## FUNCTION-THEORETIC METRICS AND BOUNDARY BEHAVIOUR OF FUNCTIONS MEROMORPHIC OR HOLOMORPHIC IN THE UNIT DISK

## SHINJI YAMASHITA

§1. Introduction. The metrics to which the title of the present paper refers are expressed in the form of elements of arc length as follows:

- (i) |dw| in the finite *w*-plane  $W_1: |w| < \infty$ .
- (ii)  $\frac{|dw|}{1+|w|^2}$  in the Riemann *w*-sphere  $W_2: |w| \leq \infty$ .
- (iii)  $\frac{|dw|}{1-|w|^2}$  in the open unit disk  $W_3: |w| < 1$ .

Let D: |z| < 1 be the open unit disk and let  $\Gamma: |z| = 1$  be the unit circle in the z-plane. We fix a constant  $\rho$ ,  $1/2 < \rho < 1$ , once and for all and we denote by  $\mathscr{D}(\zeta)$  the open disk  $\{z; |z - \rho\zeta| < 1 - \rho\}$  for  $\zeta \in \Gamma$ . By a segment X at  $\zeta \in \Gamma$  we mean an open rectilinear segment connecting  $\zeta$  and a point of D. Let w = f(z) be a function from D into  $W_j(j = 1, 2, 3)$ , being meromorphic or holomorphic in D, and set for  $z = re^{i\theta} \in D$ ,

$$egin{aligned} \delta_1(r, \ heta) &= |f'(re^{i heta})| \ ; \ \delta_2(r, \ heta) &= rac{|f'(re^{i heta})|}{1+|f(re^{i heta})|^2} \ ; \ \delta_3(r, \ heta) &= rac{|f'(re^{i heta})|}{1-|f(re^{i heta})|^2} \ ; \end{aligned}$$

corresponding respectively to j = 1, 2 and 3. The word "capacity" always means "logarithmic capacity". Then our result is stated in the following

THEOREM. Let M be a subset of  $\Gamma$  which is a Borel set in the plane and set

$$\sigma = \bigcup_{\zeta \in M} \mathscr{D}(\zeta).$$

Received November 27, 1970.

Let w = f(z) be a meromorphic or holomorphic function from D into  $W_j$  such that

(1) 
$$\iint_{\sigma} \{ \delta_j(r, \theta) \}^2 r dr d\theta < \infty \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then there exists a subset  $E_j$  of M, being of capacity zero<sup>\*</sup>), such that for any  $\zeta \in M$ -  $E_j$  and for any segment X at  $\zeta$  we have

(2) 
$$\int_{X} \delta_{j}(r, \theta) |dz| < \infty \qquad (z = re^{i\theta} \in X)$$

according as j = 1, 2, 3.

The condition (2) for j = 1, 2, 3 implies the existence of a limiting value  $f(\zeta) \in W_j$  of f(z) as  $X \ni z \to \zeta$  according as j = 1, 2, 3. Then by the theorem of Lindelöf-Iversen-Gross [1, p. 5] combined with our condition (1), the function f has the angular limit  $f(\zeta)$  at  $\zeta$ , in other words,  $\zeta$  is a Fatou point [1, p. 59] of f. It should therefore be noted that our theorem in the case j = 1, 2 gives "localization" of Beurling-Tsuji's theorem ([3, Theorems 3 and 4], [4, p. 344]).

An application of the theorem for j = 3 is the following. Let  $G \subset W_3$ be a Jordan domain whose non-Euclidean area is finite and let  $w = \Phi(z)$  be a one-to-one conformal map from D onto G in the *w*-plane. Furthermore, let  $\Phi(\zeta)$  be the Carathéodory extension of  $\Phi$  to  $\Gamma$ . Then we have  $|\Phi(\zeta)| < 1$ except perhaps for a set of  $\zeta \in \Gamma$  of capacity zero. Therefore, the boundary of G touches the circle |w| = 1 at a "thin" set in this sense.

§2. Three lemmas. Let  $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$  and let  $\Delta = \{re^{i\theta}; 0 < r \le 1, |\theta| \le \alpha\}$ . We let  $\Delta^* \supset \Delta$  be an open disc whose boundary contains the origin and we use the same notation  $\delta_j(r, \theta)$  as in §1 for a function f defined in  $\Delta^*(j = 1, 2, 3)$ . We begin with two lemmas [4, p. 342, Theorem VIII. 47 and p. 343, Theorem VIII. 48] expressed in one.

LEMMA j(j = 1, 2). Let w = f(z) be a function from  $\Delta^*$  into  $W_j$ , being meromorphic or holomorphic in  $\Delta^*$ . Assume that f does not take three distinct points of  $W_2$  in  $\Delta^*$  and set

$$\Lambda_{j}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{1} \delta_{j}(r, \theta) dr$$

for  $|\theta| \leq \alpha$ . Assume furthermore that both  $\Lambda_j(-\alpha)$  and  $\Lambda_j(\alpha)$  are finite. Then  $\Lambda_j(\theta)$  is bounded for  $|\theta| \leq \alpha$ .

\*) In other words, the outer logarithmic capacity of  $E_j$  is zero.

The following lemma needs a proof.

LEMMA 3. Let w = f(z) be a holomorphic function from  $\Delta^*$  into  $W_3$ . Set

$$\Lambda_3(\theta) = \int_0^1 \delta_3(r, \theta) dr$$

for  $|\theta| \leq \alpha$  and assume that both  $\Lambda_3(-\alpha)$  and  $\Lambda_3(\alpha)$  are finite. Then  $\Lambda_3(\theta)$  is bounded for  $|\theta| \leq \alpha$ .

*Proof.* As f is bounded in  $\Delta^*$ , by the same argument as in the next paragraph to the theorem in §1 the origin is a Fatou point of f at which f has the angular limit f(0) with |f(0)| < 1. This implies that we have a positive constant B such that  $(1 - |f(re^{i\theta})|^2)^{-1} < B$  on  $\Delta$ . On the other hand, both  $\Lambda_1(-\alpha)$  and  $\Lambda_1(\alpha)$  are finite because of  $\delta_3(r, \theta) \ge \delta_1(r, \theta)$  for  $|\theta| \le \alpha$ . Lemma 3 follows from Lemma 1 combined with  $\Lambda_3(\theta) \le B\Lambda_1(\theta)$  for  $|\theta| \le \alpha$ .

§3. **Proof of Theorem.** In the following  $z = re^{i\theta}$  and  $e^{i\omega}$  are always points of D and M respectively. To avoid unnecessary complexity we drop the suffix j of  $\delta_j(r, \theta)$  if the argument is true for j = 1, 2, 3. We remark that  $\delta_2(r, \theta)$  is not defined at the poles of f; but this is not essential in the following proof.

We set

$$h(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} \delta(r, \theta) & \text{for } z \in \sigma, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in D - \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\psi \equiv \psi(r, \theta) = \pi - \arg(re^{i\theta} - 1)$ , where 0 < r < 1,  $|\theta| \le \pi$  and  $\pi/2 < \arg(re^{i\theta} - 1) < 3\pi/2$ . Then by  $\tan \psi = r \sin \theta/(1 - r \cos \theta)$  we have

(3) 
$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg (re^{i\theta} - 1)$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{Im} \log \{1/(re^{i\theta} - 1)\}$$
$$= r(\cos \theta - r)/(1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2).$$

We next consider the function

(4) 
$$H(\omega; r, \theta) = h(r, \theta + \omega) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}$$

Then  $H(\omega; r, \theta)$ , for a fixed  $\omega$ , is Lebesgue measurable for 0 < r < 1 and  $|\theta| \le \pi$ ; and  $H(\omega; r, \theta) \ge 0$  in the disk

SHINJI YAMASHITA

$$S = \{re^{i\theta}; \cos \theta > r\}$$

and further  $H(\omega; r, \theta) \leq 0$  in D - S by (3). Therefore we may consider two integrals:

$$J_1(\omega) = \iint_S H(\omega; r, \theta) dr d\theta \ge 0$$

and

$$J_{2}(\omega) = -\iint_{D-S} H(\omega; r, \theta) dr d\theta \ge 0$$

for  $e^{i\omega} \in M$ . We first assert that

(I)  $J_2(\omega) < +\infty$  for any  $e^{i\omega} \in M$ , so that  $H(\omega; r, \theta)$  possesses a definite integral on D [2, p. 20] and that

(5) 
$$J(\omega) \equiv \iint_D H(\omega; r, \theta) dr d\theta = J_1(\omega) - J_2(\omega).$$

We let, for the proof,  $C_r$  be the circle |z| = r, 0 < r < 1. Then

$$-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} = r(r - \cos \theta) / (1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2) \leq r / (r+1) < r$$

for  $re^{i\theta} \in C_r - S$ . This can be proved by considering  $-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}$  as a function of  $\cos \theta$  (cf. [4, p. 346]). Therefore by (3) and (4) we have

(6) 
$$-H(\omega; r, \theta) \leq rh(r, \theta + \omega), re^{i\theta} \in C_r - S.$$

We estimate  $J_2(\omega)$  upwards by (6) and by Schwarz's inequality as follows:

$$\begin{split} J_{2}(\omega) &= -\int_{0}^{1} dr \int_{C_{r}-S} H(\omega; r, \theta) d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{1} dr \int_{C_{r}-S} rh(r, \theta + \omega) d\theta \\ &= \iint_{D-S} h(r, \theta + \omega) r dr d\theta \leq \iint_{D} h(r, \theta + \omega) r dr d\theta \\ &= \iint_{D} h(r, \theta) r dr d\theta \leq \pi^{1/2} \Big[ \iint_{D} \{h(r, \theta)\}^{2} r dr d\theta \Big]^{1/2} \\ &= (\pi U)^{1/2} < +\infty, \end{split}$$

where

(7) 
$$U = \iint_{D} \{h(r, \theta)\}^2 r dr d\theta = \iint_{\sigma} \{\delta(r, \theta)\}^2 r dr d\theta < +\infty$$

by our assumption (1) in the theorem. This completes the proof of (I).

Let  $\mathscr{L}(\omega, \varphi)$  be the chord of the circle  $|z - \rho e^{i\omega}| = 1 - \rho$ , with one endpoint  $e^{i\omega}$ , making the directed angle  $\varphi$ ,  $|\varphi| < \pi/2$ , with the radius of D at  $e^{i\omega}$ . We shall use the notation  $\mathscr{L}(0, \varphi)$  though  $\zeta = 1$  may not be in M. The chord  $\mathscr{L}(\omega, \varphi)$  has the length

(8) 
$$\lambda(\varphi) = (2 - 2\rho) \cos \varphi,$$

being independent of  $\omega$ . We then set for  $-\pi/2 < \varphi < \pi/2$ ,

(9) 
$$L(\omega, \varphi) = \int_{\mathscr{E}(\omega, \varphi)} \delta(r, \theta) |dz| \quad (z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathscr{E}(\omega, \varphi))$$

and we consider the function  $\chi(\omega)$  on M defined by

(10) 
$$\chi(\omega) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} L(\omega, \varphi) \cos \varphi d\varphi.$$

(II) The function  $\chi(\omega)$  is Borel measurable on M.

We shall prove this for  $\delta_2(r, \theta)^{*}$ . In other cases the proofs are simpler and hence are omitted.

Let  $r_k$   $(k = 1, 2, \dots)$  be the circle  $|z| = r_k, 2\rho - 1 \le r_k < 1$ , such that  $r_k \nearrow 1$  and the set  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k$  contains all the poles of f in the half-open ring  $\{z; 2\rho - 1 \le |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $R_{\nu} (\nu = 1, 2, \dots)$  be the open set, being o the form of a summation of ring domains whose boundaries are concentric circles with the centre z = 0, such that

$$R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \cdots \supset \bigcap_{\nu=1}^{\infty} R_{\nu} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_k.$$

Let  $2\rho - 1 < \beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_m < \cdots < 1$ ,  $\beta_m \nearrow 1$  and let  $D_m$  be the closed ring  $\{z; 2\rho - 1 \leq |z| \leq \beta_m\}$ . We then set  $D_{m\nu} = D_m - R_{\nu}$  for  $m, \nu = 1, 2 \cdots$ . We note first that

(11) 
$$L(\omega, \varphi) = \int_{\mathscr{I}(\omega, \varphi)} \delta_2(r, \theta) |dz| = \int_{\mathscr{I}(0, \varphi)} \delta_2(r, \theta + \omega) |dz|$$
$$(z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathscr{I}(0, \varphi) \text{ in the last expression})$$

and we then consider

$$L_{m\nu}(\omega, \varphi) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{C}(0, \varphi) \cap D_{m\nu}} \delta_2(r, \theta + \omega) |dz|$$
$$(z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{C}(0, \varphi) \cap D_{m\nu}).$$

\*)  $\delta_2$  may be extended continuously to the poles of f and our proof will be rather simplified (Added in proof).

We shall show that for any  $e^{i\omega_0} \in M$  we have  $L_{m\nu}(\omega, \varphi) \to L_{m\nu}(\omega_0, \varphi)$  as  $\omega \to \omega_0$ uniformly for  $-\pi/2 < \varphi < \pi/2$ , so that

$$\chi_{m\nu}(\omega) \equiv \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} L_{m\nu}(\omega, \varphi) \cos \varphi d\varphi$$

is continuous on M. Indeed,

$$|L_{m\nu}(\omega, \varphi) - L_{m\nu}(\omega_{0}, \varphi)|$$

$$\leq \int_{\ell(0, \varphi) \cap D_{m\nu}} |\delta_{2}(r, \theta + \omega) - \delta_{2}(r, \theta + \omega_{0})||dz|$$

$$\leq \{\max re^{i\theta} \in D_{m\nu} |\delta_{2}(r, \theta + \omega) - \delta_{2}(r, \theta + \omega_{0})|\} \times$$

$$\times \{\sup_{|\varphi| < \pi/2} \int_{\ell(0, \varphi) \cap D_{m\nu}} |dz|\},$$

so that our assertion follows from the uniform continuity of the function  $\delta_2(r, \theta)$  on the compact set  $D_{m\nu}$ . Set

$$L_m(\omega, \varphi) = \int_{\mathscr{C}(0,\varphi) \cap D_m} \delta_2(r, \theta + \omega) |dz|$$

and further set

$$\chi_m(\omega) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} L_m(\omega, \varphi) \cos \varphi d\varphi.$$

Then  $\chi_{m\nu}(\omega) \nearrow \chi_m(\omega)$  as  $\nu \nearrow \infty$  and  $\chi_m(\omega) \nearrow \chi(\omega)$  as  $m \nearrow \infty$ . This proves our proposition (II).

(III) The inequality  $J_1(\omega) \ge (2\rho - 1)\chi(\omega)$  holds for any  $e^{i\omega} \in M$ .

We remember that  $\mathcal{D}(1)$  is the disk  $|z - \rho| < 1 - \rho$  and we let

$$J_1^*(\omega) = \iint_{\mathscr{D}(1)} H(\omega; r, \theta) dr d\theta.$$

Then  $J_1(\omega) \ge J_1^*(\omega)$  since  $S \supset \mathscr{D}(1)$  and  $H(\omega; r, \theta) \ge 0$  in S. To estimate  $J_1^*(\omega)$  downwards, we set for  $re^{i\theta} \in \mathscr{D}(1)$ ,

$$t = |re^{i\theta} - 1|$$
 and  $\psi = \pi - \arg(re^{i\theta} - 1)$  for  
 $\pi/2 < \arg(re^{i\theta} - 1) < 3\pi/2.$ 

Then  $1 > r = (1 - 2t \cos \phi + t^2)^{1/2}$ , and on the chord  $\swarrow(0, \phi)$ , for a fixed  $\phi$ ,  $|\psi| < \pi/2$ , we have

$$dr = (t - \cos \psi)(1 - 2t \cos \psi + t^2)^{-1/2} dt$$
$$\geq (\cos \psi - t)(-dt) \quad \text{(for } dt \le 0\text{)}.$$

We note that r decreases as t increases on  $\checkmark(0, \psi)$  and  $\cos \psi \ge t$  since  $re^{i\theta} \in \mathscr{D}(1) \subset S$ . Furthermore, on the circle  $C_r : |z| = r$ , 0 < r < 1, we have

$$H(\omega; r, \theta)d\theta = h(r, \theta + \omega)d\psi$$

by (4). We therefore obtain

$$\begin{split} J_{1}^{*}(\omega) &= \int_{2\rho-1}^{1} dr \int_{C_{r} \cap \mathscr{D}(1)} H(\omega; r, \theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{2\rho-1}^{1} dr \int_{C_{r} \cap \mathscr{D}(1)} h(r, \theta + \omega) d\psi \\ &= \iint_{\mathscr{D}(1)} h(r, \theta + \omega) dr d\psi \\ &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi \int_{\mathcal{C}(0, \psi)} h(r, \theta + \omega) dr \\ &\geq \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi \int_{0}^{\lambda(\psi)} \delta(r, \theta + \omega) (\cos \psi - t) dt \end{split}$$

(where  $\lambda(\psi)$  is defined in (8); we note that  $h(r, \theta + \omega) = \delta(r, \theta + \omega)$  for  $re^{i\theta} \in \mathscr{D}(1)$  since  $\sigma \supset \mathscr{D}(e^{i\omega})$ )

$$\geq (2\rho - 1) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\psi \int_{0}^{\lambda(\phi)} \delta(r, \theta + \omega) \cos \psi dt$$

(because of  $\cos \psi - t \ge (2\rho - 1) \cos \psi$  for  $0 \le t \le \lambda(\psi)$ )

$$= (2\rho - 1) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} L(\omega, \psi) \cos \psi d\psi$$

(cf. (11); the formula (11) is true for  $\delta$ )

$$= (2\rho - 1)\chi(\omega).$$

(IV) The set  $E = \{e^{i\omega} \in M; \chi(\omega) = +\infty\}$  is of capacity zero.

By (II) the set E is a Borel set in the plane, so that E is capacitable by the celebrated Choquet theorem. Therefore we have only to prove that E is of inner capacity zero. Assume on the contrary that E contains a closed set F of positive capacity and let

$$u(z) = \int_F \log \left( 1/|z - e^{i\omega}| \right) d\mu(\omega) \le V < +\infty$$

be the conductor potential [4, p. 55] of F, where V is a constant and  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on F of total mass  $\mu(F) = 1$ . Then we have [4, p. 345]

SHINJI YAMASHITA

(12) 
$$\iint_{D} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)^{2} r dr d\theta \leq \pi V/2$$

and

(13) 
$$r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = -\int_{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg \left( re^{i\theta} - e^{i\omega} \right) d\mu(\omega).$$

We next consider the function

(14) 
$$Q(\omega; r, \theta) \equiv H(\omega; r, \theta - \omega)$$
$$= -h(r, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg (re^{i\theta} - e^{i\omega})$$
$$= h(r, \theta)r \{\cos (\theta - \omega) - r\} / \{1 - 2r \cos (\theta - \omega) + r^2\}$$

for  $re^{i\theta} \in D$  and  $e^{i\omega} \in F$  (cf. (3), (4)). Then Q is a Borel measurable function on the product space  $D \times F$  and by (13) and (14) we have

$$h(r, \theta)r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \int_{F} Q(\omega; r, \theta)d\mu(\omega).$$

On the other hand, both  $h(r, \theta)$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}$  are square summable on D with respect to the measure  $rdrd\theta$  by (7) and (12). Therefore, we have by Schwarz's inequality,

$$J \equiv \iint_{D} dr d\theta \int_{F} Q(\omega; r, \theta) d\mu(\omega)$$
$$= \iint_{D} h(r, \theta) r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} dr d\theta \neq \pm \infty.$$

By Fubini's theorem [2, p. 87] applied to the positive and the negative parts of Q respectively we have

(15) 
$$J = \int_{F} d\mu(\omega) \iint_{D} Q(\omega; r, \theta) dr d\theta \neq \pm \infty.$$

Now, by (3), (4), (5) and (14) we have

$$\begin{split} J(\omega) &= \iint_{D} h(r, \ \theta + \omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{-\arg \left( re^{i\theta} - 1 \right) \} dr d\theta \\ &= \iint_{D} h(r, \ \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{-\arg \left( re^{i\theta} - e^{i\omega} \right) \} dr d\theta \\ &= \iint_{D} Q(\omega; r, \ \theta) dr d\theta, \end{split}$$

so that by (15),

$$J=\int_F J(\omega)d\mu(\omega)\neq\pm\infty.$$

However, by (5), (III) and the very definition of E we have  $J(\omega) = +\infty$  for  $e^{i\omega} \in F \subset E$ . This is a contradiction.

(V) The set E is the exceptional set in the statement of the theorem.

Let  $e^{i\omega} \in M - E$ . Then  $\chi(\omega) < +\infty$ , so that by the definition of  $\chi(\omega)$  (cf. (10)), the quantity  $L(\omega, \varphi)$  (cf. (9)) is finite for a.e.,  $\varphi$ ,  $|\varphi| < \pi/2$ . Consequently, there are two chords  $\varkappa(\omega, \varphi_1)$  and  $\varkappa(\omega, \varphi_2)$ ,  $-\pi/2 < \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < \pi/2$ , at  $e^{i\omega}$  such that  $L(\omega, \varphi_k) < +\infty$ , k = 1, 2. By Lemma *j* for j = 1, 2, 3 and by our assumption (1) we know that  $L(\omega, \varphi) < +\infty$  for any  $\varphi, \varphi_1 < \varphi < \varphi_2$ . Repeating this process, we have the required property (2) at the point  $e^{i\omega} \in M - E$ .

## References

[1] K. Noshiro, Cluster sets, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg (1960).

[2] S. Saks, Theory of the integral, second edition, Warsaw (1937).

[3] M. Tsuji, Beurling's theorem on exceptional sets, Tôhoku Math. J. 2 (1950), 113-125.

[4] M. Tsuji, Potential theory in modern function theory, Tokyo (1959).

Mathematical Institute Tôhoku University Sendai 980, Japan