# COVERING A GROUP WITH ISOLATORS OF FINITELY MANY SUBGROUPS

## by PATRIZIA LONGOBARDI, MERCEDE MAJ and AKBAR H. RHEMTULLA

(Received 28 January 1992)

Dedicated to Professor B. H. Neumann for his 80th birthday

1. Introduction. In [6] B. H. Neumann proved the following beautiful result: if a

group G is covered by finitely many cosets, say  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} x_i H_i$ , then we can omit from the union any  $x_i H_i$  for which  $|G:H_i|$  is infinite. In particular,  $|G:H_j|$  is finite, for some  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

In an unpublished result R. Baer characterized the groups covered by finitely many abelian subgroups, they are exactly the centre-by-finite groups [8]. Coverings by nilpotent subgroups or by Engel subgroups and by normal subgroups have been studied, for example, by R. Baer (see [8]), L. C. Kappe [2, 1], M. A. Brodie and R. F. Chamberlain [1], and recently by M. J. Tomkinson [9].

In this paper we study groups covered by finitely many isolators of subgroups.

If H is a subgroup of the group G, the *isolator* of H in G is, by definition, the subset

$$I_G(H) = \{x \in G \mid x^n \in H \text{ for some } n > 0\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{X}$  the class of groups G such that, whenever  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ , then  $G = I_G(H_i)$  for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

We prove the following results:

THEOREM A. Let A be a normal abelian subgroup of G. If  $G/A \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . If G is locally soluble, then  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

From Theorem A, using a result of J. C. Lennox [4], it follows that if G is a finitely generated soluble group and  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ , then  $|G:H_j|$  is finite, for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

THEOREM B. Let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ , where  $H_1, \ldots, H_n$  are abelian subgroups of G. Then  $G = I_G(H_j)$  for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

The same conclusion of Theorem B holds if  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ , with  $H_1, \ldots, H_n$  subnormal subgroups of G (Theorem C and Corollary 3.2).

Most of the standard notation used comes from [8].

We say that a group G has the *isolator property* (G has I.P.) if the isolator of every subgroup of G is itself a subgroup of G.

A subgroup H is called *isolated* if  $I_G(H) = H$ .

Finally, if H, K are subgroups of G, then we write  $H \sim K$  to mean  $I_G(H) = I_G(K)$ .

2. Proof of Theorem A. We begin with some preliminary results:

LEMMA 2.1. If every two generator subgroup of G is in  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then so is G.

Glasgow Math. J. 35 (1993) 253-259.

*Proof.* Suppose false, and let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ , where  $n \ge 2$  is minimal subject to  $G \ne I_G(H_i)$  for any  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . By minimality of n, there exists  $h_1 \in H_1 - \left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} I_G(H_i)\right)$ . Similarly there exists  $h_2 \in H_2$  such that  $h_2 \in I_G(H_1) \cup I_G(H_3) \cup \cdots \cup I_G(H_n)$ . Let  $J = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ . Then  $J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_i(H_i \cap J)$ , and by the hypothesis  $J = I_J(H_i \cap J)$  for some i.

Hence  $J \subseteq I_G(H_i)$  for some *i*, a contradiction.

LEMMA 2.2.  $\mathfrak{X} = Q\mathfrak{X}$ .

Proof. Easily verified.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $H \leq G$  be such that  $G = I_G(H)$ . Then  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$  if and only if  $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . If  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_H(K_i)$ , then  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(K_i)$ , so that  $I_H(K_i) = H$  for some *i*.

Conversely, let  $H \in \mathfrak{X}$  and suppose  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ . Then  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_H(H \cap H_i)$  and  $H = I_H(H \cap H_i)$  for some *i*. Hence  $G = I_G(H_i)$  for some *i*.

We prove now a weaker version of Theorem A.

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $G = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m, h \rangle$ , where  $A = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \rangle^G$  is abelian. Then  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

*Proof.* If G/A is finite, the result follows easily from 2.3.

Assume  $G/A \cong \langle h \rangle$  infinite. We prove, by induction on *n*, that if  $G = I_G(H_1) \cup I_G(H_2) \cup \ldots \cup I_G(H_n) \cup A$ , then  $G = I_G(H_j)$ , for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Obviously we can assume  $H_iA > A$ , for every *i*, and so  $|G: H_iA|$  is finite. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $G = H_1A = H_2A = \ldots = H_nA$ . Then  $H_i \cap A \triangleleft G$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

We show that  $G/(A \cap H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_n)$  is polycyclic; then  $G/(A \cap H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_n)$  is almost I. P. by a result of Rhemtulla and Wehrfritz [7], and  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

By a theorem of Lennox and Wiegold [5, Theorem B], it suffices to prove that  $(\langle a, h \rangle (A \cap H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_n))/(A \cap H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_n)$  is polycyclic for every  $a \in A$ . Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume  $A = \langle a \rangle^G$ .

First, we show that  $G/(H_j \cap A)$  is polycyclic, for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(ah^i)^{\alpha} \in H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n$ . Then there are  $i, s \in \mathbb{N}, s > 1$ , such that  $h^i a \in I_G(H_j)$ ,  $h^{is} a \in I_G(H_j)$  for the same  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Hence, for a suitable  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(h^i a)^{\beta s} = h^{i\beta s} a^{h^{i(\beta s-1)}} \ldots a^{h^i} a \in H_j$  and  $(h^{is} a)^{\beta} = h^{is\beta} a^{h^{is(\beta-1)}} \ldots a^{h^i} a \in H_j$ , from which  $a^{-1}a^{-h^i} \ldots a^{-h^{i(\beta s-1)}}a^{h^{is(\beta-1)}} \ldots a^{h^{is}} a \in A \cap H_j$ . But s > 1, and so  $i(\beta s - 1) > is(\beta - 1)$ . Therefore we have  $a^{h^{i(\beta s-1)}}a^{h^{is(\beta-1)}} \ldots a^{h^{is}}a^{h^i} \in H_j \cap A$ , with  $\alpha_l$ suitable integers,  $i < \alpha_l < i(\beta s - 1)$ , from which  $a^{h^{i(\beta s-2)}} \ldots a^{h^{is_l}-1}a \in H_j \cap A$  and  $a^{f(h)} \in H_j \cap A$ , where f(h) is a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with leading coefficient and constant term equal to 1. Therefore  $G/(A \cap H_j)$  is polycyclic [3]. Assume j = 1; then  $G/(A \cap H_1)$  is polycyclic.

If n = 1, the result follows. Assume n > 1. Let  $1 \le l \le n$  be maximum such that  $G/(A \cap H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l)$  is polycyclic. Assume for a contradiction l < n. Write  $B = A \cap H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l$  and let  $g \in G - (A \cup I_G(H_1) \cup \ldots \cup I_G(H_{n-1}))$ . Thus  $g = ch^s$ , for some

 $c \in A$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . Put  $K = B \langle g \rangle$ , then from  $B \leq H_i$  it follows  $K \cap I_G(H_i) = B$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , and  $K = B \cup I_K(H_{i+1} \cap K) \cup \ldots \cup I_K(H_n \cap K)$ . Notice that B is finitely generated as a K-group. By induction,  $K = I_K(H_j \cap K)$  for some  $j \leq n$ , and  $K = I_K(H_n \cap K)$  since  $g \notin A \cup I_G(H_1) \ldots \cup I_G(H_{n-1})$ . Arguing as before we get  $(\langle b, g \rangle (B \cap H_n))/(B \cap H_n)$  polycyclic for every  $b \in B$ , and then  $(\langle b, dh \rangle (B \cap H_n))/(B \cap H_n)$  is polycyclic for every  $d \in A$ . Hence  $(\langle b, x \rangle (B \cap H_n))/(B \cap H_n)$  is polycyclic for every  $b \in B$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $G/(B \cap H_n)$  is polycyclic by a theorem of Lennox and Wiegold [5, Theorem B], contradicting the maximality of l.

Now we can prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose  $A \leq G$ , A abelian,  $G/A \in \mathfrak{X}$ , and for a contradiction  $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$ .

Let *n* be the least integer >1 such that  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i), H_i \leq G$ , but  $G \neq I_G(H_i)$  for any  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

First remark that we may assume

(I)  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i), \quad G \neq I_G(H_i) \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad G = AH_1 = \dots = AH_i, \quad A \leq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-l} H_{l+1}, \text{ where } 1 \leq l \leq n. \text{ Moreover } A \cap H_i \triangleleft G, \text{ for any } i.$ 

For, if  $I_G(AH_1) \neq G$ , then replace  $H_1$  by  $AH_1$ ; if  $I_G(AH_1) = G$ , then replace G by  $AH_1$  and for  $i \neq 1$ , replace  $H_i$  by  $AH_1 \cap H_i$ . Observe that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n I_{AH_1}(AH_1 \cap H_i) = AH_1 \cap H_i$ 

 $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i) = AH_1, \text{ and, by our minimal choice of } n, I_{AH_1}(AH_1 \cap H_i) \neq AH_1 \text{ for any } i.$ Furthermore the given normal abelian subgroup A is still contained in the new  $G, H_1 \geq A$ or  $AH_1 = G$ , and, in both cases.  $A \cap H_1 \triangleleft G$ . There exists  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $I_G(AH_i) = G$ , because  $G/A \in \mathfrak{X}$ . We may assume i = 1 and  $G = AH_1$ .

Now suppose we have made the adjustment for the first r subgroups  $H_1, \ldots, H_r$  and for the group G such that:

(\*) A is contained in the new G, either  $H_i \ge A$  or  $AH_i = G$ , for any  $1 \le i \le r$ .

Remark that then  $A \cap H_i \triangleleft G$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

If  $I_G(AH_{r+1}) \neq G$ , then replace  $H_{r+1}$  by  $AH_{r+1}$  and observe that (\*) is satisfied for  $H_{r+1}$  as well. If  $I_G(AH_{r+1}) = G$ , then replace G by  $G_1 = AH_{r+1}$  and  $H_i$  by  $H_i \cap AH_{r+1}$  for all *i*. If i = r + 1, then  $H_{r+1}$  satisfies (\*); if  $i \leq r$  and  $AH_i = G$ , then  $AH_i \cap AH_{r+1} = A(H_i \cap AH_{r+1}) = G$ ; if  $i \leq r$  and  $A \leq H_i$ , then  $A \leq H_i \cap AH_{r+1}$ . Hence (\*) holds for  $H_i$ , for any  $i \leq r + 1$ .

Thus we have made the adjustment for the first r + 1 subgroups  $H_1, \ldots, H_{r+1}$  to satisfy (\*). Continue this process until r = n. As a result of the above adjustment we may assume (I).

Write  $M = A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} H_i$ .

Passing, if necessary, to the quotient group G/M, we have, without loss of

generality,

(II) 
$$A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} H_i = 1.$$

The next step is to show that

### (III) A is periodic.

If not, then let  $\langle a \rangle$  be infinite,  $a \in A$ . By (II),  $\langle a \rangle \cap H_i = 1$  for some *i*, say i = 1. Also, by minimality of *n*, there exists  $h \in H_1$  such that  $h \notin \bigcup_{i=2}^n I_G(H_i)$ . Let  $H = \langle a, h \rangle$ . Clearly  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_H(H_i \cap H)$  and  $H \neq I_H(H_i \cap H)$  for any *i*. But, by Lemma 2.4,  $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ , a contradiction.

Now, let T be a subset of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  of largest cardinality such that  $AK \sim G$ , where  $K = \bigcap_{i \in T} H_i$ . For any  $j \notin T$ , let  $K_j = K \cap H_j$ . By (I),  $|T| \ge 1$ . Pick any  $a \in A$ .

For each  $g \in K - \bigcup_{i \notin T} I_G(K_i)$  some power  $g^m$  of g centralizes a modulo  $H_j \cap A$  for some  $j \in T$ . For, if  $|(\langle a^{\langle g \rangle} \rangle (H_j \cap A))/(H_j \cap A)| = \infty$ , then  $ag^r \notin I_G(H_j)$  for any non-zero integer r. If this happens for all  $j \in T$ , then  $ag^r$ ,  $ag^s \in I_G(H_i)$  for some  $i \notin T$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \neq s$ . From this we get a contradiction to  $g \notin \bigcup_{i \neq T} I_G(K_i)$ .

Let  $C_j = \langle g \in K \mid [a,g] \in H_j \rangle$ . Then  $K \sim \bigcup_{i \notin T} K_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in T} C_j$ , and  $K \cap A \leq C_j$  for all  $j \in T$ . Since  $AK \sim G$ ,  $AK/A \sim G/A \in \mathfrak{X}$  and so  $K/(K \cap A) \simeq AK/A \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Hence either  $(A \cap A) = K/A \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

Since AK = 0,  $AK/A = 0/A \in \mathcal{X}$  and so  $K/(K+iA) = AK/A \in \mathcal{X}$ . Hence entries  $(\mathcal{A})$  $K/K_i \sim K$  for some  $i \notin T$  (alternative  $(\mathcal{A})$ ) or  $C_i \sim K$  for some  $j \in T$  (alternative  $(\mathcal{B})$ ).

If  $(\mathcal{A})$  holds, then  $A(A \cap K)K_i \sim AK \sim G$ , so that  $AK_i \sim G$ , contradicting the maximality of the set T.

So assume (B). For each  $a \in A$ , let  $T_a$  be the subset of T such that  $C_i = C_i(a) \sim K$  for all  $i \in T_a$ . Then  $T_a \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $j \in T$ , let  $E_j = \{a \in A \text{ such that } j \notin T_a\}$ . Observe that if a,  $b \in E_j$ , then  $ab \in E_j$ , for  $T_{ab} \supseteq T_a \cap T_b$ . Also  $a \in E_j$  if and only if  $a^{-1} \in E_j$ . Thus  $E_j \leq A$ , and  $A = \bigcup_{j \in T} E_j$ . Furthermore  $E_j \triangleleft G$ , for any  $j \in T$ . By B. H. Neumann's result  $|A:E_j| \leq |T|$ , for some  $j \in T$ , say  $|A:E_1| \leq |T|$  (and  $1 \in T$ ). Then for any  $g \in K$ ,  $a \in A$ , we have  $[a, g^s] \in E_1$ , for some s > 0, and, for a suitable r > 0,  $[a, g', g'] \in H_1 \cap A$ : thus, if |a| = k, then  $[a, g^{rk}] \in H_1 \cap A$ . Therefore  $E_1 = A$ , so that for any  $a \in A$ , any  $g \in K$ ,  $g' \in C_1(a)$  for some r > 0, and hence  $[g', a] \in H_1 \cap A$ , so that some suitable power of aglies in  $H_1$ . This gives  $AK \subseteq I_G(H_1)$  and  $G = I_G(H_1)$ , a contradiction.

Then  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ .

Now assume G locally soluble, we prove that  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . By Lemma 2.1 it suffices to show that every 2-generator subgroup of G is in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume G soluble, and the result follows easily by induction on the derived length.

COROLLARY 2.5. Let G be a finitely generated soluble group.

If  $G = I_G(H_1) \cup I_G(H_2) \cup \ldots \cup I_G(H_n)$ , with  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n$  subgroups of G, then  $|G:H_i|$  is finite for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $G = I_G(H_i)$ , for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , and, by a result of J. Lennox [4],  $|G:H_i|$  is finite.

#### 3. Groups covered by isolators of finitely many abelian subgroups.

*Proof of Theorem B.* We argue by induction on *n*. Obviously the result is true for n = 1; assume n > 1, and, for a contradiction,  $I_G(H_i) \not \leq \bigcup_{j \neq i} I_G(H_j)$ , for any *i*.

First we show that we may assume

(1) 
$$H_i \cap H_j = 1$$
, for  $i \neq j$ .

For, if  $T \leq G$  and  $T \not \leq I_G(H_i)$  for any *i*, then for every (h, k),  $h \neq k$ ,  $T \cap$  $\langle H_h, H_k \rangle \not \subseteq I_G(H_i)$  for any *i*. In fact, if  $T \cap \langle H_h, H_k \rangle \subseteq I_G(H_i)$  for some *i*, then  $T \cap H_h$ ,  $T \cap H_k \subseteq I_G(H_i)$  with either  $i \neq h$  or  $i \neq k$ . Assume for example  $i \neq h$ . Then T = $\bigcup_{i \neq h} I_T(T \cap H_i) \text{ and, by induction, } T = I_T(T \cap H_s) \subseteq I_G(H_s) \text{ for some } s, \text{ a contradiction.}$ 

Now write  $X = \bigcap_{1 \le i \ne i \le n} \langle H_i, H_j \rangle$ . Then it is easy to see that  $X \not \subseteq I_X(H_i \cap X)$  for any *i*,

and we can assume G = X, so that  $H_i \cap H_j \triangleleft G$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Put  $Y = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} (H_i \cap H_j)$ . Then  $Y \triangleleft G$  and Y is soluble. If  $G/Y \subseteq I_{G/Y}(H_iY/Y)$  for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , then  $G \sim H_i Y$ . But  $H_i Y$  is soluble, thus, by Theorem A,  $H_i Y \sim H_s \cap H_i Y$  for some  $s \in$  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $G \sim H_s$ , a contradiction. Then we can assume Y = 1 and (I) holds.

Now we prove that

(II) for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$  and for every  $g \in G$ , there exists  $\alpha = \alpha(i, g) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\langle H_i, H_i^{g^*} \rangle \subset I_G(H_i).$ 

Let  $a \in H_i - \left( \bigcup_{i \neq j} I_G(H_j) \right)$ . Then, for some  $h, k, h < k, a^{g^h}$  and  $a^{g^k}$  are in  $I_G(H_s)$  for a

suitable  $s \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Hence, for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ , we have  $(\alpha^{\gamma})^{g^h}$ ,  $(a^{\gamma})^{g^k} \in H_s$ , and  $\langle (a^{\gamma})^{g^h}$ ,  $(a^{\gamma})^{g^k} \rangle$  is abelian. Thus  $\langle a^{\gamma}, (a^{\gamma})^{g^{h-k}} \rangle$  is abelian, so that there exists  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  for which  $\langle a^{\gamma}, (a^{\gamma})^{g^{h-k}} \rangle \subseteq I_G(H_j)$ . Obviously j = i, since  $a^{\gamma} \in I_G(H_i)$  and  $H_i \cap H_j = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , by (I). Then  $a^{g^{h-k}} \in I_G(H_i)$  and obviously  $a^{g^{h-k}} \notin \bigcup_{j \neq i} I_G(H_j)$ . For any  $a_1 \in H_i$ ,  $\langle a^{g^{h-k}}, a_1^{g^{h-k}} \rangle$  abelian it follows, arguing as before,  $\langle a^{g^{h-k}}, a_1^{g^{h-k}} \rangle \subseteq I_G(H_i)$ ; hence

the group  $H_i/(H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}})$  is periodic. Write  $X = \langle H_i, H_i^{g^{k-h}} \rangle$ , then  $H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}} \triangleleft X$  and writing  $\bar{X} = X/(H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}})$ , we have  $\bar{X} \subseteq \bigcup_{j \neq i} I_{\bar{X}}(H_j \cap \bar{X})$ . It follows by induction that  $X \subseteq I_X((H_j \cap X)(H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}}))$  for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . From  $(H_j \cap X)(H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}})$  soluble it follows, by Theorem A,  $(H_i \cap X)(H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}}) \sim H_i \cap (H_i \cap X)(H_i \cap H_i^{g^{k-h}})$  for some t, hence  $X \sim H_i \cap X$ . Obviously the only possibility is t = i and (II) holds.

Now take  $a \in H_1 - \bigcup_{i \neq 1} I_G(H_i)$ ,  $b \in H_2 - \bigcup_{i \neq 2} I_G(H_i)$ . Then, by (II), there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ such that  $\langle H_1, H_1^{b^{\alpha}} \rangle \subseteq I_G(H_1)$ , so that, for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ,  $[a^r, b^{\alpha}] \in H_1$  and, for every  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[a^r, b^{\alpha}]^s = [a^{rs}, b^{\alpha}] \in H_1$ . Also, by (II), there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  such that  $\langle H_2, H_2^{\alpha'^k} \rangle \subseteq I_G(H_2)$ , hence  $[a'^k, b^{\alpha}] \in I_G(H_2)$  and, for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ,  $[\alpha'^k, b^{\alpha}]^s \in H_2$ .

Thus  $[a^{rks}, b^{\alpha}] = [a^{rk}, b^{\alpha}]^s = [a^r, b^{\alpha}]^{ks} \in H_1 \cap H_2 = 1$ , and  $\langle a^{rks}, b^{\alpha} \rangle$  is abelian. Then  $\langle a^{rks}, b^{\alpha} \rangle \subseteq I_G(H_s)$ , for some  $s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ; from  $a \in I_G(H_s)$  it follows s = 1 and from  $b \in I_G(H_s)$ , s = 2, the final contradiction.

In order to prove Theorem C, we need the following easy Lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. Let G be a group,  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_G(H_i)$ , where  $H_i \leq G$ , i = 1, ..., n. Assume  $G = H_j \times H$ , for some j and some  $H \leq G$ . Then either  $G = \bigcup_{i \neq j} I_G(H_i)$ , or  $G = I_G(H_j)$ .

*Proof.* If  $G \neq \bigcup_{i \neq j} I_G(H_i)$ , there exists  $b \in H_j$ ,  $b \notin \bigcup_{i \neq j} I_G(H_i)$ . For any  $a \in H$ , consider the elements  $a^m b$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $a^h b$ ,  $a^k b \in I_G(H_s)$  for  $h, k \in \mathbb{N}, h \neq k$ . Then  $(a^h b)^\beta = a^{h\beta} b^\beta \in H_s$  and  $(a^k b)^\beta = a^{k\beta} b^\beta \in H_s$ , for a suitable  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and  $a^{\beta(h-k)} \in H_s$ . Thus  $a \in I_G(H_s)$  and  $b \in I_G(H_s)$ , since  $a^h b \in I_G(H_s)$ , then s = j and  $G = I_G(H_i)$ , as required.

THEOREM C. Let G be a group,  $H_1, \ldots, H_n$  normal subgroups of G such that  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_G(H_i)$ .

Then  $G = I_G(H_i)$  for some  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

*Proof.* By induction on *n* we may assume  $G/H_1 \subseteq I_{G/H_1}(H_jH_1/H_1)$  for some *j*. Let  $l \ge 1$  be maximum such that

$$G/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l) \sim (H_l(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l))/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l),$$

for some  $t \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

If l = n, then the result follows. Assume for a contradiction l < n. Without loss of generality we can assume t = l + 1, so that  $G/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l) \sim (H_{l+1}(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l))/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l)$ . Write  $X = H_{l+1}(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l)$ , then  $X/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1}) = H_{l+1}/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1}) \times (H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_l)/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1})$ , by Lemma 3.1 and by induction, we have  $X/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1}) \sim ((H_s \cap X)(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1}))/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1})$  for some  $s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Thus  $G/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1}) \sim (H_s(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1}))/(H_1 \cap \ldots \cap H_{l+1})$  because  $G \sim X$ , contradicting the maximality of l.

COROLLARY 3.2(†). Let G be a group,  $H_1, \ldots, H_n$  subnormal subgroups of G such that  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_G(H_i)$ . Then  $G = I_G(H_j)$  for some  $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $m_i$  the subnormal defect of  $H_i$ , for any  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . We argue by induction on the sum of the  $m_i$ 's. By Theorem C,  $G = I_G(H_i^G)$  for some j. But  $H_j \triangleleft^{m_j-1} H_j^G$ , and  $H_i \cap H_j^G \triangleleft^{m_i} H_j^G$  for  $i \neq j$ . So  $H_j^G = I_{H_j^G}(H_i \cap H_j^G)$  for some i and  $G = I_G(H_i)$ , as required.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. A. Brodie, R. F. Chamberlain and L.-C. Kappe, Finite coverings by normal subgroups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104 no. 3 (1988), 669-674.

† The authors wish to thank Professor Howard Smith for this remark.

2. L.-C. Kappe, Finite coverings by 2-Engel groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 38 (1988), 141-150.

3. A. S. Kirkinskii, Intersection of finitely generated subgroups in metabelian groups, Algebra and Logic, 20 no. 1 (1981), 22–36 (Algebra i Logika, 37–54).

4. J. C. Lennox, Bigenetic properties of finitely generated hyper-(abelian-by-finite) groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 16 (1973), 309-315.

5. J. C. Lennox and J. Wiegold, Extension of a problem of Paul Erdös on groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A, 31 (1981), 459-463.

6. B. H. Neumann, Groups covered by permutable subsets, J. London Math. Soc., 29 (1954), 236-248.

7. A. H. Rhemtulla and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Isolators in soluble groups of finite rank, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 14 no. 2 (1984), 415–421.

8. D. J. S. Robinson, Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups, vol. I, II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

9. M. J. Tomkinson, Hypercentre-by-finite groups, Publ. Math. Debrecen 40 (1992), 313-321.

P. LONGOBARDI AND M. MAJ

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni Universita' degli Studi di Napoli via Cinthia, Monte S. Angelo 80126 Naples-Italy A. H. RHEMTULLA DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA EDMONTON, ALBERTA CANADA T6G 2G1