Application of volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) to measure multidimensional anti-influenza IgG antibodies by the mPlex-Flu assay
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Abstract

Introduction: Recently, volumetric absorptive microsampling (VAMS) has been used for accurate sampling of a fixed peripheral blood volume (10 μL) on a volumetric swab, and long-term sample storage. The mPlex-Flu assay is a novel, high-throughput assay that simultaneously measures the concentration of antibodies against the hemagglutinin (HA) proteins from multiple influenza virus strains with ≤5 μL of serum. Here we describe combining these two methods to measure multidimensional anti-influenza IgG activity in whole blood samples collected by a finger stick and VAMS, with correction for serum volume based on simultaneous hemoglobin measurement. Methods: We compared capillary blood samples obtained from a finger stick using a VAMS device with serum samples collected by traditional phlebotomy from 20 subjects, with the influenza antibody profiles measured by the mPlex-Flu assay. Results: We found that results with the two sampling methods were highly correlated within subjects and across all influenza strains (mean $R^2 = 0.9470$). Adjustment for serum volume, based on hemoglobin measurement, was used to estimate serum volume of samples and improved the accuracy. IgG measurements were stable over 3 weeks when VAMS samples were stored at room temperature or transported using a variety of shipping methods. Additionally, when volunteers performed finger-stick VAMS at-home by themselves, the comparison results of anti-HA antibody concentrations were highly consistent with sampling performed by study personnel on-site ($R^2 = 0.9496$). Conclusions: This novel approach can provide a simple, accurate, and low-cost means for monitoring the IgG anti-influenza HA antibody responses in large population studies and clinical trials.

Introduction

Both seasonal and emerging influenza virus infection are among the largest reoccurring global public health threats [1], and vaccination is the major method of prevention [2]. Flu vaccines are currently designed to elicit antibodies against hemagglutinin (HA), the most abundant glycoprotein on the viral surface [3]. Protective antibodies block the ability of HA to bind to sialic acid on target cells, or enhance viral clearance, preventing infection [4]. Measuring antibody-mediated immunity is critical to evaluate vaccine efficacy and immunity to seasonal and emerging influenza viruses. However, a major translational barrier is obtaining serum samples to measure antibody-mediated influenza immunity, which is resource-intensive, time-consuming, and expensive [5]. This limits our ability to conduct large-scale influenza vaccine clinical trials, measure population immunity, and assess the mismatch between circulating influenza strains and the seasonal influenza vaccine in real time. Solving this translational barrier would greatly improve our ability to conduct high-quality clinical trials of influenza vaccines, perform large-scale assessments of population immunity to influenza, and greatly decrease the resource intensity of clinical and translational influenza research.

Several factors contribute to this translational barrier. Most assays of antibody-mediated influenza immunity, such as hemagglutinin inhibition (HAI), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and microneutralization (MN) assays all require at the minimum 0.1–0.2 mL of serum to perform with appropriate replicates. Such quantities of serum are usually obtained by venipuncture phlebotomy performed by a healthcare professional, requiring subject travel to the research facility or collection point. After collection, blood samples require post-phlebotomy processing including serum separation, aliquotting, and storage. Thus, developing a translational research solution would require addressing two barriers: (1) developing and validating a simple method for in-the-field collection of small amounts of peripheral blood or serum, and (2) coupling microsampling to an assay measuring anti-influenza IgG that uses very small quantities of serum to perform with appropriate replicates. Such quantities of serum are usually obtained by venipuncture phlebotomy performed by a healthcare professional, requiring subject travel to the research facility or collection point. After collection, blood samples require post-phlebotomy processing including serum separation, aliquotting, and storage. Thus, developing a translational research solution would require addressing two barriers: (1) developing and validating a simple method for in-the-field collection of small amounts of peripheral blood or serum, and (2) coupling microsampling to an assay measuring anti-influenza IgG that uses very small quantities of serum to perform with appropriate replicates.
IgG is only present in the noncellular serum fraction (hematocrit (HCT) is the fraction of whole blood occupied by cells; agulant is used) or serum (when clotting is allowed to occur). The whole blood is composed of a cellular component, primarily greater precision of clinical trial group statistical added advantages, including simultaneous measurement of absolute concentrations for strain-specific anti-influenza IgG antibody-mediated immunity to multiple (>30) influenza strains with over 1000 subjects. Finally, the United States Center for Disease Control and the World Health Organization (WHO) conduct extensive influenza virus field surveillance programs [24], collecting viral samples by nasal swab to isolate and sequence influenza strains in people with influenza-like illnesses. Yet, these programs generally do not collect serum to assess antibody-mediated influenza immunity, likely due to the cost and time needed for phlebotomy and sample processing. In these cases, a simple method to collect and analyze samples for anti-influenza IgG concentrations would decrease the barriers to multiple sample collection (cost, inconvenience, sample processing), and improve scientific knowledge of influenza immunity.

Here we describe using a combination of VAMS with the mPlex-Flu assay and Hgb measurement to quantitatively estimate serum IgG antibody concentrations against 33 strains of influenza virus HAs. Whole blood anti-influenza IgG concentrations are adjusted for serum volume using measured Hgb and used to estimate serum anti-influenza IgG to facilitate comparison with standard methods. This study validates the accuracy, reproducibility, and sample stability of this novel assay combination. Overall, we show that the combination of VAMS with the mPlex-Flu assay could be a powerful tool for large sample size analysis of multidimensional influenza antibody-mediated immunity for use in influenza vaccine and population immunity studies.

**Methods**

**Human Subjects Ethics Statement**

This study was approved by the Research Subjects Review Board at the University of Rochester Medical Center (RSRB approval number RSRB00070463), and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Research data were coded such that subjects could not be identified, either directly or through linked identifiers. Subject identification numbers were re-encoded for publication.

**Participants and Sample Collection**

Twenty-one healthy volunteers 18–65 years of age were recruited for this study. Subjects who were taking immunosuppressive medications were excluded. All subjects had samples collected by both venous phlebotomy and VAMS (Mitra Collection Kit; Neoteryx, CA, USA). For venous phlebotomy, standard venipuncture was...
performed and 3–4 mL of blood was collected in a serum collection tube (BD, NJ, USA), centrifuged (3000 RPM, 4°C, 12 min), and sera were aliquotted into 100 μL cryo-vials and stored at −20°C until analysis.

Study Design, VAMS Sample Collection and Storage

The study was designed to assess both variability between standard venipuncture for serum and VAMS sampling, and reproducibility of results when subjects performed VAMS sampling remotely after instruction. At the initial study visit, each volunteer donated one venous blood sample by phlebotomy and one VAMS blood sample by finger stick. Both samples were collected by study coordinators on-site at the University of Rochester Clinical Research Center. Study subjects were then trained to perform a finger stick with the lancet device and collect the VAMS sample. After training, one VAMS kit was sent home with the volunteer. Three days later, the volunteer self-collected a second VAMS sample and returned it to the Research Center for analysis (Fig. 1).

VAMS blood samples were collected using the manufacturer’s 10 μL collection kit (Neoteryx, CA, USA). After alcohol swabbing, the lateral portion of the participant’s finger was punctured using a contact-activated lancet. Gentle pressure was applied to the finger to allow a drop of blood to collect at the skin surface. A porous, hydrophilic VAMS tip was held against the blood drop until completely filled with blood. Each tip absorbed 10 μL of blood, and two tips were present in each collection kit, for a total of 20 μL of blood per collection. Tips were allowed to dry for 1–2 h at room temperature in protective cassettes. For the stability experiment, 14 VAMS blood samples from one donor were collected at the same time. All VAMS samples were placed in separate and sealed containers with silica desiccant packets and stored at −20°C until analysis.

Extraction of Antibodies and Hemoglobin (Hgb) from VAMS Samples

The absorbent tips from each VAMS collection kit (containing 10 μL blood sample) were soaked in 200 μL extraction buffer (PBS + 1% BSA + 0.5% Tween) in 1 mL 96-well plates (Masterblock, GBO, Austria) and shaken overnight to extract the antibodies as described previously [16]. Hgb was extracted along with IgG by this method and quantified as described below.

mPlex-Flu Assay

The mPlex-Flu assay was performed as described previously [7,8,12]. Briefly, venous phlebotomy serum samples were diluted 1:5000 with PBS, while the 200 μL extractions from VAMS device (1:20) were further diluted 1:250, to yield a final 1:5000 dilution of the VAMS samples. For both serum and VAMS samples, 200 μL of diluted sample was used for analysis and added to a black, clear-bottom 96-well plate (Microplate, GBO, Austria). Standard serum (STD01) was made in our laboratory [7,12], and the standard curve for each influenza virus strain was generated by 1:4 serially diluting STD01 for each batch of samples. Fifty microliter of the diluted sample was added into each reaction well. All samples were run in duplicate.

The influenza HA bead panel used in this study is shown in Table 1, comprising 30 separate recombinant HAs. Fifty microliter of beads mix was added to each well of the plate as previously described [7,8,12]. Plates were incubated with gentle shaking for 2 h at room temperature and then washed (PBS + 1% Bris + 0.1% BSA). A magnet placed under the plate immobilized the beads during washes. After three washes, a goat anti-human IgG-PE secondary antibody (Southern Biotech, Cat No:2040-09) was added, and plates were incubated for another 2 h. After three more washes, beads were resuspended in drive fluid (Luminex Co., TX) and the beads were analyzed using MAGPIX Multiplex Reader (Luminex Co., TX). The calculation of IgG antibody concentration against each individual influenza virus strain rHA was performed by Bio-Plex Manager 6.2 software (Bio-Rad Co., CA).

Measurement of Hemoglobin (Hgb) and Hematocrit (HCT)

We measured Hgb concentrations using a Hemoglobin colorometric Assay Kit (Abcam, Cat No:ab234046, MA, USA). Briefly, 20 μL of blood or extracted samples were incubated with 180 μL of Hgb detector buffer at room temperature for 15 min in 96-well plates. Negative and positive controls for Hgb at concentrations of 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 mg/dL six standards were used to construct a standard curve. The absorbency at 575 nm (OD575) was measured using a Synergy Microplate reader (BioTek, VT, US). The concentration of Hgb was then calculated based on the OD575 of samples with the standard curve. The HCT of venous whole blood samples taken by venipuncture was measured using an ACt Diff Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter, CA) following manufacture instructions.
Estimation and Adjustment of the Effects of HCT on IgG Concentration in VAMS Samples

To create a standard curve to estimate serum IgG concentration, we collected heparinized whole blood by phlebotomy (Ref No:366480, BD Vacutainer), and separated the cellular and plasma portions from each subject by centrifugation. We next created a range of HCTs, (∼10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, and 60%) by manually mixing the plasma and red blood cells in a 2-mL total volume. As the volume of red cells might vary even with accurate pipetting, we measured the HCT and Hgb using the Coulter Counter, as described above, for all control blood mixtures, and used the resulting values for further calculation. We next collected VAMS samples in triplicate from the standards. HCT and Hgb were measured for all VAMS samples, and anti-influenza HA IgG antibody concentrations were measured from the corresponding venipuncture serum samples and the VAMS sample extractions.

To obtain the estimated serum concentration (\([IgG_s]\)) from the VAMS blood concentration (\([IgG_{VAMS}]\)), we first built a relationship between the estimated ratio of \([IgG_{VAMS}] / C^{138}\) and the measured HCT values from five subjects using a generalized estimating equation (GEE) model with identity link function [25]. A compound symmetry variance-covariance matrix [26] was used to model the within-subject correlation. The GEE model gives us the following relationship between the estimated ratio of \([IgG_{VAMS}] / C^{138}\) and the measured real HCT values.

\[
\frac{IgG_{VAMS}}{C^{138}} = \frac{1}{0.1364/C^{0.0103}} HCT
\]

Next, we obtained the relationship between HCT values and Hgb values using measured HCT and Hgb data from five subjects.
through the GEE model with the identity link function and compound symmetry variance–covariance matrix:

\[ \text{HCT} = -0.3806 + 3.0088 \text{Hgb}. \]  

(2)

Combining equations 1 and 2 results in the following relationship between the estimated ratio of \( \frac{[\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}]}{[\text{IgG}_S]} \) and the measured real Hgb values.

\[ \frac{[\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}]}{[\text{IgG}_S]} = 1.1364 - 0.0103(-0.3806 + 3.0088 \text{Hgb}), \]  

(3)

which can be used to estimate the serum anti-influenza HA IgG concentration from the hemoglobin measurement:

\[ [\text{IgG}_S] = \frac{-31.44 \times [\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}]}{\text{Hgb} - 35.85}. \]  

(4)

**VAMS Sample Stability Analysis**

To assess the stability of VAMS samples at room temperature over time, 14 VAMS samples were collected from the same subject. An initial two VAMS samples were stored at −20°C after drying for 2 h. A further eight VAMS samples were left on the lab bench at room temperature (22–25°C, controlled, but not monitored). Two of these were then moved into 20°C storage at days 7, 14, 21, and 28 after the initial sampling. The remaining four VAMS samples were mailed back to the lab using the United States Postal Service (USPS) and 2-day overnight delivery. The samples sent by express delivery service returned in 2 days and those sent by the standard post returned in 5 days (Fig. 1). The VAMS samples were stored at −20°C upon arrival in the laboratory.

**Statistical Analysis**

Spearman’s correlation coefficient [27] with the Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction method [28] was used to measure the reliability of mPlex-Flu results from VAMS versus conventional venous phlebotomy samples, the reproducibility of mPlex-Flu results from VAMS collected by volunteers at-home versus VAMS collected by study coordinators on-site, and the stability of mPlex-Flu results from VAMS samples stored at room temperature over time or after shipping. For calculation of correlation coefficients, measurements from the mPlex-Flu assay using various VAMS samples were either combined across multiple influenza virus types or separated by influenza virus type and subject.

Subject demographic differences were analyzed using the binomial exact test. Because the sample size is small and the data were not normally distributed, we used GEE models with identity link functions [29] to compare the mean measurements from the mPlex-Flu assay results obtained with VAMS versus conventional serum sampling under different room temperature storage times and shipping methods. GEE models with identity link functions were also used to build the relationship between the estimated serum concentration ([IgGₐₚ]) and the VAMS blood concentration ([IgGₐₚVAMS]). The within-subject correlations were accounted for using compound symmetry variance–covariance matrix.

Statistical analysis software SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) and R version 3.5.1 were used for all the data analysis. The significance level for all tests was set at \( P = 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Subject Demographics**

Twenty-one healthy volunteers were recruited for this study and their demographics are shown in Supplementary Table 1. More female subjects took part in this study (71%) than male (\( P = 0.0784 \)), with majority of volunteers being Caucasian (90%; \( P = 0.002 \)). The distribution of age groups is relatively uniform with fewer volunteers ≤20 years of age.

**mPlex-Flu Assay Results from VAMS and Serum Sampling are Highly Correlated**

In order to compare the variability of mPlex-Flu results between capillary blood VAMS versus venous serum sampling, we measured anti-influenza IgG concentrations using the mPlex-Flu assay on simultaneous VAMS finger stick and serum from venipuncture samples (\( n = 20 \) subjects). The results are shown in heatmap form in Fig. 2.

To compare the anti-HA IgG concentrations from mPlex-Flu in samples obtained by VAMS versus traditional phlebotomy, we used the Spearman’s correlation coefficient [27] with the Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction method [28]. We found a high overall correlation of the mPlex-Flu results between the two sampling methods (\( r = 0.9721; P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 3A) with \( n = 20 \) subjects assayed for anti-HA IgG against 30 strains of influenza (total \( n = 620 \) data points).

We also found high correlations when we calculated the \( (r) \) values separated by individual influenza virus strains for 20 subjects. All results are listed in Table 2, with the mean \( r = 0.9470 \). When we calculated the correlation \( (r) \) values separated by individual subject for 30 influenza virus strains, we found the even higher correlation \( (r) \) values. The results are shown in Fig. 3B, with the mean \( r = 0.9836 \), which is likely due to smaller within-subject variability than between-subject variability. All the above results suggest that mPlex-Flu results from VAMS are highly correlated with that of serum sampling when assessing individual strain-specific anti-HA IgG for individual human subjects.

**Adjustment of mPlex-Flu Results for Estimated Serum Volume**

To compare the IgG concentrations measured by mPlex-Flu in VAMS versus traditional phlebotomy sampling, we calculated the ratio of mPlex-Flu values from VAMS sampling as a fraction of that from serum for each strain:

\[ R_{V/S} = \frac{[\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}]}{[\text{IgG}_S]} \times 100\%. \]  

(5)

where the subscript \( V \) denotes a VAMS sample and \( S \) denotes a serum sample. We found that the mean of ratio for all anti-HA IgG measurements of \( R_{V/S} \) was 81.63% (Table 2), which is <1.0. This result is consistent with the fact that the denominator used to calculate \([\text{IgG}_S]\) is serum volume, which is a fraction the whole blood volume in the VAMS sample. This would result in lower \([\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}]\) compared to \([\text{IgG}_S]\). To adjust the estimate of \([\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}]\) for the actual serum volume in the VAMS sample, we next estimated the serum fraction (i.e. \((1 – \text{HCT})\)) using the hemoglobin concentration of VAMS samples (see Methods). As expected, we found that Hgb is highly correlated with HCT (Fig. 4A), and that the \( R_{V/S} \) is inversely proportional to Hgb, as...
expected (Fig. 4B). These data were then used to construct a GEE for \( \text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}} \) as a function of \( \text{IgG}_{\text{S}} \) and HCT (see Methods).

To validate this model, we calculated the estimated values \( \text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}} \) for the VAMS samples of the 20 subjects with measured \( \text{IgG}_{\text{S}} \) and \( \text{Hgb} \), then compared the estimates with the measured \( \text{IgG}_{\text{S}} \) values. The adjusted ratios \( \frac{\text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}}}{\text{IgG}_{\text{S}}} \) of 30 HA influenza strain antibody concentration improved from range 74.40–95.35% (mean 81.63%) to range 82.93–109.3% (mean 90.22%) (see Table 3). \( \text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}} \) values were highly correlated with the actual \( \text{IgG}_{\text{S}} \) values and correlation coefficients \( R \) are shown in Table 3. Overall, the results demonstrate that the estimated \( \text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}} \) is closer to the measured \( \text{IgG}_{\text{S}} \) value than the uncorrected \( \text{IgG}_{\text{VAMS}} \).

**VAMS is a Highly Reproducible Method When Performed at-Home**

One advantage of the VAMS method is the safety and simplicity of the process. It is easy for study volunteers to learn and perform at-home. Previously published data have shown that the volume of blood captured in the 10 μL VAMS device varies <0.4 μL [17]. But no study has shown the reproducibility of VAMS sampling by participants at-home compared to on-site by a nurse in the mPlex-Flu assay to evaluate influenza virus antibodies. To estimate this correlation, a second finger-stick collection was performed by the 20 subjects at-home 3 days later. These samples were then hand-delivered back to the laboratory in a provided envelope.

Using the same analytic approach, we calculated the correlation of at-home (F2) and on-site (F1) sampling for measurement of IgG-mediated immunity across multiple influenza virus strains, grouped by strain and subject and adjusted using simultaneous correlation, a second finger-stick collection was performed by the participants at-home compared to on-site by a nurse in the mPlex-Flu assay to compare the antibody activity of VAMS tips stored at −20°C immediately after drying (control) with other VAMS tips left for 7, 14, 21, or 28 days at room temperature. The results are shown in Fig. 5A. We found no detectable antibody activity decrease at room temperature from storing the VAMS devices at room temperature environment, and antibody measurements still kept 94.5% of the control level up to 21 days. After storing VAMS devices at room temperature for 28 days, the antibody activity level was significantly decreased to 80% of the control levels from the control devices stored at −20°C (statistical results were shown in the table in Fig. 5B).

To confirm the stability of the antibodies during the shipping process, we compared two commonly used shipping methods: standard first-class mail and 2-day commercial shipping (Federal Express, FedEx) to send two duplicate groups of the VAMS devices to our lab in New York State in August. USPS

---

**Fig. 2.** Anti-HA IgG antibody concentration against 30 influenza virus strains assessed by mPlex-Flu assay. The blood samples of 20 subjects were collected by phlebotomy serum sampling (S), VAMS sampling on-site (F1), and VAMS sampling at-home (F2) were tested by mPlex-Flu assay with a 30 influenza virus HA panel in the same 1:5,000 dilution. The IgG concentrations of samples were calculated based on a standard curve for individual virus strain generated by standard serum with Bio-Plex Manager 6.2 software. The mean concentration of duplicates are shown in the heatmap. HA = hemagglutinin; VAMS = volumetric absorptive microsampling. Influenza strain full names are provided in Table 1.

**Stability of Anti-Influenza HA Antibodies in VAMS Samples**

We next examined the stability of anti-influenza virus HA antibodies in samples stored in VAMS device at room temperature, and during transport (e.g. postal service, 2-day express mail). This is an essential aspect of quality control that needs to be addressed for future applications of VAMS. Prior studies have shown that antibodies on DBS filter paper are stable for more than 20 years when stored at 4 or −20°C [16]. To determine the stability of antibodies in the VAMS device over time at room temperature, we used the mPlex-Flu assay to compare the antibody activity of VAMS tips stored at −20°C immediately after drying (control) with other VAMS tips left for 7, 14, 21, or 28 days at room temperature. The results are shown in Fig. 5A. We found no detectable antibody activity decrease at room temperature from storing the VAMS devices at room temperature environment, and antibody measurements still kept 94.5% of the control level up to 21 days. After storing VAMS devices at room temperature for 28 days, the antibody activity level was significantly decreased to 80% of the control levels from the control devices stored at −20°C (statistical results were shown in the table in Fig. 5B).

To confirm the stability of the antibodies during the shipping process, we compared two commonly used shipping methods: standard first-class mail and 2-day commercial shipping (Federal Express, FedEx) to send two duplicate groups of the VAMS devices to our lab in New York State in August. USPS
first-class mail took 5 days and commercial shipping took 2 days. After the samples were received back at the lab, the anti-HA IgG antibody levels were evaluated by mPlex-Flu assay (Fig. 5A). No statistically significant difference was detected between results from samples transported via the two shipping methods (Fig. 5B), suggesting that the VAMS samples are stable during shipping process (2–5 days) even during the summer time, when temperatures may be elevated.

### Discussion

In this report, we have demonstrated the utility of capillary VAMS sampling, combined with the mPlex-Flu assay, for measuring anti-influenza HA IgG antibody levels. This combination addresses a significant translational barrier in influenza research and population health research: how to measure antibody-mediated influenza immunity in a large number of subjects at scale.
Fig. 3. Correlation analysis. (A and B) The correlation of concentration of influenza virus IgG antibodies against 30 strains of influenza virus by mPlex-Flu assay using VAMS sampling versus venous serum sampling. (A) The overall correlation (n = 620); (B) the analysis separated by individual subject (n = 31). (C and D) The correlation of concentration of anti-influenza virus IgG antibodies against 30 strains of influenza virus by mPlex-Flu assay with VAMS finger stick from on-site professionals with that from volunteers at-home. (C) The overall correlation (n = 620). (D) The correlation of concentration of influenza virus antibodies separated by individual subject (n = 31). HA = hemagglutinin; VAMS = volumetric absorptive microsampling.

Fig. 4. Relationship between sample Hgb, HCT, and correction of the IgG_{VAMS} concentration. (A) The correlation of HCT (determined using an automated counter) with Hgb measured from experimental whole blood samples. (B) The correlation between the ratio of anti-HA IgG from VAMS versus serum samples with Hgb concentration (n = 5 subjects). HA = hemagglutinin; VAMS = volumetric absorptive microsampling.
modest expense using a minimally invasive method for sample collection. The VAMS sampling method is inexpensive, can be used remotely by study volunteers, requires only a finger stick, and yields consistent results compared to serum samples obtained with standard phlebotomy. VAMS samples are stable at room temperature for up to 21 days, and during standard 2–5 day shipping at ambient temperatures. Combined with the mPlex-Flu method, we are able to measure IgG reactivity against more than 30 influenza strains simultaneously from a 10-μL VAMS finger-stick sample [8].

We have also demonstrated that the VAMS-mPlex Flu method overcomes an issue with the use of traditional DBS methods for estimating the concentration of analytes distributed only in the serum compartment [30], such as IgG. Serum typically accounts for ∼45–80% of total blood volume, and thus whole blood concentrations of IgG will be lower than serum estimates, and vary by test subject HCT. This could lead to underestimation of vaccine infection-induced changes in IgG in clinical trials or research studies. We have shown here that simultaneous measurement of

Table 3. VAMS and serum measurement ratio comparisons pre- and post-adjustment for hematocrit and correlations between predicted and measured serum IgG concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influenza virus type</th>
<th>Subtypes</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Pre-adjustment</th>
<th>Post-adjustment</th>
<th>SCC</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R_{VS}$ (%)</td>
<td>$R_{VS}$ (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>SC18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76.21</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>84.42</td>
<td>13.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78.15</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>86.42</td>
<td>13.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USSR77</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>79.46</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>88.10</td>
<td>14.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NewCall99</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76.45</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>84.87</td>
<td>13.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tex91</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78.81</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>87.39</td>
<td>13.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cal09</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77.27</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>86.05</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mic15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>82.92</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>92.16</td>
<td>12.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Jap57</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>87.86</td>
<td>22.41</td>
<td>96.73</td>
<td>19.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>PC73</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>84.23</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>94.09</td>
<td>13.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HK68</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77.65</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>86.26</td>
<td>13.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per09</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76.95</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>85.49</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vic11</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77.84</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>86.46</td>
<td>13.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tex12</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77.65</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>85.94</td>
<td>13.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swi13</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74.40</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>82.61</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HK14</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76.18</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>84.48</td>
<td>13.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sin16</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74.88</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>82.93</td>
<td>12.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>Viet04</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>81.39</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>90.88</td>
<td>14.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BJ03</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>17.97</td>
<td>89.79</td>
<td>16.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>chTW13</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>81.61</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>90.16</td>
<td>15.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H7</td>
<td>malNert00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80.43</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>88.43</td>
<td>15.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rheaNC93</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>95.81</td>
<td>30.95</td>
<td>103.89</td>
<td>28.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SH13</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>82.29</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>90.03</td>
<td>17.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>gHK99</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>95.60</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>105.37</td>
<td>27.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B/Bris08</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>84.54</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>19.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B/Mass12</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>86.05</td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>13.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B/Phu13</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>96.35</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>107.4</td>
<td>20.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimeric HA</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>ch5/1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77.91</td>
<td>16.23</td>
<td>85.39</td>
<td>13.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stalk</td>
<td>ch9/1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>84.22</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>93.79</td>
<td>19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>ch5/3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>79.70</td>
<td>26.15</td>
<td>86.75</td>
<td>22.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stalk</td>
<td>ch4/7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>83.10</td>
<td>19.90</td>
<td>90.97</td>
<td>17.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Influenza strain full names are provided in Table 1. HA = hemagglutinin; VAMS = volumetric absorptive microsampling; SCC = Spearman’s correlation coefficient. $R_{VS}$ is the ratio of anti-influenza virus HA IgG concentration of VAMS sampling to that of serum sampling, expressed as a percentage (%).
Hgb content in VAMS samples can be used to estimate serum volume and to improve estimates of serum [IgG]. One minor caveat is that even with this adjustment, the ratio of IgG concentrations of samples obtained by VAMS versus serum was still $R_{\text{VAMS}} \neq 1$. This could be due to a variety of factors, including variation in microcapillary sampling by gender, Hgb retained on the VAMS device out of proportion to IgG, or other factors. Thus, further work could be done to improve the estimating equations in larger population studies. Nevertheless, the improved correlation and prediction of [IgG] from [IgG$_V$] suggests that this relatively simple method may be adequate for many studies.

It is also important to note that serum volume correction may not be needed when comparing the ratio of pre- to post-vaccine anti-HA IgG concentrations over a short time period, and in the same subject, when plasma volume is unlikely to change significantly. This is likely the case for measurements within 30 days of vaccination, which constitute a large fraction of the samples collected in current influenza vaccine research studies. However, conditions in which the red cell volume is increased (erythrocytosis) or markedly decreased (sickle cell disease, $\beta$-thalassemia, blood loss anemia) may affect between subject comparisons [19,31]. Thus studies with large population comparisons between subjects, and over long time periods in diverse populations, may benefit from this adjustment to avoid underestimating absolute anti-HA IgG concentrations. Finally, whether such differences in how IgG concentrations are expressed have clinical relevance in vaccine immunity studies is currently unclear.

We suggest that this method may improve future studies of the longitudinal persistence of IgG-mediated influenza immunity. It is known that IgG-mediated influenza immunity can decrease after vaccination over months, but vaccine trials and research studies rarely sample beyond 90 days post-vaccination [22]. A major translational issue in vaccine trials is the expense and difficulty of having study subjects come to a study center for phlebotomy to monitor vaccine responses. A combined VAMS + mPlex-Flu methodology could allow for longer term remote sample collection from study subjects, along with assay of IgG-mediated anti-influenza antibody levels. This would greatly lower the cost and difficulty of such monitoring, and aid in current research initiatives to develop a universal influenza vaccine [32], to understand the persistence of vaccine-mediated immunity [33], and to predict who will respond to influenza vaccines long term [34].

The combination of VAMS sampling and mPlex-Flu analysis also has the potential to reduce translational barriers to large-scale population studies of antibody-mediated influenza immunity [2,24,35]. This method would enable remote subject enrollment, consent, and sample collection across large populations and disparate geographic areas. In addition, this method also addresses a significant translational barrier to determining the true efficacy of the seasonal influenza vaccine, which is based on data collected by influenza surveillance field teams. Currently, WHO and CDC field surveillance teams only collect vaccine history and swabs for influenza strain genotyping. Providing WHO and CDC teams with VAMS collection kits when surveilling influenza-like illness cases in the field, especially in remote areas, would allow public health agencies to determine if infected subjects actually had, or lacked, antibody-mediated immunity against the circulating influenza strains [36]. This is not currently done on any large scale and would provide much more accurate information regarding vaccine efficacy. Finally, the mPlex-Flu assay provides rich, multidimensional data regarding IgG anti-HA reactivity. Informatics methods can be used to calculate the antigenic distance between different...
influenza strain HAs using sequence comparisons. When combined with anti-HA IgG levels from the study of very large populations, such data could be used to create large-scale HA antigenic landscapes [37,38] for future influenza immunity research.

Finally, we also would like to note that this approach is not pathogen-specific, but could be adapted to estimating antibody-mediated immunity after vaccination for any other viral pathogens, where the key antibody targets are known and broad populations need to be surveyed. In addition, other biologic data could be easily obtained from a second VAMS device (another 10 μL of blood), including DNA samples for genomic sequencing of the host or blood-borne pathogens. Such methods likely be to be increasingly used in clinical trials in remote and rural areas, as well as newer “siteless” clinical trials [39,40].

Supplementary Material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2019.410.

Data Availability. The primary data generated by this study are available from https://bgsshare.com/s/7f8992762e960e0aa023

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank all the study volunteers without whom this work would not be possible. We also are very grateful for the outstanding study coordination by Susanne Heininger, the excellent technical assistance from the research coordinators at the University of Rochester Clinical Research Center, and Jonathan Kasper and Eric Mendelson for their excellent technical assistance with the hemoglobin assays. In addition, we would also like to thank the reviewer who suggested correcting the IgG measurements from VAMS specimens for serum volume. This greatly improved both the manuscript and the utility of the method.

Author Contributions. JW, SPH, and MSZ conceived of the investigational plan, designed the experiments and analytic methods, JW, AW, and JE carried out the experiments. JW, DL, JE, and MSZ analyzed the data. JW, DL, AW, JE, SPH, and MSZ wrote and edited the manuscript.

Financial Support. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health Institute of Allergy, Immunology and Infectious Diseases grant R21 AI138500 (MZ, JW), and the University of Rochester Clinical and Translational Science Award UL1 TR002001 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (JW, DL, MZ). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. None of the above funders had any role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosures. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 54.70.40.11, on 02 Jan 2020 at 19:55:03, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2019.410.


