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ABSTRACT: Background: It is controversial if distant recurrence of glioblastoma is more common after temozolomide (TMZ) concurrent with radiotherapy (RT). Optimal therapy for patients with recurrent disease after RT/TMZ is unclear. Our purpose was to evaluate recurrence patterns in glioblastoma and the effect of treatment at recurrence upon survival. Methods: We performed a retrospective review of 67 patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma treated with RT/TMZ between 2003-2007. Statistical analyses included Kaplan-Meier method for survival, and multivariate Cox proportional hazards model for the effect of salvage treatment on survival. Results: 58 patients (86.6%) recurred locally; 9 patients (13.4%) had a distant non-contiguous focus of new disease. Median survival (MS) was 17 months; median time-to-progression (TTP) 6.8 months. The local and distant groups had comparable prognostic factors. There was no difference in MS (p=0.35) or TTP (p=0.95) by location of recurrence. At relapse, 26 patients (38.8%) received continuous, dose-intense TMZ, 24 (35.8%) other therapy (RT; lomustine+/−procarbazine; etoposide; conventional TMZ; TMZ then lomustine), and 17 (25.4%) were untreated. Dose-intense TMZ was associated with prolonged MS compared to all other patients (21.5 months vs. 12.4 months; p=0.019; HR = 3.86; 95% CI: 1.81-8.22) and similar to MS with other chemotherapy regimens (18.8 months; p=0.40; HR = 1.30; 95% CI: 0.65-2.61). Conclusion: The pattern of recurrence of glioblastoma treated with RT/TMZ was predominantly local. Second-line treatment with continuous dose-intense TMZ may prolong survival in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. Overall survival is similar to other conventional salvage regimens; however TMZ may be better tolerated. This study is limited by its retrospective nature and potential selection bias. Prospective controlled studies are needed.

Glioblastoma is the most common malignant primary brain tumour in adults. Prior to the use of temozolomide (TMZ), there were limited chemotherapeutic options for patients with glioblastoma and the standard of care consisted of maximal surgical resection followed by radiation therapy (RT). Since the publication of the randomized controlled trial of radiation alone versus radiation with concurrent and adjuvant TMZ (Stupp regimen), TMZ is now widely accepted as the standard of care in the upfront therapy of patients with glioblastoma.1

Despite the unequivocal benefit of TMZ chemotherapy in newly-diagnosed glioblastoma, most tumours recur.

Before the use of TMZ, with radiation alone, the radiographic pattern of recurrence of glioblastoma was shown to be...
predominately “local”, or in close proximity to the site of the original cancer burden with a number of studies reporting 80-90% local recurrence. Using whole brain radiotherapy alone, Hochberg et al reported 80% local recurrence, while for involved-field radiotherapy, Lee et al reported 89% local recurrence\(^2\). Currently, there is limited literature regarding recurrence patterns of glioblastoma in the era of contemporary treatment with RT/TMZ.

Furthermore, the optimal management of patients with tumour recurrence after upfront treatment with TMZ remains unclear. There is emerging evidence that re-treatment with continuous dose-intensive TMZ at 50 mg/m\(^2\)/day may be a well-tolerated, valuable therapeutic option in recurrent glioblastoma patients, but this has not been compared retrospectively or otherwise to standard chemotherapy regimens\(^4\).

The purpose of this study was to assess for a qualitative change in the radiographic patterns of recurrence in patients with glioblastoma treated with radiation therapy (RT) and concurrent and adjuvant TMZ chemotherapy, and to report the effects of treatment choice at the time of recurrence upon survival.

**METHODS**

**Patient Eligibility**

This project was approved by the Research Ethics Board at the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, and informed consent was obtained from all study subjects and/or guardians at the time of registry into a prospective database. All patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma receiving RT/TMZ between July 2003-December 2007 at the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada were identified. Temozolomide was administered at a dose of 75 mg per m\(^2\) concurrent with daily radiotherapy and followed by 150mg-200mg per m\(^2\) for five days every 28 days. The duration of adjuvant cycles of TMZ varied, and was individualized to each patient depending on his/her tumour response and clinical status. Inclusion criteria consisted of: Patients >18 years or age, pathologically confirmed glioblastoma, treatment with RT/TMZ, and unequivocal tumour recurrence meeting RECIST criteria\(^5\). Patients with tumour recurrence not meeting RECIST criteria were included if there was associated clinical deterioration. Patients with Grade III anaplastic astrocytoma were included only if the initial radiological features showed large areas of necrosis suggestive of glioblastoma, since the prognosis in such patients has been reported to be similar to glioblastoma\(^6\). Patients lacking adequate contrast-enhanced baseline and recurrence scans for analysis were excluded, as were those treated with experimental agents and protocols. Finally, patients with early progression (within eight weeks of completing concurrent chemoradiation) were followed to ensure that there was further radiological or clinical deterioration within the first six months. Early recurrent lesions with subsequent resolution within six months (“pseudo-progressive” lesions) were not included in the analysis\(^7\). Overall, a total of 67 patients were included in the analysis.

A retrospective chart review was performed to collect data which included: age, performance status, extent of surgery, pathology, radiation dose, number of adjuvant TMZ cycles, use of salvage therapy, date of tumour recurrence, and date of death. computed tomogram (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans at baseline and first recurrence were reviewed by a neurologist, neuro-radiologist, and neuro-radiation oncologist on all patients.

**Analysis of Recurrence Patterns**

In each patient, a baseline post-operative scan prior to the initiation of radiotherapy was compared to the scan of the tumour at first recurrence. The site of the tumour at first recurrence was then compared to the original site of the tumour. We defined each separate focus of recurrent tumour as “local” if the 80% contrast enhancing lesion arose within 2 cm of the border of the original tumour; (Figures 1a,b) “marginal” if 80% of the recurrent contrast-enhancing lesion arose between 2 and 2.5 cm of the original tumour, and “distant” if >80% of the recurrent contrast-enhancing lesions arose > 2.5 cm from the site of the original tumour (Figures 1c, d). The demarcation of 2-2.5 cm as a marginal failure is based on standard margins applied to the contrast enhancing mass to be encompassed in the high dose radiation volume as per the Stupp regimen. In tumours with multicentric (multifocal) recurrences, if any of the foci were greater than 2.5 cm from the original tumour, these tumours were also defined as “distant”.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses included Kaplan-Meier method for survival and time-to-progression. The one-sided log-rank test
was used to assess for differences in the prognostic factors of age, sex, and extent of surgery. The Cox proportional hazards model was used to describe the effect of recurrence pattern ("local" vs "distant") on survival and time-to-progression (TTP), and to describe the effect of salvage treatment on overall survival. Other variables included in both Cox proportional hazards models were: age, sex, degree of surgical resection, and performance status. Alpha was set at 0.05. Tests were considered statistically significant if p-values were less than 0.025.

**RESULTS**

Of 111 total patients identified, 67 patients met inclusion criteria, and 44 patients were excluded from the analysis. Reasons for exclusion included: no recurrence (6 patients), inadequate post-op scan (17 patients), clinical deterioration at outside hospital with no scan available for analysis (21 patients). The baseline characteristics of the 67 patients included in the study are outlined in Table 1.

Of 67 total patients analyzed, the pattern of recurrence was determined to be “local” in 58 patients (86.6%), while 9 patients (13.4%) had recurrence which included a distant non-contiguous focus of disease. There were no significant differences in the prognostic factors of age, performance status, and degree of surgical resection when comparing the groups with “local” versus “distant” recurrence (Table 2). Median survival in all patients was 17.0 months (95% CI 12.1 – 19.5 months), and median time to progression was 6.8 months (95% CI 5.9-8.5 months). When the “local” and “distant” recurrence groups were compared with respect to the factors of age, performance status, and degree of resection, the groups were comparable with no significant difference in any of these variables (Table 2). When the “local” and “distant” groups were compared with respect to median survival and TTP, there was no evident difference in these variables when stratified by recurrence pattern (Figures 2 and 3, p=0.35 for overall survival, p=0.95 for TTP).

At recurrence, 26 patients (38.8%) received continuous, dose-intense TMZ, 24 patients (35.8%) other salvage regimens, and 17 patients (25.4%) were untreated. Specific salvage regimens used are outlined in Table 3. The median survival of patients treated with continuous dose-intense TMZ was prolonged compared to all other patients (21.5 months vs. 12.4 months,

---

**Table 1: Patient characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pattern of Recurrence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECOG Performance Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Degree of surgical resection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debulking</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECOG=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
Median survival was similar to those treated with other salvage chemotherapy regimens (18.8 months, p=0.40, HR=1.30, 95% CI: 0.65-2.61), (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Since the adoption of TMZ chemotherapy in the treatment of glioblastoma, the overall survival of patients has improved. Despite encouraging developments, most tumors ultimately recur. We hypothesized that the improved outcomes with RT/TMZ would yield a shift in patterns of recurrence given the mechanism of action of TMZ.

Temozolomide is known to chemically methylate DNA, forming O6-guanine adducts. These compounds are mainly responsible for TMZ’s toxic effects on malignant cells by overcoming the cell’s DNA repair mechanisms, which results in cell death. Temozolomide may have additional biological effects to account for its clinical efficacy when used in combination with radiation therapy. There is some evidence that TMZ may have radio-sensitizing effects, and that TMZ can act synergistically with radiation to enhance radiation-mediated double-stranded DNA breaks and to suppress DNA repair pathways after radiation exposure. In addition, the potential for TMZ to reduce tumour cell mobility has been described in the preclinical literature. If TMZ does have such additional biological effects, one could speculate that better local tumour control would be achieved with the use of TMZ in combination with RT, which would result in an increase in distant recurrences beyond the high dose radiation volume.

Currently, there is limited literature regarding recurrence patterns of glioblastoma in the era of contemporary treatment with RT/TMZ, with three recent articles report conflicting results. Using a subset of 30 patients from the pivotal EORTC/NCIC-CTG trial and MRI software, Wick et al demonstrated that there was no difference in the proportion of patients with distant recurrence (20%) between the RT/TMZ treatment group and the control group. In contrast, Brandes et al analyzed a group of 95 patients who had received concurrent and adjuvant TMZ with radiation therapy and found only 72.2% local recurrence, with 21.5% distant recurrence. They suggested an increase in distant recurrence patterns in comparison to historical controls prior to the use of TMZ. Interestingly, Brandes et al found that MGMT status correlated with tumour recurrence pattern, because patients with methylated MGMT promoters were more likely to have distant recurrence.

Recently, Milano et al reported a predominately local pattern of recurrence in 54 patients treated with RT/TMZ. In their cohort, they showed an increasing proportion of distant recurrences with prolonged survival, and that central recurrence
developed earlier than distant recurrence. The authors postulated that these observations may reflect the greater susceptibility of patients to develop distant recurrences as a result of the prolonged survival offered by TMZ’s radio-sensitizing effects.

Using similar methodology to define recurrence patterns as these recent reports, we found that the pattern of recurrence of glioblastoma in patients treated with TMZ/RT chemoradiation was predominately local (86.6%), similar to what was reported in the historical literature. Furthermore, in contrast to the recent findings reported by Milano et al., we found that there was no difference in the median TTP in the “local” and “distant” recurrence groups.

At tumour recurrence, the majority of patients in our study were treated with some sort of salvage treatment such as chemotherapy, radiation, or surgery. Currently, there is no consensus on the optimal medical management of patients who recur after upfront TMZ. There is emerging literature on the utility of daily TMZ (50 mg/m²/day orally, continuously) as a potential treatment option in these patients. The altered dosing regimen of TMZ is postulated to further suppress MGMT activity, and may have anti-angiogenic effects to account for its clinical efficacy. A recent Phase II trial by Perry et al. showed that continuous dose-intense TMZ was a well-tolerated, valuable salvage option in glioblastoma, and up to 36% of patients achieved a six-month progression-free survival.

When we compared our cohort of patients treated with continuous dose-intense TMZ, the median survival of patients was comparable to other established salvage chemotherapy regimens. This suggests that DD-TMZ may not be inferior to conventional salvage chemotherapies. A randomized study is required to determine efficacy as compared to standard salvage regimens. However, continuous, dose-intense TMZ has the added benefit of ease of administration.

This study has a number of other limitations. First, the data were collected retrospectively, and the power of the study was limited due to the small size of the patient population analyzed. In addition, we were unable to use uniform imaging modalities (CT vs MR) in the imaging analysis, as the scans were collected retrospectively. However, this is unlikely to have affected the designation of recurrent tumours as “local” versus “distant” as these designations were made based on distance from the site of the original tumour, which is easily measurable using anatomical landmarks, regardless of which imaging modality was used (CT or MRI). Finally, our findings were limited by the fact that there was no internal “control” group to which we could compare our patient population’s recurrence patterns. Therefore, we compared our results to the historical literature on recurrence patterns. Finally, our ability to draw definitive conclusions about the efficacy of continuous dose-intense TMZ is limited by the retrospective nature of this study and the potential for treatment selection bias, especially those patients selected for daily TMZ versus other therapies.

Our findings contribute to the limited literature on patterns of recurrence and treatment of recurrent glioblastoma in the TMZ era of chemoradiation. Large, prospective trials are needed to definitively characterize patterns of recurrence in glioblastoma patients treated with RT/TMZ. Randomized comparative trials would be useful to ascertain the optimal medical management of recurrent glioblastoma after upfront exposure to TMZ.
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