Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 14 (1), 1971

A NOTE ON COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES FOR PARTIAL SUMS

BY

S. G. MOHANTY

1. Introduction. For a sequence $\sigma = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of real numbers, let σ_i , and σ_j^* respectively denote the cyclic permutation $(x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1})$ and the reverse cyclic permutation $(x_j, x_{j-1}, \ldots, x_{j+1})$, and let $s_k = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j$. Also denote by $M_{rj}(\sigma)$ and $m_{rj}(\sigma)$ the *r*th largest and the *r*th smallest numbers respectively, among the first *j* partial sums s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_j for $1 \le r \le j \le n$. As usual, let the superscripts + and - respectively mean maximize and minimize with zero. In a paper of Harper [3], the main result which generalizes earlier results of Dwass [1] and Graham [2], is as follows:

THEOREM.

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[M_{rj}^{+}(\sigma_{i}) + m_{rj}^{-}(\sigma_{i}^{*}) \right] = (j-r+1)s_{n}.$$

The proof mainly depends on the following identities:

(2)
$$M_{rj}^+(\sigma_i) + m_{rj}^-(\sigma_{i+j-1}^*) = M_{r-1,j-1}^+(\sigma_i) + m_{r-1,j-1}^-(\sigma_{i+j-1}^*)$$

and

(3)
$$M_{1i}^+(\sigma_i) + m_{1i}^-(\sigma_{i+i-1}^*) = s_i.$$

In this note, we give a generalization of this theorem and interpret the result for a sequence of vectors in real Hilbert space.

2. The main result. Let

$$\sigma(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{b} x_i + \sum_{i=b+c+1}^{2b+c} x_i + \cdots + \sum_{i=(u-1)(b+c)+1}^{ub+(u-1)c} x_i$$

Suppose $\max_{1 \le u \le j}^{(r)}(y_u)$ and $\min_{1 \le u \le j}^{(r)}(y_u)$ represent the *r*th largest and the *r*th smallest numbers respectively among y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_j . Then we define

$$M(r, b, c, j; \sigma) = \max_{1 \le u \le j}^{(r)} [\sigma(u)]$$
$$m(r, b, c, j; \sigma) = \min_{1 \le u \le j}^{(r)} [\sigma(u)]$$

where

$$jb+(j-1)c \leq n$$
 and $1 \leq r \leq j$.

Note that $M(r, 1, 0, j; \sigma) = M_{rj}(\sigma)$ and $m(r, 1, 0, j; \sigma) = m_{ri}(\sigma)$.

Received by the editors May 6, 1970.

S. G. MOHANTY

THEOREM 1.

(4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[M^{+}(r, b, c, j; \sigma_{i}) + m^{-}(r, b, c, j; \sigma_{i}^{*}) \right] = (j-r+1)bs_{n}$$

Proof. The proof follows the same line of argument as in [3] and therefore consists of obtaining the generalized form of (2) and (3). The corresponding identities are

(5)
$$M^{+}(r, b, c, j; \sigma_{i}) + m^{-}(r, b, c, j; \sigma_{jb+(j-1)c+i-1}^{*}) = M^{+}(r-1, b, c, j-1; \sigma_{i}) + m^{-}(r-1, b, c, j-1; \sigma_{jb+(j-1)c+i-1}^{*})$$

and

(6)
$$M^{+}(1, b, c, j; \sigma_{i}) + m^{-}(1, b, c, j; \sigma_{jb+(j-1)c+i-1}^{*}) = \sum_{k=i}^{b+i-1} x_{k} + \sum_{k=b+c+i}^{2b+c+i-1} x_{k} + \dots + \sum_{k=(j-1)(b+c)+i}^{jb+(j-1)c+i-1} x_{k}$$

where $x_{n+u} \equiv x_u$.

Introducing

$$a_k = M(k, b, c, j-1; \sigma)$$
 and $b_k = m(k, b, c, j-1; \sigma^*_{jb+(j-1)c})$

and proceeding exactly the same way as in [3], (5) can be checked. A simple verification establishes (6). The left-hand side of (4), with the help of (5) and (6), reduces to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{k=i}^{b+i-1} x_k + \sum_{k=b+c+i}^{2b+c+i-1} x_k + \dots + \sum_{k=(j-r)(b+c)+i}^{(j-r+1)b+(j-r)c+i-1} x_k \right] = (j-r+1)bs_n.$$

This completes the proof.

The generalized expressions for (6) in [3] are

(7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[M(r, b, c, j; \sigma_i) + m(r, b, c, j; \sigma_i^*) \right] = (j+1)bs_n$$

and

(8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\left| M(r, b, c, j; \sigma_i) \right| - \left| m(r, b, c, j; \sigma_i^*) \right| \right] = (j - 2r + 1) b s_n.$$

3. Concluding remarks. The method used in the proof suggests that the above results should be true for a sequence of vectors instead of real numbers. Let H be an arbitrary Hilbert space over the reals and let $\sigma = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a sequence with $x_i \in H$. For each $i=1, 2, \ldots, n$, we can write $x_i = x'_i + x''_i$ where x'_i and x''_i are, respectively, the perpendicular and projection of x_i on the one-dimensional subspace spanned by s_n . Furthermore, x''_i can be written as $\lambda_i e$, where $e = s_n / ||s_n||$. We say that vector x_i is larger or smaller than vector x_j in relation to the subspace spanned by s_n , according as $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$ or $\lambda_j > \lambda_i$. $M^+(r, b, c, j; \sigma_i)$ and $m^-(r, b, c, j; \sigma_i)$

66

for vectors are defined as before. Then, the above results are also valid for vectors in *H*. Note that we can take $e = -s_n/||s_n||$, without altering anything.

References

1. M. Dwass, A fluctuation theorem for cyclic random variables, Ann. Math. Statist. 33 (1962), 1450–1454.

2. R. L. Graham, A combinatorial theorem for partial sums, Ann. Math. Statist. 34 (1963), 1600-1602.

3. L. H. Harper, A family of combinatorial identities, Ann. Math. Statist. 37 (1966), 509-512.

MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO