Decennial International Conference on Nosocomial and Healthcare-Associated Infections; March 5-9, 2000; Atlanta, GA.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and control of influenza: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 2003;52(RR-8):1-36.
- Elder AG, O'Donnell B, McCruden EAB, Symington IA, Carman WF. Incidence and recall of influenza in a cohort of Glasgow HCWs during the 1993-4 epidemic: results of serum testing and questionnaire. *BMJ* 1996;313:1241-1242.
- Weingarten S, Reidinger M, Bolton L, Miles P, Ault M. Barriers to influenza vaccine acceptance: a survey of physicians and nurses. Am J Infect Control 1989;17:201-207.
- Pachucki CT, Pappas SA, Fuller GF, Krause SL, Lentino JR, Schaaff DM. Influenza A among hospital personnel and patients: implications for recognition, prevention and control. *Arch Intern Med* 1989;149:77-80.
- Bridges CB, Kuehnert M, Hall CB. Transmission of influenza: implications for control in health care settings. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003;37:1094-1101.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for prevention of nosocomial pneumonia: recommendations of the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR 1997;46:1-79.
- Wilde JA, McMillan JA, Serwint J, Butta J, O'Riordan MA, Steinhoff MC. Effectiveness of influenza vaccine in health care professionals. *JAMA* 1999;10:908-913.
- Carman WF, Elder AG, Wallace LA, et al. Effects of influenza vaccination of health-care workers on mortality of elderly people in long-term care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2000;355:93-97.
- 21. Potter J, Stott DJ, Roberts MA, et al. Influenza vaccination of health

care workers in long-term care hospitals reduces the mortality of elderly patients. *J Infect Dis* 1997;175:1-6.

- French National Committee for Hygiene. Vaccination calendar 2000. Weekly Epidemiology Bulletin 2002;6:1-6.
- Adal KA, Flowers RH, Anglim AM, et al. Prevention of nosocomial influenza. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1996;17:641-648.
- Harrison J, Abbott P. Vaccination against influenza: UK health care workers not on-message. Occup Med 2002;52:277-279.
- Doebbeling BN, Edmond MB, Davis CS, Woodin JR, Zeitler RR. Influenza vaccination of health care workers: evaluation of factors that are important in acceptance. *Prev Med* 1997;26:68-77.
- Fedson DS. Influenza vaccination of medical resident at the university of Virginia 1986 to 1994. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1996;17:431-433.
- Harbarth S, Siegrist CA, Schira JC, Wunderli W, Pittet D. Influenza immunization: improving compliance of healthcare workers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1998;19:337-342.
- Begue RE, Gee SQ. Improving influenza immunization among HCWs. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1998;19:518-520.
- Heimberger T, Chang H, Saikh M, Crotty L, Morse D, Birkhead G. Knowledge and attitudes of HCWs about influenza: why are they not getting vaccinated? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1995;16:412-415.
- Nafziger DA, Herwaldt LA. Attitudes of internal medicine residents regarding influenza vaccination. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1994;15:32-35.
- Ohrt CK, McKinney WP. Achieving compliance with influenza immunization of medical house staff and students: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1992;267:1377-1380.
- Shannon SC. Community hospitals can increase staff influenza vaccination rates. Am J Public Health 1993;83:1174-1175.

## Medical News

EDITED BY GINA PUGLIESE, RN, MS; MARTIN S. FAVERO, PHD

## Electronic Monitoring Improves Hand Hygiene and Reduces ICU Infection

Swoboda and colleagues from Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore reported on a study to determine whether electronic monitoring of hand hygiene and voice prompts could improve hand hygiene and decrease nosocomial infection rates in a surgical intermediate care unit. Three-phase quasi-experimental designs were used in a nine-room, 14-bed intermediate care unit in a tertiary-care hospital. Phase I was electronic monitoring and direct observation; phase II was electronic monitoring and computerized voice prompts for failure to perform hand hygiene on room exit; and phase III was electronic monitoring only. All patient rooms, the utility room, and the staff lavatory were monitored electronically. Participants were all healthcare personnel including physicians, nurses, nursing support personnel, and ancillary staff, all visitors and family members, and any other personnel interacting with patients on the intermediate care unit. All patients with an intermediate care unit length of stay greater than 48 hours were observed for nosocomial infection. Electronic monitoring was done during all phases and computerized voice prompts during phase II only. Evaluation was performed on a total of 283,488 electronically monitored entries into a patient room with 251,526 exits for 420 days (10,080 hours and 3,549 patient-days). Compared with phase I, hand

hygiene compliance in patient rooms improved 37% during phase II (odds ratio, 1.38; 95% confidence interval, 1.04-1.83) and 41% in phase III (odds ratio, 1.41; 95% confidence interval, 1.07-1.84). On adjustment for patient admissions during each phase, point estimates of nosocomial infections decreased by 22% during phase II and 48% during phase III; on adjustment for patient-days, the number of infections decreased by 10% during phase II and 40% during phase III. Although the overall rate of nosocomial infections significantly decreased when combining phases II and III, the association between nosocomial infection and individual phase was not significant. The authors concluded that electronic monitoring provided effective ongoing feedback about hand hygiene compliance. During both the voice prompt phase and the post-intervention phase, hand hygiene compliance and nosocomial infection rates improved, suggesting that ongoing monitoring and feedback had both a short-term and, perhaps, a longer-term effect.

FROM: Swoboda SM, Earsing K, Strauss K, Lane S, Lipsett PA. Electronic monitoring and voice prompts improve hand hygiene and decrease nosocomial infections in an intermediate care unit. *Crit Care Med* 2004;32:358-363.