x_n)$ does not satisfy the criteria set forth in Corollary 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is grateful to the referee for pointing out several muddy arguments in the first version of this note.

REFERENCES

1. J. Batt, On weak compactness in spaces of vector-valued measures and Bochner integrable functions in connection with the Radon–Nikodym property of Banach spaces, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **19** (1974), 285–304.
2. J. K. Brooks, Equicontinuous sets of measures and applications to Vitali’s integral convergence theorem and control measures, *Advances in Math.* **10** (1973), 165–171.
3. W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pelczynski, Factoring weakly compact operators, *J. Functional Analysis* **17** (1974), 311–327.

4. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Theory of vector measures, to appear.
5. N. Dinculeanu, *Vector measures*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften (Berlin, 1966).
6. N. Gretskey and J. J. Uhl, Jr., Bounded linear operators on Banach function spaces of vector-valued functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **167** (1972), 263–277.
7. A. Grothendieck, Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type $C(K)$, *Canad. J. Math.* **5** (1953), 129–173.
8. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No. 16 (1955).
9. D. Przeworska-Rolewicz and S. Rolewicz, *Equations in linear spaces*, Monografie Matematyczne (Polish Scientific Publishers, 1968).

KENT STATE UNIVERSITY
KENT
OHIO 44242
U.S.A.