



Dementia With Lewy Bodies (DLB) Diagnosis Rates vs. National Benchmarks: A Completed Audit Cycle in Manchester Memory Services

Dr Ahmed Ghaly and Dr Eleni Markopoulou

Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust,
Manchester, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10593](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10593)

Aims: Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder accounting for 5–15% of dementia cases. A 2022 audit at Manchester's Memory Assessment and Treatment Services (MATS) identified DLB diagnosis rates of 2%, significantly below national benchmarks, prompting recommendations for greater awareness and the potential use of the DIAMOND Lewy Toolkit. This re-audit aimed to:

Assess DLB diagnosis rates after initial audit recommendations.

Compare local diagnosis trends with national benchmarks.

Methods: A retrospective clinical audit was conducted across MATS Central, North, and South services.

Cycle 1: Data collected for patients diagnosed 1 Nov 2021–31 Jan 2022.

Cycle 2: Data collected 1 Oct 2022–31 Mar 2023.

Inclusion: Patients diagnosed with any dementia subtype within the specified periods.

Exclusion: Mild cognitive impairment, delirium, amnesic disorders, or cases without a definitive diagnosis.

Data source: PARIS electronic patient records.

National benchmarks: Expected DLB rates (5–15%), Alzheimer's disease (50–75%), Vascular Dementia ($\leq 20\%$), Mixed Dementia (10%).

Results: A total of 493 patients were identified in Cycle 2, with 315 meeting inclusion criteria.

DLB diagnosis rates:

Cycle 1: 2% ($n=2/121$).

Cycle 2: 2.85% ($n=9/315$).

Breakdown by team (Cycle 2):

MATS Central: 5% ($n=7/133$) (\uparrow from 2%).

MATS North: 1.5% ($n=2/129$) (\uparrow from 0%).

MATS South: 0% (\downarrow from 3%).

Other dementia diagnoses:

Alzheimer's: 30.7% (lower than expected).

Vascular: 22% (higher than expected).

Mixed Dementia: 28% (higher than expected).

Unspecified Dementia: 16% (higher than expected).

Conclusion: While the DIAMOND Lewy Toolkit was available and used, memory nurses apply it only when they suspect LBD, rather than routinely. The increase in DLB diagnosis rates remained modest, and contributing factors may include diagnostic uncertainty, lack of standardised toolkit use, and regional variations in dementia prevalence.

Recommendations:

1. Standardise toolkit use across all clinicians, rather than limiting it to cases where LBD is suspected.

2. Targeted clinician education focusing on recognising early DLB features.

3. Future structured implementation of the DIAMOND Lewy Toolkit and a third audit cycle to evaluate impact.

Further work will explore whether training interventions or mandatory toolkit use could bridge the diagnostic gap and improve DLB recognition.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Physical Health Monitoring for Children and Young People on Antipsychotic Medications in Salford CAMHS

Dr Pashyca Gill^{1,2}, Dr Alastair Lawson^{1,2} and
Dr Hema Ramalingam^{1,2}

1Manchester University Foundation NHS Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom and 2Salford CAMHS, Salford, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10594](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10594)

Aims: NICE guideline CG155 (Psychosis and Schizophrenia in children and young people: recognition and management) recommends that all children and young people who are commenced on an antipsychotic medication, should have physical health parameters (including height, weight, waist circumference, pulse rate, blood pressure, blood tests and ECG) completed at baseline, 12 weeks and 6 months. 100% compliance is expected.

Our aim was to review the current practice of physical health monitoring within Salford CAMHS, against these guidelines, and to improve compliance with these guidelines if found to be deficient.

Methods: Patients within the service who were prescribed antipsychotic medications on a single date (30/08/2023) were identified manually, by reviewing the caseloads of all prescribers of antipsychotic medication within the service. The electronic and paper notes of these patients were then reviewed, and a standardised proforma was used to collect data pertaining to the audit standards. The results were analysed and shared with the prescribers within the Salford CAMHS.

Results: The compliance for all the measured standards was below 50%. The physical health parameter completed most frequently was weight at baseline and 12 weeks; yet the compliance was only 47.8%. Blood tests and ECG were completed for 26% and 35% of patients, respectively, at baseline. 0% of the patients had waist circumference completed at any time point.

Conclusion: The results suggest that physical health monitoring within Salford CAMHS is non-compliant with NICE guidelines. However, the cases included in this audit ranged from 2015–2023, and given that only 9 of the 23 cases had been commenced on an antipsychotic within the last 12 months, the results may not reflect the practice of current prescribers in Salford CAMHS.

For 30% of cases, there was clinical documentation to suggest non-compliance with physical health monitoring due to mitigating complex needs. This included documentation of consent obtained from caregivers to continue without.

An action plan was devised including production of an antipsychotic monitoring checklist (with consent form), to be used by clinicians at baseline, 12 weeks and 6 monthly reviews. Discussions were held to identify a process for physical health parameters to be on a running tab in the electronic notes. In 12 months, following implementation of the action plan, there will be a re-audit of new cases. The re-audit will aim to clarify whether there has been an improvement in practice and should more accurately represent the current practice of prescribers in Salford CAMHS.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.