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Background

The aims of the present report are to provide an introductory overview of the aims, concepts and elements of the project reviewing the nutritional needs of children and adolescents in Europe, and to raise some general questions on the topic addressed.

The International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI) Europe (http://europe.ilsi.org) invited renowned experts in the field from across Europe to review current scientific information related to nutrition in healthy children and adolescents in Europe, and to identify current and future trends on children’s nutritional needs. This ILSI initiative was prompted by the observations that most current information regarding nutritional needs in the paediatric age group is on children under the age of 2 years, and that a number of controversial conclusions had been drawn on the nutritional needs and priorities of older children and adolescents. Therefore, the participants of this project tried to review available scientific information related to the nutrition of healthy children and adolescents in Europe. It is expected that these considerations will aid in establishing a broader consensus on dietary guidance for a healthy childhood and adolescence, in deriving sound conclusions on the nutritional status of this part of the population, and in developing improved strategies to meet their nutritional needs.

From the spring of 2001 until the summer of 2003, two expert groups collected and critically reviewed available data on two specific issues. One group, chaired by Dr Ann Prentice (Elsie Widdowson Laboratory, Cambridge, UK), performed the enormous task of compiling the existing dietary reference values on energy and nutrient intakes for childhood populations in Europe (Prentice et al. 2004). For each nutrient the members of the expert group assessed the availability of data, the scientific evidence for the nutrient recommendation, and possible explanations for the differences observed. In order to fulfil this task, the group had to come to agreement with respect to a number of technical aspects, such as standard age groups, definition of nutrient recommendations, methodological issues, conversion factors and others. The task of the second expert group, chaired by Dr Janet Lambert (Masterfoods, UK), was not any less demanding. This group collected and reviewed available data on dietary intakes and nutritional status in populations of children and adolescents in Europe, compiled the data into tables and tried to evaluate the information available (Lambert et al. 2004). The group also assessed the methodological approaches used to obtain such data and critically discussed the lack of reliability and comparability of much of the data, along with important intrinsic limitations such as the variety of different methodological approaches and databases for food composition that are used, subjects misreporting on diet intake, and others.

The extremely valuable reports of these two expert groups are published in this issue of British Journal of Nutrition, after having been reviewed and commented on by some 50 leading experts in the field who attended a scientific workshop organised by ILSI Europe and held in Rome in May 2003. Participants of the workshop highlighted discrepancies in dietary recommendations and reported dietary intakes between countries, explored possible explanations for these differences and their significance, highlighted methodological issues, identified specific areas where data are conflicting or lacking and where further research is needed, discussed the scientific background for definitions and uses of dietary recommendations, explored the relevance of an approach based primarily on physiological functions and health promotion of children and adolescents rather than on nutrients, and discussed potential future steps to be performed. The issues raised at the workshop have been considered and addressed as far as possible in the summaries published in this issue (Lambert et al. 2004; Prentice et al. 2004).

In addition, working groups were held at this workshop that focused on areas not commonly approached, including food habits and cultural traditions, food components with newly recognised physiological roles, fluid needs, and nutrient handling and bioavailability. Summaries of the outcomes of these working groups are also published in the present issue.

General aspects in defining population reference intakes for children and adolescents

A variety of different aspects must be considered if one aims at best fulfilling the nutritional needs of populations of children and adolescents. Obviously, a primary goal is
to meet the metabolic requirements for water, energy and nutrients. A refined definition of nutrient requirements has recently been proposed by the Committee on Nutrition of the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition:

‘The ideal definition of a physiological requirement is the amount and chemical form of a nutrient that is needed systematically to maintain normal health and development without disturbance of the metabolism of any other nutrient. The corresponding dietary requirement would be the intake sufficient to meet the physiological requirement:

Ideally this should be achieved without extreme homeostatic processes and excessive depletion or surplus in bodily depots.’

(Aggett et al. 1997)

Some specific aspects need to be taken into consideration when trying to define nutrient requirements, or reference intakes, for populations of children and adolescents. In contrast to adults, the nutrient supply for children and adolescents needs to cover not only the requirements for maintenance metabolism, obligate losses and physical activity. In addition, children have high and specific substrate needs for growth, which are particularly large during the phases of rapid growth in infancy, during the pre-school growth spurt and the pubertal growth spurt (Koletzko et al. 1998). In contrast to their high metabolic demands, children tend to have a more limited ability to compensate for unbalanced nutrient supplies than do adults by homeostatic adaptation, primarily because of their smaller body stores of nutrients. Moreover, the metabolic handling of various substrates differs with age and body size. Of further importance is the longer life expectancy of children, for whom there is thus a higher probability that the quantity and quality of nutrition will have relevant long-term effects on later well-being and health outcomes such as cardiovascular health, particularly since nutrition during the time of organ growth and differentiation in childhood has been shown to induce permanent imprinting effects on organ structure and function (Koletzko et al. 1998).

In contrast to the great relevance of the issue, the scientific database on which conclusions on nutrient requirements for children might be based is rather limited, as exemplified by an electronic literature search performed on 25 August 2003 by one of the authors (B. K.) in PubMed, National Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi). The search term ‘nutrient requirement’ limited to ‘clinical trial’ and ‘human’ revealed 176 hits for adults and fifty-three for newborn infants, but only twenty-one for pre-school children aged 2–5 years, twenty-nine for children aged 6–12 years, and forty-five for children and adolescents aged 13–18 years. Since the database for children and adolescents is limited and often inadequate as a basis for deriving evidence-based population reference intakes for different paediatric age groups, estimates of adequate nutrient intakes for children and adolescents are often extrapolated from values established for adults and for young infants, usually based on average data for body weight or body surface area (Scientific Committee on Food, 1993; Aggett et al. 1997). A similar approach of linear extrapolation is also frequently used to derive upper safe levels of nutrient intake, i.e. maximal nutrient intake levels at which untoward effects are unlikely, because again in most cases there is a far too limited scientific basis for a direct, evidence-based derivation of upper safe levels of nutrient intake for the different paediatric age groups. However, there is no reason to assume that such linear extrapolations would result in adequate levels of reference intakes or of upper safe levels of nutrient intakes. Major concerns on these shortcomings were recently emphasised by the Scientific Committee on Food of the European Community with regard to upper levels of intake:

‘The Committee recognises limitations in the methods available and in the approach it has used to extrapolate tolerable upper intake levels (UL) of nutrients from those established for adult populations to children, based solely on either body weight or on body surface area. For some nutrients, the Committee concluded that an extrapolation on the basis of body weight or body surface area would yield UL for children that were incompatible with known nutrient requirements and refrained from recommending UL for children. Physiological differences between adults and young children, especially at a young age, are both quantitative and qualitative in nature. Existing differences in substrate absorption, metabolism, deposition in tissues during growth, and renal or other excretion that may affect UL of nutrient intakes are not always closely related to body size. The Committee recommends this issue be reviewed in order to establish whether further refinements in the approach or further research are needed.’

(Scientific Committee on Food, 2003a)

It is evident that further systematic scientific research is urgently required to establish adequate data on the physiological nutrient requirements of children and adolescents (Aggett et al. 1997). The opportunity is there, because methodological progress has made less invasive or non-invasive approaches available that allow ethical investigations on a number of these issues in healthy children; for example, stable isotope breath tests for the estimation of amino acid requirements (Pencharz & Ball, 2003). Therefore, it would be feasible today to narrow many of the existing gaps in knowledge in this respect, if such research were given sufficient priority by both academic institutions and funding agencies.

Even though many of these data are still outstanding, numerous expert bodies have been asked to provide estimations of adequate nutrient provisions for populations of children and adolescents and have come up with estimates of appropriate intakes (Prentice et al. 2004). The values published for reference intakes of nutrients by the many different committees vary quite considerably, which appears to result partly from the lack of precise data on which to base them, and hence the need for some degree of subjective judgement in obtaining reference values, and partly from major differences in underlying concepts as to how one should arrive at reference values (Prentice et al. 2004). Also, the terminology used by various bodies differs: for example, for similar concepts the terms Population Reference Intakes (PRI; Scientific Committee on Food,
1993), Reference Values for Nutrient Intakes (RNI German Nutrition Society, 2003) and Dietary Reference Intakes (DRI; Institute of Medicine, 2003) are used in parallel, which has caused added confusion. The uses of such reference values are varied and include the comparison with and assessment of results of dietary surveys and food statistics, the provision of guidance on appropriate dietary composition or meal provision, and making policy decisions on supplementation strategies. However, inappropriate uses due to misunderstandings on the meaning of reference values and the underlying assumptions and statistical concepts are common. For example, many health professionals assume that reference intake values would provide a reliable basis for the specific advice they give on the feeding of individual children.

Reference intake values are also used as the basis for defining values for the labelling of nutrient content of foods, i.e. for deriving reference labelling values. Although it had previously been considered that estimated average requirements of populations might serve as the basis for establishing labelling (Scientific Committee on Food, 1993), the general consensus now is that population reference intake values, i.e. intakes expected to meet the nutrient needs of most if not all individuals within a population, are the appropriate basis for defining reference labelling values (Scientific Committee on Food, 2003b).

Given the multiple and widespread applications of population reference intake values, the current situation with not only differences in values but also major differences in underlying concepts and definitions between national recommendations is unfortunate, particularly if one considers that European nations are now rapidly growing closer with marked movement of people, information and goods across Europe. Therefore, steps towards achieving harmonisation appear timely. A concerted action of individual scientists, and of scientific societies and governmental agencies, from all parts of Europe that are involved in the scientific basis for and in the actual establishment of reference intake values could be helpful. Such a concerted action could try to move towards a consensus on concepts and approaches, definitions, age groups and other technicalities for the development of dietary reference values for children and adolescents, and on approaches for food-based dietary guidelines in children and adolescents, which might be of tremendous value in achieving a stepwise harmonisation of such recommendations. It should also be tried to harmonise on methodologies used for assessment of dietary intake and nutritional status in children and adolescents, to move towards arriving at better comparable information across Europe.

Meeting nutritional needs of children and adolescents: prevention of obesity

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents has risen greatly worldwide during the past two decades, with a combined prevalence of childhood overweight and obesity reaching 20% in many European countries (Ebbeling et al. 2002; Koletzko et al. 2002; see Fig. 1). This most worrying development is obviously the result of adverse environmental and life-style factors. Although genetic disposition and early metabolic programming modulate the risk for development of obesity (von Kries et al. 1999; O’Rahilly et al. 2003), the prerequisite for becoming obese is an imbalance between energy expenditure, modulated primarily by physical activity, and energy intake from foods and drinks (Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation, 2003). Physical activity levels in European children have tended to decrease, with an increase of sedentary behaviour such as television watching, where frequent television viewing is associated with higher obesity prevalence (Kalies et al. 2001).

At the same time, marked changes in eating culture and behaviour have occurred at an extremely rapid pace (Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation, 2003). The wide availability and frequent consumption of energy-dense foods and drinks, such as foods with high fat content, have been associated with the increase in obesity prevalence in children (Koletzko et al. 2002), and some experts have considered possible benefits of banning specific food products or imposing fiscal or other restrictions. However, it is not
clear whether such prohibitive measures would be effective in combating childhood obesity. Therefore, we assessed the frequency of consumption of food items that are often considered to be associated with the development of overweight and obesity with self-administered questionnaires. These questionnaires were given out at the obligatory health examination held in Bavaria prior to school entry, in the city of Ingolstadt with a high population density (847 inhabitants/km²), in the outskirts of the city of Augsburg (214 inhabitants/km²) and in four rural areas (Miesbach, Günzburg, Kitzingen and areas surrounding Regensburg; all with < 200 inhabitants/km²). We received and evaluated informative questionnaires for 6862 Bavarian children aged 5 and 6 years, which had been completed mostly by the mother only (81 %) or by both parents (16 %). The analysis of the data revealed that children with overweight, defined as BMI > 90th percentile, did not show a more frequent consumption of chocolate, sugared drinks, cake, and chips, peanuts and cookies (Fig. 2) than children with normal weight. Thus, the development of overweight appears to be caused by far more complex factors than only the simple frequency of

Fig. 1. Rapidly increasing prevalence of (a) overweight (BMI > 90th percentile) and (b) obesity (BMI > 97th percentile) among children entering primary school in Bavaria (Germany) between 1982 and 1997. Overweight prevalence rises by 0·3 % annually (P = 0·001), obesity prevalence by 0·1 % annually (P = 0·004). (Drawn from data of Kalies et al. 2002.)

Fig. 2. We assessed the frequency of consumption of food items often considered to be associated with the development of overweight and obesity by self-administered questionnaires at the obligatory health examination prior to school entry in 6862 Bavarian children aged 5 and 6 years from three rural regions. Overweight children (BMI > 90th percentile) did not show more frequent consumption of (a) chocolate, (b) sugared drinks, (c) cake and (d) chips, peanuts and cookies than normal-weight children (corrected for missing values).
consumption of single food items, and regulatory restrictions on selected food items may not be a suitable tool to combat the obesity epidemic.

However, the eating habits of childhood populations are presently undergoing marked changes. Eating embedded in traditional social contexts and cultural traditions, such as family meals at regular times with home-made foods prepared according to regional customs, is rapidly being replaced by individual consumption of prepared foods, for example by snacking on the go and in the street, on the bus, or in front of the television. In the USA, the proportion of household expenses spent on meals prepared outside the home, including foods consumed in restaurants and food outlets as well as take-out foods, was 28% of households’ food expenditure in 1993 and had almost doubled by 2002 (C Williams, unpublished results). This shift away from meals to snacks, and from at-home consumption to away-from-home consumption, has been associated with an increase in total energy intake over the past twenty years, with similar changes across all age groups (Nielsen et al. 2002). The consequences of these marked changes of eating behaviour may be particularly dramatic for children, because food preferences and especially intake patterns develop early in life and show long-term tracking (Kelder et al. 1994; Lauer et al. 1997; Dietz, 1998; Spruijt-Metz et al. 2002). Thus, major changes in food habits and in the culture of eating acquired in childhood may have important long-term health and economic consequences.

During the same time period, the portion sizes of prepared foods have increased markedly. Trends in food portion sizes have been assessed from nationally representative data on 63,380 individuals aged 2 years and older from the Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1977–1978) and the Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (1989–1991, 1994–1996 and 1998) in the USA (Nielsen & Popkin, 2003). For each survey year, the average portion size consumed of specific food items (salty snacks, desserts, soft drinks, fruit drinks, French fries, hamburgers, cheeseburgers, pizza, and Mexican food) was assessed by eating location (home, restaurant or fast food). The results indicate that portion sizes vary by food source, with the largest portions consumed at fast-food establishments and the smallest at other restaurants.

Between 1977 and 1996, food portion sizes increased both inside and outside the home for all categories except pizza. The energy intake from salty snacks increased by 389 kJ, soft drinks by 205 kJ, hamburgers by 406 kJ, French fries by 285 kJ, and Mexican food by 557 kJ in only two decades (Fig. 3).

Such changes in portion size will affect total energy intake in children. Orlet Fisher et al. (2003) evaluated energy intake, bite size and comments about portion size in thirty children at two series of lunches in which either an age-appropriate portion or a large portion of an entree was served. Doubling an age-appropriate portion of an entree increased entree and total energy intakes at lunch by 25% and 15%, respectively. Changes were attributable to increases in the average size of the children’s bites of the entree without compensatory decreases in the intake of other foods served at the meal. These increases were seen even though observational data indicated that the children were largely unaware of changes in portion size. Greater responsiveness to portion size was associated with higher levels of overeating. Large food portions may constitute an ‘obesigenic’ environmental influence for children. Therefore, the marketing of foods with appropriate portion sizes may contribute significantly to appropriately meeting the current nutritional needs of children and adolescents.

Conclusions

Meeting the nutritional needs of children and adolescents is of utmost importance to support their growth and development, and their short- and long-term health, wellbeing and performance. Thus, to meet the nutritional needs of the young generations is of very high priority for societies at large. Current reference values for nutrient intakes vary widely in different parts of Europe, partly due to severe limitations in the available scientific knowledge on nutrient requirements, and partly due to major differences in underlying concepts, definitions and terminology. The available data on dietary intakes and nutritional status in populations of children and adolescents in Europe allow only limited conclusions, primarily because of a lack of consensus on methodological approaches used. Steps towards achieving harmonisation are urgently needed.

![Fig. 3. Trends in food portion sizes from specific food items, assessed by eating location (home, restaurant or fast food), from nationally representative data on 63,380 individuals aged 2 years and older between 1977 and 1998 in the USA. Between 1977 and 1996, food portion sizes increased markedly both inside and outside the home for all categories except pizza. (From Nielsen & Popkin, 2003.)](https://www.cambridge.org/core/doi/10.1079/BJN20041168)
at a time when Western, Central and Eastern European nations rapidly grow more closely together. Dietary studies of food and nutrient intakes across Europe using agreed and validated methodology would be of great value. A concerted action of scientists, scientific societies and governmental agencies from all parts of Europe is required to move towards a consensus on concepts and approaches, definitions, age groups and other technicalities. Such an initiative might be of tremendous value in achieving a stepwise harmonisation of nutrient recommendations as well as data on diets and nutritional status.

Major efforts are also needed to address the health risks arising from not meeting the nutritional needs of a large number of European children at present. In particular, the epidemic increase in the prevalence and severity of childhood obesity at a young age requires urgent attention. Not only the observed trend to a decrease of regular physical activity, but also major changes in food habits, eating behaviour, and the types and amounts of foods consumed are of major concern. Constructive collaboration between academia, health professionals, governmental and public health agencies, and industry is needed to combat this major threat for the health, productivity and overall well-being of the European population.
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