# ON REPRESENTATIONS AS A SUM OF CONSECUTIVE INTEGERS

### W. J. LEVEQUE

1. Introduction. It is the object of this paper to investigate the function  $\gamma(m)$ , the number of representations of m in the form

(1) 
$$(r+1) + (r+2) + \ldots + s$$
,

where  $s > r \ge 0$ . It is shown that  $\gamma(m)$  is always equal to the number of odd divisors of m, so that for example  $\gamma(2^k) = 1$ , this representation being the number  $2^k$  itself. From this relationship the average order of  $\gamma(m)$  is deduced; this result is given in Theorem 2. By a method due to Kac [2], it is shown in §3 that the number of positive integers  $m \le n$  for which  $\gamma(m)$  does not exceed a rather complicated function of n and  $\omega$ , a real parameter, is asymptotically  $nD(\omega)$ , where  $D(\omega)$  is the probability integral

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\omega} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx.$$

In §4, these theorems are extended to  $\gamma(m, s)$ , the number of representations of m as the sum of positive consecutive terms in any of the s arithmetic progressions having constant difference s.

## 2. The average order of $\gamma(m)$ . First we prove

THEOREM 1.  $\gamma(m) = \tau(\overline{m})$  where  $\tau(u)$  is the number of divisors of u and  $m = 2^{\alpha-1}\overline{m}, \overline{m}$  odd.

For by (1) we have

$$m = \frac{s^2 + s}{2} - \frac{r^2 + r}{2}$$
,  $2m = (s - r)(s + r + 1)$ .

Putting s - r = n, this gives

$$2m = n(n+2r+1).$$

Since *n* and n + 2r + 1 have opposite parity, and since  $n < (2m)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\gamma(m)$  is the number of ways of writing 2m as the product of an even and an odd number. That is,

$$\gamma(m) = \sum_{\substack{n \mid \overline{m} \\ n < (2m)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{2m/n \mid \overline{m} \\ 2m/n > (2m)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} 1 = \sum_{d \mid \overline{m}} 1 = \tau(m).$$

THEOREM 2. The average order of  $\gamma(m)$  is  $\frac{1}{2} \log m$ ; more precisely,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\gamma(m) = \frac{1}{2}\log n + \frac{2C + \log 2 - 1}{2} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where C is Euler's constant.

Received April 1, 1949.

399

For let *l* be the unique integer such that  $2^{l} \leq n < 2^{l+1}$ . Then by Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma(m) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \tau(\overline{m})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n \ge 1 \\ m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \tau(m) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le m \le n \\ m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}}} \tau(m/2) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le m \le n \\ m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}}} \tau(m/4) + \dots$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{1 \le m \le n \\ m \equiv 2^l \pmod{2^l + 1}}} \tau(m/2^l)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{(n-1)/2} \tau(2r+1) + \sum_{r=0}^{(n-2)/4} \tau(2r+1) + \dots$$

$$+ \sum_{r=0}^{(n-2^l)/2^{l+1}} \tau(2r+1),$$

and since  $l = \left[\frac{\log n}{\log 2}\right]$  this is

(2) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma(m) = \sum_{t=0}^{(\log n)/\log 2} \sum_{r=0}^{2^{-t}n-1} \tau(2r+1).$$

We estimate the sum

$$\sum_{r=0}^{(w-1)/2} \tau(2r+1)$$

by counting the "odd" lattice points (x, y), i.e., those with both coordinates odd, for which  $0 < xy \le w$ . (For a full account of this kind of reasoning, see Hardy and Wright, [1], p. 263). We put

$$u = 2[\frac{1}{2}w^{\frac{1}{2}}] + 1$$

and obtain

$$\sum_{r=0}^{(w-1)/2} \tau(2r+1) = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{(u-1)/2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{w}{2s+1} \right) \right] - \frac{(u-1)^2}{4} + O(1)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} w \log w + \frac{2C+2\log 2 - 1}{4} w + O(w^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

Putting this estimate in (2), we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma(m) = \sum_{t=0}^{(\log n)/\log 2} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \frac{n \log (n/2^t)}{2^t} + \frac{2C + 2 \log 2 - 1}{4} \frac{n}{2^t} + O(2^{-\frac{1}{2}t}n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right\}$$
$$= \frac{n \log n}{2} + \frac{2C + \log 2 - 1}{2} n + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and this completes the proof.

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1950-036-3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

...

## 3. A density theorem concerning $\gamma(m)$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $\omega$  be a real number, and let  $s_n(\omega)$  be the number of positive integers  $m \leq n$  for which

$$\gamma(m) \leqslant 2^{\log \log n + \omega (\log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1} = f(n, \omega).$$

Then

$$s_n(\omega) \sim nD(\omega).$$

The proof of this is quite similar to that given by Kac [2] in proving that the number of  $m \leq n$  for which  $\tau(m) \leq 2f(n, \omega)$  is asymptotic to  $nD(\omega)$ .

4. Representations in arithmetic progressions. We now turn our attention to  $\gamma_1(m, s)$ , the number of representations of m of the form

(3) 
$$m = r + (r + s) + \ldots + \{r + (k - 1)s\}.$$

Although it was natural in the case s = 1 to restrict attention to positive representations (i.e., with r > 0), it turns out in the general case that this condition introduces complications. For this reason we shall consider separately the quantity  $\gamma_1(m, s)$  and the quantity  $\gamma(m, s)$ , the number of positive representations of m in the form (3). In either case it is required that

(4) 
$$2m = k \{ 2r + (k-1) s \}.$$

THEOREM 4.  $\gamma_1(m, s) = \tau(m)$  if  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , and  $\gamma_1(m, s) = 2 \tau(\overline{m})$  if  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

For if s is even, say  $s = 2s_1$ , then  $\gamma_1(m, s)$  is the number of solutions k, r (k > 0) of

$$m = k(r + (k - 1)s_1),$$

and k can clearly be any divisor of m. If s is odd, then k and 2r + (k - 1)s are of opposite parity, so that

$$\gamma_1(m, s) = \sum_{k \mid \overline{m}} 1 + \sum_{2m/k \mid \overline{m}} 1 = 2\tau(\overline{m}).$$

For example,

$$\gamma_1(6,1) = 4$$
:  $6 = 1 + 2 + 3 = (-5) + (-4) + \ldots + 4 + 5 + 6$   
=  $0 + 1 + 2 + 3$ ;

and

$$\gamma_1(6,2) = 4$$
:  $6 = 2 + 4 = 0 + 2 + 4 = (-4) + (-2) + 0 + 2 + 4 + 6$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 2 and 4, and the fact that the average order of  $\tau(m)$  is  $\log m + 2C - 1 + O(m^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  ([1], *loc. cit.*), we have

THEOREM 5.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma_1(m, s) = \begin{cases} \log n + (2C - 1) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \log n + \frac{1}{2}(2C - 1 + \log 2) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

We now put on the restriction r > 0. Then by (4), k must be chosen so that

$$k(k-1) \ s < 2m_s$$

or

$$k < \frac{1 + (1 + 8m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}.$$

But

$$\left(\frac{2m}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{1 + (1 + 8m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} < \left(\frac{2m}{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1,$$

so that we will make an error of not more than 1 if, in computing  $\gamma(m, s)$ , we count the number of suitable k's which do not exceed  $(2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus by the argument used in proving Theorem 4, we find that if  $s = 2s_1$  is even,

$$\gamma(m, s) = \sum_{\substack{k \mid \overline{m} \\ k \leq (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} 1 + \epsilon(m, s) = \tau(m, (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \epsilon(m, s),$$

where  $\tau(m, x)$  is the number of divisors of m which do not exceed x, and  $\epsilon(m, s)$  is either 0 or 1. We put

$$A(n, x) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \gamma(m, s).$$

Then all those lattice points on the hyperbola xy = m for which  $x \leq (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are counted in the sum  $\sum_{1}^{n} \tau(m, (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , and by considering all positive *m* not exceeding *n*, we see that this sum is exactly the number of lattice points in the region  $0 < xy \leq n, y \geq \frac{1}{2} sx$ . Counting along vertical lines, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{m=1}^{n} \tau(m, (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \sum_{x=1}^{(2n/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{n}{x} \right] - \frac{sx}{2} + 1 \right\} = n \sum_{x=1}^{(2n/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{x} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{s}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{(2n/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} x + \left[ \left( \frac{2n}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right] \\ &= n \left\{ \log \left( \frac{2n}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \right\} - \frac{s}{4} \left\{ \left[ \left( \frac{2n}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{2} + \left[ \left( \frac{2n}{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\} + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \log n + n \left( C - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}). \end{split}$$

As for the sum  $\sum_{1}^{n} \epsilon(m, s)$ , it does not exceed the number of lattice points on the curves  $xy = m \leq n$  for which

$$(2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}} < x \leq (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1,$$

i.e., the number of lattice points in the bounded region enclosed by the hyperbolas xy = n,  $(x - 1)^2 s = 2xy$  and the line  $l_1: y = \frac{1}{2}sx$ . But the second of these hyperbolas is asymptotic to the line  $l_2: y = \frac{1}{2}s(x - 1)$ . Let the inter-

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1950-036-3 Published online by Cambridge University Press

402

sections of  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  with xy = n be  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  respectively, and let the chord joining these points be  $l_3$ . Then the sum in question is less than the number of lattice points in the triangle with vertices at (0, 0),  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$ , plus the number of lattice points in the triangle with vertices at (0, 0),  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  and the intersection of  $l_2$  with the x-axis. This follows since  $l_3$  is always above the curve xy = n. But it is easy to see that the number of lattice points in a triangle does not exceed one more than the sum of its area and perimeter. Hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \epsilon(m, s) < \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2c_0/s \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 \end{vmatrix} + (x_1^2 + y_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2(x_2^2 + y_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2c_0/s \\ + \{(x_2 - 2c_0/s)^2 + y_2^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Substituting the values  $x_1 = (2n/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $y_1 = (sn/2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{1}{2} \{ (8n/s + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \}$  $y_2 = n/x_2$ , it is easily verified that this upper bound is  $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .

We have thus shown that in case s is even,

(5) 
$$A(n,s) = \frac{n}{2}\log n + \frac{n}{2}\left(2C - \log\frac{s}{2} - 1\right) + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

On the other hand, if  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , then in (4) either k is even, in which case it contains the highest power  $2^a$  of 2 which divides 2m and is such that r is positive, or k is odd, with r again positive. Hence

$$\begin{split} \gamma(m,s) &= \sum_{\substack{k \mid \overline{m} \\ k \leqslant (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 2^{\alpha}k_1 \leqslant (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \end{array}} \frac{1 + \epsilon(m,s)}{2^{\alpha}k_1 \leqslant (2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \tau(\overline{m}, (2^{\alpha}\overline{m}/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \tau(\overline{m}, (2^{-\alpha}\overline{m}/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \epsilon(m,s), \end{split}$$

where  $\epsilon(m, s)$ , as before, is the error made in assuming that for r to be positive k must not exceed  $(2m/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , rather than the actual upper bound. Since the bound for  $\sum_{1}^{n} \epsilon(m, s)$  which we just computed did not depend on the parity of s, it holds also for odd s:

(6) 
$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \epsilon(m, s) = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

We have

$$A(n, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \tau(\overline{m}, (2^{\alpha}\overline{m}/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \tau(\overline{m}, (2^{-\alpha}\overline{m}/s)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{m=1}^{n} \epsilon(m, s)$$
  
=  $A_1 + A_2 + A_3$ ,

say. Summing over m's containing the same power of 2, we get

$$A_{1} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{(\log n)/\log 2} \sum_{r=1}^{2^{-\lambda}n-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(2r+1, \{2^{\lambda}(2r+1)/s\}^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

The sum

$$\sum_{r=0}^{(s-1)/2} \tau(2r+1, c^{\frac{1}{2}}(2r+1)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

is the number of lattice points on the hyperbolas

$$xy = 2r + 1,$$
  $r = 0, 1, ..., \frac{1}{2}(z - 1)$ 

for which  $x \leq c^{\frac{1}{2}} (2r+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , i.e., for which  $x \leq cy$ . This is the number of odd lattice points in this region, which is

$$\sum_{x=0}^{t} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{2x+1} + 1 \right) \right] - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2x+1}{c} + 1 \right) \right] + \delta(x) \right\},\$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is 0 or 1 and

$$t = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left\{c^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(2\left[\frac{z-1}{2}\right]+1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right\}\right] \sim \frac{(cz)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}.$$

But this sum is equal to

$$\frac{z}{2} \sum_{x=0}^{t} \frac{1}{2x+1} + O(t) - \frac{1}{2c} \sum_{x=0}^{t} (2x+1) + O(t)$$
$$= \frac{z}{4} \log \left\{ c \left( 2 \left[ \frac{z-1}{2} \right] + 1 \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{z}{4} \left( C + \log 2 \right) + O(z^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \frac{t^2}{2c} + O(t),$$

so that

(7) 
$$\sum_{r=0}^{(s-1)/2} \tau(2r+1, c^{\frac{1}{2}}(2r+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{z \log z}{8} + \frac{z}{4} \left(C + \log 2 + \log c^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{z}{8} + O(z^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{(\log n)/\log 2} \left\{ \frac{n}{2^{\lambda-1}} \frac{1}{8} \log \frac{n}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{n}{2^{\lambda}} \frac{1}{4} \left( C + \log 2 + \log \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda}}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &- \frac{n}{8 \cdot 2^{\lambda-1}} + O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-1)}}\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{n \log n}{8} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\log n} \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{n}{8} \log 2 \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\log n} \frac{\lambda - 1}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{n}{4} \left( C + \log 2 \right) \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\log n} \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} \\ &+ \frac{n \log 2}{8} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\log n} \frac{\lambda}{2^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{n \log s}{8} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\log n} \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} - \frac{n}{8} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\log n} \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1}} + O\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{n \log n}{4} + n \left( \frac{C}{2} + \frac{\log 2}{2} - \frac{\log s}{4} \right) - \frac{n}{4} - \frac{n \log 2}{4} \\ &+ \frac{n \log 2}{4} + O\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \end{split}$$

404

and finally

(8) 
$$A_1 = \frac{n \log n}{4} + n \left( \frac{C + \log 2}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\log s}{4} \right) + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Turning now to  $A_3$ , we have

$$A_{3} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{(\log n)/\log 2} \sum_{r=0}^{2^{-\lambda_{n-\frac{1}{2}}}} \tau\left(2r+1, \left(\frac{2r+1}{2^{\lambda_{s}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

and using (7) with  $z = n/2^{\lambda-1}$ ,  $c = s/2^{\lambda}$ , we have

$$A_{2} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{(\log n)/\log 2} \left\{ \frac{n}{8 \cdot 2^{\lambda-1}} \log \frac{n}{2^{\lambda-1}} + \frac{n}{4 \cdot 2^{\lambda-1}} \left( C + \log 2 + \log (2^{\lambda} s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \frac{n}{4 \cdot 2^{\lambda-1}} + O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-1)}}\right) \right\}$$
$$= \frac{n \log n}{4} + n \left( \frac{C}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\log s}{4} \right) + O(n^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Combining this with (5), (6) and (8), we have

THEOREM 6. For every s,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\gamma(m,s) = \frac{1}{2}\log n + \left(C - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Theorem 2 is, of course, the special case of Theorem 6 with s = 1.

#### References

- [1] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers (Oxford, 1945).
- M. Kac, Note on the distribution of values of the arithmetic function d(m), Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 47 (1941), 815-817.

University of Michigan