Are intact peptides absorbed from the healthy gut in the adult human?
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Abstract
For over 100 years it was believed that dietary protein must be completely hydrolysed before its constituent amino acids could be absorbed via specific amino acid transport systems. It is now known that the uptake of di- and tripeptides into the enterocyte is considerable, being transported across the intestinal endothelium by the PepT1 H+ /peptide co-transporter. There is also evidence that some di- and tripeptides may survive cytosolic hydrolysis and be transported intact across the basolateral membrane. However, other than antigen sampling, the transport of larger intact macromolecules across the intestinal endothelium of the healthy adult human remains a controversial issue as there is little unequivocal in vivo evidence to support this postulation. The aim of the present review was to critically evaluate the scientific evidence that peptides/proteins are absorbed by healthy intestinal epithelia and pass intact into the hepatic portal system. The question of the absorption of oligopeptides is paramount to the emerging science of food-derived bioactive peptides, their mode of action and physiological effects. Overall, we conclude that there is little unequivocal evidence that dietary bioactive peptides, other than di- and tripeptides, can cross the gut wall intact and enter the hepatic portal system in physiologically relevant concentrations.
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Introduction
A primary function of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is to digest dietary macromolecules and absorb the resultant nutrients from the complex environment of the gut lumen into the hepatic portal system. Yet accruing evidence now indicates that certain intact peptides escape hydrolysis and may exert physiological and immunological effects directly within the gut wall or systemically after being absorbed intact into the portal blood.

The intestinal lumen is a noxious environment and the intestinal epithelia form a selective barrier between the cells of the underlying lamina propria and the external environment(1,2). The toxic milieu of the intestinal lumen includes food antigens, anti-nutritional factors and potentially damaging secretions (which include bile salts, acids and digestive enzymes), food toxins and pathogenic bacteria(2,3). The GIT is also an integral part of the body’s immune system and the majority of the body’s immune cells are located in the GIT(3,4). Maintaining the integrity of the mucosal barrier is paramount for gut homeostasis and immunological defence, as breaches of this system have been implicated in a number of inflammatory diseases(5).

The digestion and nutrient assimilation of ingested protein by the GIT have, according to Matthews(6), been the subject of speculation and debate since the late 18th century. At the beginning of the 20th century, the discovery of the protease-containing ‘erezin’ by the German physiologist Otto Cohnheim(7) and the demonstration that amino acids are the products of protein digestion in the small intestine(8,9) led scientists to believe that proteins must be fully hydrolysed before their constituent amino acids are absorbed. We now know that the digestion of proteins is primarily undertaken by both gastric and pancreatic proteases, with the resulting large peptides being hydrolysed further by peptidases present on the enterocytic brush border. Free amino acids are then absorbed by the enterocytes via specific amino acid transport systems(10), for example, the B0 system, a Na+ -dependent and Cl- -independent transporter that is responsible for the uptake of most neutral amino acids at the brush-border membranes (BBM) of the enterocytes.

The doctrine that proteins must be completely hydrolysed before the absorption of their component amino acids prevailed until Newey & Smyth(11) provided the first convincing evidence that dipeptides could be...
Absorption of peptides from the healthy gut

Absorption of peptides from the healthy gut is protein specific and tightly regulated (16). Excessive absorption of antigenic proteins can induce local or systemic pathogenesis (45,44), for example, inflammatory bowel disease (45,46), coeliac disease (47,48) and other food allergies (49). In mature mammals the intestinal epithelium can absorb small quantities of protein by endocytosis; however, such absorption may be several orders of magnitude smaller than 0·1 % of an administered dose (16). Such small quantities fall within the scope of antigen sampling.

Enmeshed in the debate of whether peptides, large or small, can be absorbed intact by the healthy GIT is the notion of food-derived bioactive peptides, their absorption from the small intestine and their physiological effects. Traditionally the principal consideration in the evaluation of dietary protein quality has been its nutritional value and the availability of N from constituent amino acids. However, more recently there has been the discovery that specific protein fragments have physiological effects and influence body health (50–53). As a result the physiological activity of peptides (‘bioactive peptides’) released from exogenous dietary precursor proteins during digestive
enzymatic proteolysis has been of interest to researchers since their discovery in the 1970s. Both the reported physiological effects of bioactive peptides and the foods in which they have been found are numerous.

Pathways for the absorption of macromolecules from the gastrointestinal tract

The epithelium of the small intestine is lined with a layer of absorptive cells joined at their apical poles by junctional complexes that prevent the ingress of macromolecules. In addition, the apical surface is coated with mucus composed of hydrolysis-resistant peptidoglycans holding IgA, which impedes the absorption of luminal antigens. Nevertheless, a small quantity of hydrolysis-resistant antigenic material, macromolecules and indeed whole microbial cells may be absorbed by the mucosal tissues via transport systems that predominantly involve the adaptive and innate immune responses of the gut. The possible pathways for the absorption of peptides from the intestinal lumen (illustrated in Fig. 2) include: (a) paracellular pathways, via the tight junctions; (b) passive diffusion through the enterocytes; (c) endocytosis; and (d) carrier-mediated transport systems, for example, PepT1.

The paracellular pathway involves structures that join adjacent intestinal epithelial cells and are delineated by tight junctions, adherens junctions and desmosomes. The rate-limiting factor in the paracellular diffusion of molecules involves the tight junctions, a network of transmembrane proteins (claudins, occludin and junctional adhesion molecule A) that control the tight junction's plasticity and permeability. Tight junctions form pores that range in diameter between 0.4–0.9 nm in the villi to 5–6 nm in the crypts. Tight junctions allow the diffusion of mostly cations and inert small molecules (<600 Da) such as water-soluble peptides.

The human gut has an estimated surface area of 200 m² and the area available to paracellular diffusion is estimated to be 0.01% of this. However, in the healthy human gut, paracellular diffusion of antigens through the tight junctions is very low and remains even in areas of desquamation.

Highly lipid-soluble peptides may enter the enterocytes by passive diffusion where they are susceptible to hydrolytic degradation by cytosolic enzymes. Because large polar molecules such as peptide fragments >600 Da cannot pass through the hydrophobic enterocyte cell membrane, they may be captured by invagination of the apical membrane into vesicles that normally fuse with lysosomes to form phagolysosomes. The principal function of the phagolysosomes is the enzymic digestion of the macromolecules they contain. Only protein that escapes hydrolysis within these structures can be drawn across the enterocytes to be secreted at the basolateral membrane. The transcytosis of internalised vesicles may carry specifically bound ligands (receptor-mediated transcytosis), non-specifically adsorbed ligands (adsorptive transcytosis) or fluids (fluid-phase transcytosis) from the apical membrane across the cell to the basolateral membrane. Partially degraded food antigens in early endosomes bind to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules in an intracellular endocytotic compartment. Inward invagination of the MHC II compartment leads to the formation of exosomes, small membrane vesicles (40–90 nm) bearing MHC class II/peptide complexes at their surface. Antigen-loaded exosomes can then fuse with the basement membrane before being released into the extracellular medium to interact with local immune cells. Antigen sampling is thought to explain the presence of ferritin, detected using electron microscopy (650 kDa with a size of 5–6 nm) in membrane-bound vesicles within the intestinal epithelial cells of the hamster following its intraluminal infusion.

Fig. 2. Potential mechanisms of small-intestinal epithelium movement of peptides: 1, paracellular – increased permeability of tight junctions may permit the passage of peptides; 2, passive diffusion – cell-penetrating peptides are capable of transporting peptides as cargo; 3, endocytosis, followed by the endosomal release of the peptides; 4, carrier-mediated transport – transport via the intestinal H⁺/di- and tripeptide transporter PepT1. Inside the enterocyte peptides can be hydrolysed into their constituent amino acids (AA) before being transported across the basolateral membrane by specific AA transporters. It is thought, although not proven, that the transport of peptides across the basolateral membrane is mediated through other transporters such as those suggested by Terada et al., Shepherd et al. and Irie et al.
Another important antigen-sampling pathway for accessing specific proteins/antigens and bacterial cells involves the phagocytotic activity of follicle-associated epithelial cells (M-cells) located in Peyer’s patches. In a similar fashion, inert particulate materials have also been shown to be absorbed from the intestinal lumen. Gustave Herbst in 1843 observed starch grains in the blood of dogs 3 h after feeding them a starch suspension, observations that were confirmed by a series of experiments in animals and human subjects by Volkerheimer in 1964.[22]. Weiner suggests that most absorbed particles are sequestered in macrophages within the Peyer’s patches; non-sequestered particles are thought to be transported via the lymph rather than the portal blood.[73]

However, the absorption of intact macromolecules from the healthy adult human intestine remains a controversial issue as there is little unequivocal in vivo evidence (other than antigen sampling) in the literature demonstrating this phenomenon. The aim of the present review was to critically evaluate the evidence in the scientific literature that larger peptides and proteins are absorbed, in quantities greater than that required for antigen sampling, by healthy adult human intestinal epithelia; and that such molecules can then pass intact into the hepatic portal system, whereby they may invoke a targeted physiological response from the host.

**Evidence for the absorption of peptides from the healthy gut of adult humans**

**Early claims for oligopeptide absorption**

A number of articles commonly cited as being evidential of oligopeptide absorption arose before the discovery of the PepT1 H+/peptide co-transporter. Agar et al.[74] demonstrated unequivocally the transmural transport of unhydrolysed dipeptides, such as glycyl–glycine, an observation soon confirmed by other researchers[11,75]. Hueckel & Rogers[76] reported an increase in bound hydroxyproline-containing dipeptides but not of free hydroxyproline in the urine of human subjects fed 30 g of gelatin, indicating that these peptides were absorbed intact before being excreted during the succeeding 5–5.5 h. Interestingly when the same experiment was repeated with rats both bound and free hydroxyproline was present in the urine when the diet contained gelatin. However, in monkeys and dogs the quantities of free and bound urinary hydroxyproline were the same whether the animals ingested a normal diet or one containing gelatin. This discordant result demonstrates that the choice of a human analogue is critical in physiological research. Hellier et al.[77] reported the intestinal absorption of two dipeptides, glycyl-glycine and glycyl-l-alanine, in human subjects and noted that the constituent amino acids were absorbed faster when presented as dipeptides rather than as free amino acids. However, a third dipeptide, glycyl-l-lysine, known to be transported intact from the intestinal lumen, was hydrolysed to its constituent amino acids before reaching the portal venous blood, emphasising that the fate of dipeptides is not always the same. Later, Boullin et al.[78] reported the absorption of six different dipeptides in the rat. The intact dipeptides were subsequently detected by ion-exchange chromatography in blood samples taken from the superior mesenteric vein.

The early work of Adibi[79] and Silk et al.[80] suggests that not all tripeptides in humans are hydrolysed within the lumen or at the BBM, and that some of the possible 8000 tripeptides produced during the digestion of dietary protein may be absorbed intact. Compelling evidence for the absorption of intact peptides by the gut in humans comes from individuals with genetic disorders involving amino acid transporters; these patients do not appear to suffer from protein malnourishment as the absorption of intact peptides is unaffected[81–84]. Whether tetrapeptides can be absorbed by the enterocytes of the human jejunum was studied by Adibi & Morse[85]. They determined that tetruglycine was not absorbed by the enterocytes by the same mechanism as tri- or diglycine and although they did not discount the absorption of the tetrapeptide they could not detect any tetruglycine within the mucosal cells.[85]. They have suggested that tetruglycine is hydrolysed by mucosal-bound oligopeptidases and the uptake of homologous glycine oligopeptides by the human mucosal epithelium is restricted to diglycine and triglycine. *In vivo* studies in animals offer only conflicting evidence for the absorption of tetrapeptides. In the rat, Chung et al.[86] reported that l-leucyl-triglycine may be absorbed intact before being hydrolysed by cytoplastic peptidases. On the other hand, a study by Burston et al.[87] using rings of everted rat and hamster jejunum indicated that intact tetrapeptides were not absorbed but were hydrolysed to tri- and dipeptides by brush-border peptidases before uptake. The absorption of tetrapeptides by passive or facilitated diffusion has been reported by several researchers[88–90]. Both Chung et al.[86] and Rogers et al.[91] reported that rat small intestine could actively absorb intact tetrapeptides[86,91]; however, Kerchner & Geary[89] were critical of Chung’s experimental approach, citing extensive incubation times and the reuse of perfusion jejunum loops, with no correction for substrate entrapped in the extracellular space, insufficient evaluation of the cytoplasmic peptidase activity and the estimation of intact tetrapeptide uptake by an indirect method. They suggest that the unprotectioned peptides used were rapidly hydrolysed to their amino acid residues by brush-border and cytosol enzymes. With extensive incubation times in an ileum-everted sac preparation coupled with such a very high substrate concentration (20 mM) this investigation would have been unable to determine whether the passage of peptide was active or passive. Kerchner & Geary[89] suggest that neither of these studies conclusively
demonstrates the presence of an active transport process for oligopeptides.

Evidence for the absorption of larger peptides has been reported for: the pentapeptide metkephamid in the rat\(^{92}\), the orally active cyclic octapeptide analogue of somatostatin, octreotide, in the rat\(^{93}\); the renin-inhibiting nonapeptide in the rabbit jejunum\(^{94}\); the nonapeptide vasopressin also in the rabbit\(^{95}\); and in vivo, the decapptide luteinising hormone-releasing factor\(^{96}\). Another large peptide that is absorbed via passive diffusion into enterocytes in humans is the orally active immunosuppressive endecapeptide, cyclosporin A\(^{97,98}\). This large peptide is reported as having absolute bioavailabilities between <5 and 89 %. Using ultrastructural imaging, horseradish peroxidase (HRP; 40 kDa) has been shown to be absorbed across the intestinal wall of the rat via transcytotic vesicles\(^{99}\). However, in Ussing chamber experiments the rate of membrane permeation across rabbit jejunal was found to be extremely low (3 pmol/h per cm\(^2\))\(^{100}\). M-cells in the Peyer’s patches of the ileum can transport macromolecules such as lectins or IgA\(^{101,102}\) although the concentration of proteins reaching the systemic circulation is considered to be very low\(^{103}\).

Hemnings et al.\(^{104}\) reported that the large molecular mass breakdown products of four iodinated proteins were absorbed into the circulation and tissues of orally fed adult rats. In this paper the molecular mass of the breakdown products was not given and the issue of whether the irradiated iodine remains with the protein or if it is transferred to protein synthesised de novo could not be assured. However, in a later study\(^{105}\) these questions were addressed. The molecular mass of the irradiated breakdown products ranged between 20 and 50 kDa and their origin was confirmed immunologically using antisera specific to the original molecule. Using \(^3\)H-labelled bovine IgG the authors detected the presence of this large protein, or of fragments sufficient in size to retain the markers in adult ileal epithelial cells by direct deposition autoradiography at the electron microscopic level\(^{106}\). They suggest there may be a universal necessity for body cells to be permeable to proteins of many types and there is a constant traffic of protein molecules into all body cells. The method by which the human gut might absorb such large protein fragments is unclear although the transcytosis of IgG in the neonatal rat has been reported\(^{107,108}\) and an increased permeability of tight junctions may permit the passage of larger peptides. Bloch et al.\(^{109}\) demonstrated that \(^125\)I-labelled polypeptide fragments (6–20 kDa) from a pepsin digestion of bovine serum albumin were transferred from the mucosal to the serosal surface of the enterocytes when infused into everted jejunal gut sacs of rats. In subsequent in vivo studies they found that nanogram amounts of unlabelled immunoreactive fragments were detected by RIA of mesenteric and portal venous blood following their infusion into the jejunum. However, they failed to detect such fragments in the systemic circulation and suggest that this must be due to their rapid clearance. Caution is required in the interpretation of these results owing to the direct infusion of the jejunum circumventing hydrolysis by gastric and intestinal peptidases, the small and nutritionally insignificant amounts of the polypeptides detected in the portal and mesenteric venous blood and the short half-life of such fragments in the systemic circulation. On balance it would appear that small quantities of di- and tripeptides are absorbed from the adult human digestive tract, but the evidence for the absorption of larger peptides in other than very small amounts is not strong.

**Food-derived bioactive peptides**

The discovery of peptides that may induce physiological effects in the host following the oral administration of certain proteins or foods has led to publications that review such compounds and their sources\(^{110–112}\). Ajeyi & Danquah\(^{113,114}\) predict that food-derived bioactive peptides are of such significance that they will, in the future, sustain a new industry.

Such bioactivity infers the absorption of peptides and in the eyes of many researchers the absorption of oligopeptides from the small intestine is a foregone conclusion\(^{110,111,115,116}\). However, a critical examination of the literature reveals that such a hypothesis is difficult to substantiate. Whereas there is evidence for some uptake of intact di- and tripeptides from the human GIT, such uptake is not ubiquitous and there is little support for the uptake of tetrapeptides and larger peptides.

**The lactotripeptides isoleucine–proline–proline and valine–proline–proline**

Some of the most extensively studied food-derived bioactive peptides are those with potential antihypertensive properties and in particular those that may inhibit the angiotensin-converting enzymes (ACE)\(^{117}\). Séverin & Xia\(^{118}\) reported that two lactotripeptides (valine–proline–proline (VPP) and isoleucine–proline–proline (IPP)) from milk were able to cross the intestinal barrier and, post-absorption, inhibit the production of angiotensin II (AngII) in the bloodstream. They caution that not all bioactive peptides may be so absorbed:

…in order to function physiologically in the human body, the active peptides must be absorbed from the intestine in an active form. Di- and tripeptides can be easily absorbed in the intestine; however, it is not clear that larger bioactive peptides containing in excess of three amino acids are absorbed from the intestine and reach the target organ. Most of the claimed physiological properties of the casein-based bioactive peptides have been carried out in vitro or in animal model systems and these hypothesized properties remain to be proven in humans.

The key point of this cautionary note is that bioactive peptides must be absorbed in an active form,
a point central to the debate as to whether orally ingested foods can exert physiological changes systemically post-absorption or not.

The research of Nakamura et al.\(^{(119)}\) is often quoted as demonstrating that ingestion of ‘Calpis’, a Japanese sour milk, or a preparation of the pure tripeptides (VPP and IPP), decreased the systolic blood pressure in spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR), 6–8 h after oral administration\(^{(20,35,57,116,118,120–126)}\). However, Nakamura et al.\(^{(119)}\) and others\(^{(122,127)}\) also showed that the Calpis sour milk and mixed tripeptides did not change the systolic blood pressure of the normotensive strain of Wistar–Kyoto rats, important data that many of the researchers citing this paper have not mentioned\(^{(55,57,116,118,126)}\). Interestingly, at the conclusion of their 2009 study, Nakamura et al.\(^{(126)}\) state that it has been determined consistently that in Japanese populations the blood pressure response to the lactotripeptides is much greater than that in other populations, although it is unclear why this is so. It should be emphasised that unlike in their earlier 1995 study\(^{(119)}\) using rats, in this study of human subjects Nakamura et al.\(^{(126)}\) did not use normotensive subjects or a placebo control with which to compare their data.

Nakamura et al.\(^{(126)}\) and others have reported that the two lactotripeptides VPP and IPP have been shown to have significant blood pressure-lowering activity\(^{(119,125,129–134)}\). They consider that both VPP and IPP are absorbed into the bloodstream and into the cells of the aorta after oral administration and inhibit ACE activity in vascular endothelial cells\(^{(128,135)}\). The 2009 study by Nakamura et al. of human subjects was small (n 12) and not placebo-controlled. In their earlier study, Nakamura and colleagues\(^{(135)}\) suggested that the two lactotripeptides could be transported intact through the intestinal wall via paracellular routes. However, the molecular mass of the lactotripeptides is over 300 Da and, as Lennerna\¨s\(^{(66)}\) commented, compounds with a molecular mass greater than 200 Da are too large for the intercellular space between the enterocytes and that absorption via paracellular transport is unlikely. The latter hypothesis was corroborated by observations that small hydrophilic molecules (for example, creatinine 113 Da) were affected by solvent drag and transported via the paracellular route, whereas larger hydrophilic molecules such as D-glucose (180 Da) and L-DOPA (197 Da) were not\(^{(136–138)}\).

In an in vitro study using monolayer-cultured human intestinal Caco-2 cells, Satake et al.\(^{(139)}\) also suggested that lactotripeptides were transported across the cells via the paracellular route. They suggest that because the passage of VPP across the Caco-2 cell monolayers was only weakly inhibited by the addition of a competitive substrate, that the PepT1 peptide transporter was not the major pathway. They also reported that no intact VPP was detected in the Caco-2 cells, suggesting that any peptides entering the cells were rapidly hydrolysed by cytosolic peptidases. As the lactotripeptides are only weakly hydrophobic, absorptive transcytosis could also be discounted as a major transport mechanism\(^{(140)}\). Likewise, Camenisch et al.\(^{(140)}\) predicted that lactotripeptides would not be expected to penetrate Caco-2 cells via transcellular passive diffusion. With little or no evidence that lactotripeptides are absorbed via the PepT1 transporter suggests that this pathway may not be open to all di- and tripeptides. Therefore, the belief that the transporter can essentially transport all di- and tripeptides\(^{(21,23,24,141)}\) may require amendment. To this end, Brandsch et al.\(^{(142)}\) have reported that the PepT1 transporter can accept most but not all proteinogenic di- and tripeptides as substrates.

Mizuno et al.\(^{(123)}\), experimenting with human volunteers, administered the two peptides orally, in tablet form, at four different dose rates. They found that the reduction in systolic blood pressure was dose-dependent and most effective in mildly hypertensive subjects. However, they also found that there was no statistically significant reduction in diastolic blood pressure among their test groups or in comparison with the control group who received a placebo. If such an antihypertensive effect can be sustained, then it may be mediated through receptors on the intestinal wall\(^{(57,143)}\). A study in the pig by van der Pijl et al.\(^{(144)}\) highlighted that efficacy studies usually present end-point measurements (for example, blood pressure), but hardly ever report plasma concentrations of the bioactive peptides involved. They found no data in the literature pertaining to the absolute bioavailability or other pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic properties of the lactotripeptides. With respect to the pharmacokinetics of three proline-rich tripeptides (VPP, IPP and leucine–proline–proline) van der Pijl et al.\(^{(144)}\) went to extraordinary lengths to determine the very low concentration of these intact peptides in the bloodstream following intragastric infusion. Using liquid chromatography/MS they found the absolute bioavailability of the three peptides to be approximately 0.1 %. In one experiment the half-lives of absorption and elimination for VPP and IPP following intragastric dosing (4 mg of each lactotripeptide per kg body weight dissolved in 40 ml of iso-osmolar saline) were determined by van der Pijl et al.\(^{(144)}\) to be 12 ± 6 and 9 ± 1 min, respectively. This suggested that the low absorption of these peptides was due to peptidase activity in the lumen, BBM and cytosol. With the effective plasma concentration for the inhibition of ACE being estimated by van Platerink et al.\(^{(145)}\) to be 5.6 μmol, the plasma concentrations determined by van der Pijl et al.\(^{(144)}\) were approximately 1000-fold less and far below the effective concentration required to have any influence on lowering blood pressure. The pharmacokinetic properties of the tripeptides tested make it unlikely that physiological effects, such as a reduction in blood pressure, are the result of prolonged high plasma peptide concentrations.

In human subjects, following an oral dose of lactotripeptide in enriched yoghurt (250 ml containing approximately
20 mg of both IPP and VPP, Foltz et al.\(^{(125)}\) determined the maximal plasma concentration of IPP to be less than 1 pmol/ml and concluded this to be far below its effective concentration for ACE inhibition determined \(\text{in vitro}\). The required plasma concentration of the lactotripeptides known to exert ACE inhibition are approximately 1000-fold higher than reported plasma concentrations in animal or human trials\(^{(125)}\).

Despite these results demonstrating a low bioavailability for the peptides leading to plasma concentrations below the effective concentration for ACE inhibition\(^{(125,144)}\), many researchers continue to report that lactotripeptides are absorbed in physiologically meaningful amounts (for reviews, see Korhonen\(^{(146)}\) and Ricci \et al.\(^{(147)}\)).

Both Fitzgerald \et al.\(^{(146)}\) and Foltz \et al.\(^{(125)}\) report that previously studied ACE-inhibitory bioactive peptides failed to lower blood pressure in \(\text{in vivo}\) studies, highlighting the intestinal breakdown of the so-called stable proline-rich tripeptides\(^{(20,149)}\). Only a few of the great number of the milk peptides that have been identified as having antihypertensive properties in \(\text{in vitro}\) experiments have so far been proven to be clinically effective \(\text{in vivo}\), in either animal or human studies\(^{(20,148,150–152)}\).

Having studied the lactotripeptides in detail\(^{(117,125,144)}\), Foltz \et al.\(^{(30,125)}\) and van der Pijl \et al.\(^{(144)}\) stated that although these peptides possess high proteolytic stability their bioavailability in pigs is less than 0.1 %, with a very low elimination half-life. In humans the maximal plasma concentration was no greater than high picomolar concentrations\(^{(30)}\). Foltz \et al.\(^{(30)}\) argue that there is no scientific evidence that any other dietary peptides have better absorption than the lactotripeptides or plasma clearance profiles that could result in acceptable bioavailability or transiently high, free plasma concentrations.

A very low bioavailability for the dipeptide valine–tyrosine (VY), extracted from sardine muscle, was observed in a study by Matsui \et al.\(^{(153)}\). From a maximal oral dose of 12 mg dissolved in 100 ml of water the highest plasma concentration of VY was determined to be 1.9 pmol/ml (equivalent to 532 pg/ml), 2 h after its administration. Although the plasma peptide concentration increased post-ingestion it did so in a non-linear dose-dependent manner. The maximum plasma concentration was determined to be 1/300 of the IC\(_{50}\) (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) for VY. They did not find any statistically significant differences in blood pressure or blood chemistry between VY and the control groups in normotensive subjects. Matsui \et al.\(^{(153)}\) concluded that this very low degree of absorption was the result of hydrolysis from membrane-bound peptidases. They considered that alternatively VY was absorbed intact into the circulatory system and then rapidly accumulated in organs such as the aorta and kidney, resulting in a low and slow release of VY into the blood.

### Other bioactive peptides present in milk

Milk, in particular, is known to be a rich source of bioactive peptides. The physiological properties and structural composition of the many milk-derived bioactive peptides have been comprehensively reviewed\(^{(52,154–157)}\) and many of the effects of such bioactive peptides contained within milk proteins, both bovine and human, are listed in Table 1. Such bioactive components of milk are encrypted within the major milk protein precursors and are released during the digestive process by enzymic proteolysis. A recent paper by Martı́nez-Maqueda \et al.\(^{(158)}\) lists 134 different peptides with antihypertensive properties derived from a variety of plant and animal proteins, including forty-nine derived from milk. The principal mechanism of action for peptides with antihypertensive effects, as discussed above, is the inhibition of angiotensin-I-converting enzyme (ACE). ACE is a constituent enzyme of the renin–angiotensin system (RAS), a mechanism that plays a crucial role in the regulation of blood pressure together with fluid and electrolyte balance\(^{(159)}\). Although the blood pressure-lowering capability of the peptides described by Martı́nez-Maqueda \et al.\(^{(156)}\) was reported as having been demonstrated in \(\text{in vitro}\) assays involving animal models (for example, SHR and humans), the different mechanisms of action that contribute to their antihypertensive effect still require further investigation. The absorption of ACE-inhibiting peptides in humans was reported by Martı́nez-Maqueda \et al.\(^{(158)}\) for the peptide histidine–leucine–proline–leucine–proline (HLPLP), just one of seventeen small ACE-inhibitory peptides studied by van Platerink \et al.\(^{(160)}\). An alternative to absorption suggested by Martı́nez-Maqueda \et al.\(^{(158)}\) involves the action of small peptides on opioid receptors present in the gut wall. A large number of studies have focused on the opioid properties that many of these peptides exhibit and the physiological effects that these molecules might have (see Table 2). Dietary exogenous opioid molecules have been termed exorphins\(^{(161)}\) and as well as being in milk they have been found in a variety of other proteinaceous staple foods including gluten in cereals\(^{(162,163)}\) and Hb in meat\(^{(164,165)}\). From as early as 1979\(^{(166,167)}\), many of the bioactive peptides derived from milk, and casein in particular, have been demonstrated to have opioid properties such as those described in Table 2. Although exorphins may not be absorbed intact, their physiological influence resulting from their interaction with opioid receptors in the GIT is in little doubt. Several studies report that small opiate-acting peptides released during digestion by proteolytic hydrolysis can affect intestinal function\(^{(168–170)}\).

The existence of three opioid receptors (\(\mu\), \(\delta\), and \(\kappa\)) was described simultaneously by three different groups of researchers in 1973\(^{(171–173)}\) and have been exhaustively reviewed in the *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*\(^{(174)}\). It is supposed that the \(\mu\), \(\delta\), and \(\kappa\) opioid receptors belong to the G-protein-coupled receptor...
Table 1. Examples of bioactive peptides derived from bovine milk proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioactive peptide</th>
<th>Protein precursor</th>
<th>Amino acid segment</th>
<th>Peptide sequence†</th>
<th>Bioactivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bioactive peptides derived from casein precursors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. α-Casein exorphin</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f90–96</td>
<td>RYLGYL</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. α-Casein exorphin</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f90–95</td>
<td>RYLGYL</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. α-Casein exorphin</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f91–96</td>
<td>YLGYLE</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. β-Casomorphin-11</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f60–70</td>
<td>YPFPGIPNPSL</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. β-Casomorphin-7</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f60–66</td>
<td>YPFPGPI</td>
<td>Opioid agonist, immunomodulatory and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. β-Casomorphin-5</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f60–64</td>
<td>YPFPG</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Casoxin 6</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>f33–38</td>
<td>SRYPYS - OCH3</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Casoxin A</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>f35–42</td>
<td>YPSYGLNY</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Casoxin B</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>f58–61</td>
<td>YPYV</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Casoxin C</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>f25–34</td>
<td>YIPIQYVLSR</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Casoplatein</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>f106–116</td>
<td>MAIPKKNQDK</td>
<td>Antithrombotic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. αs1-Casokinin-5</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f23–27</td>
<td>FFVAP</td>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. αs1-Casokinin-6</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f194–199</td>
<td>TTMPLW</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. αs1-Casokinin-7</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f28–34</td>
<td>FPEVFGK</td>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. β-Casokinin-7</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f177–183</td>
<td>AVPYPOR</td>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. β-Casokinin-10</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f193–202</td>
<td>YQQPVLGPRV</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Immunopeptide</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f63–68</td>
<td>PGPIP</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Immunopeptide</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f191–193</td>
<td>LLY</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Casein phosphopeptide</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f43–58</td>
<td>DIGS*ES TEDQAMEDIM</td>
<td>Ca binding and transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Casein phosphopeptide</td>
<td>αs1-Casein</td>
<td>f59–79</td>
<td>QMEAES<em>L’S</em>S‘EEIVPNS*VEQK</td>
<td>Ca binding and transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Casein phosphopeptide</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>f11–25</td>
<td>RELEELNPGIEVLS’S’S’EESITR</td>
<td>Ca binding and transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bioactive peptides derived from whey protein precursors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Serorphin</td>
<td>Bovine serum albumin</td>
<td>f399–404</td>
<td>YGFQNA</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. α-Lactorphin</td>
<td>α-Lactalbumin</td>
<td>f50–53</td>
<td>YGLF - NH2</td>
<td>Opioid agonist and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. β-Lactorphin</td>
<td>β-Lactoglobulin</td>
<td>f102–105</td>
<td>YLLF - NH2</td>
<td>Opioid agonist and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Lactoferricin</td>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>f117–41</td>
<td>FKKRRWNRMKKLGPSIT-CVRRAF</td>
<td>Immunomodulatory and antimicrobial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. β-Lactotensin</td>
<td>α-Lactoglobulin</td>
<td>f116–149</td>
<td>HIRL</td>
<td>Ileum contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Immunopeptide</td>
<td>α-Lactalbumin</td>
<td>f50–51 f18–19</td>
<td>YG</td>
<td>Immunopotentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Immunopeptide</td>
<td>α-Lactalbumin</td>
<td>f18–20</td>
<td>YGG</td>
<td>Immunopotentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Albutensin A</td>
<td>Bovine serum albumin</td>
<td>f208–216</td>
<td>ALKAWSVAR</td>
<td>Ileum contraction and ACE inhibitor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; S*, phosphoserin.

† One-letter amino acid codes were used.
‡ Lactoferrin is a neutrophil-derived glycoprotein found in secreted mammalian fluids.[178]
family and have seven transmembrane helices which are characteristic of the group (175). All three of these receptors have been detected in the small intestine and are located in the myenteric plexus (176,177).

Opioid receptor ligands are classified into two groups ‘typical’ and ‘atypical’ designated by Teschemacher et al. (178). The ‘typical’ peptides all originate from three endogenous precursor proteins pro-opiomelanocortin (endorphins), pro-enkephalin (enkephalins) and prodynorphin (dynorphins) (179). All the typical opioid peptides share the same N-terminal amino acid sequence, KYNFGRQD, involved with antihypertensive effects, citing Jiang et al. (191) as having ACE-inhibitory properties. Of the forty-nine antihypertensive peptides derived from milk listed by Martínez-Maqueda et al. (158) five have amino acid motifs of reported opioid agonists (178,183–189).

These opioid peptides might lower blood pressure through receptors expressed within the gut wall to bring about ACE inhibition, implying that no absorption is required (190). Two of the other small peptides listed by Martínez-Maqueda et al. (192) to be opioid antagonists. Derived from k-casein there are four more peptides (casoxins) present in milk known to be opioid antagonists (192). The small peptides listed by Martínez-Maqueda et al. (186) are different in that they contain di- and tri-amino acid sequences that are reported to have antihypertensive properties (for example, tyrosine-proline (YP) and leucine-phenylalanine-phenylalanine (LLF)). It is possible that many of the listed peptides may be degraded by enzymic hydrolysis to release di- and tripeptides which may then be absorbed via the PepT1 peptide transporter.

### Table 2. Exorphins: peptides derived from milk having opioid properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioactive peptide</th>
<th>Protein precursor</th>
<th>Opioid receptor</th>
<th>Bioactivity</th>
<th>Physiological effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 α-Casein exorphin</td>
<td>αS1-Casein</td>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Increases in intestinal transit time †, amino acid uptake ‡ and water balance §</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 α-Casein exorphin</td>
<td>αS1-Casein</td>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 α-Casein exorphin</td>
<td>αS1-Casein</td>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 β-Casomorphin-11</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 β-Casomorphin-7</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 β-Casomorphin-5</td>
<td>β-Casein</td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Serorphin</td>
<td>Bovine serum albumin</td>
<td>μ*</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 α-Lactorphin</td>
<td>α-Lactalbumin</td>
<td>μ*</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 β-Lactorphin</td>
<td>β-Lactoglobulin</td>
<td>μ*</td>
<td>Opioid agonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Casoxin 4</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>μ and κ</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Casoxin 6</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>μ and κ</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Casoxin A</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>κ and μ</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Casoxin B</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>μ and κ</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Casoxin C</td>
<td>κ-Casein</td>
<td>μ and κ</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Casoxin D</td>
<td>αS1-Casein</td>
<td>μ and δ</td>
<td>Opioid antagonist</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents opioid activity with low potency.
† Schulte-Frohlinde et al. (183), Teschemacher et al. (178), Froetschel (184) and Allescher et al. (188).
‡ Brandisch et al. (186).
§ Daniel et al. (187).
ǁ Matthies et al. (184) and Taira et al. (189).
¶ Taira et al. (189).
** Represents low affinity to this receptor.
†† Yoshikawa et al. (190).

Opioid-acting peptides

In a recent review, Hernández-Ledesma et al. (193) also suggested that opioid receptors are probably involved with antihypertensive effects, citing α-lactorphin,
β-lactorphin and human casein-derived fragments as examples of peptides in which their antihypertensive effects are abolished by the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone. In earlier studies Nurminen et al.\textsuperscript{(194)} determined that following a single subcutaneous injection of the tetrapeptide YGLF, derived from α-lactalbumin, both the systolic and diastolic blood pressures were lowered in SHR and normotensive rats. Using mesenteric arterial preparations Sipola et al.\textsuperscript{(195)} proposed that the blood pressure-lowering effect of YGLF in SHR is mediated via vasodilation in mesenteric arteries following peripheral opioid receptor stimulation and subsequent NO release. Strangely, YGLF did not alter the vascular responses in the mesenteric arteries from age-matched normotensive Wistar–Kyoto rats\textsuperscript{(199)}. Ovokinin(2-7) (RADHPF), another NO-dependent vasorelaxing peptide, isolated from a chymotryptic digest of ovalbumin, has also been demonstrated to lower the blood pressure of SHR and to have no effect on the blood pressure of normotensive Wistar–Kyoto rats\textsuperscript{(190)}. Studies such as these suggest that such opioid peptides may lower blood pressure through receptors expressed in the GIT, implying that no absorption is required. Miguel et al.\textsuperscript{(196)} noted that mechanisms other than ACE inhibition have been reported to explain the antihypertensive effect of various peptide sequences, for example, vasodilation\textsuperscript{(197–199)} and antioxidative activity\textsuperscript{(200–202)}.

Interestingly a local RAS has been reported to be present in the small intestine, with the key components being expressed at the gene and protein levels of the jejunal and ileal enterocytes\textsuperscript{(203)}. Angiotensinogen, ACE, together with angiotensin I (AngI) and AngII receptors, are localised in the microvilli of the BBM\textsuperscript{(204–206)}. ACE, a metalloproteinase, hydrolyses AngI (a decapeptide) to AngII (an octapeptide). The AngII released then binds to AngII receptors in the BBM\textsuperscript{(205,207)} and at low doses AngII stimulates jejunal Na and water absorption. At higher doses of AngII, fluid absorption is inhibited via an AngI receptor-dependent process\textsuperscript{(208)}. Enterocyte-derived AngII is also involved in the regulation of Na\textsuperscript{+}–d-glucose cotransporter 1 (SGLT1)-mediated intestinal glucose transport at the BBM\textsuperscript{(204)}. Yoshioka et al.\textsuperscript{(209)} proposed that ACE associated with the BBM may function as a membrane-bound peptidase. A comparison of intestinal fluid absorption between male SHR and normotensive male controls has been reported, with enhanced fluid absorption in both hypertensive adults and in young SHR before hypertension has developed\textsuperscript{(200)}. Na and fluid homeostasis is therefore abnormal in SHR compared with normotensive rats\textsuperscript{(211)}, and in view of a possible relationship between high salt intake and hypertension\textsuperscript{(212)} it would appear possible that an abnormality in Na and fluid homeostasis may be significant in the development of hypertension in SHR and possibly in human hypertension\textsuperscript{(210)}. By administering captopril intravenously or by bilateral nephrectomy, Dorey et al.\textsuperscript{(210)} demonstrated that the circulating RAS was not responsible for the high level of fluid transport in SHR as neither of these procedures suppressed fluid transport. However, the presence of the intestinal RAS was not known to these authors at the time, and Na and fluid transport across the gut wall, controlled by the local RAS, would not be affected by either procedure adopted by Dorey et al.\textsuperscript{(210)}. As ACE inhibitors (for example, Ramipril) have been shown to inhibit human brush-border ACE activity\textsuperscript{(205)} it follows that the absorption of Na and fluid across the gut wall will be affected. Such changes are therefore consistent with the hypothesis that antihypertensive drugs and dietary bioactive peptides have an extracellular effect on the intestinal RAS by changing the passage of Na and fluid across the gut wall and thus lowering systemic blood pressure. This might explain why the lactotriptides VPP and IPP have been shown to lower blood pressure\textsuperscript{(123)} with plasma concentrations below the effective concentration for ACE inhibition\textsuperscript{(125,144)}.

The nonpeptide (for example, captopril) and peptidomimetic dipeptide (for example, enalapril) ACE-inhibitory drugs are active-site-directed, competitive inhibitors with sub-nanomolar K\textsubscript{i} values of 10\textsuperscript{–10}–10\textsuperscript{–11}\textsuperscript{(213)} that have been reported by many researchers to be transported across the gut wall via the PepT1 peptide transporter\textsuperscript{(214,215)}. However, according to Brandsch et al.\textsuperscript{(142)}, transport of these compounds remains a matter of controversy. Whichever way they are transported across the gut wall, the pharmacological effectiveness of these compounds lies in their absorption from the intestinal lumen and into the hepatic portal system to react directly with the cardiovascular RAS and is therefore not restricted to stimulating the extracellular intestinal RAS.

### Absorption of larger peptides

Although the absorption into the enterocytes of di- and tripeptides has been demonstrated, there are conflicting views regarding the absorption of larger peptides\textsuperscript{(55,216)}. Many in vitro studies have demonstrated that milk is a rich source of bioactive peptides\textsuperscript{(54,57,154,157,217–220)}, however, few peptides have been shown to be biologically active in vivo following the ingestion of milk or fermented milk products (i.e. it has not been ascertained whether the functional domains of milk proteins survive digestion and reach the blood in concentrations of any physiological significance\textsuperscript{(143)}. For example, Petrilli et al.\textsuperscript{(221)} demonstrated that β-casomorphins, the family of bioactive peptides derived from milk β-casein\textsuperscript{(222,223)}, do not survive digestive degradation. In addition, Schmelzer et al.\textsuperscript{(224)} determined that no significant amounts of β-casomorphins or other known bioactive peptides are formed during the peptic digestion of bovine β-casein under simulated gastric conditions. Vermeirssen et al.\textsuperscript{(20)} also came to a similar conclusion, stating that no intact transepithelial passage has been detected for these...
peptides. However, there are many papers outlining physiological effects/functions of milk-borne bioactive peptides⁴⁻³³,⁵₀,⁵₂,⁵₆,⁵₇,₁₅₀,₁₅₄,₁₅₇,₁₆₈,₁₇₀,₂₂₀,₂₂₅,₂₂₆. The prevailing current opinion is that the majority of the known bioactive peptides do not pass into the bloodstream in meaningful amounts and that any known physiological effects are mediated through receptors on the intestinal wall⁵₇,₁₄₅.

One of the most quoted/misquoted papers on the subject is that of Gardner⁴² seventeen stating that it has been observed that large peptides or proteolysis-resistant proteins can enter the bloodstream, albeit in small amounts. However, Gardner did not give any direct evidence for the absorption of intact protein in humans; instead the following was offered in support of the hypothesis that intact proteins and macromolecular fragments of them may be absorbed:

(a) That antibodies to many food proteins and their immune complexes have been detected in the circulation of healthy individuals.²²⁸–²³². However, he qualified this statement by suggesting that such antibodies may arise through the intestinal immune system responding to luminal proteins rather than absorbed ones.

(b) That RIA techniques show the presence of orally administered proteins such as ovalbumin in the blood. However, referring back to the researchers cited by Gardner, Husby et al. fed ten children (aged 2.5–13 years) a test meal containing 2 ml of raw egg and 10 ml cows’ milk per kg body weight through a gastric tube placed adjacent to the ligament of Treitz. Five of the children had been diagnosed with coeliac disease and the five controls were also suspected of having coeliac disease. Although they found ovalbumin in the plasma of three of the five coeliac patients and all five of the controls, the feeding conditions and all the experimental subjects being children, with or suspected of suffering from coeliac disease, suggest their results should be treated with caution. With respect to Jakobsson et al.,¹²⁵ the target protein was not found to be absorbed in all subjects. The protein a-lactalbumin purified from human milk was not detected (<5 μg/l) in the serum of adult men, non-pregnant women or in the serum from formula-fed infants. However, a-lactalbumin was found in serum from pregnant women, cord blood and from newborn non-fed infants.

(c) That intact or largely intact HRP was found in the blood of carp and trout.²²⁵,²₂⁶. However, it must be questioned as to whether observations in fish apply to adult humans. Interestingly, Heyman reported that during active cows’ milk allergy there was an eightfold increase in the absorption of the bystander protein HRP and an alteration of the epithelial integrity. However, after several months on a cows’ milk-free diet and during a symptom-free period the absorption of HRP and paracellular permeability returned to normal values, indicating that the increased intestinal permeability to antigens was not the primary cause of the condition.

Further, Gardner²²⁷ cited the work of Walker et al. who studied the in vitro absorption of dietary antigen and antigen–antibody complexes to corroborate their hypothesis. This will be discussed in more detail below, relative to the work of Roberts et al.. Another paper often quoted is that of Fiat et al. who cite Gardner.²²⁷. Gardner describes the GIT as a major site of immunological competence, as substantial numbers of lymphocytes and macrophages are found throughout the intestinal lamina propria. He hypothesises that absorption occurs predominantly by transepithelial endocytosis in the M-cells (or lymphoepithelial cells) and that this allows subepithelial lymphocytes direct access to luminal antigens. Transepithelial endocytosis occurs when protein molecules bind to receptors on the BBM, which are then encapsulated into phagolysosomes. Proteolysis in the phagolysosomes minimises the entry of intact bioactive peptides into the circulation, which Gardner states is likely to be deleterious. So, although antibodies to numerous food proteins may occur in the circulation of healthy individuals, this should not be taken as evidence for the presence of the original peptide antigens within the blood. Gardner also states that it is not yet possible to state with reliable accuracy what fraction of the protein will enter the circulation in macromolecular form.

Much more work has been undertaken since Gardner wrote his paper in 1988, yet still little is known about the absorption of the larger bioactive peptides. Teschemacher et al., in a classic review, suggested that β-casomorphins and their precursors have not been identified in the cardiovascular compartment in more than negligible concentrations and it is unlikely that they have any functional role in adult mammals outside the GIT. Teschemacher also states that enzymic degradation in the intestinal wall and in the blood appears to prevent the accumulation of peptides in plasma. For example dipetidyl-peptidase is one of the enzymes that effectively degrades β-casomorphins in plasma and in the intestinal brush border. Meisel & Fitzgerald also point out that opioid casein fractions have not been detected in the plasma of adult mammals and that only in the neonate is the intestine permeable to casomorphins and their precursors. Teschemacher et al. and the reviews by Clare & Swaisgood, Gill et al. and Shah reach similar conclusions to that of Gardner. Froetschel found that there is no evidence to support the theory that opioid peptides, such as the β-casomorphins, are transported into the bloodstream to the brain, or that they can cross the blood–brain barrier. No bioactive peptides or their native proteins have any
established physiological role although they do have a variety of physiological effects.

However, to demonstrate the physiological effects of the multitude of bioactive peptides, researchers have often introduced them directly into the blood(247), the cerebrospinal fluid(165,248,249), or even directly into the brain(250–252). Conclusive evidence for the functional significance of milk-derived opioid peptides has not yet been presented(169) and the effects demonstrated by researchers for isolated or synthesised peptides derived from milk represent evidence of a pharmacological activity and not of a physiological role.

Roberts et al.(115) studied the effect of amino acid chain length on the absorption of biologically active peptides from the GIT. Studying the absorption of just three peptides of differing chain length (thyrotropin-releasing hormone, a tripeptide; luteinising hormone-releasing hormone, a decapeptide; and human insulin, a fifty-one-amino acid polypeptide), they concluded that ‘large peptides’ (sic) as large as fifty-one amino acids generated from dietary proteins can be absorbed intact through the intestine and not of a physiological role.

A number of factors need to be considered, however, to critically test such a claim:

(a) The study of Roberts et al.(115) was undertaken using rats. Although this is an accepted animal model for simple-stomached mammals in general, the results do not necessarily relate to humans.

(b) The polypeptides were administered into the small intestine of the animal distal to the pancreatic duct and level with the ligament of Treitz, effectively bypassing both gastric and pancreatic degradative hydrolysis.

(c) The authors stated that the absorptive capacity of the small intestine in the experimental animals was not assessed and that the animal received a surgical procedure which placed a feeding tube within the small intestine that may have altered gut permeability and absorption. It is known, for example, that such a naso-ileal tube affects gastric emptying(251,255), increases intestinal transit time(256), can stimulate intestinal secretions(256,257), and that the effect of a gastrointestinal tube on the absorption and secretion of other substances has not been fully investigated.

(d) Although Roberts et al.(115) measured serum insulin in the plasma of the experimental animals they did not state if this compound was the human insulin administered enterally or native rat insulin. In addition they did not determine the serum levels of the peptides administered, only their physiological effect (i.e. for thyrotropin-releasing hormone by determining serum thyroid-stimulating hormone levels and for luteinising hormone-releasing hormone they determined serum follicle-stimulating hormone levels). They also did not rule out that the physiological effects may have been mediated directly via the gut lumen or through receptors on the BBM.

(e) Roberts et al.(115) tested for the absorption of human insulin, which has a different amino acid sequence to that of rat insulin, and used a very large enteral dose of 25 mg (avoiding both gastric and upper small intestine digestive hydrolysis) to produce the measurable physiological effect.

Considering the above, Roberts et al.(115) may possibly have over-extrapolated their conclusions by assuming that: (a) the oral intake of dietary peptides would be the same as enteral administration; (b) that gastric and pancreatic hydrolysis of such dietary peptides would be negligible; and (c) that all dietary peptides resistant to digestive degradation would be absorbed from the small intestine to the same extent as their experimental peptides (human insulin, thyrotropin-releasing hormone and luteinising hormone-releasing hormone).

Jahan-Mihan et al.(111) claim that the absorption of intact proteins and peptides was demonstrated after animals were exposed to an intraduodenal infusion of somatostatin and with an increased concentration of somatostatin found in the blood. The paper they cite in support of this conjecture is that of Rao et al.(258) who demonstrated the absorption of radioisotope-labelled [125I]Tyr11somatostatin-14 following its intraduodenal administration in anaesthetised adult and neonatal rats. Somatostatin-14 is a peptide-inhibitory hormone containing fourteen amino acids and with a molecular mass of 1638 Da. Intraduodenally administered somatostatin-14 has been shown to inhibit pancreatic secretions in rats(259) and dogs(260,261). Rao et al.(258) demonstrated that intraduodenally administered [125I]Tyr11somatostatin-14 rapidly disappeared from the duodenal lumen of both suckling and adult rats (half-life being 2 min). The loss of radioactivity from the lumen coincided with its simultaneous appearance in the tissues of the duodenal wall, blood, liver and kidney. Using C 18 Bond Elut cartridges and HPLC no intact [125I]Tyr11somatostatin-14 was found in any of those tissues and suggests that the [125I]Tyr11somatostatin-14 was rapidly metabolised intraduodenally and that no somatostatin had been absorbed by the gut wall and transferred to the blood or other organs. Rao et al.(258) suggest that the inhibition of pancreatic secretions observed by Sarfati & Morisse(259) in rats and of Konturek et al.(260,261) in dogs is mediated by somatostatin receptors in the duodenal wall. The argument that deiodination may occur before intestinal absorption(262) appears to be unfounded, as Rao et al.(258) found no free [125I] in any of the tissues described and unlabelled somatostatin-14 disappeared from the duodenal lumen at the same rate as that of the labelled compound. Such results suggest that peptidases in the duodenal lumen
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and at the surface of the BBM were responsible for the metabolism of the somatostatin-14. Rao et al.\(^\text{258}\) also observed that the duodenal half-life for the \(^{125}\text{I}\)[Tyr\(^{11}\)] somatostatin-14 was longer for the neonatal rats than in the adult rats, suggesting that milk-borne somatostatin may be more hydrolytically stable and biologically active for longer in the gut of the neonate rat, protected by peptidase inhibitors within the milk.\(^\text{258}\)

Historically it has been claimed that various digestive enzymes are selectively absorbed intact from the intestinal lumen directly into the bloodstream\(^\text{263–275}\) via the superior mesenteric vein from where they are extracted by the pancreas during the passage of blood through the pancreatic capillary bed. Having reclaimed pancreatic digestive enzymes from the circulation it was hypothesised that they are then resorbed by the exocrine pancreas into the duodenum along with other components of pancreatic juice in what has been controversially described as enteropancreatic circulation\(^\text{274–276}\). While there is evidence of the absorption of small quantities of digestive enzymes from the small intestine (for example, <0.2 % pancreatic amylase\(^\text{277,278}\)) such quantities are insufficient to support the existence of a physiologically significant enteropancreatic circulation mechanism\(^\text{277–280}\). Interestingly, Levitt et al.\(^\text{277}\) reported that the net flux of amylase was from the pancreas into the blood and not the other way round; evidence contrary to the theory that circulating enzymes can be reclaimed by the pancreas. Following the publication of two articles by Levitt and colleagues\(^\text{277–279}\) and another by Rosenblum et al.\(^\text{278}\) and the study by Rohr et al.\(^\text{280}\), Rothman & Grendell\(^\text{281}\) sought to justify their hypothesis by dismissing the contrary experimental evidence reported in the three papers. The authors of the four papers cited by Rothman & Grendell\(^\text{281}\) vehemently defended their findings and reiterated there was no evidence to support the existence of a physiologically important enteropancreatic circulation of enzymes and a good deal of evidence to the contrary.\(^\text{279,282}\) Rosenblum et al.\(^\text{283}\) suggested that although further studies under different experimental conditions might modify their conclusions, those who champion the importance of enteropancreatic circulation should support their belief with new data. In an effort to reignite the enteropancreatic circulation debate, Rothman et al.\(^\text{274}\) published an extensive review citing many papers in support of their hypothesis.

One widely reported dietary protein that may be absorbed across the gut wall and enter the bloodstream intact is ovalbumin\(^\text{284–288}\). Ovalbumin is the predominant protein in egg white, it contains 385 amino acids, has a molecular mass of 46.4 kDa\(^\text{289}\) and a cross-sectional diameter of 4 nm.\(^\text{290}\) The intestinal absorption of ovalbumin is known to invoke systemic immunological tolerance\(^\text{291}\) and a mucosal secretion of IgA\(^\text{292}\). However, in individuals with food allergy, ovalbumin can also stimulate the development of IgE-mediated food allergy\(^\text{293–295}\).

Castell et al.\(^\text{296}\) have suggested that the absorption of macromolecules, including intact proteins, may occur under specific circumstances and that this phenomenon could be involved in the pathophysiology of certain intestinal diseases (for example, cows’ milk allergy and inflammatory bowel disease)\(^\text{5,58,296–298}\).

### Oral delivery of pharmacologically active proteins and peptides

Pharmacologically active proteins and peptides are currently emerging as an imperative part of various treatment protocols and in particular cancer therapeutics.\(^\text{299}\) However, despite extensive research, the administration of therapeutic peptides and proteins orally remains a challenge for pharmaceutical industries and researchers. Acidity and high enzymic proteolysis within the GIT are significant barriers to the successful delivery of intact proteins/peptides to the targeted site. Gupta et al.\(^\text{299}\) emphasise in their recent review that low permeability of the intestinal barrier is also a factor adding to the low bioavailability of any orally delivered proteins and peptides. Added to which, the short circulatory half-life exhibited by such peptides \textit{in vivo} requires them to be administered frequently, which in turn increases the cost of treatment and results in low patient compliance.\(^\text{300}\) One solution to this is the development of nano-carrier-based delivery that protects therapeutic proteins from degradation. As the surface of these particles can be modified towards hydrophilic or lipophilic properties, these systems promise high enterocytic permeability. Gupta et al.\(^\text{299}\) have suggested that nano-encapsulated proteins and peptides may also have enhanced stability \textit{in vivo} that leads to increased circulation half-lives so the active peptides can reach the target tissue in effective concentrations. However, despite this, there are currently only a few orally delivered peptide/protein biopharmaceutical systems available and continued research is required to reap the promised effectiveness of these systems.

Essential in the treatment of diabetes mellitus is the peptide hormone insulin, which is currently administered to sufferers via subcutaneous injections. For patients who require daily injections of insulin the development of a less invasive route of administration would increase their quality of life significantly. Although the oral administration of insulin meets this criterion, Kamei et al.\(^\text{301}\) point out that two barriers must be overcome: the impermeability of insulin through the epithelial membranes and local digestion and enzymic degradation. To overcome the poor pharmacokinetics of oral administered insulin cell-penetrating peptides (CPP) could deliver exogenous proteins into cells and have the potential to facilitate effective insulin permeation from the intestinal lumen into the systemic circulation. In their review Kamei et al.\(^\text{301}\) describe how the co-administration of insulin with the short hydrophilic peptide penetratin, a typical CPP,
increased intestinal insulin bioavailability to 35%. The development of more effective CPP requires further research at this time. Recently, Renukuntla et al.\(^{302}\) renewed the debate on the barriers to the oral delivery of peptide and protein drugs and reviewed many of the novel pharmaceutical approaches to circumvent these barriers and enhance oral bioavailability of these macromolecules.

Although liposomes have been used for the past 30 years as carriers of proteins and peptides requiring protection from the deleterious effects of the GIT, Swaminathan & Ehrhard\(^{303}\) note that the majority of data generated has been from \textit{in vitro} studies or from work using rodents. Even though many of these studies have demonstrated that liposomes are effective carriers, the extrapolation from such models to human pharmacotherapy is challenging. Recently, liposomes loaded into alginate–chitosan microspheres or hyaluronic acid gels have been found to be promising vectors in the oral administration of protein or peptide drugs\(^{304}\), for example, insulin delivery\(^{305}\).

Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion

Foltz et al.\(^{30}\) have cautioned us all, stating that although peptide absorption and peptide stimulatory/inhibitory effects may have been demonstrated \textit{in vitro}, \textit{ex vivo} and \textit{in vivo} evidence for the absorption of oligopeptides in humans is lacking. Although selected peptides (for example, C-terminal proline-containing peptides) exhibit resistance to luminal peptidases, they remain susceptible to brush-border and cytosolic peptidases so that only minor fragments are expected to reach the systemic circulation\(^{306}\). Knowing the plasma concentrations and kinetics of orally administered peptides is essential for planning meaningful studies to assess the bioactivity of dietary peptides. Foltz et al.\(^{30}\) have stated that it is only valid to propose \textit{in vivo} efficacy for bioactive peptides when the peptide exhibits reasonable proteolytic stability and physiologically relevant absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) profiles. Currently there is a lack of scientific evidence demonstrating that peptides, originating from dietary sources, have absorption or plasma clearance profiles that result in acceptable bioavailability. Foltz et al.\(^{30}\) concur with others that ADME properties may be conducive to supporting peptide activity only under certain pathophysiological conditions such as food allergies or inflammatory bowel disease\(^{30,46,58,204,506–509}\). The majority of \textit{in vitro} studies, to identify bioactive peptides, have been conducted at high micromolar and even millimolar concentrations with incubation times lasting as long as 24 h. These conditions are often not realistic nor physiologically relevant\(^{30}\).

Conclusion

In conclusion there is little unequivocal evidence that larger peptides can cross the gut wall and enter the hepatic portal system in physiologically relevant concentrations.

The tissues of the GIT separate the internal environment from an exterior environment that contains, in addition to dietary nutrients, possible allergens, toxins and pathogens\(^{1,2}\). The intestinal epithelial layer is pervious to many compounds but is particularly selective in both the types and quantities of compounds that may be absorbed. To maintain the integrity of the mucosal barrier the GIT is an integral part of the body’s immune system where the majority of the body’s immune cells are located\(^{3,4}\). Not all protein present in the intestinal lumen is fully hydrolysed by the time it reaches the small intestine and selective transport systems absorb many di- and tripeptides (with further intra-cellular digestion) which form the bulk of amino acids entering the hepatic portal system\(^{306}\). Larger peptides, protein particulates and microbial cells may cross the gut wall, though only in very small quantities. Indeed, most peptides and proteins that are absorbed are hydrolysed by cytosolic peptidases and thus do not pass through the basolateral membrane intact\(^{25}\). Most of those that do are antigens that quickly interact with localised immune cells\(^{2,40}\). Proteins induced by oral immunisation inhibit the absorption of the antigen on rechallenge\(^{273}\). Any surviving peptides that are largely absorbed are broken down by vascular endothelial tissue peptidases and soluble plasma peptidases\(^{33}\).

Overall, there is little unequivocal evidence that dietary bioactive peptides, other than possibly di- and tripeptides, can cross the gut wall and enter the hepatic portal system in physiologically relevant concentrations\(^{57,118,143}\).
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