

# ON DIFFERENTIABLE ARCS AND CURVES, VI: SINGULAR OSCULATING SPACES OF CURVES OF ORDER $n + 1$ IN PROJECTIVE $n$ -SPACE

PETER SCHERK

*To Donald Coxeter on his sixtieth birthday*

A closed curve  $K^{n+1}$  of order  $n + 1$  in real projective  $n$ -space  $R_n$  has a maximum number of  $n + 1$  points in common with any  $(n - 1)$ -space. These curves are subjected to certain differentiability assumptions which make it possible to describe their singular points and to provide them with multiplicities in analogy with algebraic geometry. If  $N_p^n$  denotes the number of  $(n - p)$ -times singular points, then

$$(1) \quad \sum_0^{n-1} (n - p)N_p^n \begin{cases} \leq n + 1, \\ \equiv n + 1 \pmod{2}; \end{cases}$$

cf. (4). In (6), an interpretation of the difference

$$n + 1 - \sum_0^{n-1} (n - p)N_p^n$$

was given. Necessary and sufficient conditions for equality to hold in (1) can readily be stated (2; 4).

The next step in the study of the  $K^{n+1}$  would be the inclusion of certain singular pairs of points. A  $p$ -space in  $R_n$  was called *special* (4) if it met the  $K^{n+1}$   $(p + 2)$ -times and if none of its  $(p - 1)$ -subspaces met the curve  $(p + 1)$ -times. Denote the number of special subspaces through exactly  $j$  different points of  $K^{n+1}$  which contain the osculating  $p_1$ -space of one of them, the osculating  $p_2$ -space of another, etc., by

$$N_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_j}^n$$

We proved in (6) that the numbers  $N_{pq}^n$  are bounded for a given  $n$  ( $p + q \leq n - 2$ ); and in (5) that

$$(2) \quad \sum_0^{n-1} (n - p)N_p^n + \sum_0^{n-2} (n - p - 1)N_{p0}^n < \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n.$$

In the present paper we wish to improve (1) and (2) and to show that

$$(3) \quad \sum_0^{n-1} (n - p)N_p^n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^{n-2} (n - p - 1)N_{p0}^n + (n - 1)N_{00}^n \leq n + 1.$$

---

Received May 3, 1967

We conjecture that the factor  $\frac{1}{2}$  can be dropped from the middle term; cf. 5.7.

Our discussion will yield the corollary that the numbers  $N_{p0}^n$  are bounded for given  $n$  ( $0 \leq p \leq n - 4$ ).

### 1. Arcs and curves.

**1.1.** A *curve*  $C$  is a continuous image of the projective straight line in  $R_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). The images of distinct points of the parameter line are interpreted as different points of  $C$  even if they coincide in  $R_n$ . Then the points of  $C$  become the continuous 1-1 images of a parameter  $s$  ranging through the parameter line. The point of  $C$  with the parameter  $s$  will also be denoted by  $s$ .

An *arc*  $A$  is the continuous 1-1 image of a segment in  $R_n$ .

A neighbourhood of the parameter  $s$  in the parameter space is mapped onto a *neighbourhood* of the point  $s$  on  $C$  (on  $A$ ). If a sequence of parameter values converges to the parameter  $s$ , the corresponding sequence of points on  $C$  (on  $A$ ) is said to be *convergent* to the point  $s$ .

**1.2.** The *order* of  $C$  (of  $A$ ) is the least upper bound of the number of points that it has in common with an  $(n - 1)$ -space. It obviously is not less than  $n$ . The order of a point  $s$  is the order of a sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $s$  on  $C$  (on  $A$ ).

**1.3.** We call  $s$  a *point of support* (of *intersection*) with respect to an  $(n - 1)$ -space  $E$  if some neighbourhood of  $s$  has no point  $\neq s$  in common with  $E$  and if the two arcs into which  $s$  decomposes the neighbourhood lie on the same side (on opposite sides) of  $E$ . We then call  $E$  a *supporting* (inter*secting*)  $(n - 1)$ -space at  $s$ . Thus  $E$  supports if  $s \notin E$ .

**1.4.** The point  $s$  is called *differentiable* if it has *osculating spaces*  $L_p^n(s)$  of all dimensions. Define  $L_{-1}^n(s) = \emptyset$ . Suppose we have defined  $L_p^n(s)$  and postulated its existence. Then we require the  $(p + 1)$ -spaces through  $L_p^n(s)$  and a point converging on  $C$  (on  $A$ ) to  $s$  to converge. Their limit is then the osculating  $(p + 1)$ -space  $L_{p+1}^n(s)$ . Thus  $L_0^n(s) = s$ ,  $L_n^n(s) = R_n$ .

A subspace is said to contain  $L_p^n(s)$  exactly if it contains  $L_p^n(s)$  but not  $L_{p+1}^n(s)$ .

A curve or arc is called *differentiable* if each of its points is.

**1.5.** Let  $s$  be a differentiable point on an arbitrary arc which meets  $L_{n-1}^n(s)$  only a finite number of times. Then there exists a one-row matrix,

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}),$$

the *characteristic* of  $s$  with the following properties:

- (i) each of the numbers  $a_i$  is equal to 1 or 2;
- (ii) if an  $(n - 1)$ -space contains exactly  $L_p^n(s)$ , then it supports (intersects) at  $s$  if  $a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_p$  is even (odd).

If  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 1$ ,  $s$  is called *regular*.

The projection of  $s$  from a point on  $L^n_{p+1}(s) \setminus L^n_p(s)$  has the characteristic

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \quad \text{if } p = -1, \\ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}) & \quad \text{if } p = n - 1, \\ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, a'_p, a_{p+2}, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \quad \text{if } -1 < p < n - 1; \end{aligned}$$

here  $a'_p \equiv a_p + a_{p+1} \pmod{2}$ .

If a subspace contains  $L^n_p(s)$  exactly, we count  $s$  with the multiplicity  $a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_p$ . In particular,  $s$  is counted  $(p + 1)$ -times if  $s$  is regular.

**2. Assumptions and lemmas.** *The subject of this paper is the differentiable curves  $K^{n+1}$  in  $R_n$  which are met at most  $(n + 1)$ -times by any  $(n - 1)$ -space; cf. (3, §3 and 4, p. 72).*

**2.1.** The digits of the characteristic  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  of any point  $s \in K^{n+1}$  are equal to one with at most one exception. The order of  $s$  is equal to  $n$  if and only if  $s$  is regular. If  $a_p = 2$ , we call  $s$   $(n - p)$ -times *singular*. A *cusps* is  $n$ -times singular.

A singular point divides a small neighbourhood into two arcs of order  $n$ .

At a  $p$ -fold point,  $p$  distinct points of a curve coincide; cf. 1.1. A  $K^{n+1}$  has no more-than-twofold points. The two points which coincide in a *double point* are regular. If  $n > 1$ , the total number  $N^n_{00} + N^n_0$  of double points and cusps of a  $K^{n+1}$ , i.e. the number of special 0-spaces, is 0 or 1.

**2.2.** We denote by  $C^n (B^n)$  a differentiable curve (arc) of order  $n$  in  $R_n$ . All of its points are regular and simple. It is met by any  $p$ -space at most  $(p + 1)$ -times. The sum of the multiplicities of the points that a  $C^n$  has in common with an  $(n - 1)$ -space is  $\equiv n \pmod{2}$ . The projection of a  $C^n (B^n)$  from one of its points is a  $C^{n-1} (B^{n-1})$ .

**2.3.** A  $p$ -space spanned by osculating spaces of  $K^{n+1}$  meets the curve either  $(p + 1)$ - or  $(p + 2)$ -times. In the first case we call it *regular*; in the other case, *abundant*. Any  $p$ -space which meets  $K^{n+1}$   $(p + 2)$ -times is abundant. If it has no proper abundant subspaces, it is called *special*.

**2.4.** The projection of a  $K^{n+1}$  from a regular (abundant)  $p$ -space is a  $K^{n-p}$  (a  $C^{n-p-1}$ ),  $0 \leq p < n - 1$ . In particular, its projection from  $L^n_p(s)$  is a  $K^{n-p}$  if and only if  $s$  is at most  $(n - p - 1)$ -times singular and  $L^n_p(s)$  does not meet  $K^{n+1}$  outside  $s$ . Thus the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from a double point or cusp is a  $C^{n-1}$ ; from any other point of the curve, it is a  $K^n$ .

**2.5.** If each of a sequence of subspaces meets a  $K^{n+1}$  or  $C^n$  at least  $p$ -times (in points which converge to  $s$ ), then any limit subspace will meet the curve at least  $p$ -times (at  $s$ ). In particular, the osculating spaces of a  $K^{n+1}$  or  $C^n$  are continuous; cf. (3).

**3. The mapping  $\ell^n_{n-3,0}$ .**

**3.1.** The mapping  $t_{n-1}^n$  associated with each point  $s$  the point  $t_{n-1}^n(s)$  at which  $L_{n-1}^n(s)$  meets  $K^{n+1}$  again; cf. (4).

In (6), we studied mappings which associated with each point  $s \in K^{n+1}$  the set  $t_m^n(s)$  of those points which are projected from  $L_m^n(s)$  into singular points ( $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ ). It consists of at most  $n-m$  points and may be void. We distinguish different points of this set by prefixed indices:  ${}_i t_m^n(s)$ .

If the projection of  $t \neq s$  from  $s$  is  $q$ -times singular, we call  $t$  a  $q$ -fold image point. If  $t$  is  $(q+m-1)$ -times singular, it is a  $q$ -fold *improper* image point of any  $s \neq t$ . Any other image point is called *proper*.

The original points  $s$  can also be provided with multiplicities and  $s$  becomes a  $p$ -fold original point of the  $q$ -fold proper image point  $t \neq s$  if and only if  $L_{m+1-p}^n(s)$  and  $L_{n-m-q}^n(t)$  span a special subspace ( $0 \leq m \leq n-1, 0 < p \leq m+1, 0 < q \leq n-m$ ).

**3.2.** The simply singular points of a  $K^3$  are its inflection points while a twice singular point is a cusp. There are three types of curves  $K^3$ :

- (i) three inflection points;
- (ii) one inflection point and one cusp;
- (iii) one inflection point and a double point;

cf. (4, 5.4 and 3.6). Thus if we count a cusp twice, there always exists a triple  $t_{-1}^2$  of points of  $K^3$  which coincide with either a singular or a double point.

In the first two cases, the tangential mapping  $t_{-1}^2$  is monotonically negative ("retrograde") with the degrees  $-2$  and  $-1$ , respectively. In the third case, the degree is zero. The double point then decomposes  $K^3$  into two arcs  $B^+$  and  $B^-$  such that  $t_{-1}^2$  is positive in  $B^+$  and negative in  $B^-$ ; cf. (4, §3).

An improper image point of  $t_0^2$  is a cusp. As far as this mapping is proper, it is the inverse of  $t_{-1}^2$ ; cf. (6, 1.2). Thus in the first two cases it is defined on the entire  $K^3$  and its proper image points are monotonically negative. In the third case, it is defined exactly in  $B^-$ . If  $s$  moves through  $B^-$ , then one of the two points  $t_0^2(s)$  moves positively through  $B^+$  while the other one runs negatively through  $B^-$ , the inflection point being a fixed point. This yields: *If the tangential mapping is negative at  $s$ , then one of the mappings  ${}_i t_0^2$  is always negative. The other one is negative in case (i), improper in case (ii), and positive in case (iii). Thus if  $s$  is any point of  $K^3$ ,  $s \notin t_{-1}^2$ , then  $K^3$  has a double point if and only if one of the three mappings  $t_{-1}^2, {}_i t_0^2$  is positive at  $s$ ; cf. (6, 5.1 and 5.2).*

In case (i), the three inflection points and the triple  $s, t_0^2(s)$  alternate. The pairs  $s, t_{-1}^2(s)$  and  $t_0^2(s)$  separate one another.

In case (ii), the inflection point  ${}_1 t_{-1}^2$  and the cusp separate  $s$  from its proper image  ${}_1 t_0^2(s)$ . The latter lies between  ${}_1 t_{-1}^2(s)$  and  ${}_1 t_{-1}^2$ .

In case (iii), if  $s$  lies in  $B^-$ , the point  ${}_1 t_0^2(s)$ , say, lies in  $B^+$  while  ${}_2 t_0^2(s)$  and  $t_{-1}^2(s)$  lie in  $B^-$ . The inflection point separates  $s$  from  ${}_2 t_0^2(s)$  and  $t_{-1}^2(s)$ , and  ${}_2 t_0^2(s)$  separates  $s$  from  $t_{-1}^2(s)$ . If  $s$  lies in  $B^+$ ,  $t_{-1}^2(s)$  is in  $B^-$ .

**3.3.** If the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L_{n-3}^n(s)$  is a curve  $K^3$ , the mapping  $t_{n-3,0}^n$  associates with  $s$  those points which are projected into a double point or cusp of

$K^3$ . Thus the set  $t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  is either void or a pair  $i t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  of distinct or equal points ( $i = 1, 2$ ). If  $K^{n+1}$  has a double point or cusp  $t$ , then  $t^n_{n-3,0}(s) = t$  for all  $s \notin t$ . We then also define  $t^n_{n-3,0}(s) = t$  if  $s \in t$  and call the mapping  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  *improper*. From now on we assume that  $K^{n+1}$  has no special 0-space.

**3.31.** Suppose the points  $s, {}_1t, {}_2t$  are mutually distinct. Then  $\{{}_1t, {}_2t\} = t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  if and only if  ${}_1t$  and  ${}_2t$  do not lie on  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  and if there exists an  $(n - 2)$ -space  $E(s)$  through  $L^n_{n-3}(s), {}_1t,$  and  ${}_2t$ . We call  $s$  a *p-fold original point* of the mapping if  $L^{n-2-p}(s), {}_1t,$  and  ${}_2t$  span a special  $(n - 1 - p)$ -space. The point  $s$  is at most simply singular, and  ${}_1t$  and  ${}_2t$  are at most  $(n - p - 2)$ -times singular ( $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$ ).

$E(s)$  is projected from  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  into a double point and from  ${}_1t$  onto  $L^{n-1}_{n-3}(s)$ ; this space contains  ${}_2t$ .

**3.32.** Let  $s \neq t$ . Then  $\{t, t\} = t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  if and only if  $t \notin L^n_{n-3}(s)$  and if  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  and  $L^n_1(t)$  span an  $(n - 2)$ -space  $E(s)$ . The point  $t$  is then called a *double image point*. We assign the multiplicity  $p$  to  $s$  if  $L^{n-2-p}(s)$  and  $L^n_1(t)$  span a special  $(n - 1 - p)$ -flat. The points  $s$  and  $t$  are then at most simply and  $(n - p - 2)$ -times singular, respectively ( $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$ ).  $E(s)$  is projected from  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  into a cusp.

**3.33.** The pair  $s = {}_1t \neq {}_2t$  is projected from  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  into a double point if and only if  ${}_2t$  lies exactly on  $L^n_{n-2}(s)$ . Extending our definition, we call  $s$  a *p-fold original point* of the pair  $\{s, {}_2t\}$  if  ${}_2t$  lies exactly on  $L^{n-1-p}(s)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$ ).

The *fixed point*  $s$  is then regular;  ${}_2t$  is at most  $(n - p - 1)$ -times singular.

In this case, we define  $E(s) = L^n_{n-2}(s)$ . Thus  $E(s)$  is projected from  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  onto a double point if  $p = 1$ . From  $s, E(s)$  is projected onto  $L^{n-1}_{n-3}(s)$ ; this projection contains  ${}_2t$ . The projection of  $s$  from  ${}_2t$  is  $(p + 1)$ -times singular for  $p \leq n - 2$ ;  $E(s)$  is projected onto  $L^{n-1}_{n-3}(s)$ .

**3.4.** Given  $s$ , we can now discuss the existence of the points  $t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$ .

**3.41.** Let  $L^n_{n-2}(s)$  be regular; cf. 2.3. Thus  $K^{n+1}$  is projected from  $L^n_{n-3}(s)$  into a curve  $K^3$ . By 3.2,  $K^3$  has a double point if and only if one of its mappings  $t^2_1$  and  $t^2_0$  is positive at  $s$ . By (6, 4.6), the mappings  $t^2_m$  and the corresponding mappings  $t^n_{n-2+m}$  of  $K^{n+1}$  have the same direction at  $s$ . Thus *there exists a pair of distinct points  ${}_1t^n_{n-3,0}(s), {}_2t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  if and only if one of the mappings*

$$(1) \qquad t^n_{n-1}, \quad {}_1t^n_{n-2}, \quad {}_2t^n_{n-2}$$

*is positive at  $s$ .*

**3.42.** By (6, 5.4), the mappings  $t^n_m(s)$  have only a bounded number of multiple original points. The point  $s$  is a *p-fold original point* of  $t \neq s$  at this mapping if and only if  $t$  lies exactly on  $L^n_{n-p}(s)$ ; cf. (4, 3.7). It is then a  $(p - 1)$ -fold original point of the pair  $(s, t)$  at the mapping  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  ( $1 < p \leq n - 1$ ).

By 3.1,  $t$  is a double image point of the  $(p - 1)$ -fold original point  $s$  at the mapping  $l_{n-2}^n$ . Hence by (6, 4.3), exactly one of the mappings (1) will be positive near  $s$ .

**3.43.** Let  $s$  be a  $p$ -fold original point of the simple image point  $t$  at the mapping  $l_{n-2}^n$  ( $1 < p < n$ ). By 3.1 and 3.32,  $t$  is then a double image point of the  $(p - 1)$ -fold original point  $s$  at the mapping  $l_{n-3,0}^n$ . In a small neighbourhood of  $s$ , the mapping  $l_{n-2}^n$  exists and  $l_{n-1}^n$  is therefore negative. If  $p$  is even, one of the two mappings  $il_{n-2}^n$ , viz. the one that maps  $s$  onto  $t$ , changes its direction at  $s$ . The other one will be negative at  $s$ . Thus there are two one-sided neighbourhoods of  $s$  such that  $l_{n-3,0}^n$  is defined everywhere in one of them and nowhere in the other.

If  $p$  is odd, then the mappings  $l_{n-2}^n$  are monotonic at  $s$  and  $l_{n-3,0}^n$  is defined near  $s$  if and only if one of them is positive at  $s$ ; cf. (6, 4.2).

**3.44.** The points at which the mappings  $l_{n-2}^n$  change their direction, i.e. the original points with even multiplicities of simple image points, decompose  $K^{n+1}$  into a bounded number of arcs  $A$ ; cf. (6, 5.4). Thus they are monotonic at any interior point of  $A$  where they exist. By 3.42 and (6, 5.2), the number of points of the set  $l_{n-3}^n(s)$  is constant on  $A$ . It is equal to three or one depending on whether all the mappings (1) are negative on  $A$  or not. This implies: *The mapping  $l_{n-3}^n$  is either everywhere single-valued or everywhere triple-valued on an arc  $A$ . In the first case, the points of  $A$ , including its end points, are at most simply singular (cf. 6, 4.4), and the mapping  $l_{n-3,0}^n$  is defined on  $A$ . By 3.42, every fixed point of  $l_{n-3,0}^n$  lies in the interior of such an arc  $A$ .*

*In the second case,  $A$  may contain original points  $s$  of odd multiplicity  $> 1$  of simple image points  $t$  at the mapping  $l_{n-2}^n$ . Then  $l_{n-3,0}^n(s) = \{t, t\}$ . But  $l_{n-3,0}^n$  is not defined elsewhere in  $A$ .*

**3.5.** We continue the discussion of the first case of 3.44 and begin the proof that  $E(s)$  and  $l_{n-3,0}^n$  are continuous on  $A$ ; cf. 3.3 and 3.8.

Suppose the sequence of points  $s_\lambda \in A$  converges to  $s_0$ . For all but a finite number of indices, the points

$$s_\lambda, \quad 1t_\lambda = l_{n-3,0}^n(s_\lambda), \quad \text{and} \quad 2t = 2l_{n-3,0}^n(s_\lambda)$$

are mutually distinct. Thus  $E(s_\lambda)$  is the  $(n - 2)$ -space through  $L_{n-3}^n(s_\lambda)$ ,  $1s_\lambda$ , and  $2s_\lambda$ . We may assume that the points  $it_\lambda$  are convergent, say to  $it$ , and that  $E(s_\lambda)$  converges, say to  $E$ . By 2.5,  $E$  is abundant and contains  $L_{n-3}^n(s_0)$ ,  $1t$ , and  $2t$ ; cf. 2.3. Since  $s_0$  lies in the closure of  $A$ , it is at most simply singular and the points  $s_0$ ,  $1t$ , and  $2t$  are not all equal to each other. If  $L_{n-3}^n(s_0)$  does not meet  $K^{n+1}$  elsewhere, then  $E$  is projected from  $L_{n-3}^n(s_0)$  into a special 0-space. Thus

$$E = E(s_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \{1t, 2t\} = l_{n-3,0}^n(s_0).$$

If  $L_{n-3}^n(s_0)$  meets  $K^{n+1}$  at a second point  $t_0$ , then one of the points  $it$  is equal to

$t_0$ . We shall show in 3.8 that the other point is equal to  $s_0$  and shall thus complete our continuity proof.

**3.6.** In this section we prepare the discussion of the double image points and the fixed points of  $t_{n-3,0}^n$ .

Suppose  $L_{n-3}^n(s)$  and  $s'$  span the regular  $(n - 2)$ -space  $P$ ; cf. 2.3. Then  $K^{n+1}$  is projected from  $L_{n-3}^n(s)$  and  $s'$  into a  $K^3$  and  $K^n$ , respectively.  $P$  is projected into the regular subspaces  $L_0^2(s')$  and  $L_{n-3}^{n-1}(s)$ , respectively. Let  $t_m^{n-1}$  ( $t_m^2$ ) denote the mappings of  $K^n$  ( $K^3$ ). Then

$$(2) \quad t_0^2(s') = t_{n-3}^{n-1}(s).$$

**3.61.** If  $t_{n-2}^{n-1}$  is positive at  $s$ , then (2) is void; cf. (6, 5.2). Hence  $t_1^2$  is positive at  $s'$  and  $K^3$  has a double point  $t_{n-3,0}^n(s)$ . Its two points separate  $s'$  from the inflection point  $t_{n-3}^n(s)$  of  $K^3$  and from the point  $t_{n-1}^n(s) = t_1^2(s)$ ; cf. 3.2.

**3.62.** Suppose  $t_{n-2}^{n-1}$  is negative at  $s$  and the points

$$(3) \quad i t_{n-3,0}^n(s) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

exist and are distinct. Then the two points (2) exist. By 3.2,  $t_1^2$  is negative at  $s'$  and the pairs (2) and (3) alternate. The points (3) separate  $s$  from  $s'$  if and only if  $t_1^2$  is positive at  $s$ . By (4, 3.42), this is equivalent to  $t_{n-1}^n$  being positive at  $s$ .

**3.7.** The double image points. *Let*

$$t_{n-3,0}^n(s_0) = \{t_0, t_0\}.$$

*Let  $B$  be a sufficiently small one-sided neighbourhood of  $s_0$ . Suppose  $t_{n-3,0}^n$  is defined in  $B$ . Then the two points  $t_{n-3,0}^n(s)$  converge to  $t_0$  from opposite sides as  $s$  tends to  $s_0$ .*

*Proof.* By 3.32,  $t_0$  is a double image point of  $s_0$  at the mapping  $t_{n-3}^n$ . Projection from  $L_{n-3}^n(s_0)$  shows that  $s_0$  has a third image point  $1t_{n-3}^n(s_0) \neq t_0$  at this mapping. By 3.4,  $t_{n-3}^n$  is single valued on  $B$ . It then follows from (6, 4.3) that the mapping  $t_{n-2}^{n-1}$  of the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $t_0$  is positive on  $B$ , and the point  $t_{n-3}^n(s)$  converges to  $1t_{n-3}^n(s_0)$  as  $s$  tends to  $s_0$  on  $B$ . Applying 3.61 to  $s$  and  $s' = t_0$ , we obtain that the points  $t_{n-3,0}^n(s)$  separate  $t_0$  from  $t_{n-3}^n(s)$ . By 3.5 they converge to  $t_0$  as  $s$  approaches  $s_0$ . This yields our statement.

**3.8.** The fixed points of  $t_{n-3,0}^n$ . *Let  $t_0 \in L_{n-2}^n(s_0)$ ,  $t_0 \neq s_0$ . Thus*

$$t_{n-3,0}^n(s_0) = \{s_0, t_0\}; \quad \text{cf. 3.33.}$$

*By 3.44, the mapping  $t_{n-3,0}^n$  is defined in a small neighbourhood  $B$  of  $s_0$ . On account of 3.5, we may assume that, for example,  $2t_{n-3,0}^n(s)$  converges to  $t_0$  as  $s$  tends to  $s_0$ .*

On the projection  $K^n$  of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $t_0$ , the point  $s_0$  is at least twice singular. Hence the three mappings

$$t_{n-2}^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{n-3}^{n-1}$$

of  $K^n$  exist on  $B$  and have a fixed point at  $s_0$ . They are either negative on  $B$  or improper.

Let  $s \in B, s \neq s_0$ . By 3.62, the pairs  $l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  and  $l^{n-1}_{n-3}(s)$  separate one another, i.e.  $1l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  lies between the two points  $l^{n-1}_{n-3}(s)$ . If  $s$  converges monotonically to  $s_0$ , the points  $l^{n-1}_{n-3}(s)$  converge to  $s_0$  from the opposite direction. Hence the same applies to  $1l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$ . Thus  $1l^n_{n-3,0}$  is continuous and negative at its fixed point  $s_0$ . This completes, in particular, the proof of the continuity of  $l^n_{n-3,0}$ .

The points  $2l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  and  $l^n_{n-1}(s)$  lie near  $t_0$ . By 3.62,  $s$  and  $t_0$  are separated by the points  $l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  if and only if  $l^n_{n-1}$  is positive at  $s$ . This is the case if and only if it is positive between  $s_0$  and  $s$ , i.e. if the pairs  $\{s_0, t_0\}$  and  $\{s, l^n_{n-1}(s)\}$  separate one another. Since  $s$  and  $1l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  lie on opposite sides of  $s_0$ , we obtain first that the points  $s_0$  and  $t_0$  are separated by the pair  $l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  if and only if they are separated by  $s$  and  $l^n_{n-1}(s)$ , and then that the points

$$(4) \quad 2l^n_{n-3,0}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad l^n_{n-1}(s)$$

lie on opposite sides of  $t_0$ . Hence the two points (4) converge to  $t_0$  from opposite directions as  $s$  tends to  $s_0$ . We can now deduce from (4, 3.7) that  $2l^n_{n-3,0}$  changes its direction at  $s_0$  if and only if  $s_0$  is an original point of odd multiplicity; cf. 3.33.

**3.9.** Since the numbers  $N^n_{pq}$  ( $p + q < n - 1$ ) are finite, the mapping  $l^n_{n-3,0}$  has only a finite number of fixed points and double image points; cf. the introduction and 3.3. We wish to show that the numbers

$$N^n_{p00} \quad (0 \leq p < n - 3),$$

are finite. Thus this mapping has altogether only a finite number of multiple original points; cf. 5.1.

Suppose our assertion was false. Then there exists a convergent sequence of multiple original points  $s_\lambda \rightarrow s_0$ . We may assume that the points  $s_\lambda, l^n_{n-3,0}(s_\lambda)$  are mutually distinct. Since  $l^n_{n-3,0}$  is continuous, the pairs  $l^n_{n-3,0}(s_\lambda)$  converge to

$$\{1t_0, 2t_0\} = l^n_{n-3,0}(s_0).$$

At least one of the  $1t_0$ 's, say  $1t_0$ , is distinct from  $s_0$ . Project  $K^{n+1}$  from  $1t_0$  into a  $K^n$ . Since the numbers  $N^{n-1}_{p0}$  are finite for  $p \leq n - 3$ , we can have

$$(5) \quad 1l^n_{n-3,0}(s_\lambda) \in L^{n-1}_{n-3}(s_\lambda)$$

only a finite number of times. Thus we may assume that (5) does not occur. Hence

$$(6) \quad 1t_0 \notin l^n_{n-3,0}(s_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_\lambda) = l^n_{n-3,0}(s_\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda$ 's. In particular, the points  $s_\lambda, l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_\lambda)$  are mutually distinct. Since  $l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  is continuous, we obtain from (6)

$$l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_0) = l^n_{n-3,0}(s_0).$$

By 2.4,  $s_0$  is a multiple original point of  $l^{n-3,0}$ .

If  $2t_0 = s_0$ , then  $1t_0 \in L^n_{n-3}(s_0)$  and  $s_0$  would be a multiple singular point of  $K^n$ . Since the mapping  $l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  is proper by (6), this is impossible; cf. 3.3.

Suppose then that  $2t_0 \neq s_0$ . If  $1t_0 \neq 2t_0$ , then  $L^n_{n-4}(s_0)$ ,  $1t_0$ , and  $2t_0$  lie in an  $(n - 3)$ -space and  $\{1t_0, 2t_0\}$  becomes a double point of the projection  $K^4$  of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L^n_{n-4,0}(s_0)$ . The projection of  $K^n$  from  $L^{n-1}_{n-4}(s_0)$  is identical with that of  $K^4$  from  $1t_0$ . Thus it is a  $C^3$ ; cf. 2.4. But,  $l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  being proper, this is not possible for  $2t_0 \neq s_0$ .

The case  $1t_0 = 2t_0$  is similar.

**4. The direction of  $l^n_{n-3,0}$ .** The discussion of the directions of the mappings  $l^n_{n-3,0}$  will be based on the decomposition of  $K^{n+1}$  into the arcs  $A$  introduced in 3.44.

**4.1.** We start out with the case  $n = 3$  and assume that  $K^4$  has neither a double point nor a cusp. Thus the three points

$$(1) \quad s, \quad t^3_{00}(s) = \{1t^3_{00}(s), 2t^3_{00}(s)\}$$

lie on a special straight line. Obviously, the relation between them is symmetric, and no point of  $K^4$  lies on more than one such line.

If the points (1) are mutually distinct, the mappings  $l^3_{00}$  are locally one-to-one and hence monotonic. Their fixed points are those points whose tangents meet  $K^4$  again. Thus they are identical with the points where the mapping  $l^3_2$  changes its direction. The end points of the arcs  $A$ , i.e. the points where one of the mappings  $l^3_1$  changes its direction, are those points which lie on the tangents of other points.

If  $l^3_2$  is negative on the entire  $K^4$ , then it has fixed points. They are the singular points of  $K^4$  and the fixed points of the mappings  $l^3_1$ . The latter are defined and monotonic everywhere. In fact, being negative at their fixed points, they are monotonically negative, and the two points  $l^3_1(s)$  are distinct outside a double singular point. By (6, 5.2), the mapping  $l^3_0$  is triple-valued on  $K^4$ ; it is the inverse of  $l^3_2$ . By 3.4,  $l^n_{n-3,0}$  is nowhere defined. For such a  $K^4$ , we have

$$\sum_0^3 (3 - m)N^n_m = 4.$$

If  $l^3_2$  is monotonically positive, then  $l^3_{00}$  is defined on the whole curve. Since no tangent meets  $K^4$  three times, the mappings  $l^3_{00}$  are monotonic. Having no fixed points, they are monotonically positive.

Suppose the mappings  $l^3_2$  are not monotonic. Then there are points  $s_0$  whose tangents meet the curve again, say at  $t_0$ . If  $s$  passes monotonically through  $s_0$ , then one of the points  $l^3_{00}(s)$  moves through  $s_0$  in the opposite sense while the other one changes its direction at  $t_0$ ; more accurately, it is separated from  $l^3_2(s)$  by  $t_0$ . If  $s$  moves from  $t_0$  into an arc  $A$ , then the two points  $l^3_{00}(s)$  move from  $s_0$  in opposite directions; cf. 3.7 and 3.8. Since the number of the points  $s_0, t_0$  is finite and since the mappings  $l^3_{00}$  are monotonic elsewhere, we obtain: If  $l^3_2$

is negative and one of the mappings  $t^3_1$  is positive, then the two mappings  $t^3_{00}$  have opposite directions.

**4.2.** Suppose the mapping  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  is defined at  $s_0$  and proper, and the three points  $s_0, t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0)$  are mutually distinct. Then there exists a closed arc  $B$  which contains  $s_0$  in its interior and a neighbourhood  $C$  of  $s_0$  with the following property: If  $s \in C, s \neq s_0$ , then the mappings  $t^3_{00}$  of the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L^n_{n-4}(s)$  are defined in  $B$  and proper. In  $B$ , they are monotonic and without fixed points.

*Proof.* By our assumptions,  $L^n_{n-2}(s_0)$  is regular. Hence  $L^n_{n-4}(s_0)$  is so too and the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L^n_{n-4}(s_0)$  is a curve  $K^4$ . The abundant  $(n-2)$ -spaces through  $L^n_{n-4}(s_0)$  are projected into abundant straight lines; cf. 2.3.

By our assumptions, the points

$$s_0, \quad t^3_{00}(s_0) = t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0)$$

are mutually distinct. Thus  $L^3_1(s_0)$  is regular and any abundant straight line meets  $K^4$  outside  $s_0$  at least twice. Since  $K^4$  has only a finite number of abundant tangents, we obtain: All of the abundant  $(n-2)$ -spaces through  $L^n_{n-4}(s_0)$  meet  $K^{n+1}$  outside  $s_0$  at least twice; only a finite number of them meet  $K^{n+1}$  at only two points  $\neq s_0$ . We choose the closed neighbourhood  $B$  such that it contains none of these points.

Let  $C$  be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $s_0$ . By 3.44 and 3.8, the mapping  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  is defined and continuous in  $C$  and proper. Thus we may assume that the points

$$s, \quad t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$$

are mutually distinct for all  $s \in C$ . On account of 3.9, we may assume that each  $s$  is a simple original point of  $t^n_{n-3,0}$ . If we project  $K^{n+1}$  from the regular subspace  $L^n_{n-4}(s)$ , then

$$t^n_{n-3,0}(s) = t^3_{00}(s)$$

will be a proper pair of distinct image points of  $s$ . Thus the mapping  $t^3_{00}$  is defined near  $s$  and proper and the three points  $s', t^3_{00}(s')$  are mutually distinct if  $s'$  is near  $s$ .

Suppose our assertion were false for  $B$  and for every choice of  $C$ . Then there would exist a sequence of points  $s_\lambda \rightarrow s_0, s_\lambda \neq s_0$ , such that the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from each  $L^n_{n-4}(s_\lambda)$  would possess abundant tangents which would meet this projection in  $B$ . Hence each  $L^n_{n-4}(s_\lambda)$  would lie in some abundant  $(n-2)$ -space which would meet  $K^{n+1}$  in not more than two points outside  $s_\lambda$  such that at least one of them would lie in  $B$ . A limit space of these  $(n-2)$ -spaces would be an abundant  $(n-2)$ -space through  $L^n_{n-4}(s_0)$  and not more than two points distinct from  $s_0$ , at least one of which would lie in  $B$ . Such subspaces have been excluded by our construction of  $B$ .

**4.3.** Suppose  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  is defined at  $s_0$  and proper, and the three points

$$s_0, \quad t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0)$$

are mutually distinct and non-collinear. Let  $t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  denote the mapping of the projection  $K^n$  of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $s_0$ . Thus

$$t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_0) = t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0),$$

$t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  is proper, and the mappings

$$(2) \quad t^n_{n-3,0} \quad \text{and} \quad t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$$

are defined and continuous near  $s_0$ . We label them such that

$$it = i t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0) = i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_0) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then the point  $i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)$  lies between  $it$  and  $i t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  for every  $s$  sufficiently close to  $s_0$  ( $s \neq s_0$ ). In particular,

$$i t^n_{n-3,0} \quad \text{and} \quad i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$$

have the same direction at  $s_0$ .

*Proof.* Choose the neighbourhoods  $B$  and  $C$  of  $s_0$  according to 4.2. We may assume that  $it$  and  $2t$  do not lie in  $B$ , that  $C \subset B$ , and that the mappings (2) exist in  $C$ . Construct small neighbourhoods  $C_i$  about  $it$  such that  $B, C_1, C_2$  are mutually disjoint and make  $C$  so small that

$$\{i t^n_{n-3,0}(s), i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)\} \subset C_i \quad \text{for all } s \in C; i = 1, 2.$$

If we project  $K^{n+1}$  from  $it$ , then  $2t \in L^{n-1}_{n-3}(s_0)$ . Thus the mapping  $\bar{t}^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  of this projection is proper and

$$\bar{t}^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_0) = \{s_0, 2t\}.$$

The mapping  $\bar{t}^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  is defined at any point  $s_1$  sufficiently close to  $s_0$ . One of the points  $\bar{t}^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1)$ , say  $1\bar{t}$ , lies in  $B$  and is separated from  $s_1$  by  $s_0$  while the other one,  $2\bar{t}$ , lies in  $C_2$ ; cf. 3.8. We finally make  $C$  so small that this is the case for every  $s_1 \in C$ ,  $s_1 \neq s_0$ , and that  $L^n_{n-4}(s_1)$  and  $s_0$ , as well as  $L^n_{n-4}(s_1)$  and  $it$ , span regular  $(n - 3)$ -spaces for all these  $s_1$ .

Let  $s_1 \in C$  now be fixed ( $s_1 \neq s_0$ ). Let  $t^3_{00}$  denote the mapping of the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L^n_{n-4}(s_1)$ . Thus

$$t^3_{00}(s_1) = t^n_{n-3,0}(s_1).$$

Choose the notation such that

$$i t^3_{00}(s_1) = i t^n_{n-3,0}(s_1) \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

By our construction

$$(3) \quad t^3_{00}(1\bar{t}) = \{it, 2\bar{t}\} \quad \text{and} \quad t^3_{00}(s_0) = t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1).$$

Let  $s$  move on  $B$  from  $s_1$  to  $1\bar{t}$ . Then the two points  $t^3_{00}(s)$  depend continuously and monotonically on  $s$ , and the three points  $s, t^3_{00}(s)$  remain mutually distinct;

cf. 4.2. Hence their order on the oriented curve remains unchanged and (3) implies that

$$1t_{00}(1\bar{t}) = 1t, \quad 2t_{00}(1\bar{t}) = 2\bar{t}.$$

Since  $s_0$  lies between  $s_1$  and  $1\bar{t}$ , the point  $i^3t_{00}(s_0)$  lies between  $i^3t_{00}(s_1)$  and  $i^3t_{00}(1\bar{t})$ . In particular, it lies in  $C_i$ . Hence, (3) yields

$$i^3t_{00}(s_0) = i^{n-1}t_{n-4,0}(s_1) \quad (i = 1, 2);$$

and the point  $1t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1)$  lies between the points

$$1t^3_{00}(s_1) = 1t^n_{n-3,0}(s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad 1t^3_{00}(1\bar{t}) = 1t.$$

Since  $2t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1)$  lies between

$$2t^3_{00}(s_1) = 2t^n_{n-3,0}(s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad 2t^3_{00}(1\bar{t}) = 2\bar{t}^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1),$$

we note that  $2t^n_{n-3,0}(s_1)$  and  $2\bar{t}^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1)$  lie on the same side of  $2t$ .

**4.4.** Combining the last remark with 3.8, we readily obtain conditions for  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  to change its directions. But the following discussion will yield more detailed information.

*Suppose  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  is defined at  $s_0$  and proper, and the three points*

$$(4) \quad s_0, \quad t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0)$$

*are mutually distinct. Using 4.1 and 4.3, we readily verify by induction that the mappings  $i^m_{n-3,0}$  are monotonic at  $s_0$  if  $s_0$  is a simple original point. Thus they can change their directions only at multiple original points or at those points  $s_0$  where two of the points (4) coincide; cf. 3.7 and 3.8. By 3.9, the number of these points is finite. We prove:*

*Let  $s$  lie sufficiently close to  $s_0$ . Then the two pairs of points*

$$t^n_{n-3,0}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0)$$

*alternate if and only if the mapping  $t^n_{n-1}$  is positive at  $s_0$ . This implies: If the mappings  $i^m_{n-3,0}$  are monotonic at  $s_0$ , then they have the same or opposite directions depending on whether  $t^n_{n-1}$  is positive or negative at  $s_0$ . If one of the two changes its direction at  $s_0$ , then so does the other.*

By 4.1, our assertion is true for  $n = 3$ . Suppose it has been proved up to  $n - 1$ . Choose a small neighbourhood  $B$  of  $s_0$  with the following properties: The mappings  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  are defined in  $B$ , and the points

$$s, \quad t^n_{n-3,0}(s) \quad (s \in B)$$

are mutually distinct; with the possible exception of  $s_0$ ,  $B$  contains no multiple original points. Thus these mappings are monotonic on the two subarcs into which  $B$  is decomposed by  $s_0$ .

Let  $s_1 \in B$ ,  $s_1 \neq s_0$ ; and let  $t^{n-1}_*$  denote mappings of the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $s_1$ . Choose  $s$  between  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  sufficiently close to  $s_1$ . By our induction assumption, the pairs of points

$$t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_1) = t^n_{n-3,0}(s_1)$$

alternate if and only if  $l^{n-1}_{n-2}$  is positive at  $s_1$ . By (4; 3.42), this mapping and  $l^n_{n-1}$  have the same direction at  $s_1$ . Since  $B$  contains no multiple original points of the latter, it is monotonic in  $B$ ; cf. (4; 3.7). Thus  $l^{n-1}_{n-2}$  is positive at  $s_1$  if and only if  $l^n_{n-1}$  is positive at  $s_0$ . Since  $s_1$  is a simple original point of  $l^n_{n-3,0}$ , 4.3 implies that the two points

$$i l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad i l^n_{n-3,0}(s)$$

lie on the same side of  $i l^n_{n-3,0}(s_1)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Altogether, the pairs

$$l^n_{n-3,0}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad l^n_{n-3,0}(s_1)$$

alternate if and only if  $l^n_{n-1}$  is positive at  $s_0$ . The mappings  $i l^n_{n-3,0}$  being monotonic between  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , we can now drop the restriction that  $s$  be close to  $s_1$ . Letting  $s$  tend to  $s_0$ , we obtain our statement.

**4.5.** Suppose the points

$$(5) \quad s_0, \quad 1t = 1l^n_{n-3,0}(s_0), \quad 2t = 2l^n_{n-3,0}(s_0)$$

are mutually distinct but collinear. The following remark is a substitute for 4.3: Let  $B$  be a closed neighbourhood of  $s_0$  which does not contain  $1t$  and  $2t$ . Suppose the neighbourhood  $C$  of  $s_0$  is sufficiently small ( $s_1 \in C, s_1 \neq s_0$ ). Then the mappings  $l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  of the projection of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $s_1$  are defined on  $B$  and proper. They are monotonic outside  $s_0$  and the three points

$$(6) \quad s, \quad l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)$$

are mutually distinct.

*Proof.* By 3.4 and 3.8, the mapping  $l^n_{n-3,0}$  is defined and continuous in a neighbourhood  $C$  of  $s_0$ . By 3.9, we may choose  $C$  so small that it contains no multiple original points  $\neq s_0$ . Thus  $l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  will be defined at  $s_1$  and proper.

Suppose there is a sequence of points  $s_1 \rightarrow s_0, s_1 \neq s_0$  and to each  $s_1$  a point  $s \in B$  such that two of the points (6) are identical. Thus to each  $s_1$  of this sequence there exists an abundant  $(n - 2)$ -space through  $s_1$  and through not more than two other points of  $K^{n+1}$ , not more than one of them lying outside  $B$ . Letting  $s_1$  tend to  $s_0$ , we obtain an abundant  $(n - 2)$ -space through  $s_0$  with the same property. It is projected from  $s_0$  into an abundant  $(n - 3)$ -space  $F$  which meets the projection  $K^n$  of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $s_0$  at most once outside  $B$ . Since the points  $1t, 2t$  are projected into a double point of  $K^n$  and lie outside  $B$ ,  $F$  cannot contain the double point. The  $(n - 2)$ -flat through  $F$  and that point would meet  $K^n$  not less than  $[(n - 1) + 2]$ -times.

We can now choose  $C \subset B$  so small that for every point  $s_1 \in C, s_1 \neq s_0$ , and for every  $s \in B$ , the points (6) are mutually distinct if they exist. But 3.4 implies now that  $l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  is defined not only at  $s_1$  but in the whole of  $B$ .

It remains to be shown that the mappings  $i l^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  are monotonic outside  $s_0$ . Let  $s \in B, s \neq s_0$ . If one of our mappings would change its direction at  $s$ , then  $s$  would be a multiple original point. Thus  $L^n_{n-5}(s), s_1$ , and the two points

$t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)$  would lie in an abundant  $(n - 3)$ -space. It is projected from  $L^n_{n-5}(s)$  onto an abundant straight line through  $s_1$  and the points  ${}_i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)$ . This line and the straight line through the projections of the points (5) are distinct. They span a subspace of dimension  $\leq 3$ . It would meet the projection  $K^5$  of  $K^{n+1}$  at least six times (at least five times) if its dimension were three (were two).

**4.6.** *Suppose the mappings  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  are defined at  $s_0$  and proper and the three points*

$$(7) \quad s_0, \quad {}_i t = {}_i t^n_{n-3,0}(s_0) \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

*are mutually distinct. Then these mappings change their directions at  $s_0$  if and only if the multiplicity of  $s_0$  is even.*

Let  $s_0$  be a  $p$ -fold original point. Thus  $L^n_{n-p-2}(s_0)$  and the  ${}_i t$  span a special subspace. Projecting from  $L^n_{n-p-3}(s_0)$  and making use of 4.3, we reduce our assertion to the case  $p = n - 2$ . Thus we may assume that the points (7) are collinear, and we have to show that the mappings  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  change their directions if and only if  $n$  is even.

We choose a closed neighbourhood  $B$  and a neighbourhood  $C \subset B$  of  $s_0$  such that  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  is defined in  $C$  and monotonic outside  $s_0$  and that we can apply 4.2 and 4.5. It is sufficient to prove: Let  $C$  be sufficiently small. Then if  $s'$  and  $s''$  lie in  $C$  and are separated by  $s_0$ , the points

$${}_i t' = {}_i t^n_{n-3,0}(s') \quad \text{and} \quad {}_i t'' = {}_i t^n_{n-3,0}(s'')$$

lie on the same side of  ${}_i t$  if and only if  $n$  is even ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

Let  $t^3_{00}$  and  $t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  denote the mappings of the projections of  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L^n_{n-4}(s')$  and  $s''$ , respectively. We number them such that

$$(8) \quad {}_i t^3_{00}(s_0) = {}_i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s_0) = {}_i t \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Obviously,

$$t^3_{00}(s') = t^n_{n-3,0}(s') \quad \text{and} \quad t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s'') = t^n_{n-3,0}(s'').$$

By 4.2,  $L^n_{n-4}(s')$  and  $s''$  span a regular  $(n - 3)$ -space. Hence

$$t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s') = t^3_{00}(s'').$$

If  $s$  moves on  $C$  from  $s_0$  to  $s'$  or to  $s''$ , then the points  $t^3_{00}(s)$  and  $t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)$  move continuously, and  $s$  and its image points remain mutually distinct. Hence, their order on the oriented curve remains unchanged. Therefore

$$(9) \quad {}_i t^3_{00}(s) = {}_i t', \quad {}_i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s'') = {}_i t'',$$

and

$$(10) \quad {}_i t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s') = {}_i t^3_{00}(s'') \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Choose small neighbourhoods of the points  ${}_i t$  and make  $C$  so small that the points (9) lie in these neighbourhoods ( $i = 1, 2$ ). If  $s'$  and  $s''$  converge to  $s_0$ ,

then the abundant  $(n - 2)$ -space through  $L^n_{n-4}(s')$ ,  $s''$ , and the two points (10) will have an abundant limit space through  $L^n_{n-3}(s_0)$ , i.e. it will converge to the  $(n - 2)$ -space through  $L^n_{n-3}(s_0)$ ,  $1t$ , and  $2t$ . Hence the pair  $t^3_{00}(s'')$  converges to the pair  $\{1t, 2t\}$ . Since the triples  $s'', t^3_{00}(s'')$  and  $s_0, t^3_{00}(s_0)$  have the same order on the oriented curve, the points (10) must converge to  $it$ . Hence we may choose  $C$  so small that  $it^3_{00}(s'')$  lies in the neighbourhood of  $it$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

For  $n = 3$ , our assertion follows from 4.1. Suppose it is proved up to  $n - 1$ . Thus the points  $it^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s')$  and  $it^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s'')$ , i.e. the points  $it^3_{00}(s')$  and  $it''$ , lie on the same side of  $it$  if and only if  $n - 1$  is even. The mappings  $t^3_{00}$  being monotonic in  $C$ , the points  $it^3_{00}(s') = it'$  and  $it^3_{00}(s'')$  are separated by  $it^3_{00}(s_0) = it$ ; cf. (8). Combining these two observations, we obtain our assertion.

**5. Some global properties of the mapping  $t^n_{n-3,0}$ .**

**5.1.** *The numbers  $N^n_{p00}$  are bounded for given  $n$  ( $0 \leq p \leq n - 4$ ).*

Trivially,  $N^4_{000} \leq 1$ . Suppose our statement has been proved up to  $n - 1$ . By 3.9, the numbers  $N^n_{p00}$  were finite. Project  $K^{n+1}$  from a point which is neither a fixed point nor a multiple original point of  $t^n_{n-3,0}$ . If  $s$  is such a multiple original point, then

$$(1) \quad t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s) = t^n_{n-3,0}(s).$$

Our projection has decreased the multiplicity of  $s$  by one.

These points  $s$  decompose  $K^{n+1}$  into a finite number of arcs  $B$ . We divide the set of these arcs into two classes.  $B$  shall belong to the first class if and only if all the mappings

$$(2) \quad it^n_{n-3,0} \quad \text{and} \quad it^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$$

are defined and monotonic on  $B$  and have no fixed points in  $B$ . Thus any arc  $B$  of the second class either contains multiple original points of  $it^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$  or fixed points of one of the mappings (2). By our induction assumption and the introduction, the number of these points and hence that of the arcs  $B$  of the second class is bounded.

Let  $B$  be an arc of the first class. We wish to show that the mappings

$$(3) \quad 1t^n_{n-3,0} \quad \text{and} \quad 1t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}$$

have the same direction on  $B$ . Since exactly one of them changes its direction at an end point of  $B$ , an arc adjacent to  $B$  cannot belong to the first class; cf. 4.6. Thus the number of the arcs of the first class is not greater than that of the second and it is bounded too.

If  $s$  moves on  $B$ , the three mutually distinct points  $s$  and  $t^n_{n-3,0}(s)$  ( $s$  and  $t^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s)$ ) move continuously on the curve. Hence their order on the oriented curve remains unchanged. Furthermore, (1) holds true if  $s$  is equal to one of the end points  $s'$  and  $s''$  of  $B$ . Hence the mappings (3) can be labelled such that

$$it^n_{n-3,0}(s) = it^{n-1}_{n-4,0}(s) \quad \text{for } s = s' \text{ and } s = s''; i = 1, 2.$$

If  $s$  moves from  $s'$  through  $B$  to  $s''$ , the points

$${}_1t_{n-3,0}^m(s) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_1t_{n-4,0}^{n-1}(s)$$

move continuously and monotonically from a common initial point to a common end point. Since neither mapping has a fixed point, they must be monotonic in the same direction. This completes our proof.

**5.2.** The mapping  $t_{n-3}^m$  was extended in (6; 5.3) to a mapping  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  which was triple-valued and continuous on the whole curve. The additional image points were the positive image points of the mappings  $t_{n-1}^m$  and  $t_{n-2}^m$ , each of them counted twice.

On account of 3.4, we can complete  $t_{n-3}^m$  in another fashion to a mapping  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  which is triple-valued and continuous everywhere. Define  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m(s) = t_{n-3}^m(s)$  if  $t_{n-3}^m(s)$  consists of three points. If  $t_{n-3}^m$  is single-valued at  $s$ , define

$$\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m(s) = \{t_{n-3}^m(s), t_{n-3,0}^m(s)\}.$$

This mapping could be discontinuous only when the number of image points of  $t_{n-3}^m$  changes. That is the case at  $s_0$  if and only if the multiplicity of  $s_0$  is odd and that of its image point  $t_0$  is two. But if  $s$  converges monotonically to  $s_0$ , then either two points  $i t_{n-3}^m(s)$  or two points  $i t_{n-3,0}^m(s)$  converge to  $t_0$  from opposite directions; cf. 3.8 and (6; 4.2 and 4.3). Thus these points  $s_0$  are exactly those points where pairs  $t_{n-3,0}^m(s)$  change into pairs  $t_{n-3}^m(s)$  and vice versa. Thus  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  remains continuous at  $s_0$ . If  $s$  passes through  $s_0$ , two of the points  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m(s)$  move through  $t_0$  monotonically in opposite directions.

The improper image points of  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  are the cusps (counted twice), the  $(n - 1)$ -times singular points, and the double points. By the introduction, each point of  $K^{n+1}$  is the proper image point of a bounded number of points.

The proper fixed points of  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  are the multiple original points of  $t_{n-1}^m$ , each of them counted once, and the singular points; cf. 3.8. Simple singular points and cusps have to be counted once; the twice or  $(n - 1)$ -times singular points are counted twice; any other singular point is counted three times; cf. (6; 3.1). By (6; 4.4), a  $q$ -fold fixed point of  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  is the fixed point of  $q$  different mappings  $i t_{n-3}^m$ ,  $q > 1$ . Each mapping  $i t_{n-3}^m$  is negative at a fixed point.

**5.3.** Suppose  $t$  is not a fixed point of the mapping  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$ . Then the number of the negative original points of  $t$  at this mapping minus that of its positive ones is equal to

$$(4) \quad N_{n-1}^n + 2N_{n-2}^n + 3 \sum_0^{n-3} N_m^n + \sum_1^{n-2} N_{m0}^n + 2N_{00}^n - 3 \quad (n \geq 3).$$

*Proof.* Let  $h$  denote the sum of the multiplicities of any improper images of  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$ ; thus

$$h = N_{n-1}^n + 2N_{n-2}^n + 2N_{00}^n.$$

The proper part of  $\tilde{t}_{n-3}^m$  being  $(3 - h)$ -valued, we can uniformize it to a single-valued continuous mapping of the  $(3 - h)$ -times covered  $K^{n+1}$  into itself.

Its fixed points decompose the covering curve into a finite number of arcs  $B$  which have no fixed points in their interiors. The new mapping still being negative at its fixed points, the number of negative original points of the point  $t$  exceeds that of its positive ones by one in each arc  $B$  which does not contain  $t$ . For each of the  $3 - h$  arcs  $B$  which contain  $t$ , these two numbers are equal. Thus our difference is equal to the number of arcs  $B$  minus  $(3 - h)$ . But the number of these arcs is equal to the number of the fixed points of  $\tilde{t}^n_{n-3}$ , each of them counted with its multiplicity, i.e. it is equal to

$$N^n_{n-1} + 2N^n_{n-2} + 3 \sum_2^{n-3} N^n_m + 2N^n_1 + N^n_0 + \sum_1^{n-2} N^n_{m0};$$

cf. 5.2. Subtracting  $3 - h$ , we obtain (4).

**5.4.** Suppose the point  $t$  has no multiple original points at the mappings

$$(5) \quad t^n_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t^n_{n-2}.$$

Then the number of its negative original points at the mapping  $t^n_{n-3,0}$  minus that of its positive ones is equal to

$$(6) \quad \sum_1^{n-2} N^n_{m0} + 2N^n_{00}$$

minus twice the number of its positive original points at the mappings (5).

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $t$  is in addition regular and that the original points of  $t$  at the mapping  $t^n_{n-3}$  are simple. By (6; 5.1), the number of negative original points of  $t$  at that mapping minus that of its positive ones is equal to

$$N^n_{n-1} + 2N^n_{n-2} + 3 \sum_0^{n-3} N^n_m - 3$$

plus twice the number of positive original points of  $t$  at the mappings (5). Comparing this relation with 5.3, we obtain our assertion for these points, i.e. for all the points  $t \in K^{n+1}$  with a finite number of exceptions.

If we assume only that the original points of  $t$  at the mappings (5) are simple, then both the difference before (6) and the expression following it are the same for  $t$  as they are for points near  $t$ . Thus our remark remains valid under these weaker assumptions.

**5.5.** Let  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose  $t$  has no multiple original points at the mappings (5) or at  $t^n_{n-3,0}$ . Project  $K^{n+1}$  from  $t$  into a  $K^n$ .

A regular point  $s \neq t$  of  $K^n$  is at most simply singular on  $K^{n+1}$ . The osculating space  $L^{n-1}_m(s)$  is special if and only if the subspace through  $t$  and  $L^n_m(s)$  is abundant while that through  $t$  and  $L^{n-1}_{m-1}(s)$  is not. Hence  $L^{n-1}_m(s)$  is special if and only if either  $L^n_m(s)$  or the subspace through  $L^n_m(s)$  and  $t$  is special. This yields

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} N^{n-1}_{n-3,0} = N^n_{n-3,0} + \text{no. of orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } t^n_{n-3,0} \text{ if } n > 3, \\ N^{n-1}_{m0} = N^n_{m0} \quad (0 \leq m < n - 3). \\ 2N^2_{00} = 2N^3_{00} + \text{no. of orig. pts. of } t \text{ at } t^3_{00}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad & \sum_1^{n-2} (n - m - 1)N_{m0}^n + 2(n - 1)N_{00}^n \\
 &= \left[ \sum_1^{n-3} (n - m - 2)N_{m,0}^n + 2(n - 2)N_{00}^n \right] + \left[ \sum_1^{n-2} N_{m0}^n + 2N_{00}^n \right] \\
 &= \sum_1^{n-3} (n - m - 2)N_{m,0}^{n-1} + 2(n - 2)N_{00}^{n-1} \\
 &\quad - 2 \times \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } t_{n-3,0}^n \\
 &\quad + 2 \times \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mappings (5)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain from (8) that

$$\sum_1^{n-2} (n - m - 1)N_{m0}^n$$

is even.

By (4; 3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & \sum_0^{n-1} (n - m)N_m^n = \sum_0^{n-2} (n - m + 1)N_m^{n-1} + 1 \\
 &\quad - 2 \times \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } t_{n-1}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\sum_n = \sum_0^{n-1} (n - m)N_m^n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^{n-2} (n - m - 1)N_{m0}^n + (n - 1)N_{00}^n \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then (8) and (9) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad \sum_n &= \sum_{n-1} + 1 \\
 &\quad - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } t_{n-1}^n \\
 &\quad + \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } t_n^{n-2} \\
 &\quad - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } t_{n-3,0}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we drop the assumption that  $t$  has no multiple original points at the mapping  $t_{n-3,0}^n$ , then (7) has to be replaced by the relations:

$$N_{m0}^{n-1} = N_{m0}^n + \text{number of } (n - m - 2)\text{-fold original points of } t \text{ at the mappings } t_{n-3,0}^n, \quad 0 < m \leq n - 3;$$

$$2N_{00}^{n-1} = 2N_{00}^n + \text{number of } (n - 2)\text{-fold original points of } t \text{ at this mapping.}$$

We then have to replace the equality signs in (8) and (10) by “ $\leq$ .”

**5.6.** *Suppose the point  $t$  is regular, that it is a simple proper image point at all the mappings  $t_m^n$ , and that all of its original points at these mappings are simple ( $m = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ ). Thus  $L_{n-2}^n(t)$  is regular.*

Let  $3 \leq p < n$ . Project  $K^{n+1}$  from  $L_{n-p-1}^n(t)$  into a  $K^{p+1}$ . A multiple original point of  $t$  at the mapping  $l^p_m$  would also be a multiple original point of  $t$  at the mapping  $l^n_m$ . Hence the original points  $s$  of  $t$  at each  $l^p_m$  are simple. If

$$i^p_m(s) = i^n_m(s) = t,$$

then  $i^p_m$  and  $i^n_m$  have the same direction at  $s$ ; cf. (6; 4.2).

We apply 5.5 to each  $K^{p+1}$  and add over  $p = 3, 4, \dots, n$ , obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_n &\leq \Sigma_2 + (n - 2) \\ &\quad - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mappings } l^n_2, \dots, l^n_{n-1} \\ &\quad + \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mappings } l^n_1, \dots, l^n_{n-2} \\ &\quad - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at all the } l^p_{p-3,0} \quad (p = 3, 4, \dots, n) \\ &= (\Sigma_2 + \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } l^2_1) \\ &\quad + (n - 2) - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } l^n_{n-1} \\ &\quad - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at all the } l^p_{p-3,0} \quad (p = 3, 4, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

By 3.2, the parenthesis is equal to  $3 -$  number of positive image points of  $t$  at the same mapping and hence also at the mapping  $l^n_{n-1}$ . This finally yields that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_n &\leq n + 1 - \text{number of positive original and image points of } t \text{ at the} \\ &\quad \text{mapping } l^n_{n-1} \\ &\quad - \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at all the } l^p_{p-3,0} \quad (p = 3, 4, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\boxed{\Sigma_n \leq n + 1.}$$

Equality holds in (11) if and only if the original points of  $t$  at all the mappings  $l^p_{p-3,0}$  are simple.

5.7. Suppose the point  $t$  satisfies the assumptions of 5.5. Let

$$S_n = \sum_0^{n-1} (n - m)N^n_m + \sum_1^{n-2} (n - m - 1)N^n_{m0} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

By (8) and (9)

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n &= S_{n-1} + 1 + 2 \times \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at the mapping } l^n_{n-2} \\ &\quad - 2 \times \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at } l^n_{n-3,0} \\ &\quad - 2(n - 1)N^n_{00} + 2(n - 2)N^{n-1}_{00}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t$  satisfies the assumptions of 5.6, we deduce, for example, from (11) that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n &\leq 2n + 1 - \sum_0^{n-2} (n - 1 - m)N^{n-1}_m \\ &\quad - 2 \times \text{number of positive image points of } t \text{ at } l^n_{n-3,0} \\ &\quad - 2 \times \text{number of pos. orig. pts. of } t \text{ at all the } l^p_{p-3,0} \quad (p = 3, 4, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Again, equality will hold if and only if the original points of  $t$  at all the mappings  $l^p_{p-3,0}$  are simple.

We conjecture that

$$(14) \quad S_n \leq n + 1.$$

Trivially,  $S_2 + 2N_{00}^2 = 3$ . It is not hard to prove that

$$S_3 = \begin{cases} 4 - 2N_{00}^3 & \text{if } K^4 \text{ is homotopic to zero,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(14) is trivial if  $K^{n+1}$  has a cusp or double point.

Let  $n > 3$ . With some effort, the  $K^{n+1}$  have been determined with

$$N_{10}^n + N_{000}^n > 0.$$

If  $N_{10}^n > 0$ , then

$$S_n = N_{n-1}^n + 2N_{n-2}^n + N_{n-2,0}^n + (n-2)N_{10}^n = n + 1.$$

Similarly if  $N_{000}^n > 0$ , then

$$S_n = N_{n-1}^n + 2N_{n-2}^n + N_{n-2,0}^n = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 \text{ or } 4 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

These results imply the formula for  $K^5$

$$S_4 + 2N_{000}^4 + 4N_{00}^4 = 5.$$

An approach to (14) via (12) faces the difficulty that  $S_n > S_{n-1} + 1$  can occur. In order to utilize (13), it seems that certain more general and rather difficult mappings  $v_{m_0}^n$  would have to be studied. Using these mappings the author could at least prove the finiteness of the numbers

$$N_{pqr}^n, \quad p + q + r \leq n - 4.$$

#### REFERENCSS

1. O. Haupt, *Ein Satz über die reellen Raumkurven vierter Ordnung und seine Verallgemeinerung*, Math. Ann., 108 (1933), 126–142.
2. Wm. F. Pohl, *On a theorem related to the four-vertex theorem*, Ann. of Math., 84 (1966), 356–367.
3. P. Scherk, *Ueber differenzierbare Kurven und Bögen III. Ueber Punkte (n + 1)-ter Ordnung auf Bögen im  $R_n$* , Annali di Mat. (4), 17 (1938), 291–305.
4. ——— *On differentiable arcs and curves IV. On the singular points of curves of order n + 1 in projective n-space*, Ann. of Math., 46 (1945), 68–82.
5. ——— *same title IVa. On certain singularities of curves of order n + 1 in projective n-space*, Ann. of Math., 46 (1945), 175–181.
6. ——— *same title V. On a class of mappings of the curves of order n + 1 in projective n-space into themselves*, Ann. of Math., 47 (1946), 786–805.

University of Toronto