# Studying structure formation and evolution with strong-lensing galaxy groups

Gaël Foëx<sup>1</sup>, Veronica Motta<sup>1</sup>, Marceau Limousin<sup>2</sup>, Tomas Verdugo<sup>3</sup> and Fabio Gastaldello<sup>4</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, Avda. Gran Bretaña 1111, Valparaíso, Chile email: gael.foex@uv.cl

<sup>2</sup>Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille UMR 7326, 13388, Marseille, France

> <sup>3</sup>Centro de Investigaciones de Astronomía, AP 264, Mérida 5101-A, Venezuela

<sup>4</sup>INAF - IASF Milano, via E. Bassini 15, I-20133 Milano, Italy

**Abstract.** We present the analysis of a sample of strong-lensing galaxy group candidates. Our main findings are: confirmation of group-scale systems, complex light distributions, presence of large-scale structures in their surroundings, and evidence of a strong-lensing bias in the mass-concentration relation. We also report the detection of the first 'Bullet group'.

Keywords. Dark matter, gravitational lensing, galaxy groups.

## 1. The SARCS sample

The Strong Lensing Legacy Survey (SL2S, Cabanac *et al.* 2007) is a semi-automated search of strong-lensing systems on the full Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CHFTLS). Using the ARCFINDER algorithm, More *et al.* (2012) compiled the SL2S-ARCS sample (SARCS) made of group- and cluster-scale lens candidates. Basically, ARCFINDER searches for elongated and contiguous features of pixels above a given intensity threshold, and tags the most promising features as arc candidates according to their width, length, area, and curvature. Roughly 1000 candidates/deg<sup>2</sup> were found, which were then inspected visually to reduce the sample to 413 systems (~ 2.75 candidates/deg<sup>2</sup>). The most promising candidates with an arc radius  $R_A \gtrsim 2$ " were kept, leading to a total of 127 objects (More at al., 2012). The sample spans a redshift range  $z \in [0.2-1.2]$  and peaks at  $z \sim 0.5$ . The SARCS distribution of image separation is located between the galaxy-scale SLACS sample and the massive cluster MACS sample, thus corresponding mostly to groups and poor clusters of galaxies.

## 2. Weak-lensing and optical analyses

For each SARCS candidate, we the fitted the measured shear profile by the singular isothermal sphere (SIS) mass model to estimate the velocity dispersion  $\sigma_v$ . We also constructed luminosity maps using the bright galaxies populating the red sequence. We combined these two analyses to build a sample of 80 most secure lens candidates, characterized by a positive weak-lensing detection ( $\sigma_v - \sigma > 0$ ) and a clear light over-density associated to the strong-lensing feature (Foëx *et al.* 2013). With this reduced sample, we investigated the optical scaling relations of strong-lensing galaxy groups. Despite a large scatter (up to 35%), we found correlations between the SIS  $\sigma_v$  and the optical richness and luminosities. We combined the SARCS sample with a sample of massive galaxy clusters (Foëx *et al.*, 2012) to derive scaling laws consistent with the expectations of the hierarchical model of structure formation and evolution.

The morphological study of the luminosity maps revealed that a significant fraction of groups present a complex light distribution: ~ 42% with highly-elongated luminosity contours, ~ 16% with a multimodal structure (Foëx *et al.*, 2013). These results suggest that galaxy groups are dynamically-young objects, a picture consistent with a temporary stage towards the formation of more massive clusters. We also inspected the groups' luminosity map at larger scales. We found 10 systems with crowded environments made of several light over-densities not randomly distributed, suggesting the presence of large-scale filamentary structures (Foëx *et al.*, in prep.).

In a second paper (Foëx *et al.*, 2014), we performed a stacked weak-lensing analysis to constrain the c(M) mass-concentration relation of strong lenses. We found an average concentration  $c_{200} = 8.6 \pm 1.8$  for an average  $M_{200} = (0.73 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{14} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ , a concentration in disagreement at the  $3\sigma$  level with the predictions from numerical simulations (Duffy *et al.*, 2008). We combined our composite strong lenses with massive strong-lensing galaxy clusters to derive the c(M) over nearly two decades in mass. We found a relation much steeper than expected, resulting from projections effects of highly-elongated haloes with a major axis close to the line of sight.

#### 3. The 'Bullet Group'

A deeper investigation of the group SL2S J08544-0121 revealed a separation of  $124\pm20$  kpc between the X-ray emission peak and the mass centers of this bi-modal system (Gastaldello *et al.* 2014). Such a separation between the collisional gas and the collisionless galaxies and dark matter is characteristic of merging systems in the plan of the sky, as the so-called 'Bullet Cluster'. The estimated mass of the system is  $M_{200} = (2.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{14} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$  from a M-T scaling relation, and  $M_{200} = (2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{14} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$  from the weak-lensing analysis, which makes it the lowest mass bullet-like object found to date. We used this 'Bullet Group' to derive an upper limit on the dark matter self-interaction cross-section of  $10 \,\mathrm{cm^2 g^{-1}}$ . We showed in a parallel study based on numerical simulations (Fernandez-Trincado *et al.* 2014) that bullet groups are more numerous than massive bullet clusters. Therefore, with this first detection of a low-mass bullet-like system, we prove the possibility of using galaxy groups to perform a statistical study of the dark matter cross-section.

G.F. acknowledges funds from FONDECYT grant #3120160. V.M. acknowledges funds from FONDECYT grant #1120741. GF, VM, ML acknowledge funds from ECOS-CONICYT C12U02.

#### References

Cabanac, R., et al. 2007, A&A, 461, 813
Duffy, A. R., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 390, 64
Gastaldello, F., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 76
Fernandez-Trincado, J. G., et al. 2014, ApJ, 787, 34
Foëx, G., et al. 2012, A&A, 546, 106
Foëx, G., et al. 2013, A&A, 559, 105
Foëx, G., et al. 2014, ArXiv, 1409.5905
More, A., et al. 2012, ApJ, 749, 38