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Members of the nuclear steroid hormone superfamily mediate essential physiological functions. Steroid hormone receptors (SHR) act directly on DNA, regulate the synthesis of their target genes and are usually activated by ligand binding. Both endogenous and exogenous compounds and their metabolites may act as activators of SHR and disruptors of endocrine, cellular and lipid homeostasis. The endogenous ligands are generally steroids such as 17β-oestradiol, androgens, progesterone and pregnenolone. The exogenous compounds are usually delivered through the diet and include non-steroidal ligands. Examples of such ligands include isoflavonoids or phyto-oestrogens, and food contaminants such as exogenous oestrogens from hormone-treated cattle, pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls and plasticisers. Certain drugs are also ligands; so nuclear receptors are also important drug targets for intervention in disease processes. The present review summarises recent reports on ligand-activated SHR that describe the selective regulation of a tightly-controlled cross-talking network involving exchange of ligands, and the control of major classes of cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoforms which metabolise many bioactive exogenous compounds. Many CYP have broad substrate activity and appear to be integrated into a coordinated metabolic pathway, such that whilst some receptors are ligand specific, other sensors may have a broader specificity and low ligand affinity to monitor aggregate levels of inducers. They can then trigger production of metabolising enzymes to defend against possible toxic nutrients and xenobiotic compounds. The influence of dietary intakes of nutrients and non-nutrients on the human oestrogen receptors (α and β), the aryl hydrocarbon receptor, the pregnane X receptor, the constitutive androstane receptor, and the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (α and γ), can be examined by utilising computer-generated molecular models of the ligand–receptor interaction, based on information generated from crystallographic data and sequence homology. In relation to experimental and observed data, molecular modelling can provide a scientifically sound perspective on the potential risk and benefits to human health from dietary exposure to hormone-mimicking chemicals, providing a useful tool in drug development and in a situation of considerable public concern.

**Steroid hormone receptors: Human oestrogen receptors: Ligand–receptor interaction:**

**Endocrine disruption**

Endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDC) are substances that can cause adverse effects by interfering in some way with the body’s hormonal or chemical messengers. Under the control of the central nervous system, hormones are secreted by the endocrine glands and exert control on other cells of the body. The endocrine system is complex, with many organs producing different hormones, contributing to a multifaceted feedback regulatory system that is deficient in the developing fetus and infant. EDC are differentiated from classical toxicants such as carcinogens, neurotoxicants and heavy metals, because they can interfere with normal blood hormone levels or the subsequent action of those hormones, but do not have a classical toxic effect. The effects can influence and disrupt the hormonal regulation and hormonal imprinting (in the fetus) of normal cell differentiation, growth, development, metabolism and reproduction throughout life. Endocrine disruption can occur at levels far lower than those of traditional concern to toxicologists. Sometimes high doses shut off effects that occur at low levels, and sometimes low and intermediate doses produce greater effects than those observed at high doses. Human populations are exposed to complex mixtures of...
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environmental and endogenous agents, which may act together or modulate one another to produce biological effects. While exogenous endocrine modulators can involve any hormonal system and be affected by normal physiological states (such as menstruation and menopause in women, the andropause in men, and puberty, the somatopause and adrenopause in both sexes), diet, stress and other lifestyle factors, the principal focus of the present review is on the effects of sex hormones, and especially oestrogen-mediated effects, on the steroid hormone receptors (SHR) in human subjects where the ligands are delivered through the diet. The intention is that the present review will provide a basis for understanding some of the critical issues involved in hormone receptor-mediated toxicity, and the broad context involved.

**Hormone dynamics**

Accurate assessment of a chemical’s potential to alter the endocrine system depends on consideration of the entire hormone dynamic pathway together with changes in hormone activity. Fig. 1 presents a simplistic model of hormone dynamics, following hormones from production to excretion.

After a hormone is produced, circulating and intracellular binding proteins regulate the hormones bioavailability. Then the hormone triggers action by binding to a specific cellular receptor. The hormone is then either excreted in the urine after conjugation reactions in the liver, or biotransformed into another hormone, which will begin the cycle of bioavailability, action and excretion or biotransformation.

Hormones, and hormone-mimicking chemicals, can potentially affect each point in the hormone dynamic cycle, and each point in the steroid hormone pathway, and the enzyme families associated with the pathway. Assessing the mode of action of an EDC is further complicated by many feedback mechanisms within and between the different hormone systems, as well as interconnections with the nervous and immune systems. Current scientific knowledge of these systems is fragmentary, but the emerging picture suggests an interlinked fabric of hormone dynamics where the delicately balanced compensatory systems are easily perturbed. Hormone dynamics have evolved over a long period of time to deal with hormone and dietary phytochemical exposure, but they are not a rapid response system able to deal effectively with the synthetic chemicals of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries.

At the molecular level, receptors mediate alterations of hormone availability, action, excretion and biotransformation, in concert with the cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme system. Many receptors have been identified and are awaiting the recognition of specific ligands and functions, and it is likely that more receptors will be discovered in the future. Each type of receptor has the potential to regulate a distinct endocrine signalling pathway, of which we only have a rudimentary knowledge.

**Hormone-mimicking chemicals and steroid hormone receptors**

In recent years there have been many reports on the increasing incidence of breast cancer in women (Davis et al. 1993, 1997; Bradlow et al. 1995, 1997; Davidson & Yager, 1997) and decreased sperm counts and increasing incidence of testicular cancer in men (Sharpe & Skakkebaek, 1993; Adami et al. 1994; Auger et al. 1995), together with adverse wildlife effects that include birth defects, reproductive failures, and sexual abnormalities (Colburn et al. 1993, 1999; Guillette & MacLachlan, 1996). These conditions, related to oestrogen-like compounds, have stimulated research into both the chemical and molecular actions, and the clinical and epidemiological effects of a large variety of natural and synthetic oestrogens present in the environment (Falck et al. 1992; Hunter & Kelsey, 1993; Wolff et al. 1993; Krieger et al. 1994; Stevens et al. 1994; Ahlborg et al. 1995; Wolff & Toniole, 1995; Gray et al. 1997; Hunter et al. 1997; Safe, 1997; Bernstein & Press, 1998; Petreas et al. 1998; Krstevska-Konstantinova et al. 2001). The growing body of evidence on the hormone-like effects of many synthetic chemicals and by-products in fish, wildlife and man, has led to the instigation of endocrine-disruption screening programmes relating to persistent organic
pollutants (for example, see National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, 2001; US Environmental Protection Agency, 2001), and the international persistent organic pollutants treaty signed in Stockholm, Sweden in May 2001 (United Nations Environment Programme, 2001).

The biological differences in hormones and hormone metabolism

In utero the natural sex hormones are largely responsible for development into females, or totally responsible for male development, as the default pathway for fetal development is phenotypically female. In the male fetus, androgens stabilise the Wolffian duct development in the fetus, and actively remove the Müllerian duct (the precursor to the female uterine system; Sharpe, 2001).

The most important factors guaranteeing the homeostasis of female and male sexual functions include differentiation and reproduction. Main target tissues include bone and skin, the cardiovascular system, the brain and central nervous and immune systems. Endocrine hormones are lipophilic (fat-loving), moving easily through cell membranes to activate or suppress the nuclear receptors (i.e. receptors in the nucleus of the cell) that directly act on DNA. In synthesis with each other they contribute to the control of broad aspects of growth, development and adult organ physiology. The SHR family is extensive, but currently the emphasis is on a subclassification, the oestrogen receptors (ER; Colburn et al. 1993, 1999; Soto et al. 1995; Guillet & McLachlan, 1996), with most effects attributable to pubertal or adult levels of steroids. Other members of the SHR family and other nuclear receptors of unknown functions (not discussed here) also play key roles in endocrine disruption (Parker, 1993). For example, EDC are known to affect the thyroid, adrenal glands and pancreas; a clear link between dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and pancreatic cancer was recently reported (Porta et al., 2001), and the persistent DDT metabolite 1,1′-[(dichloroethyldiene)bis(4-chlorobenzene) has been shown to have anti-androgenic potential (Kelce et al. 1995). EDC such as phthalic acid and nonylphenol are now known to activate pregnant X receptor (PXR), both in vitro and in vivo, as observed for the ER, while bisphenol A has no effect on PXR-mediated transcription, but significantly enhances ER-mediated transcription (Masuyama et al. 2000).

Molecular mechanics of endocrine action

Receptor-based mechanisms

The nuclear receptor family have structural features in common. These features include a central highly-conserved DNA binding domain that targets the receptor to specific DNA sequences, termed hormone response elements. A terminal portion of this receptor (COOH) includes the ligand binding domain (LBD) that interacts directly with the hormone. Embedded within the LBD is a hormone-dependent transcriptional activation domain. The LBD acts as a molecular switch that recruits coactivator proteins and activates the transcription of target genes when flipped into the active conformation by hormone binding. The currently-accepted theory of steroid hormone binding suggests that in the absence of the hormone each receptor is associated with certain ‘chaperone’ proteins (these are other proteins which protect and aid the receptor; Weigel, 1996). Binding of the steroid hormone with the receptor protein causes a conformational change. This molecular switch results in the removal of the heat-shock complex and allows the receptors to dimerise. Then DNA binding to a hormone response element occurs, to produce a complex that can trigger or suppress the transcription of a selected set of genes (Fig. 2; Weigel, 1996; Alberts et al. 1997).

Receptors interacting with enzymes

The hormone receptors and chaperone cofactors also mediate hormonal homeostasis by the coordinated release and degradation of bioactive hormones. Steroid hormones, their metabolites, ingested plant and animal steroids and bioactive xenobiotic compounds are primarily metabolised by cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme reduction and oxidation in the liver. Many CYP have broad substrate activity and appear to be integrated into a coordinated metabolic pathway, such that whilst some receptors are ligand specific, other sensors may have a broader specificity and low ligand affinity. In this way they can monitor aggregate levels of inducers to trigger production of metabolising enzymes, and thereby mount a defence against toxic compounds in the diet. This hypothesis is strongly supported by the expression of a receptor-sensing system in the digestive tissue (Jones et al. 2000). CYP induction by EDC and other xenobiotics may therefore lead to alterations in endogenous regulatory pathways, with associated physiological consequences harmful to health.

Most research is based on the oestrogen receptors

Due to the early identification of ERα and links between DDT and breast cancer, the ER have received most EDC research attention. So far they are known to exist as two subtypes, each one encoded by a separate gene. These subtypes are ERα (Brzozowski et al. 1997), and the recently discovered ERβ (Kuiper et al. 1998) and its isoforms, of which a spliced isoform, ERβ2 appears to be equally expressed in tissue density studies (Petersen et al. 1998). The classical ERα subtype and ERβ receptors and isoforms apparently evolutionarily diverged over 450 million years ago (Kelley & Thackray, 1999), suggesting that although they have evolved in parallel, this ancient duplication was to facilitate unique roles in vertebrate physiology and reproduction. The ER differ in tissue distribution and relative ligand-binding affinities (Kuiper et al. 1997; Petersen et al. 1998), which may help explain the selective action of oestrogens in different tissues (Gustafsson, 1999). This selectivity has important gender implications due to the differences in tissue physiology between women and men, and important disease implications, as different spliced variants are observed in malignant as opposed to normal tissues that lead to poorer patient prognosis related to oestrogen refractoriness (Fujimoto et al. 2000).
Tissue differences in oestrogen receptor distribution in adults

Whilst women have ERα and ERβ in breast, uterine and ovarian tissue, men have ERβ in the prostate and ERα in the testes, both women and men have ERα in the adrenals and kidneys, but ERβ in the brain, thymus, lung, vascular system, bladder and bone (Paech et al. 1997; Tetsuka et al. 1997; Kuiper et al. 1998; Warner et al. 1999). Fig. 3 shows the endogenous ligand 17β-oestradiol docked into the ligand-binding site of ERα. ERα dominates specifically in the reproductive tissues, while ERβ plays an important role in the physiology of several tissues (see Fig. 4). Female and male sex hormones can be understood to act as functional antagonists, such that an excess of oestrogenic hormones may depress male development or male functions. There are also situations in which female and male hormones may act synergistically (and, for example, show effects on bone density or the promotion of liver tumours). This complexity is the consequence of the multiple targets of these hormones within mammals, including target tissue other than the sex organs, as the tissue distribution of the ER in adults indicates.

Serum oestradiol levels in prepubertal children

Oestrogens are considered reversible cellular signals for adults, but when given to newborn mice at least one gene under oestrogen control is persistently expressed, even in the absence of oestrogen (Nelson et al. 1991, 1994). While very little is known about the role of hormones in gene imprinting, potent oestrogens have been observed in vivo to contribute to DNA methylation or demethylation (McLachlan et al. 2001). Low doses of oestrogen have been observed to have an important biological effect in girls with
Turner syndrome. A dose of 100 ng ethinyl-oestradiol/kg per day administered orally for 5 weeks resulted in a significantly increased growth velocity ($P<0.001$), with no effects on vaginal maturation or breast tissue. Higher doses did not increase the growth rate, indicating that oestrogen has a biphasic dose-response relationship for epiphyseal growth (Ross et al. 1983, 1986). Similar effects have been reported in boys, but there are clear gender differences in serum oestradiol levels prepubertally, with girls having approximately eight-fold higher oestradiol levels than boys (Klein et al. 1994). This difference may explain why girls mature faster than boys, but also, in a population exposed to excessive but low levels of oestrogen one may expect to see a slightly younger age for pubertal onset (Andersson & Skakkebaek, 1999; Krstevska-Konstantinova et al. 2001) and an increase in oestrogen-related diseases and cancers (Newbold et al. 2001).

**Fig. 4.** Schematic diagram showing differences in tissue distribution of the oestrogen receptors (ER). CNS, central nervous system. (Adapted from Warner et al. 1999 and Gustafsson, 1999.)

**Oestrogen receptors and the brain: relationships with neurodegenerative conditions**

The brain and the role of ERβ in the brain is attracting increasing interest. The expression of ER in the adult cortex and hippocampus, areas associated with learning and memory, have been observed experimentally to be responsive to neuronal injury, suggesting a link may exist between early onset of senile dementia or Alzheimer’s disease in post-menopausal women with greatly reduced oestrogenic activity compared with normal post-menopausal levels (Shugrue & Merchenthaler, 2000; Wise et al. 2001). During fetal and postnatal development peak neuronal cell proliferation occurs within specific brain regions, including the hippocampus and cortex. Unlike other organ systems, the brain and central nervous system development has a limited capacity to compensate for cell loss, and environ-
mentally-induced cell death can lead to a permanent reduction in cell number. Combined with higher relative cerebral blood flow and the immaturity of the blood–brain barrier this factor can give rise to increased exposure of the brain to potential neurotoxins and EDC. The involvement of ERα and ERβ in the brain learning and memory centres suggest a logical mechanism of action of EDC in the brain that could trigger learning difficulties, as reported in male ERβ-deficient mice (Ogawa et al. 1999; Gustafsson, 2000). Whilst this mechanism is speculation, in vivo work on ER-deficient and aromatase-deficient mice (Simpson et al. 2000) should shed more light on the mechanism of the disruption of ER and aromatase on brain function.

**Receptors and ‘cross-talk’**

The receptors often have ligands in common (albeit with different binding affinities), and there is also a great deal of ‘cross-talk’ and ‘ligand promiscuity’. In other words, the same chemical may be able to bind with different receptors, and, if they do, the binding strength may differ greatly between different receptors. This diversity can occur for endogenous hormones, exogenous hormones and environmental chemicals. For example, forms of the oestrogenic (oestradiol) and androgenic (androstanes) hormones are both ligands for ERβ. Oestradiol is less potent for ERβ than for ERα, whilst the natural ligands for ERβ may actually be androgens: 5α-androstenediol and 3β-androstenediol (Gustafsson, 2000). The organochlorine pesticides trans-nonachlor and chlordane are known to activate both known ER, and PXR, but with different affinities (Kuiper et al. 1997; Barkhem et al. 1998; Waxman, 1999; Jones et al. 2000). As these receptors are present in different ratios in different cell types and tissues, the response on a cellular, tissue and systemic level may be quantitatively very different, and may vary over time. Receptor modulation has been seen with lactation, when a form of ERβ has been observed to increase in the rat mammary glands (Gaido et al. 1999; Gustafsson, 2000) and in breast tissue hyperplasias, where a frequent mutation in the ERα gene shows increased sensitivity to oestrogen compared with wild-type ERα, by affecting the border of the hinge and hormone binding domains (Fuqua et al. 2000).

EDC may act on some of, but not all, the receptors and their isoforms in the tissues of these organs, or act to different affinities, as many phyto-oestrogens (e.g. coumestrol and genistein), synthetic chemicals such as bisphenol A (Matthews et al. 2001) and organochlorine pesticides (e.g. methoxychlor and its analogue DDT) do for ERα and ERβ (Table 1). More specifically, ERβ is dependent on pure agonists for the activation of transcription from its target promoters, while ERα can be activated by agonists, partial agonists (such as tamoxifen, which is used in the treatment of ER-positive breast cancer) and ligand-independent mechanisms. Appropriate test systems must be strategically designed to cover such multiple actions and interactions.

There is also evidence that isoforms of different receptors modulate each other at a functional level attempting to retain a balance. The modulation is aided at low (Hall & McDonnell, 1999) and high hormone levels (Petersen et al. 1998) by different ERβ isoforms; this factor may enable a tissue to govern its own responsiveness to oestradiol, oestradiol metabolites and related hormones such as progestins, and oestrogen mimics or EDC. This speculation is supported by what appears to be an emerging pattern in nuclear receptor signalling, as similar balancing acts have been observed also in the α and β forms of the human glucocorticoid receptor and the progesterone receptor.

**Table 1. Relative binding affinities (RBA)* of suspected endocrine disrupters for oestrogen receptors (ER) α and β, adapted from solid-phase competition experiments (Kuiper et al. 1997)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>ERα</th>
<th>ERβ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17β-Oestradiol</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumestrol</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genistein</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daidzein</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o, p’-DDT</td>
<td>0:01</td>
<td>0:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordcone</td>
<td>0:06</td>
<td>0:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulphan</td>
<td>&lt; 0:01</td>
<td>&lt; 0:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxychlor†</td>
<td>&lt; 0:01</td>
<td>&lt; 0:01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DDT, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane.**

*Calculated as concentrations of oestradiol : competitor required to reduce the specific radioligand binding by 50 %. RBA value for oestradiol was arbitrarily set at 100.
†The metabolite of methoxychlor, 2,2-bis-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-1,1,1-trichloroethane is approximately 100-fold more active at ERα than methoxychlor (Gaido et al. 1999).

**Disease scenarios: how much inhibition, how much activation?**

The proliferative role of ERα in breast cancer is well established, but there is increasing evidence that ERβ is part of the disease picture, through anti-proliferative activity, which has therapeutic implications. The distinct differences in activational mechanisms between the different ER and their isoforms will have a direct effect on possible disease scenarios such as ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancers, testicular and prostate cancers, and perhaps also brain injury.

These differences between the two isoforms are of great importance with respect to the endocrine-disrupting ability of a ligand. The ability of ERβ to function both as an inhibitor or activator depending on the agonist concentration suggests that totally different patterns of gene expression may be observed at different hormone levels, and may be a mechanism by which cellular sensitivity to hormones is controlled.

In tissues where ERα and ERβ co-localise, fluctuations in the bioavailability of receptor-activating ligands may have a greater impact, so characterising the interactions of EDC with both ERα and ERβ. There can also be additional non-hormonal pathways influenced by specific compounds. A substance may act in a synergistic way on one target and in an antagonistic way on another (Paech et al. 1997). Such opposing effects may also occur at different dose levels of the same substance, or as combinations of, for
example, phyto-oestrogens and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB; Jacobs et al. 1999), and other EDC (Payne et al. 2001), but experimentally there have been difficulties replicating these findings, and consequently one study has been retracted (Arnold et al. 1996, 1997; McLachlan, 1997). The in vitro evidence of additive potency of combined EDC pesticides for ERα is far stronger (Ramamoorthy et al. 1997; Payne et al. 2001).

Phyto-oestrogens appear to have a greater affinity for ERβ than ERα (Kuiper et al. 1997), but they have an ERα selective efficacy (Gustafsson, 2000), while EDC such as the hydroxylated metabolites of methoxychlor appear to be an ERα-specific agonist, and ERβ antagonist (Gaido et al. 1999), but with about the same affinity for both isoforms (Kuiper et al. 1997).

Oestrogen receptor β and men

Perinatal exposure of the male fetus to potent oestrogens is known to increase the incidence of cryptorchidism and hypospadias at birth, and the incidence of small testes, reduced sperm counts, epididymal cysts, prostatic abnormalities and testicular cancer in adult animals. ERβ appears to be preferentially expressed in spermatoocytes at various developmental stages in the testes, specifically the gonocytes, spermatogonia and spermatoocytes. This finding suggests that EDC with an affinity for ERβ may find their way into precursors of sperm and cause disturbances in their function, disrupting male reproductive functions (Giwercman et al. 1993; Hess et al. 1997; Sharpe, 1997; Sharpe et al. 1998; Ebling et al. 2000; Shugrue & Merchenthaler, 2000).

Timing is all-important. During fetal testicular development, if one phase of development is out of phase with the following step (such as Müllerian duct regression), developmental problems ensue in the adult that may not become apparent until later developmental stages, such as puberty, have been completed. This type of problem is reflected particularly in the reports of falling sperm counts (Auger et al. 1995) incidence of testicular cancer (Adami et al. 1994) and decreased fertilization rates in couples with paternal pesticide exposure (Tielemans et al. 1999).

Coupled with the likelihood that the endogenous ligand for ERβ is probably not oestriadiol, but is an androgenic metabolite, investigation of the androgenic interactions with ERβ is critical in understanding the increasing adverse reproductive effects seen in men in relation to dietary exposure to hormonally-active compounds.

ERβ is also reported to play a central role in oestrogen signalling in normal and malignant prostate epithelial cells (Lau et al. 2000). While the roles played by oestrogens in the transformation from a healthy prostate cell to a cancerous one remain controversial, the role of androgens in this transformation is clearer. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) also has a role, it is functionally present in human prostate, but is down regulated by androgens and over-expressed in advanced prostate cancer (Collett et al. 2000).

The many biological roles of oestrogen receptor β

Data addressing the various biological roles of ERβ are being generated from in vivo studies, by developing mice with a deleted ERβ gene (Gustafsson, 1999). These ERβ-knockout (BERKO) mice display reduced fertility in the female, and show the essentiality of ERβ for normal functioning ovaries. At the beginning of the oestrus cycle in particular, ERβ expression is high, but after the luteinizing hormone surge, ERβ is rapidly down regulated; ERα: ERβ in the ovary is about 1:9. Another phenotypic characteristic of BERKO animals of both sexes is that the bladder epithelium, the epithelium of the dorsal prostate, the coagulation glands and the urethra show signs of hyperproliferation, suggesting that the growth control of these tissues is impaired if ERβ levels are compromised, and that ERβ may have a protective role against hyperproliferation and carcinogenesis. For both male and female BERKO mice reproductive behaviour appears normal, although the males appear to be more aggressive under certain conditions (compared with wild-type mice).

Kuiper, Gustafsson and coworkers (Ogawa et al. 1999; Gustafsson, 2000) are continuing to investigate the phenotypic characteristics of BERKO animals with particular reference to the cardiovascular system, bone, the immune system, sexually-differentiated liver metabolism and reproductive and non-reproductive behaviour. Research into defects in ERβ expression and activity should also yield useful data for disease syndromes involving excess androgens in women, particularly as seen in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome, with symptoms such as secondary male characteristics, menstrual disruption and difficulty conceiving (Franks et al. 1999).

Oestrogen receptor β and ovarian cancer

Steroid hormone expression in ovarian surface epithelial cells (the tissue of origin for >90% of ovarian cancers) has been observed to be disrupted in ovarian cancer cells taken from post-menopausal women (Lau et al. 1999). In the cancerous cells the normal healthy co-expression of ERα and ERβ mRNA (along with other receptors) were disrupted, with an ensuing loss of ERα, progesterone receptor and androgen receptor mRNA, suggesting that these receptors may be responsible for the neoplastic transformation of this cell type, but not ERβ, whose mRNA levels appear to be unaffected by this malignant state. Lau et al. (1999) also suggest that ERβ action may depend on functional ERα levels. Taken together, these findings implicate the regulation of normal ovarian cells by oestrogens, androgens and progestins. The emergence of sex hormone resistance, via down-regulation or mutational inactivation of receptors (including the receptors ER, androgen receptor, constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) and PXR described later (p. 113) may be a key feature of ovarian epithelial transformation, which may lead to diseases such as endometriosis, some cases of sterility and uterine or ovarian cancers.
Dietary exposure: synthetic oestrogens v. phyto-oestrogens

Phyto-oestrogens are found in the diet, and are widely available from plant foods, including N-fixing plants and legumes such as clover (Trifolium spp.), lentils (Lens culinaris) and soybeans, grains such as rye, lignans such as those found in linseed, and hops (Humulus lupulus L.) (Milligan et al. 1999; Cassidy & Faughnan, 2000; H Adlercreutz, unpublished results). They do not rapidly accumulate and are water soluble. They are readily excreted in urine (6–8 h), and they are probably the source of greatest dietary exposure to environmentally-derived oestrogen mimics. On one hand, they can be regarded as a defence mechanism for the plant to reproducitively impair herbivore and omnivore endocrine systems, and thus be an effective strategy to reduce local herbivore populations, as first noted in the 1950s when Australian clover was found to be the feminising agent responsible for impairing sexual performance in rams, with a dramatic effect in lambing (Bradbury & White, 1954). However, scientific evidence indicates that counterdefences have evolved such that adult diets rich in phyto-oestrogens are associated with a reduced incidence of cardiovascular disease, breast cancer, prostate cancer and osteoporosis. Asian women and vegetarians have a lower than average breast cancer risk, together with a relatively higher excretion of urinary phyto-oestrogens (Cassidy, 1996; Adlercreutz, 1998, Bingham et al. 1998; Cassidy & Milligan, 1998; Cassidy & Faughnan, 2000; H Adlercreutz, unpublished results).

Some of the possible SHR mechanisms of action of EDC and phyto-oestrogens such as genistein are structurally specific (Fig. 5(a)), but others combine with structurally-diverse ligands, e.g. PCB 153 (Fig. 5(a and b)) and DDT (Fig. 5(c)). When the structures of many phyto-oestrogen compounds are overlaid with the oestrogen structure, they can be virtually superimposed, the distance between the hydroxyl groups at each end of the molecules are almost identical. These distances determine hydrogen bond interaction. The more structurally-diverse synthetic compounds have far greater flexibility so they can move to, bind with and activate a wider range of receptors.

Synthetic hormone mimics, such as PCB, dioxins, brominated flame retardants and organochlorine pesticides, accumulate within the food chain and, due to their fat solubility and long half-lives, persist and bioaccumulate in adipose tissue and bone for many years, unlike phyto-oestrogens. DDT, for example, has a half-life of 10 years. While dietary intakes represent far smaller doses compared with phyto-oestrogen intakes, they remain available as ligands to the SHR system for a far longer period of time, and the metabolised forms, particularly when hydroxylated, have greater affinity for the ER (Jacobs 1998; Meerts et al. 2001). The ubiquitous EDC represent potential risk factors for a number of human cancers and other detrimental health effects. They are present at detectable levels in food and water, even those such as DDT which have been banned in many countries. PCB, DDT metabolites, dioxins and brominated flame retardants are the predominant persistent organic pollutants of concern in fatty animal-based foods such as oily fish, fish oils, meat and dairy products (Jacobs et al. 2000, 2001a,b; Liem et al. 2000).

Fig. 5. Molecular homology models of oestrogen receptor α with (a) genistein (in green) and hydroxy polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) (in pink), (b) hydroxy-PCB 153 and (c) dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (space-filled) in the ligand binding site.

Phyto-oestrogens may influence carcinogenesis via their hormonal, anti-hormonal and antioxidant actions in a beneficial way, when administered throughout life. Substantial evidence indicates that diets high in plant-based foods may explain epidemiological variance of many hormone-dependent diseases that are major causes of mortality and morbidity in Western populations. Phyto-oestrogens such as genistein (from soyabean) have been shown to stimulate cell
proliferation and bind to ER at relatively low levels (i.e. a low affinity) both in vitro (Cassidy, 1996; Bingham et al. 1998; Cassidy & Milligan 1998; Jacobs et al. 1999) and in vivo (Milligan et al. 1998), and have been reported to have a protective effect if given before puberty (Lamartinière et al. 1995) and an inhibitive effect on the growth of cancerous prostate cells (Hillman et al. 2001), but a detrimental effect, with increased risk of uterine cancer, if given in infancy (Newbold et al. 2001).

EDC pesticides have a more flexible molecular structure compared with phyto-oestrogens, and thus greater affinity for the cellular receptors. It is frequently argued in the literature that the EDC pesticides bind very weakly to the ER compared with natural oestrogens and some phyto-oestrogens (Safe, 1995; 1997; Safe et al. 1997). Quantitative structure–activity relationship studies have revealed more fully the importance of understanding differences in ligand binding within the ER (Jacobs, 1998; Jacobs & Lewis, 1999), and these differences need to be related to differences in serum levels of hormones at all stages of human development. The binding affinity of EDC pesticides for the classical ER (ERα) differs markedly from those of ERβ and other receptors (e.g. PXR), and there are additional modes of action taking place. For example, organochlorine pesticides such as o,p′-DDT and alachlor have been reported to partially mimic oestradiol and function to suppress apoptosis (programmed cell death) in ER-responsive cells. Apoptosis appears to play a critical role in the generation and progression of cancer, and is probably regulated by steroid hormones in hormone-responsive tissues (Burrow et al. 1999). p,p′-DDT has been found to be capable of activating cellular signalling events in ER-negative breast cancer cells (Shen & Novak, 1997a,b), and thus it is highly likely that some organochlorine pesticides may function through other signalling pathways.

Chronic exposure to large quantities of phyto-oestrogens in foods might have a direct binding effect on the ER and other hormone receptors. Indeed, coumestrol, a very potent phyto-oestrogen, has uterotrophic activity in the immature rat that is typical of the activity of the endogenous oestradiol (Ashby et al. 1999). Newbold et al. (2001) report that in vivo genistein exposure in newborn mice, a time at which the developing organism would normally be using natural oestrogen signals to guide development, increase the risks of uterine cancer in adult life. The amount of genistein used by Newbold et al. (2001) was slightly higher than the amount consumed by infants, but was within one order of magnitude of the level of human exposure (approximately 27 mg genistein/d for infants feeding on formula v. 50 mg/d in the experiment). Outbred female CD-1 mice were treated at age 1–5 d with equivalent oestrogenic doses of diethylstilbestrol (0.001 mg/kg per d) or genistein (50 mg/kg per d). At 18 months the incidence of uterine adenocarcinoma was 35% for genistein and 31% for diethylstilbestrol. These data suggest that genistein is carcinogenic if exposure occurs during critical periods of differentiation. Other impacts observed from genistein exposure included reductions in fertility during adulthood following exposure as a newborn.

Inappropriate oestrogens can alter development by changing the intensity of the oestrogen signal, whether they are from natural sources or synthetic sources. In the adult such an intake may also have indirect modulating effects on associated and related factors (e.g. chaperone heat-shock proteins), such that even whilst acting as an oestrogen at certain doses, phyto-oestrogens such as genistein may also be acting on multiple sites, having indirect anti-oestrogenic and anti-cancer effects.

An important but neglected dietary intake avenue of oestrogens occurs in hormone residues from meat treated with sex steroids for growth promotion (Andersson & Skakkebæk, 1999). While the Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization (1998a,b) expert committee on food additives and the US Food and Drug Administration (1999) consider that the residues found in meat from treated animals are safe for consumers, they have not considered the sensitivity of healthy prepubertal children to low levels of oestradiol (Andersson & Skakkebæk, 1999) or oestrogen-mimicking compounds (Howdeshell et al. 1999), and pre- and postnatal infants to gene imprinting (McLachlan et al. 2001) from this dietary source of oestrogens. Possible adverse effects on human health by consumption of meat from hormone-treated animals cannot be excluded.

Key nuclear receptors: a multifaceted communication system

Members of the same nuclear receptor family share a common heterodimerization partner, retinoid X receptor (9-cis-retinoic acid receptor; RXR), and there is cross-talk with other nuclear receptors and with a broad range of intracellular signalling pathways. There may be competition with retinoid X receptor for the dimerization stage of receptor activation of DNA. There may even be a cascade effect, where metabolites produced through the activities of one receptor are specific signalling molecules (and ligands) to modulate the next receptor, a link in the nuclear receptor intercommunication web of the body (Fig. 6).

To demonstrate how important it is to consider the spectrum of receptors when assessing the dietary input of endocrine disrupters, a brief description will be given of selected receptors and their known interactions with ER. The interactions are far more complex than the brief descriptions may imply.

Aryl hydrocarbon receptor

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), a member of the Per-Arnt-Sim family of nuclear regulatory basic helix–loop–helix proteins, has been detected in nearly all vertebrate groups examined (Hahn, 1998) and appears to have a fundamental role in cellular physiology, neurodevelopment and circadian rhythmicity (Poellinger, 2000). Predominantly found in hepatocytes, but also in breast cancer cells (Nguyen et al. 1999), AhR regulates the expression of a number of genes, including CYP 1A1, 1A2 and 1B1 and glutathione S-transferase M in a ligand-dependent manner. AhR is also up regulated during cell division. Exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetra-chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, the most potent AhR ligand known, results in a wide variety of species- and tissue-specific toxic and biological responses. Animals treated with 2,3,7,8-tetra-
chlorodibenzop-dioxin have developed abnormalities in several organs, including the thyroid, thymus, lung and liver, and in immune and endocrine functions. Wasting and lethality, and induction of gene expression have also been shown to be AhR dependent (Abbot et al. 1999; Diliberto et al. 2000).

Within the cytosol of the cell AhR is associated with a heterodimeric transporter protein partner, termed the AhR nuclear transporter protein. The unliganded AhR may also act through other mechanisms by being phosphorylated to key regulatory proteins such as heat-shock protein 90, which appears to be required for maintaining the receptor in a non-activated ligand-binding conformation (Pongratz et al. 1992, cited in Poellinger, 2000), as is the case with the ER, and other proteins such as p37, AIP, XAP2, src, rel and Rb (Birnbaum, 2000). AhR-knockout mice display reduced fertility, reduced viability, and liver and immune deficits (Diliberto et al. 2000) in some independently-generated-line mice, but not others (for review, see Poellinger 2000).

The best characterised high-affinity ligands for the AhR include a variety of ubiquitous manmade toxic and hydrophobic chemicals, including halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons, such as the polychlorinated dibenzop-dioxins, dibenzo furans and biphenyls, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons such as benzo(a)pyrene, 3-methylcholanthrene and benzoflavones, and other chemicals. Certain dietary indole derivatives, such as indolo[3,2-b]carbazole appear to bind with the same affinity to that of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzop-dioxin (Gillner et al. 1993), but a physiological receptor ligand has not been identified yet. Weaker ligands include diaminothuoline, omeprazole, bretexoxin, indole carbinols (found in cruciferous vegetables) and endogenous weak ligands such as bilirubin (a hydrophobic haem degradation product metabolised in the liver), biliverdin (Sinal & Bend, 1997; Phelan et al. 1998) and water-soluble metabolites of tryptophan, tryptamine and indole acetic acid (Heath-Pagliuso et al. 1998), as well as equol, indicating the wide gamut of structural

Fig. 6. Schematic diagram showing gender differences in tissue distribution of steroid hormone receptors. ER, oestrogen receptor; AhR, aryl hydrocarbon receptor; PXR, pregnane X receptor; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; LXR, liver X receptor; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; CAR, constitutive androstane receptor; GR, glucocorticoid receptor; AR, androgen receptor.
diversity of AhR ligands. Quantitative structure–activity relationship studies of compound properties without the AhR model (Lewis & Jacobs, 1999) have been observed to concur with the AhR model (MN Jacobs and DFV Lewis, unpublished results).

Many of these ligands activate other receptors; dioxins, for example, have anti-oestrogenic activity in the ER, while equol is strongly oestrogenic. The endogenous ligands hint further at the developmental role of AhR, as persistent CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 gene expression has been observed in congenitally-jaundiced Gunn rats (Lorenzen & Kennedy, 1993, cited in Phelan et al. 1998). Both enzymes play a role in the oxidative metabolism of bilirubin, decreasing its toxicity and enhancing its elimination, an important detoxication role in the newborn infant where jaundice commonly occurs due to less-developed liver function and excretion.

Pregnane X receptor

An important requirement for homeostasis is the detoxication and removal of endogenous hormones and xenobiotic compounds with biological activity. PXR (Blumberg et al. 1998; Kliwer et al. 1998; Lehmann et al. 1998), is involved in activating the expression of several CYP detoxifying enzymes, including CYP3A4 in the adult and CYP3A7 in the fetus, in response to xenobiotics and steroids (Pascussi et al. 1999). CYP3A4 is the major human hepatic CYP, and has been suggested to be involved in the metabolism of >60% of drugs in clinical use (Maurel, 1996). PXR is highly divergent between species, with great differences in PXR activation profiles due to differences in the LBD (Jones et al. 2000).

The major site of PXR expression is in the liver cells and the gastrointestinal tissues, but they are also present in both normal and neoplastic breast tissue. Indeed, the level of PXR in tumours where ER was present was significantly lower \((P = 0.04)\) than that in tumours where ER was absent (Dotlaw et al. 1999). PXR can be activated by a variety of chemically-different ligands, in a species-dependent manner, including endogenous hormones such as oestrogen, pregnenolone (Fig. 7) and progesterone, their synthetic derivatives such as pregnenolone 16α-carbonitrile, bile acids and also organochlorine pesticides, phthalic acid and nonylphenol, rifampicin, dexamethasone, corticosterone, spironolactone, phenobarbital, cholecalciferol and ligands of plant origin such as hyperforin (Masuyama et al. 2000; Moore et al. 2000; MN Jacobs and A Woodroofe, unpublished results), coumestrol and genistein (MN Jacobs and A Woodroofe, unpublished results). Many of these compounds activate other receptors, from liver receptors such as LXR and FXR to the ER, AhR and vitamin D receptor. The percentage inhibition in competitive binding assays for the pesticide trans-nonachlor is approximatley 100, with seven times greater activation than the activation by pregnenolone 16α-carbonitrile in human PXR transfection assays (Jones et al. 2000). PXR is also essential in mediating transcriptional activation of CYP3A by environmental contaminants (Schuetz et al. 1998).

It appears that there is a specific regulatory pathway where the accumulation of steroidal PXR ligands, including xenobiotics, results in increased CYP3A transcription and steroid catabolism, possibly providing the route for excess steroids to be eliminated from the body. Thus, not only is PXR a xenobiotic sensor, it is also a key player in the regulation of steroid homeostasis (steroid metabolism) by involvement in the expression of steroid hydroxylases and detoxication (Kliwer et al. 1999). By implication, EDC affecting PXR may also have indirect effects on the regulation of steroid homeostasis.

Some SHR have quite different unanticipated mechanisms of action which EDC may also influence directly or indirectly, as is the case with CAR.

The constitutive androstane receptor

Present largely in the liver, CAR heterodimerises with retinoid X receptor (Baes et al. 1994; Choi et al. 1997) and interacts with, and is inhibited by, two endogenous steroids, androstanol and androstenol, via a mechanism that involves a widely-expressed nuclear receptor coactivator, SHR coactivator-1. Both PXR and CAR are involved in the expression of steroid hydroxylases, and consequently regulate key steps in steroid metabolism (Kliwer et al. 1999). Unlike most nuclear receptors, including PXR and ER, ligand activation of CAR inhibits receptor-dependent gene transcription. CAR carries out this action through the ligand-independent recruitment of transcriptional coactivators. CAR functions in a manner opposite to that of the conventional nuclear receptor pathways.

The physiological relevance of CAR is unknown as yet, although piecemeal evidence is accumulating. There are sex differences in plasma androstane levels, and recently CAR has been implicated as a transcriptional regulator of the gene governing the steroid hydroxylase CYP2B (Forman et al. 1998; Honkakoski et al. 1998; Xie et al. 2000). The pheno-barbital-responsive DNA elements reporter gene has been shown to be activated by CAR (Honkakoski et al. 1998). CAR is highly responsive to phenobarbital-type compounds, including certain PCB, pesticides, drugs, solvents and other xenobiotics, such that endogenous CYP2B is responsive to phenobarbital and other inducers only in the presence of suppressed CAR. At the systemic level, hormonal imbalances that affect androstanol and

Fig. 7. Molecular homology model of the pregnane X receptor with the endogenous ligand pregnenolone, occupying the ligand binding site.
androstenol levels may impart a perturbation at the molecular level, preventing or inducing the inhibition of CAR and consequently the steroid hydroxylase CYP2B.

**Human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor**

The PPAR are a family of orphan receptors with fundamental roles in regulating energy balance (Johnson et al. 1997; Blumberg & Evans, 1998; Uauy et al. 1999; Willson et al. 2000; Bar-Tana, 2001). A number of prevalent metabolic disorders such as obesity, atherosclerosis and type 2 diabetes are associated with a shift in this balance. The PPAR are activated by chemicals which elicit increases in the number and size of peroxisomes when administered to rodents (Kliewer et al. 1999).

Three closely-related PPAR, α, β/δ and γ, are found in the liver, kidney and haematopoietic and adipose tissues, but with different expression patterns. PPARα is found in liver, kidney, heart and muscle, PPARβ/δ is expressed in nearly all tissues and PPARγ is expressed in fat cells, the large intestine and monocyte lineage cells (Memon et al. 2000). The individual PPAR each play key roles in lipid metabolism and homeostasis; they are responsible for CYP4A induction; peroxisomal enzyme induction and hepatic peroxisome proliferation. PPARα has a central role in hepatogenesis, and PPARγ has a central regulatory role in adipogenesis (Willson et al. 2000). PPARγ regulates key steps in lipid and fibrate metabolism, and this receptor is the molecular target for naturally-occurring plant fatty acids (pristinacid and phytyc acid) present at physiological concentrations (Zomer et al. 2000), long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, eicosanoids (Uauy et al. 1999), and peroxisome proliferators, which include drugs such as the fibrates (used widely to lower high triacylglycerol levels, a risk factor in CHD) and synthetic chemicals such as the phthalate ester plasticisers and pesticides (Kliewer et al. 1999). PPARγ ligands include fatty acids, prostaglandins and the anti-diabetic thiazolidinedione drugs (Memon et al. 2000; Willson et al. 2000). Pristinacid and phytyc acid are branched-chain fatty acids obtained through the diet from the chlorophyll in plants. Present at micromolar concentrations in healthy individuals, they can accumulate in a variety of inherited disorders. Potent binding of pristinacid and phytyc acid with PPARα (Zomer et al. 2000) indicates a primary mechanism for metabolising these dietary fatty acids. The PPAR have a far larger ligand binding pocket than the receptors so far discussed, and there are differences in the shape of each PPAR ligand binding pocket (Jacobs et al. 2000), giving broad ligand specificity on a structural basis.

Another factor to be considered is modulation through cross-talk between PPAR and other nuclear receptors or signalling molecules. For example, thyroid hormone suppresses hepatic peroxisome proliferator responses and exhibits inhibitory cross-talk with PPARα, due in part to competition between the thyroid receptor and PPAR for their common heterodimerization partner retinoid X receptor (Miyamoto et al. 1997; see Fig. 1).

Rosiglitazone occupies a small proportion of the available LBD space in PPARα, and less than that in PPARγ, particularly the rosiglitazone thiazolidinedione head group, and thus comparatively reduced selectivity is observed. This characteristic has been observed for different ligands in the PPAR family, and is a clear descriptor for PPAR selectivity (Jacobs & Lewis, 2000; MN Jacobs and DFV Lewis, unpublished results).

**Co-modulators that enhance or suppress transcriptional activity**

SHR interact with a group of novel nuclear proteins, including SHR coactivator-1, steroidogenic factor 1 and receptor interacting protein 140 (Luo et al. 1999). Receptor interacting protein 140 has been shown to interact with the LBD of ER in the presence of oestrogen-amplifying and -potentiating ER-dependent transcriptional activity (Sheeler et al. 2000). Steroidogenic factor 1 is a key regulator of the tissue-specific expression of the CYP steroid hydroxylases, and is essential for the embryonic survival of the primary steroidogenic organs, and the regulation of reproductive function at all three levels of the hypothalamic–pituatory–gonadal axis from the earliest stages of gonadogenesis (Luo et al. 1999). In *in vitro* studies suggest that exposure to o,p'-DDT (and other oestrogenic compounds) at sufficient concentrations, or in the presence of an ER coactivator, could have a deleterious effect on normal cell function due to the untimely activation of oestrogen-regulated genes (Sheeler et al. 2000). Similarly, in a yeast two hybrid protein interaction assay, PXR has been observed to interact with SHR coactivator-1 and receptor interacting protein 140 (Masuyama et al. 2000). It has been suggested that the differences in potency of phyto-oestrogen ligands in binding studies for ER (especially ERβ) compared with the lower potency detected in whole-cell assays, may be a consequence of interactions with binding proteins.

**Cytochrome P450 enzymes: 17A1/19A1 (aromatase)**

Aromatase is a key CYP in the production of oestrogen, catalysing the conversion of androgens, androstenedione and testosterone via three hydroxylation steps to oestrone and oestriol (Martucci & Fishman, 1993, Brodie et al. 1999). Aromatase is expressed in many tissues, including the ovaries, testis, placenta, brain, adipose tissue of the breasts, abdomen, thighs and buttocks, and bone osteoblasts. Phyto-oestroges are potent inhibitors of aromatase (for review, see Whitten & Patisaul, 2001).

**Aromatase, breast cancer and hormonal disruption in women**

Adipose tissue is the major site of oestrogen biosynthesis in post-menopausal women, with the local production of oestrogen in breast adipose tissue implicated in the development of breast cancer. Inhibition of this pathway is one method that is exploited pharmacologically in ER-positive breast cancer treatments to inhibit oestrogen production. Another approach is to inhibit oestrogen action by anti-oestrogens, which interact with the ER in the tumours. Recently, rosiglitazone and troglitazone, compounds known to activate another receptor, PPARγ (a key factor in adipocyte differentiation), and used in the control of insulin-
resistant diabetes, have also been found to inhibit aromatase expression in human breast adipose stromal cells (Rubin et al. 2000; Simpson et al. 2000). They have also been observed to stimulate breast cancer cell lines (Mueller et al. 1998). Developmental inhibition of the aromatase pathway can give rise to polycystic ovarian syndromes (Franks et al. 1999). EDC that may affect this pathway include those that have been observed to bind with ER. Fig. 6 indicates current knowledge of the gender differences in the distribution of SHR. It is likely that there are also polymorphisms to consider, as inter-individual variations in gene sequences (Masahiko & Honkakoski, 2000) suggest that individuals may vary in terms of amount and function of all the receptors discussed herein. The hierarchy of ligand activation differs between the receptors, as well as for receptors isolated from different species, and in many instances molecules that were previously regarded as metabolic intermediates are in fact ‘intracrine’ signalling molecules within tightly-coupled metabolic pathways for altering gene expression.

Molecular modelling

Using computer-generated molecular models of the ligand–receptor interaction, one can examine the mechanisms of action of SHR. By modelling such interactions and evaluating the activity of potentially hormone-mimicking materials by way of quantitative structure–activity relationships, it is possible to examine and estimate the potency differences within these compounds in human hormone receptor–ligand interactions. We are currently developing this area of study, examining the differences in affinity of SHR for a given ligand. In relation to experimental and observed data, it can provide a useful tool in a complex situation of considerable public concern. Whilst used extensively by the pharmaceutical industry, quantitative structure–activity relationships are under-utilised by nutritionists and food scientists. By using crystal structure coordinates it is possible to examine the mode of binding of selected chemicals and calculate the values for the ligand-binding affinity, when compared with in vitro data. Thus, a measure can be derived of the potency and action of a chemical with a given receptor compared with another chemical at the molecular level. This information can be related to physiological and epidemiological health effects observed, providing an essential part of the whole picture of endocrine function and dysfunction.

Data from animal and in vitro studies provide convincing evidence for the potential of phyto-oestrogens in influencing hormone-dependent states, although many in vivo studies can be confounded by the oestrogenic activity of components of the rodent diets (Boettger-Tong et al. 1998; Ashby, 2001), housing and species (Anonymous, 1999). While the clinical application of high-phyto-oestrogen human diets is in its infancy, data from preliminary studies suggest beneficial effects of importance to women’s and men’s health, unlike the disruptive effects observed in synthetic-EDC research.

We have generated homology three-dimensional structures of the LBD of several interrelated human SHR. These are human ERβ, PXR (Fig. 7), AhR and CAR. They were produced by homology modelling from the human ERα crystallographic coordinates (Figs. 3 and 5; Brzozowski et al. 1997) as a template, together with the amino acid sequences for human ERβ (Mosselman et al. 1996), PXR (Lehmann et al. 1998), AhR (Burbach et al. 1992) and CAR (Forman et al. 1998) respectively. The selective endogenous ligand was docked interactively within the putative ligand binding site using the position of oestradiol in human ERα as a guide, and the total energy was calculated. In each receptor model a number of different ligands known to fit closely within the ligand binding site were interactively docked and binding interactions noted. Specific binding interactions included combinations of hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic contacts with key amino acid side chains, which varied depending on the nature of the ligand and receptor concerned. With AhR an important facet of the ligand-binding process involves a π–π stacking interaction between benzene rings on selected PCB molecule and aromatic amino acid residues in the AhR ligand binding site. Differences in the binding affinities for the same ligands for ERα compared with ERβ are under investigation (MN Jacobs and DFV Lewis, unpublished results).

We also produced PPARα by homology modelling using the human PPARγ LBD crystallographic coordinates summarised in Nolte et al. (1998) as a template, together with the amino acid sequence for human PPARα (Sher et al. 1993; Lewis & Lake, 1998). Models of selected ligands were docked interactively within the putative ligand binding site using the position of rosiglitazone as a guide, and the total energy was calculated (Jacobs & Lewis, 2000).

The models will provide a useful tool in unravelling the complexity in the physiological response to xenobiotics, by examining the ligand-binding interactions, differences and cross-talk between the SHR and activation or inactivation by their ligands. Nuclear receptors are important drug targets for intervention in disease processes. Exogenous compounds that target these receptors can therefore disrupt both normal and abnormal functioning of these key metabolic pathways. While environmental hormone mimics contribute to detrimental health effects by activating certain receptors and disturbing normal function, there are therapeutic uses from both dietary and pharmacological treatment for abnormal functioning of the hormone pathways and hormone-dependent diseases.

The promiscuous ability of many cellular receptors to bind to ligands of different chemical structures is a major mechanism by which dietary ligands, including environmental chemicals, can enhance or inhibit receptor activation, as well as being the basis for the pharmaceutical development of receptor-regulating drugs. However, endocrine effects are mediated through multiple sites of action, and EDC are able to alter endogenous hormone pathways, as well as acting directly on receptors. Fetal exposure to EDC at critical time points will have harmful health effects that do not become evident until puberty and adulthood, and again there will be gender differences and not just age-related susceptibilities. Vulnerability to the adverse effects of EDC exposure and protective effects from phyto-protectants such as phyto-oestrogens escalates during dynamic periods of growth and development. Children may metabolize compounds faster, but they detoxify more slowly.
and have greater body burdens, due to higher dietary intakes in relation to body size compared with adults.

The effect of ligand binding to a SHR needs to be considered as a synthesis of the entire endocrine system, over time, according to gender and reproductive status and with due consideration for environmental factors.

**Conclusion**

The nuclear receptors modelled display a spectrum of ligand specificities, ranging from the highly specific, as seen in CAR (which binds 5α-androstane-3β-ol (androstanol), but not 5α-androstane-3β-ol) and in PPAR selectivity, to the highly non-specific, such as human PXR which is very flexible, and can bind with a large number of wide-ranging molecules from rifampicin to steroidal structures. They also display a spectrum of binding modes within the LBD, from hydrogen bonding with variable key amino acids (as observed in all the receptors) to π-π stacking, as seen in the AhR, and also binding outside the LBD, as seen with antagonists such as tamoxifen in ERα.

The identification and in silico (a test done via computer) assessment of the different ligands both in isolation and within the receptor models will add to a better knowledge of their specificity. Furthermore, it may help to explain the selective action of oestrogens, phyto-oestrogens and EDC in different tissues, and to expand knowledge on the role of nutrition and pharmacology for therapeutic intervention in various endocrine, developmental and energy homeostasis functions that involve the ER, AhR, CAR, PXR and the PPAR. Pervasive EDC that target these receptors, disrupting normal functioning of key metabolic pathways, contribute to detrimental side effects in just the same way as can be observed pharmacologically, with the inadvertent activation of orphan receptors by certain drugs. Without considering the whole SHR superfamily, current EDC research can only be considered piecemeal, insufficient and ineffective for risk assessment, and not representative of the hormonal effects of EDC. The full range of effects of EDC (whether of synthetic or plant origin), from exposure routes to health outcomes, on women, men and subsequent generations can only be unravelled with an integrated multi-disciplinary approach. Since this review paper was written the crystal structures of PXR (Watkins et al. 2001) and PPAR alpha (Xu et al. 2001) have been published. The models reported here compare favourably with the crystal structures.
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