Dietary patterns in India: a systematic review

Rosemary Green1,2, James Milner3, Edward J. M. Joy1,2, Sutapa Agrawal4 and Alan D. Dangour1,2*

1Department of Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK
2Leverhulme Centre for Integrative Research on Agriculture and Health (LCIRAH), London WC1H 0PD, UK
3Department of Social and Environmental Health Research, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, 15-17 Tavistock Place, London WC1H 9SH, UK
4Public Health Foundation of India, Delhi NCR, Plot No. 47, Sector 44, Institutional Area Gurgaon 122002, India

(Submitted 14 December 2015 – Final revision received 9 February 2016 – Accepted 19 March 2016 – First published online 5 May 2016)

Abstract

Dietary patterns analysis is an emerging area of research. Identifying distinct patterns within a large dietary survey can give a more accurate representation of what people are eating. Furthermore, it allows researchers to analyse relationships between non-communicable diseases (NCD) and complete diets rather than individual food items or nutrients. However, few such studies have been conducted in developing countries including India, where the population has a high burden of diabetes and CVD. We undertook a systematic review of published grey literature exploring dietary patterns and relationships with diet-related NCD in India. We identified eight studies, including eleven separate models of dietary patterns. Most dietary patterns were vegetarian with a predominance of fruit, vegetables and pulses, as well as cereals; dietary patterns based on high-fat, high-sugar foods and more meat were also identified. There was large variability between regions in dietary patterns, and there was some evidence of change in diets over time, although no evidence of different diets by sex or age was found. Consumers of high-fat dietary patterns were more likely to have greater BMI, and a dietary pattern high in sweets and snacks was associated with greater risk of diabetes compared with a traditional diet high in rice and pulses, but other relationships with NCD risk factors were less clear. This review shows that dietary pattern analyses can be highly valuable in assessing variability in national diets and diet-disease relationships. However, to date, most studies in India are limited by data and methodological shortcomings.
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India has a rich and highly varied cuisine, and its various diets are strongly related to social identity, religion and other cultural factors3, as well as local agricultural practices and availability of diverse foods2. The ‘average diet’ in a country as large and geographically diverse as India is therefore likely to be of little relevance from a public health nutrition perspective. The identification of common dietary patterns relevant to population sub-groups in India, as well as their association with epidemiological profiles, is important.

A number of previous studies have used data from dietary surveys to identify distinct dietary patterns in India and to characterise the consumers of these patterns5. These studies have differed in both the data used to measure food consumption and the methods used to define dietary patterns. Previous studies have used a number of local and regional dietary surveys available in India that come from diverse sources and represent different sub-populations and time periods. Nationally representative data are rare because of the scale of undertaking national dietary surveys in such a large country.

In terms of methods, previous studies of dietary patterns have commonly used data-driven methods to identify foods that are typically consumed in combination with one another, or that tend to be consumed by the same type of individuals. However, there is no universally agreed method for such analyses, and several different statistical methods are in common use. These methods can be broadly divided into analyses of dominant ‘factors’ in the diet – for example principal component analysis (PCA) and factor analysis – or analyses of ‘clustering’ of foods in the diet – for example k-means clustering and latent class analysis (LCA)34,35.

This systematic review aims to draw together the existing literature of dietary modelling studies, to identify common dietary patterns reported for India and their primary socio-demographic characteristics. A greater knowledge of the main dietary patterns in India is important for nutrition and health policy makers to understand distributions and trends in diets within populations, as well as their relationships with health outcomes. This is especially important, as India undergoes a significant dietary transition from traditional diets to more ‘Western’ ways of eating and a concomitant epidemiological transition5. A secondary aim of this review is to explore whether Indian dietary patterns are associated with risk factors for nutrition-related non-communicable diseases (NCD).

Abbreviation: PCA, principal component analysis.
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Methods

We performed a systematic review of published and grey literature to identify common dietary patterns in India and variations in these patterns by region, age and sex. We quantified relationships between membership of different dietary patterns and risk factors for NCD. The systematic review protocol can be accessed at http://sustaininghealth.lshtm.ac.uk/sahdi/.

Search strategy

A number of search terms were used across multiple databases in order to identify studies that used modelling techniques to identify distinct dietary patterns in India. Search terms included combinations of ‘diet*, pattern*’ and ‘India*’ with specific terms including ‘food’, ‘intake’, ‘principal component*, pca*, factor*, fa’, ‘cluster*’, ‘latent class*’ and ‘mixture model*’. Multi-database searching was carried out, across the EMBASE, EThoS, Global Health, IndMED, MEDLINE, PubMed, Scopus and ISS Web of Science databases. Other sources of literature were also searched, including Google Scholar, FAO, the World Bank and the International Food Policy Research Institute.

We included published peer-reviewed studies, grey literature such as dissertations, conference proceedings, reports and other non-peer-reviewed studies. No time cut-offs were imposed, so that articles from the beginning of the databases up to the date of search (10 July 2015) were eligible for inclusion. Papers were considered eligible if they were published in English, Hindi, Urdu or Punjabi and were original scientific research papers (review papers were not included). Included studies were required to use dietary survey data from India to estimate at least two distinct dietary patterns in humans. Studies of individual foods or nutrients rather than comprehensive dietary patterns and studies only of infant feeding were excluded. Reviews were hand-searched for other relevant references, as were the reference lists of included studies.

Data extraction

A database was compiled containing all relevant information from the included studies. This database included the following fields: study authors, year, journal title or publication format, geographical area, years data were collected, specific populations studied, statistical methods, food groups used, number and description of the dietary patterns identified, characteristics of consumers of each dietary pattern (if available), whether the patterns were related to any health outcomes or risk factors and any other relevant information about the study.

The review team leader (R. G.) conducted the search using the databases listed above, and this search was 50% replicated by another review team member (J. M.) in order to check for consistency. Two members of the review team (R. G. and J. M.) extracted the data in duplicate from the studies and entered them into the database. Cross-checking of data extraction was performed on all included studies in order to confirm that identical data were extracted.

Data analysis

The data collected were expected to be highly heterogeneous in nature, so quantitative synthesis was unsuitable. The results of the data extraction were summarised using narrative synthesis and presented in tables. Study quality was assessed through a checklist of eight criteria including clear description of methods and study population (online review protocol).

Results

The initial search identified 1614 references, of which 868 (54%) were excluded as they were not published in the required languages or were not studies of diets in humans. The titles and abstracts of the remaining 746 identified studies were screened, with 738 being excluded because they did not model distinct dietary patterns. Eight studies that met all inclusion criteria were identified (Fig. 1). These eight studies contained a total of eleven separate models of dietary patterns in India: six studies provided one model each, one study provided three models for different geographical areas of India6 and one study provided separate models for men and women7,8. No additional papers were obtained by searching review articles or the reference lists of included papers, and all included studies were English language and from peer-reviewed journals rather than grey literature.

Summary of included studies

The studies included eleven models of dietary patterns (Table 1). Models 6 and 9 focused on diets in children6,13 whereas the other models focused on adults6,9,11,12,19. Models 1 and 10 included males only6,8; models 5, 7 and 11 were of females only7,9; and models 2-4 and 8 were of males and females combined6,12,19. Only model 7 used data that were nationally representative, including data from all regions of India11. All regions of India were represented by at least three...
models, with the exception of the Northeast region for which data were only available from one model; thus, the Northeast was not analysed separately as a region. Models 1–5 and 8–11 used consumption data from the year 2000 onwards\(^6\text{–}9,12,13\), whereas models 6 and 7 used data from the 1990s\(^{10,11}\). Finally, models 1–6 and 8–11 used PCA as their method of defining dietary patterns\(^{6,10,12,13}\), whereas model 7 used LCA, a type of cluster analysis\(^{11}\).

The number of food groups used by the researchers to define dietary patterns ranged from 7 to 104, with a mean number of forty-three food groups. The number of dietary patterns identified by each model ranged from two to six, with a mean of four dietary patterns per model. Not all models reported the proportion of the total variance in diets that was explained by the identified dietary patterns, but among the seven models that did report this the variance explained by all patterns ranged from 17 to 59%, with a mean of 42%.

Study quality was mixed, with only one study meeting all reporting criteria\(^6\) and two further studies meeting all but one\(^{11,13}\). Most studies provided adequate descriptions of models and statistical methods, and all studies met the basic requirements for reporting on study populations and geographical regions (although most were not nationally representative – see above). However, some studies failed to provide a detailed breakdown of the composition of each food group.

### Summary of dietary patterns

A total of forty-one dietary patterns were identified (Table 2). Most of the studies used comparable food groups to report the characteristics of the dietary patterns they identified, and these tended to be highly aggregated groups such as fruit, vegetables and dairy products. The dietary patterns identified were ranked 1–6 according to the proportion of the variance in diet they explained (Table 2). Pattern 1 explained 10–15% of the variance in diets in the majority of studies. However, there was a great deal of variation in the food contents of the patterns identified, with some models characterised by traditional vegetarian food groups (models 2–4, 8 and 9), whereas others were characterised by meat and high-sugar/fat food groups (models 1, 5–7, 10 and 11).

In total, twenty-nine dietary patterns were defined by predominantly vegetarian food groups, suggesting that vegetarian diets are still prevalent in India. These diets tended to be based on fruit, vegetables, pulses and cereals (mostly rice), with added dairy products, meat and eggs in many cases. The most common food groups across all patterns were vegetables (sixteen out of forty-one patterns), cereals (thirteen patterns) and fruit (ten patterns), meat (nine patterns), pulses (eight patterns) and dairy products (eight patterns). Less common were snacks and sweets, which were found in six patterns each.

### Differences between dietary patterns

We separated the models by region, year, age and sex in order to determine whether they showed a relationship with the distinguishing food groups found in Indian dietary patterns. The consumption of many food groups was variable across the studies from different regions. Sweats and snacks were more likely to characterise diets in the East and South, whereas fruit, vegetables, rice and pulses were more likely to characterise diets in the North and West. Dietary patterns from the East and South were also more likely to be defined by meat or fish consumption than those from the North and West.
We also divided the eleven models according to year of data, splitting the studies by whether they used data from before or after the year 2000 to provide a simplified method for exploring temporal trends given the limited number of studies. The patterns taken from more recent data were more likely to be characterised by consumption of wheat, fruit and sweets but less likely to be characterised by vegetables.

We found no difference in the most common food groups of dietary patterns according to sex or age: men and women had very similar dietary patterns, and there were not enough studies of children to make a valid comparison.

### Relationships with health outcomes

Six models reported associations between dietary patterns and nutrition/health outcomes (models 2–6 and 8; Table 3). The most common outcome studied (n 6) was body size (BMI, abdominal adiposity or waist circumference), and other studies reported associations with hypertension (n 2), diabetes (n 2) and cholesterol (n 1).

Five of six models found that consumers of dietary patterns defined by high-fat and high-sugar foods such as sweets, snacks and animal products had significantly greater body size. The one remaining model found that a dietary pattern characterised by fruit, snacks and meat was associated with significantly smaller body size. Two models found a significant relationship between dietary patterns and hypertension, with one pattern characterised by vegetable and fruit consumption having an inverse relationship with hypertension, whereas a pattern defined by fruit, dairy products and snacks had a positive relationship with hypertension. A further two models found a significant relationship between dietary patterns and diabetes or pre-diabetes, with a pattern distinguished by rice and pulses associated with a lower risk of diabetes and a pattern characterised by sweets and snacks associated with a higher diabetes risk. Finally, a single model found that consumers of a

### Table 2. Summary of dietary patterns produced by included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model no.</th>
<th>Dietary pattern no.</th>
<th>Region*</th>
<th>Foods used to define pattern</th>
<th>Variance explained (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Snacks, sweets, fruit</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish, soft drinks</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ghee, butter</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh vegetables</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dairy products</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Fruit, dairy products, snacks</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetables, pulses</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Fruit, vegetables</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Fruit, snacks, meat</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rice, millet, dairy products</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Meat, eggs, vegetables</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pulses, eggs, leafy vegetables, dairy products</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetables, meat</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fruit, vegetables, dairy products, pulses</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rice, nuts</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other cereals, vegetables, fruit, dairy products, snacks, sweets</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Red meat, poultry, fish, eggs</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>Rice, pulses</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Snacks, fruit</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wheat, dairy products, sprouts</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Millet, sprouts, leafy vegetables</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sorghum, leafy vegetables</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Dairy products, sugar</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cereals, roots, vegetables, oils</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cereals, pulses, nuts, seeds</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cereals, leafy vegetables, fruit</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish, oils</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meat, poultry</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>Dair products, sugar</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Roots, vegetables</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cereals, pulses, nuts, seeds</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish, oils</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leafy vegetables, fruit</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meat, poultry</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Region refers to geographical regions of India.

---

[Note: The table continues with additional rows and columns, but the excerpt provided does not include all of them.]

---

[Note: The last sentence of the excerpt is misleading and likely contains errors or placeholders. The correct narrative should conclude with a coherent statement summarising the key findings or conclusions of the study.]
and that there is therefore little merit to attempting to de
there are large variations in dietary patterns between regions
containing meat. Our results also support existing evidence that
additional patterns being high in sweets and snacks and also
high in sugar(5), although these results should be interpreted
changing over time to provide more energy but also to contain
average diet for the entirety of India. Diets also appear to be
favourable risk factor pro
Common to many of the dietary patterns associated with less
hypertension, diabetes and cholesterol levels were also found
relationship between dietary pattern and body size, but
health outcomes found several associations that are suggestive
from before 2000.

Discussion
This is the first systematic review of studies modelling dietary
patterns in India. The results show that dietary pattern analysis
is clearly warranted because of the wide variation in dietary
patterns identified across the country. These range from more
traditional vegetarian diets characterised by consumption of
fruit, vegetables and pulses, to diets characterised by con-
sumption of sweets, snacks and meat. The main differences in
dietary patterns that we identified were due to the region of
India (with diets in the North and West being more similar to
one another, as were diets in the East and South). We found
some differences in diets over time but very little difference
between men and women.

These findings are consistent with what is already known
about diets in India, namely that the majority of diets are
vegetarian and high in fruit, vegetables and pulses(14), with
additional patterns being high in sweets and snacks and also
containing meat. Our results also support existing evidence that
there are large variations in dietary patterns between regions
and that there is therefore little merit to attempting to define an
average diet for the entirety of India. Diets also appear to be
changing over time to provide more energy but also to contain
a larger share of potentially less healthy foods such as those
high in sugar(5), although these results should be interpreted
with caution, as only two models were available that used data
from before 2000.

Our review of the associations between dietary patterns and
health outcomes found several associations that are suggestive
of dietary effects on health. The strongest evidence was of a
relationship between dietary pattern and body size, but
hypertension, diabetes and cholesterol levels were also found
to be significantly related to particular dietary patterns.
Common to many of the dietary patterns associated with less
favourable risk factor profiles was the presence of snacks as a
major dietary component. Snacks in India are usually high-fat,
high-salt fried foods that may also be high in trans-fats(14), and
this may explain their relationship with a number of different
health outcomes. On the other hand, a varied diet high in
fruits, vegetables, pulses and nuts was associated with lower
cholesterol, indicating that more traditional diets may have a
healthier profile.

A major strength of this review is that a diverse range of
studies was included in terms of populations. The review
included studies of men, women and children from all regions
of India, and with mostly recent sources of data. It was also able
to combine data from a number of different modelling studies
that had all used similar food groups to explore dietary patterns
(and in some cases their association with nutrition/health
outcomes). The review is therefore able to shed light on the
diversity of dietary patterns that have been identified in India
and some of their possible health impacts.

The validity of models used for analyses of dietary patterns
has been proven in many cases(3,15), and they have frequently
been shown to have stronger relationships with health
outcomes than individual foods or nutrients alone(16,17). How-
ever, the models are dependent on the quality of the underlying
food consumption data. In the present review, most studies
relied on analyses of broad food group categories obtained
through either FFQ (n 4), 24-h recall (n 2) or a combination of
the two methods (n 2). Limitations of these methods include
inherent measurement error (under-reporting or over-reporting
of consumption) and potential subjectivity due to self-reporting.
In particular, 24-h recall methods may not be representative of
general dietary patterns over the longer term. The higher-
quality studies tended to use more thorough methods of data
collection, for instance the New Interactive Nutrition Assistant –
Diet in India Study of Health method combining an interviewer-
administered FFQ, open-ended questions, a food-preparer’s
questionnaire and assistance with estimating portion sizes(6).

In addition, there may be methodological limitations to
defining valid dietary patterns(18). In particular, PCA (which
was used in all but one of the included studies) is a method that is
highly useful for finding patterns in data, but it is not necessarily
useful in terms of interpretation, because this method tends to
separate foods into their factors of greatest importance, so that
each pattern ends up being artificially composed of just a few
distinguishing food items rather than representing an entire diet.
Also, PCA cannot be used to allocate individuals to a given

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrition/health outcome</th>
<th>Model no.*</th>
<th>Dietary pattern no.</th>
<th>Foods used to define pattern</th>
<th>Direction of relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body size (BMI, abdominal adiposity or waist circumference)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fruit, dairy products, snacks</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sweets, snacks</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Snacks, meat</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Butter, oil, ghee</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fruit, snacks, meat</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Red meat, poultry, fish, eggs</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fruit, dairy products, snacks</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fruit, vegetables</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (or pre-diabetes)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pulses, rice</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sweets, snacks</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vegetables, sweets, fruit, pulses, nuts, poultry, eggs</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For details of model and dietary pattern numbers, see Tables 1 and 2.
dietary pattern, and as such the mean levels of consumption of each food group in each pattern cannot be calculated. It is possible to allocate individuals to patterns in PCA by rotating the factors orthogonally, but this was not done in all the included studies. Thus, the validity of the patterns produced is difficult to ascertain.

A possible improvement on this way of allocating individuals to dietary patterns would be to use a clustering method such as LCA (used by only one of the included models in this review). This can make the results easier to interpret, as the average consumption of each food group can be calculated for all dietary patterns, giving a more ready interpretation of the entire diet. We would therefore recommend that future studies investigating dietary patterns consider using these methods as opposed to PCA for ease of interpretation, although these methods present their own challenges such as how to choose and label the most appropriate number of patterns, as this must be prespecified by the researcher.

The data available on nutrition and health outcomes and their links with dietary patterns were also limited, and we were therefore not able to draw firm conclusions about the relationships between Indian dietary patterns and health. However, the evidence on body size appears to point to the fact that emerging dietary patterns that include greater consumption of high-fat and high-sugar foods are linked with increasing obesity in particular, and potentially also hypertension and diabetes, whereas a more traditional diet, based on fruits, vegetables and pulses, may be linked to more positive NCD-related health outcomes. Future studies of Indian dietary patterns should seek to expand on these potential health impacts using high-quality dietary data and larger, more representative populations.

Finally, it is difficult to synthesise the results of models that have produced such divergent results. We were unable to find many commonalities between the patterns identified by the different studies, and this is most likely because of the huge variety in the numbers of food groups included and the data sources. Quantitative analysis was therefore impossible in this study. A more satisfactory option for future work would be to obtain the raw data from each study and pool these to create a single model of dietary patterns for all of India.

Conclusion

This review has shown that dietary patterns in India are highly diverse, including traditional vegetarian patterns, those that incorporate high-fat, high-sugar foods and also meat. We also found large regional variations and some evidence of changes in patterns over time. The evidence of association between dietary patterns and nutrition or health impacts was sparse, but it did appear to indicate a relationship between patterns characterised by sweets, savoury snacks and meat and obesity, as well as potentially other CVD risk factors. However, the major limitations of data and methodology limit the conclusions that can be drawn from this work.

Future work would benefit from using larger and more representative food consumption data sets or to pool data from a number of sources in order to study the range of Indian dietary patterns in more detail, but in the meantime this review provides evidence that important variability between diets within a country can be captured using dietary patterns studies, and that such studies can help identify important links between diet and disease. This will be useful for health and nutrition policymakers in determining how to target dietary interventions to reduce disease burdens.
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