ON THE DISCRIMINANT $x^{\prime} A x \cdot y^{\prime} A y-\left(x^{\prime} A y\right)^{2}$.
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1. Let $x, y$ be column matrices of $n$ real homogeneous coordinates $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$ representing points in $(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{l})-$ dimensional real projective space $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}-1$. Let A be an $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ real symmetric matrix. The equation $x^{\prime} A x=0$ represents a quadric in $P_{n-1}$ and the equation

$$
x^{\prime} A x y^{\prime} A y-\left(x^{\prime} A y\right)^{2}=0
$$

represents in variable $y$ the tangential cone with $x$ as vertex, a pair of straight lines if $n=3$. The left-hand difference may be written as a quadratic form in y, viz. y'Sy, whose matrix

$$
S=x^{\prime} A x \cdot A-A x x^{\prime} A
$$

is seen to be singular since $S x=0$. (As to the notation see [1].) Moreover if $A$ is regular and $x^{\prime} A x \neq 0$, then the equation $S z=0$ has no linearly independent solution except $z=x$; thus $S$ has rank n-1.

If $A$ is positive definite, then it represents an imaginary quadric and by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime} A x-y^{\prime} A y-\left(x^{\prime} A y\right)^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$, $y$, with the sign of equality if and only if the two points $x$ and $y$ in $P_{n-1}$ coincide. Thus there is no real tangential cone to this quadric, which fact may be expressed by saying that every real point $x$ is an inner point of the imaginary quadric.

From now on let A denote a regular real symmetric matrix and let $x$ be a fixed point in $P_{n-1}$ such that $x^{\prime} A x>0$. It will be shown that the following two properties of $A$ are equivalent:
(i) A is of the congruence type $[+,-, \ldots,-]$, i.e. $A$ has the signature $2-\mathrm{n}$;
(ii) y'Sy $\leqslant 0$ for all $y$ (i.e. $S$ is non-positively semi-definite) equality holding if and only if the points $x$ and $y$ coincide.
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The fact that (ii) follows from (i) has been pointed out recently by J. Aczel [2]. The inequality $y^{\prime} S y<0$ indicates that those points x for which $\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ax}>0$ are the inner points of the quadric $A$ because they are not vertices of tangential cones.
2. For the proof it will be sufficient to assume $A$ in its congruence normal form. In the positive definite case this is the unit matrix $E$ so that $S=x^{\prime} x \cdot E-x x^{\prime}$. The characteristic polynomial of $S$ is found to be

$$
|\lambda E-S|=\left|\left(\lambda-x^{\prime} x\right) E+x x^{\prime}\right|=\lambda\left(\lambda-x^{\prime} x\right)^{n-1}
$$

All eigen values of $S$ being non-negative one has $y^{\prime} S y \geqslant 0$ whence follows Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality in its primitive form: $x^{\prime} x a y^{r} y-\left(x^{\prime} y\right)^{2} \geqslant 0$ with equality if and only if the two points $x$ and $y$ in $P_{n-1}$ coincide.
3. In the same way, namely by calculating the characteristic roots of $S$, the inequality (ii) will be proved in its primitive form if $A$ has the signature $2-n$. Let

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & \ddots & \\
0 & & -1
\end{array}\right)=[1,-1, \ldots,-1]
$$

be the congruence normal form of $A$ and $S=\sigma J-J x x ' J$ where

$$
\sigma=x^{\prime} J x=x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\ldots-x_{n}^{2}>0
$$

Consider the eigen value problem $S z=\lambda z$ which can also be written in the form $(\sigma J-\lambda E) z=x^{\prime} J z \cdot J x$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma E-\lambda J) z=x^{\prime} J z \cdot x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is equivalent to the following system:
whence
I. $(\sigma-\lambda) x_{1} z_{1}-(\sigma+\lambda)\left(x_{2} z_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} z_{n}\right)=x^{\prime} J z-\sigma$
which means $\lambda x^{\prime} z=0$. Therefore
(4) either $\lambda=0$ or $x^{\prime} z=0$;
II. $(\sigma-\lambda) x_{1} z_{1}+(\sigma+\lambda)\left(x_{2} z_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} z_{n}\right)=x^{\prime} J z \cdot x^{\prime} x$ so that by (4) if $\lambda \neq 0$ either

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (a) } \lambda=-x^{\prime} x & \text { or } \\
\text { (b) } \quad x^{\prime} J z=0 .
\end{array}
$$

In the case (a) the eigen value equation (2) will be ( $\left.\sigma E+x^{\prime} x J\right) z=x^{\prime} J z \wedge x$ and instead of (3) one has the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}^{2} z_{1} & =x^{\prime} J z^{\prime} \cdot x_{1} \\
-2\left(x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right) z_{i} & =x^{\prime} J z \cdot x_{i} \quad(i=2, \ldots, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

whose solution $z$ is uniquely defined if $x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}$ is different from zero. For since $\sigma>0$ one has $x_{1} \neq 0$ and it may be assumed that $z_{1}=x_{1}$; then $x^{\prime} J z=2 x_{1}^{2}$ so that the $z_{i}$ are readily expressible in terms of the $x_{j}$.

Thus $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=-x^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ is another simple eigen value.

$$
\text { If } \left.x_{2}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}=0 \text { (i.e. all } x_{i}=0(i=2, \ldots \ldots, n)\right)
$$ then obviously $S$ equals the diagonal matrix $\left[0,-x_{1}^{2}, \ldots,-x_{1}^{2}\right]$.

In the case (b) the system (3) will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sigma-\lambda) z_{1}=0, \quad(\sigma+\lambda) z_{i}=0 \quad(i=2, \ldots, n) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z_{1} \neq 0$, then $\lambda=\sigma>0$ and accordingly $z_{i}=0$ for $i=2, \ldots$, n. Since $x_{1} \neq 0$ this is incompatible with (4). Thus $\sigma$ cannot be an eigen value and necessarily $z_{1}=0$ so that $\lambda=-\sigma$ appears as ( $n-2$ )-fold eigen value of $S$. The corresponding eigen vectors are given by the solutions of the equation $x_{2} z_{2}+$ $\ldots+x_{n} z_{n}=0$. Thus all eigen values of $S$ are negative except $\lambda=0$ and therefore $S$ is negative semi-definite and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\ldots-x_{n}^{2}\right) & \left(y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}-\cdots-y_{n}^{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \leq\left(x_{1} y_{1}-x_{2} y_{2}-\cdots-x_{n} y_{n}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

if

$$
x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-\ldots-x_{n}^{2}>0 .
$$

Since 0 is a simple eigen value of $S$ it follows that the equality sign is valid if and only if $x$ and $y$ represent the same point in $P_{n-1}$.
4. It remains to be shown that in all other cases $S$ cannot be semi-definite. It will be sufficient to investigate the case where $A$ has the congruence normal form

$$
J=[1,1,-1, \ldots,-1] .
$$

Let

$$
\sigma=x^{\prime} J x=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}-\ldots-x_{n}^{2}>0
$$

The eigen value equations are now the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lrl}
(\sigma-\lambda) z_{1}=x^{\prime} J z a x_{1} & x_{1} & x_{1}  \tag{7}\\
(\sigma-\lambda) z_{2}=x^{\prime} J z \cdot x_{2} & x_{2} & x_{2} \\
(\sigma+\lambda) z_{3}=x^{\prime} J z \cdot x_{3} & -x_{3} & x_{3} \\
(\sigma+\lambda) z_{n}=x^{\prime} J z \cdot x_{n} & \cdots x_{n} & x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case I the discussion is the same as in the preceding section and if $\lambda \neq 0$ one has the condition $x^{\prime} z=0$. In the case II there is again the alternative either (a) or (b). If $\lambda=-x^{\prime} x$ and $x_{3}^{2}+\ldots x_{n}^{2}>0$ the system (7) has a unique linearly independent solution and therefore - $x^{\prime} x$ is a simple eigen value of $S$. If $x_{3}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}=0$, then

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}^{2} & -x_{1} x_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
-x_{1} x_{2} & x_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which has the ( $n-2$ ) fold eigen value $-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}$ and the simple eigen value $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}$.

In the case (b) the system (7) becomes

$$
(\sigma-\lambda) z_{1}=0,(\sigma-\lambda) z_{2}=0,(\sigma+\lambda) z_{i}=0(i>2) .
$$

Thus the eigen value $\lambda=\sigma$ cannot be excluded as in section 3 . In fact let $z_{1} \neq 0$ and therefore $\lambda=\sigma$; then $z_{i}=0(i>2)$ and $z_{2}$ can be found such that the condition $x^{\prime} z=x_{1} z_{1}+x_{2} z_{2}=0$ is satisfied; if, $e$. g., $x_{1}=0$, then $z_{2}=0$. Thus the matrix $S$ has a
simple positive eigen value $\sigma$; hence $S$ is not semi-definite. This precludes the existence of an inequality of the type (ii) in the present case.

So it is in all the other cases. If

$$
J=[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \ldots,-1}_{n-p}]
$$

it is found that

$$
|\lambda E-s|=\lambda\left(\lambda+x^{\prime} x\right)(\lambda-\sigma)^{p-1}(\lambda+\sigma)^{n-p-1}
$$

where

$$
\sigma=x^{\prime} J x
$$

5. Aczel's proof of the inequality (6) uses the method commonly applied in the proof of Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality. He observes that the quadratic function of the real variable $\xi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta=f(\xi) & =\left(x_{1} \xi+y_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{2} \xi+y_{2}\right)^{2}-\ldots-\left(x_{m} \xi+y_{n}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sigma \xi^{2}+2 x^{\prime} J y \cdot \xi+y^{\prime} J y,
\end{aligned}
$$

has, because of $\sigma>0$, as graph in the $\xi \eta$-plane a parabola open above that cuts or touches the $\xi$ - axis whatever y may be. Thus the discriminant of the function $f(\xi)$ must be non-negative. In all the other cases the sign of the discriminant depends on the choice of the point $y$.
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