ON FOURIER TRANSFORMS OF RADIAL FUNCTIONS

JAMES L. GRIFFITH *

(Received 24 January 1964)

1. Introduction

The Fourier transform F(y) of a function f(t) in $L^1(E_k)$ where E_k is the k-dimensional cartesian space will be defined by

(1.1)
$$F(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \int_{E_k} e^{i(t \cdot y)} f(t) dV_t.$$

We consider the inversion formula $f_0(x) = \lim_{R \to \infty} g(x, R)$ where

(1.2)
$$g(\mathbf{x}, R) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \int_{B_R} (1 - s^2/R^2)^n e^{-i(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})} F(\mathbf{y}) dV_{\mathbf{y}}$$

in which formula s is the radial vector in y-space and B_R is the ball of radius R with centre at the origin. In the cases considered $f_0(x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere, but this detail will not concern us at the moment.

Following the method of Bochner [1] we substitute (1.1) in (1.2) and then change the origin to x by writing t = x + z. Thus we obtain

$$g(\mathbf{x}, R) = (2\pi)^{-k} \int_{E_k(\mathbf{z})} f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}) dV_{\mathbf{z}} \int_{B_R(\mathbf{y})} (1 - s^2/R^2)^n e^{i(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z})} dV_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

We now express the y-system in polar co-ordinates and integrate out all of the "angular" co-ordinates. This leaves us with

$$g(\mathbf{x}, R) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \int_{B_k} f(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{z}) dV_z \int_0^R r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} s^{\frac{1}{2}k} (1-s^2/R^2)^n \int_{\frac{1}{2}(k-s)} (rs) ds$$

where now r is the radius vector of the z-system. The final simplification is obtained by turning the z-system into polar co-ordinates and integrating out all the variables except r. The final result is

$$g(\mathbf{x}, R) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}k+n}\Gamma(n+1)}{[\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})]^k} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{1}{2}k-n-1} R^{\frac{1}{2}k-n} J_{\frac{1}{2}k+n}(Rr)Q(r)dr$$

where $Q(r) = \int f(x+rz)dA$, the (k-1) dimensional integral (area) over the surface of the unit sphere.

The particular value of $n = \frac{1}{2}(k-1) = \alpha$ is called the critical value of

* This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, while the author was at the University of Kansas.

289

the index (see in particular E.M. Stein [5]). If $n > \alpha$, we may split the integral into two parts and write

$$g(\mathbf{x}, R) = \int_0^{\mathbf{p}} + \int_{\mathbf{p}}^{\infty} \cdots d\mathbf{r}$$

and it is obvious that $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{x} \cdots dr = 0$. That is to say $\lim_{R\to\infty} g(x, R)$ depends only on the values of f(t) near t = x. The inversion formula will possess a localisation property. When $n < \alpha$ it is easy to construct a function f(t) which is finite near x, but for which the integral will not converge.

The critical value α for the localisation property to hold was obtained on the assumption that f(t) belonged to $L^1(E_k)$. As mentioned by Bochner if we add further conditions on differentiability and integrability on f(t)it is possible to reduce the value of the critical value to zero.

In this paper we will determine what effect symmetry of f(t) will have on the critical value. It will be shown that the critical value is closely related to the singularity (if such exists) of f(t) at the origin.

2

In this section we assume that f(t) belongs to $L^1(E_k)$ and is radial, that is f(t) = g(r). We then follow Bochner and Chandrasekharan ([2], p. 67 et seq.) to see that the Fourier transform is also radial and is given by

(2.1)
$$G(s) = s^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{1}{2}k} J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(sr)g(r)dr.$$

The inversion formula we wish to investigate will then be written as $\lim_{R\to\infty} g(r, R)$, where

$$g(r, R) =$$
(2.1a) $= r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_{0}^{R} (1-s^{2}/R^{2})^{n} s^{\frac{1}{2}k} J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(sr)G(s) ds$

(2.1b)
$$= r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{1}{2}k} g(u) du \int_0^R s(1-s^2/R^2)^n J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(sr) J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(su) ds$$

where now $u^{k-1}g(u)$ belongs to $L^1(0, \infty)$. We recall that if $\int_0^{\infty} p(r)dr$ exists then $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^R (1-r^2/R^2)^n p(r)dr$ also exists and equals $\int_0^{\infty} p(r)dr$ (see Titchmarsh [6], p. 27).

We then divide the integral \int_0^∞ in (2.1b) into

$$\int_0^\infty = \int_0^a + \int_a^{r-b} + \int_{r-b}^{r+b} + \int_{r+b}^\infty$$

If we take n = 0 and use Watson ([7], p. 134, (8)) we obtain the contribution from the last integral to be

$$r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_{r+b}^{\infty} \frac{Rg(u) u^{\frac{1}{2}k} (rJ_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(uR) J_{\frac{1}{2}k}(rR) - uJ_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(rR) J_{\frac{1}{2}k}(uR))}{r^2 - u^2} \, du.$$

The asymptotic expressions for the Bessel Functions and the Riemann Lebesgue Lemma show that this contribution vanishes as $R \to \infty$ (see for example Titchmarsh [6], p. 240 et seq.). A similar remark can be made concerning the contribution \int_{a}^{r-b} . Thus the contributions to $\lim_{R\to\infty} g(r, R)$ from \int_{a}^{r-b} and \int_{r+b}^{∞} will both vanish for all $n \ge 0$.

Now Titchmarsh (l.c.) shows that the contribution from $\int_0^a vanishes$ if $u^{\frac{1}{2}}g(u)$ belongs to $L^1(0, a)$. This is a heavier condition than we wish to impose. We will assume that

$$\int_0^t u^{k-1} |g(u)| du = P(t) = o(t^c), \text{ for some } c \ge 0$$

as $t \to 0$.

Writing $\nu = \frac{1}{2}(k-2)$, as is usual, we use the Parseval formula for the Hankel transforms in conjunction with formulae of Erdelyi ([4], p. 26, (33) and p. 52, (31)) to obtain

(2.2a)
$$\int_{0}^{R} s(1-s^{2}/R^{2})^{n} J_{\nu}(sr) J_{\nu}(su) ds = I(R) \text{ (say)}$$
$$= \frac{AR^{2-2\nu}}{r^{\nu}u^{\nu}} \int_{R|r-u|}^{R|r+u|} \frac{J_{n+\nu+1}(y)}{y^{n+\nu}} [y^{2}-R^{2}(r-u)^{2}]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} [R^{2}(r+u)^{2}-y^{2}]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dy$$

where $A = 2^{n-3\nu+1} \Gamma(n+1)/\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})$. Then after a change of variables

(2.2b)
$$I(R) = \frac{AR^{\nu-n+1}}{2r^{\nu}u^{\nu}} \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \frac{J_{n+\nu+1}(Rv^{\frac{1}{2}})}{v^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\nu+1)}} [v-(r-u)^2]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} [(r+u)^2-\nu]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dv.$$

Integrating equation (2.2b) by parts q times, and using the formula

$$\int v^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu} J_{\nu}(v^{\frac{1}{2}}) dv = 2v^{-\frac{1}{2}(\nu-1)} J_{\nu-1}(v^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

(Watson [7], p. 132, (1), with some change of variable), we write I(R) in the form

$$(2.3) I(R) = \frac{R^{\nu-n+1-a}}{u^{\nu}} \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \frac{J_{n+\nu+1-a}(Rv^{\frac{1}{2}})}{v^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\nu+1-a)}} \sum_{p=0}^{a} B_p [v-(r-u)^2]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}-p} [(r+u)^2-v]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}-a+p} dv$$

where B_{p} are constants not containing R or u. Thus

291

[3]

James L. Griffith

$$|I(R)| \leq \frac{R^{\nu-n+1-q}}{u^{\nu}} \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \frac{|J_{n+\nu+1-q}(Rv^{\frac{1}{2}})|}{v^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\nu+1-q)}} (4ru)^{2\nu-1-q} (\sum_{p=0}^{q} |B_p|) dv$$

$$(2.4) = O(R^{\nu-n-q+\frac{1}{2}}u^{\nu-q})$$

$$= O((Ru)^{\nu-n-q+\frac{1}{2}}u^{n-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

If $\nu - \frac{1}{2}$ is an integer we may take $q = \nu + \frac{1}{2}$. It is then easy to show that when $\nu - \frac{1}{2}$ is an integer the estimate (2.4) will hold for all q with $0 \le q \le \nu + \frac{1}{2}$.

If ν is an integer we may only take $q = \nu$, in (2.3). However we may take the integration by parts one step further for each term in the summation in (2.3) except those terms given by p = 0 and $p = q = \nu$. The first of these terms will be

$$T(R) = \frac{BR^{1-n}}{u^{\nu}} \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \frac{\int_{n+1} (Rv^{\frac{1}{2}})}{v^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}} \left[v - (r-u)^2\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[(r+u)^2 - v\right]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dv$$

$$= \frac{CR^{\frac{1}{2}-n}}{u^{\nu}} \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \left[v - (r-u)^2\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[(r+u)^2 - v\right]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\cos(Rv^{\frac{1}{2}} - w)}{v^{\frac{1}{2}(n+2)}} + O(R^{-1})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(B, C and w being constants not containing u or R)

$$= \frac{2CR^{\frac{1}{2}-n}}{u^{\nu}} \int_{|r-u|}^{r+u} [v^2 - (r-u)^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} [(r+u)^2 - v^2]^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\cos(Rv-w)}{v^{n+1}} + O(R^{-1}) \right] dv$$

$$= \frac{2CR^{\frac{1}{2}-n}}{u^{\nu}} (4ru)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} (r-u)^{-n-1} (2r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{r-u}^{p} \cos(Rv-w) (y^2 - |r-u|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dv$$

$$+ O(R^{-\frac{1}{2}-n})$$

with |r-u| , by a mean value theorem.

If we now put v = |r-u| + z/R in the integral this last expression takes the form

$$R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{R_{p}-R|r-u|} \cos (z+R|r-u|-w) z^{-\frac{1}{2}} dz$$

in which the integral is bounded uniformly for all R and u. If we then substitute back we see that the contribution from the term in p = 0 to I(R) will be $O(R^{-n}u^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. A similar treatment will show that the contribution from the term with p = v will be of the same order.

We have then shown that the estimate (2.4) holds for $0 \le q \le \nu + \frac{1}{2}$ whether ν is an integer or not.

We now return to equation (2.1b) to examine the contribution form \int_0^a . It will be useful to split the range into $\int_0^{1/R} + \int_{1/R}^a = K_1 + K_2$ (say). K_1 will be dominated by a term of the form

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700027725 Published online by Cambridge University Press

292

-

dv

$$S(R) = CR^{\frac{1}{2}k-n-q-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{1/R} |g(u)| u^{k-1-q} du$$

where C is independent of R (but is dependent on q). Recalling that $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(k-1) = \nu + \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$S(R) = CR^{\alpha - n - q} \{ [P(u)u^{-q}]_0^{1/R} + q \int_0^{1/R} P(u)u^{-q-1} du \}.$$

If c > 0, then we select 0 < q < c and each term is seen to be $o(R^{\alpha-n-c})$ as $R \to \infty$. If c = 0, we select q = 0 and the second term will vanish so that $S(R) = o(R^{\alpha-n})$.

A similar method shows that K_2 will be dominated by

$$V(R) = CR^{\alpha - n - q} [P(u)u^{-q}]_{1/R}^{a} + q \int_{1/R}^{a} P(u)u^{-q - 1} du$$

= $o(R^{\alpha - n - c}) + o(R^{\alpha - n - q})$

the first term being from the upper limits and the second from the lower.

So provided that we choose $n > \alpha - c$ if $c < \alpha$ and $n \ge 0$ if $c > \alpha$ we can be assured that the contribution from \int_0^a will vanish. That is to say that the inversion integral (1.2) or (2.1a) will be localised if $n > \alpha - c$.

We will now show that in general we cannot improve on this result.

Suppose that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x^{c-k}, & 0 \leq x \leq X \\ 0 & x > X \end{cases} c > 0$$

3

so that

$$\int_0^t x^{k-1}g(x)dx = t^c/c.$$

We may then write g(x) = f(x) - h(x) with

$$f(x) = x^{c-k} = x^{c-2\nu-2}$$
, all x

and

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x^{c-k} = x^{c-2\nu-2}, x > X \\ 0, \quad x < X. \end{cases}$$

Thus equation (2.1b) becomes

$$g(r, R) = f(r, R) - h(r, R)$$

= $r^{-\nu} \int_0^\infty u^{c-\nu-1} du \int_0^R s(1-s^2/R^2)^n J_\nu(sr) J_\nu(su) ds$
 $-r^{-\nu} \int_X^\infty u^{c-\nu-1} du \int_0^R s(-1-s^2/R^2)^n J_\nu(sr) J_\nu(su) ds.$

Now $\lim_{R\to\infty} h(r, R)$ exists for all $n \ge 0$ by the proof of theorem 135 of Titchmarsh [6]. We will only need to examine $\lim_{R\to\infty} f(r, R)$.

From Watson ([7], p. 391, (1)),

(3.1)
$$f(r, R) = \frac{r^{-\nu} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}c)}{2^{\nu-c+1} \Gamma(\nu-\frac{1}{2}c+1)} \int_0^R s^{\nu-c+1} (1-s^2/R^2)^n J_{\nu}(sr) ds.$$

For our purpose we will put r = 1 and $n = m - \beta$ where *m* is an integer and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. We will assume that $n < r + \frac{1}{2} - c$, and will examine

$$I(R) = \int_0^R s^{\nu - c + 1} (R^2 - s^2)^n J_{\nu}(s) ds$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

We will require the two formulae

(3.2a)
$$\int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{2}\nu} J_{\nu}(at^{\frac{1}{2}}) dt = 2a^{-1} z^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+1)} J_{\nu+1}(az^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

(Watson [7], p. 133, (1)) and

(3.2b)
$$\int_0^z (z-t)^b t^{\frac{1}{2}\nu} J_{\nu}(at^{\frac{1}{2}}) dt = 2^{b+1} \Gamma(b+1) a^{-b-1} z^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+b+1)} J_{\nu+b+1}(az^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

which is found by expanding the Bessel function in a series form and integrating.

Now

(3.3)
$$2I(R) = \int_0^{R^2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}c} (R^2 - x)^n x^{\frac{1}{2}\nu} \int_{\nu} (x^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx.$$

We will show that as $R \to \infty$ the dominating part of I(R) can be expressed in the form $AR^{\nu+n-e}J_{\nu+n+1}(R)$. More exactly we shall show that as $R \to \infty$

(3.4)
$$R^{c-n-\nu+\frac{1}{2}}I(R) = AR^{\frac{1}{2}}J_{\nu+n+1}(R) + o(1).$$

We now expand (3.3) using integration by parts *m* times. Then I(R) will be expressed as a linear combination of terms of the type

(3.5)
$$S_{a,b} = \int_0^{R^1} x^{-\frac{1}{2}c-a} (R^2 - x)^{n-b} x^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+a+b)} J_{\nu+a+b}(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx.$$

The expansion will contain only one term involving b = m. We leave this term unaltered but carry out one integration by parts step on all the other terms. We then split the formula for $S_{a,b}$ into $\int_0^1 + \int_1^{R^2} = S_1 + S_2$. From which we see that as $R \to \infty$

$$S_1 = O(R^{2n-2b})$$
 and $S_2 = O(R^{\nu-a-b+2n-c-1\frac{1}{2}}).$

The only terms in (3.5) which will possibly contribute a term of sufficiently great order will be that in which a = 0 and b = m.

So

$$2I(R) = \frac{2^{m} \Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_{0}^{R^{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}c} (R^{2}-x)^{-\beta} x^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)} J_{\nu+m}(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) dx$$

+ terms of lower order.

Further

$$(\Gamma(1-\beta)/2^{m}\Gamma(n+1))2I(R) = \left[x^{-\frac{1}{2}c}\int_{0}^{x} (R^{2}-u)^{-\beta}u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)}J_{\nu+m}(u^{\frac{1}{2}})du\right]_{0}^{R^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}c\int_{0}^{R^{2}}x^{-\frac{1}{2}c-1}dx\int_{0}^{x} (R^{2}-u)^{-\beta}u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)}J_{\nu+m}(u^{\frac{1}{2}})du + \text{ terms of lower order.}$$

The first term is $2^{1-\beta}\Gamma(1-\beta)R^{\nu+n-c+1}J_{\nu+n+1}(R)$. To make an estimate of the second term we divide the range of integration. (In the next few lines A will denote a constant but not necessarily the same constant).

$$I_{1}(R) = \int_{1}^{R^{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}c-1} dx \int_{1}^{x} (R^{2}-u)^{-\beta} u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)} \int_{\nu+m} (u^{\frac{1}{2}}) du$$

= $\int_{1}^{R^{2}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}c-1} (R^{2}-x)^{-\beta} dx \int_{p}^{x} u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)} \int_{\nu+m} (u^{\frac{1}{2}}) du, 1 \leq p \leq x$
= $2 \int_{1}^{R^{2}} 2x^{-\frac{1}{2}c-1} (R^{2}-x)^{-\beta} [u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m+1)} \int_{\nu+m+1} (u^{\frac{1}{2}})]_{p}^{x} dx.$

Then since $p \ge 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}(r+m+1) > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(R)| &\leq A \int_1^{R^2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}(e-\nu-m+1\frac{1}{2})} (R^2-x)^{-\beta} dx \\ &= O(R^{\nu+m-e+\frac{1}{2}-2\beta}). \\ I_2(R) &= \int_1^{R^2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}e-1} dx \int_0^1 (R^2-u)^{-\beta} u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)} J_{\nu+m}(u^{\frac{1}{2}}) du, \\ I_2(R) &< A \int_1^{R^2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}e-1} (R^2-1)^{-\beta} dx = O(R^{-2\beta}). \\ I_3(R) &= \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{1}{2}e-1} dx \int_0^x (R^2-u)^{-\beta} u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m)} J_{\nu+m}(u^{\frac{1}{2}}) du \\ &= O(R^{-2\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus examining I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , the second term in (3.6) is seen to be of lower order than $R^{\nu+n-c+\frac{1}{2}}$ provided that $\beta > 0$. If $\beta = 0$ then

$$I_1(R) = \int_1^{R^2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}c-1} 2[u^{\frac{1}{2}(\nu+m+1)}J_{\nu+m+1}(u^{\frac{1}{2}})]_1^x dx$$
$$= O(R^{\nu+m-c-\frac{1}{2}})$$

after one step of integration by parts.

We have thus shown that if $n < \alpha - c$,

$$I(R) = A R^{\nu+n-c+1} J_{\nu+n+1}(R) + \text{ terms of lower order.}$$

This result confirms the assertion that we cannot in general take $n < \alpha - c$ for all c > 0. Putting this result in another way we can say that for each

 $n < \alpha - c$ we can find a g(t) so that $\int_0^t x^{k-1} |g(x)| dx = o(t^c)$ for which the inversion theorem will not be localised.

Further noting that if $\int_0^t x^{k-1} |g(x)| dx = o(t^c)$ for c > 0, then $\int_0^t x^{k-1} |g(x)| dx = o(1)$, we can extend our result to say that if $n < \alpha$ we can find a g(t) so that $\int_0^t x^{k-1} |g(x)| dx = o(1)$ and for which the inversion theorem will not be localised.

4

Up to this point no comment has been made concerning the contribution in $\lim_{R\to\infty} g(r, R)$ from the part of the integral \int_{r-b}^{r+b} in equation (2.1b).

If g(u) is of bounded variation in [r-b, r+b], then the limit in (2.1b)

(4.1)
$$\lim_{R\to\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_{r-b}^{r+b} \cdots du \int_{0}^{R} \cdots ds = \frac{1}{2} (f(r+)+f(r-))$$

for n = 0, (Titchmarsh [6], Th. 135). Equation (4.1) confirms the assumption made in the previous section that the contribution from $\int_{r-b}^{r+b} did$ not effect the convergence or otherwise of the integral treated there.

Keeping equation (4.1) in mind we will consider

(4.2)
$$F(r,R) = r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_{r-b}^{r+b} u^{\frac{1}{2}k} f(u) du \int_{0}^{R} s(1-s^{2}/R^{2})^{n} J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(sr) J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(su) ds,$$

where f(u) = g(u) - C, and we will assume that as $t \to 0$,

 $\int_{r-t}^{r+t} |f(u)| du = o(t)$

(a condition corresponding to that in Chandrasekharan and Minakshisundaram [3], p. 117). It will be profitable to use formula (2.2a). However the estimate in (2.4) will fail when $|Rv^{\frac{1}{2}}| < 1$. We examine first the integrals in which $|Rv^{\frac{1}{2}}| > 1$.

If we put $q = \nu + \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.4) we see that

$$\int_{r+1/R}^{r+\delta} u^{\nu+1} f(u) du \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \cdots dv$$

is dominated by a term of the type

$$AR^{-n}\int_{r+1/R}^{r+b}u^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}|f(u)|du,$$

which $\rightarrow 0$ for any n > 0. A similar conclusion may be drawn concerning the integrals

$$\int_{r-b}^{r-1/R} \cdots du \int_{(r-u)^2}^{(r+u)^2} \cdots dv, \int_r^{r+1/R} \cdots du \int_{1/R^2}^{(r+u)^2} \cdots dv \text{ and } \int_{r-1/R}^r \cdots du \int_{1/R^2}^{(r+u)^2} \cdots dv.$$

We are finally left with one part

$$\int_{r-1/R}^{r+1/R} \cdots du \int_{(r-u)^2}^{1/R} \cdots dv = K(R), \text{ say.}$$

[9] Now

$$K(R) = BR^{\nu-n+1} \int_{r-1/R}^{r+1/R} uf(u) du \int_{(r-u)^2}^{1/R^2} \frac{\int_{n+\nu+1} (Rv^{\frac{1}{2}})}{v^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\nu+1)}} \left(v - (r-u)^2\right)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} \left((r+u)^2 - v\right)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dv$$

(with B constant, by equation (2.2a)).

Thus using the estimate for the Bessel function when $|Rv^{\frac{1}{2}}| < 1$, we see that

We are then assured that if

$$G_1(r, R) = r^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-2)} \int_{r-\delta}^{r+\delta} u^{\frac{1}{2}k} g(u) du \int_0^R s(1-s^2/R^2)^n J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(sr) J_{\frac{1}{2}(k-2)}(su) ds$$

and there exists a C so that

$$\int_{r-t}^{r+t} |f(u)| du = o(t), \ f(u) = g(u) - C,$$

as $t \rightarrow 0+$, then

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}G_1(r,R)=C$$

for all n > 0.

We have thus shown that if f(t) in (1.1) is radially symmetric, and is written f(t) = g(u), and

$$\int_0^t u^{k-1}g(u)du = o(t^c) \text{ as } t \to 0+,$$

then $\lim_{R\to\infty} g(x, R)$ in (1.2) is localised if $n > \frac{1}{2}(k-1)-c$, n > 0. Also if there exists a C so that

$$\int_{r-t}^{r+t} |g(u) - C| du = o(t) \text{ as } t \to 0+,$$

then $\lim_{R\to\infty} g(x, R) = C$.

References

- [1] Bochner, S., Lectures on Fourier Integrals (Princeton, 1959).
- [2] Bochner, S. and Chandrasekharan, K., Fourier Transforms (Princeton, 1949).
- [3] Chandrasekharan, K. and Minakshisundaram, S., Typical Means (Tata Institute, Bombay).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700027725 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- [4] Erdelyi and others, Tables of Integral Transforms (McGraw Hill, New York, 1954).
- [5] Stein, E. M. Localisation and summability of Multiple Fourier Series, Acta Math. 100 (1958), 93-147.
- [6] Titchmarsh, E. C., Fourier Integrals (Oxford, 1948).
- [7] Watson, G. N., Theory of Bessel Functions (Cambridge, 1952).

University of New South Wales and University of Kansas