

**THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF EIGENVALUES  
OF THE LAPLACE OPERATOR IN AN  
UNBOUNDED DOMAIN**

HIDEO TAMURA

**§ 1. Introduction.**

This paper is devoted to the study of the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator with zero boundary conditions in a quasi-bounded domain contained in Euclidean space  $R^2$ . Let us consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.1) \quad -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right)u = \lambda u, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) | -\infty < x_1 < \infty, 0 < x_2 < q(x_1)\},$$

and  $q(x)$  is a smooth positive function defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  satisfying  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} q(x) = 0$ .

It has been shown in [1] that the problem (1.1) has an infinite sequence of discrete eigenvalues approaching to  $\infty$ . We denote by  $N(h)$  the number of eigenvalues less than  $h$  of the problem (1.1). We are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of  $N(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ .

The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator with zero boundary conditions in a quasi-bounded domain has been studied by Clark [1], Hewgill [4], and Glazman and Skacek [2]. It seems to the author that any true asymptotic formula for  $N(h)$  even in the case of such a simple domain as (1.2) has not been known.

We shall study the problem (1.1) under the formulation as an eigenvalue problem of a differential operator with operator-valued coefficients. On the other hand, Kostjuchenko and Levitan [5] studied the eigenvalue problem for the operator  $-(d^2/dt^2) + Q(t)$  under the assumption that  $Q(t)$  is

Received April 30, 1975.

a semi-bounded self-adjoint operator for each fixed  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$  with the common domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(Q(t)) = \mathcal{D}_0$  and other restrictions. Our method is different from that in [5] in some ways.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** We denote by  $K(m)$  ( $0 < m \leq 1$ ) the set of smooth functions  $q(x)$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  satisfying the following conditions: There exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C$  independent of  $x$  and  $y$  such that

- (i)  $C_1(1 + |x|)^{-m} \leq q(x) \leq C_2(1 + |x|)^{-m}$ ,
- (ii) for  $|x - y| \leq 1$ ,  $|q(x) - q(y)| \leq Cq(x)|x - y|$ ,
- (iii)  $\left| \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^j q(x) \right| = |q^{(j)}(x)| \leq Cq(x)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ).

Now we shall state our main theorem which will be proved in § 4.

**THEOREM 1.1.** Let  $\{\zeta_j > 0\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be eigenvalues of the operator  $A_0$  ( $= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ ) with the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(A_0) = H_0^1(0, 1) \cap H^2(0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $q(x)$  belongs to  $K(m)$  ( $0 < m \leq 1$ ). Then, as  $h \rightarrow \infty$

$$N(h) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_j(h)} (h - \zeta_j q(x)^{-2})^{1/2} dx,$$

where  $\Omega_j(h) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^1 | hq(x)^2 > \zeta_j\}$ , while  $f(h) \sim g(h)$  means that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} f(h)^{-1}g(h) = 1$ .

*Remark.*  $\{\zeta_j q(x)^{-2}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  are regarded as eigenvalues of the operator  $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$  with the domain of definition  $H_0^1(0, q(x)) \cap H^2(0, q(x))$ .

**EXAMPLE.** If  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^m q(x) = a (> 0)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} N(h) &\sim C(a)h^{-1/2+1/2m} & (0 < m < 1), \\ &\sim C(a)h \log h & (m = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, we confine ourselves to such a simple problem as (1.1), but some generalizations will be discussed without proofs in § 7. Finally we note that in this paper we use one and the same symbol  $C$  in order to denote positive constants which may differ from each other. When we specify the dependence of such a constant on a parameter, say  $m$ , we denote it by  $C(m)$  or  $C_m$ .

## § 2. Preliminaries.

Let us introduce some function spaces.

$H^j(0, 1)$  and  $H_0^j(0, 1)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote the usual Sobolev spaces on the interval  $(0, 1)$ . For any real  $s$ , the Sobolev space  $H^s(0, 1)$  can be defined by interpolation methods ([6]). We denote by  $X$  the Hilbert space  $L^2(0, 1)$  with the usual scalar product  $(u, v)_0 = \int_0^1 u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx$ , and the norm  $\|u\|_0 = \int_0^1 |u|^2 dx$ .  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; X)$  denotes the Hilbert space of  $X$ -valued square integrable functions with the scalar product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f(x), g(x))_0 dx$ .

Let  $q(x)$  be a function belonging to  $K(m)$  and let  $\Omega$  be an open domain defined by  $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) | -\infty < x_1 < \infty, 0 < x_2 < q(x_1)\}$  and  $G$  be a cylinder domain defined by  $G = \{(x, y) | -\infty < x < \infty, 0 < y < 1\}$ . Then we define the unitary operator  $U$  from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto  $L^2(G)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad (U \cdot u)(x, y) = q(x)^{1/2}u(x, q(x)y), \quad u \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Similarly we define the unitary operator  $V(=U^*)$  from  $L^2(G)$  onto  $L^2(\Omega)$  by

$$(2.2) \quad (V \cdot v)(x_1, x_2) = q(x_1)^{-1/2}v(x_1, q(x_1)^{-1}x_2), \quad v \in L^2(G).$$

Now consider the following eigenvalue problem in  $L^2(\Omega)$ :

$$(1.1) \quad Hu = -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right)u = \lambda u, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Here the operator  $H$  is a positive self-adjoint operator associated with the symmetric bilinear form

$$(2.3) \quad a(u, v) = \int_a \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\bar{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\bar{v}\right)dx_1 dx_2, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

By using the operators  $U$  and  $V$ , we shall transform the above problem (1.1) into the problem in  $L^2(G)$ .

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be the operators defined by (2.1) and (2.2) respectively. Then, we have

$$(2.4) \quad U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} V = \frac{d}{dx} - q(x)^{-1}q^{(1)}(x)y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2}q(x)^{-1}q^{(1)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} + P(x),$$

$$(2.5) \quad U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} V = q(x)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = Q(x) ,$$

where for each fixed  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ ,  $P(t)$  and  $Q(t)$  are regarded as operators acting on  $X$  with the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(P(t)) = \mathcal{D}(Q(t)) = H_0^1(0, 1)$ . Here we remark that the coefficients of the operator  $P(t)$  are uniformly bounded. By using (2.4) and (2.5), the symmetric bilinear form  $a(u, v)$  is transformed into a bilinear form

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} b(u, v) = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \left( \frac{d}{dx} + P(x) \right) u, \left( \frac{d}{dx} + P(x) \right) v \right)_0 dx \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (Q(x)u, Q(x)v)_0 dx , \end{aligned}$$

where  $b(u, v)$  is defined on the set  $\mathcal{D}(b) = \left\{ u \in L^2(-\infty, \infty; X) \mid \frac{d}{dx}u \in L^2(-\infty, \infty; X), Q(x)u \in L^2(-\infty, \infty; X) \right\}$ . The symmetric bilinear form  $b(u, v)$  induces a unique positive self-adjoint operator  $T$  in the sense of Friedrichs.  $T$  has the following expression:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} T &= - \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \frac{d}{dx} P(x) + P^*(x) \frac{d}{dx} + P^*(x) P(x) + Q^*(x) Q(x) , \\ &= - \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \frac{d}{dx} P(x) + P^*(x) \frac{d}{dx} + A(x) , \end{aligned}$$

where for each fixed  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ ,  $P^*(t)$  and  $Q^*(t)$  denote the adjoint operators in  $X$  and  $A(t)$  ( $t$ ; fixed) is a self-adjoint operator with the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(A(t)) = H_0^1(0, 1) \cap H^2(0, 1)$ . Thus we have transformed the eigenvalue problem (1.1) in  $L^2(\Omega)$  into the following equivalent problem (2.8) in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; X)$ :

$$(2.8) \quad Tu = - \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 u - \frac{d}{dx} P(x) u + P^*(x) \frac{d}{dx} u + A(x) u = \lambda u .$$

We denote by  $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  eigenvalues of the problem (2.8) and the normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  respectively.

Let  $A_0$  be the positive self-adjoint operator  $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$  with the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(A_0) = H_0^1(0, 1) \cap H^2(0, 1)$ . Then, we have with a constant  $C$  independent of  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ ,

$$\|A(t)u\|_0 \leq Cq(t)^{-2} \|A_0 u\|_0, \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0),$$

and

$$\|A(t)^{1/2}v\|_0 \geq Cq(t)^{-1} \|A_0^{1/2}v\|_0, \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^{1/2}).$$

Hence, with the aid of the Heinz interpolation inequality, we have

LEMMA 2.1.

$$(2.9) \quad \|A(t)^\alpha u\|_0 \leq Cq(t)^{-2\alpha} \|A_0^\alpha u\|_0, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^\alpha), \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1),$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|A(t)^\beta u\|_0 \geq Cq(t)^{-\beta} \|A_0^\beta u\|_0, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^\beta), \quad (0 \leq \beta \leq 1/2),$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$  and  $u$ .

The following lemma is obvious from the definitions of the operators  $P(t)$  and  $A(t)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. For any  $t$  and  $s$  such that  $|t - s| \leq 1$ , we have

$$(2.11) \quad \|(P(t) - P(s))u\|_0 \leq C |t - s| \|A(t)^{1/2}u\|_0,$$

$$(2.11') \quad \|(P^*(t) - P^*(s))u\|_0 \leq C |t - s| \|A(t)^{1/2}u\|_0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \|(A(t) - A(s))v\|_0 \leq C |t - s| \|A(t)v\|_0,$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $t, s, u \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{1/2}) = H_0^1(0, 1)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$ .

By Lemma 2.2, we see that the operators  $A(t)^{-1/2}(P(t) - P(s))$ ,  $A(t)^{-1/2} \cdot (P^*(t) - P^*(s))$  and  $A(t)^{-1}(A(t) - A(s))$  can be extended to bounded operators in  $X$  and satisfy

$$(2.13) \quad \| \|A(t)^{-1/2}(P(t) - P(s)) \| \|_0 \leq C |t - s|,$$

$$(2.13') \quad \| \|A(t)^{-1/2}(P^*(t) - P^*(s)) \| \|_0 \leq C |t - s|,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \| \|A(t)^{-1}(A(t) - A(s)) \| \|_0 \leq C |t - s|, \quad (|t - s| \leq 1)$$

where  $\| \| \cdot \| \|_0$  stands for the usual operator norm for bounded operators in  $X$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $b(u, v)$  be the symmetric bilinear form defined by (2.6). Then, we have for a constant  $C$  independent of  $u \in \mathcal{D}(b)$ ,

$$b(u, u) \geq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( \frac{d}{dx} u, \frac{d}{dx} u \right)_0 + |x|^{2m} (A_0^{1/2} u, A_0^{1/2} u)_0 \right\} dx.$$

*Proof.* We note that there exist constants  $C$  and  $\beta$  independent of  $x$  and  $v \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^{1/2})$  such that  $\|P(x)v\|_0^2 \leq \beta \|Q(x)v\|_0^2$  and  $\|Q(x)v\|_0^2 \geq C|x|^{2m} \cdot \|A_0^{1/2}v\|_0^2$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} b(u, u) &\geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \left\| \frac{d}{dx}u \right\|_0^2 - 2 \left\| \frac{d}{dx}u \right\|_0 \|P(x)u\|_0 + \|P(x)u\|_0^2 + \|Q(x)u\|_0^2 \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \varepsilon \left\| \frac{d}{dx}u \right\|_0^2 - \varepsilon\beta/(1-\varepsilon) \|Q(x)u\|_0^2 + \|Q(x)u\|_0^2 \right) dx . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by choosing  $\varepsilon$  in (2.15) so that  $\varepsilon(1 + \beta) < 1$ , we obtain the proof. Q.E.D.

Let us consider the following eigenvalue problem in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; X)$ :

$$(2.16) \quad -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}u + |x|^{2m} A_0 u = \lambda u .$$

We denote by  $\{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  eigenvalues of the operator  $A_0$  and by  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  eigenvalues of the operator  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + |x|^{2m}$  considered in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ . Then with the aid of separation of variables, we easily see that the eigenvalues  $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of the problem (2.16) are given by  $\{\zeta_j^{1/(1+m)}\lambda_k\}_{j,k=1}^{\infty}$ .

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be eigenvalues of the problem (2.16). Then, for any  $p > 1/2 + 1/2m$  and  $h > 2$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\nu_j + h)^{-p} &\leq C(p)h^{1/2+1/2m-p} \quad (0 < m < 1) \\ &\leq C(p)h^{1-p} \log h \quad (m = 1) . \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is known that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $k$  such that  $\lambda_k \geq Ck^{2m/(m+1)}$  and it is clear that  $\zeta_j \geq Cj^2$ . ([7])

Hence, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\nu_j + h)^{-p} \leq C \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} (j^{2/(m+1)}k^{2m/(m+1)} + h)^{-p} .$$

Furthermore, by using the estimate with a constant  $C$  independent of  $h$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^{2m/(m+1)} + h)^{-p} \leq Ch^{1/2+1/2m-p} ,$$

we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} (j^{2/(m+1)}k^{2m/(m+1)} + h)^{-p} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1/m} h^{1/2+1/2m-p} .$$

This gives the proof in the case of  $0 < m < 1$ . When  $m = 1$ , it is easy to see that  $\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} (jk + h)^{-p} \leq Ch^{1-p} \log h$ , which completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be eigenvalues of the problem (2.8). Then, for any  $p > 1/2 + 1/2m$  and  $h > 2$ , we have with a constant  $C$  independent of  $h$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-p} &\leq Ch^{1/2+1/2m-p} & (0 < m < 1, \\ &\leq Ch^{1-p} \log h & (m = 1). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By virtue of Lemma 2.3, we see that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $j$  such that  $\mu_j \geq C\nu_j$ . Hence, by combining this fact with Lemma 2.4, we get our assertion. Q.E.D.

### § 3. Propositions.

In this section, we shall state fundamental propositions which will be used later.

Let us fix some notations.

Let  $Y$  be a separable Hilbert space with the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_Y$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$ .  $B(Y)$  stands for the Banach space of all bounded operators acting on  $Y$  with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$ , and  $B_c(Y)$  denotes the subspace of  $B(Y)$  consisting of compact operators such that  $\|K\|_{\alpha} = (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} < \infty$ , where  $\{\beta_j > 0\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  denote eigenvalues of  $(K^*K)^{1/2}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $K(x)$  ( $-\infty < x < \infty$ ) be a family of operators belonging to  $B_2(Y)$  such that  $K(x)$  is continuous under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  with respect to  $x$  and that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|K(x)\|_2^2 dx < +\infty$ . Let  $\{\psi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; Y)$ . If we define  $\beta_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x)\psi_j(x)dx$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\beta_j\|_Y^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|K(x)\|_2^2 dx$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a complete orthonormal system in  $Y$ . Then, we set  $k^{ji}(x) = (K(x)\theta_j, \theta_i)_Y$  and  $t_{ji}(x) = (\psi_j(x), \theta_i)_Y$ . We note that  $\{t_j(x) = (t_{ji}(x))_{i=1}^{\infty}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  forms a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; \ell^2)$ , where  $\ell^2$  denotes the usual Hilbert space consisting of all complex-valued square summable series. By the above definitions of  $k^{ji}(x)$  and  $t_{ji}(x)$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad K(x)\psi_j(x) = \sum_{i,\ell=1}^{\infty} t_{ji}(x)k^{i\ell}(x)\theta_{\ell}.$$

By (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\beta_j\|_Y^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x)\psi_j(x)dx, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x)\psi_j(x)dx \right)_Y \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i,\ell=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_{ji}(x)k^{i\ell}(x)dx\theta_{\ell}, \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_{jn}(x)k^{nm}(x)dx\theta_m \right)_X \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_{ji}(x)k^{i\ell}(x)dx \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |k^{i\ell}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|K(x)\|_2^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\{t_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  forms a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; \ell^2)$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  ( $\gamma$ : positive real number) be the Hilbert space with the scalar product  $(u, v)_\gamma = (A_\gamma^*u, A_\gamma v)_0$  and the norm  $\|u\|_\gamma^2 = \|A_\gamma^*u\|_0^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{-\gamma}$  ( $\gamma > 0$ ) be the dual space of  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ . The norm in  $\mathcal{H}_{-\gamma}$  is defined by

$$\|u\|_{-\gamma} = \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma} \frac{|(u, v)_0|}{\|v\|_\gamma}.$$

It has been shown in [3] that the space  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is characterized as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_\gamma \begin{cases} = H_0^1(0, 1) \cap H^{2\gamma}(0, 1), & (1/2 < \gamma \leq 1) \\ = H_0^{2\gamma}(0, 1), & (1/4 < \gamma < 1/2) \\ = H^{2\gamma}(0, 1). & (-1/4 < \gamma < 1/4). \end{cases}$$

Let  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  be the Banach space of all bounded operators from  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{H}_\beta$  with the usual operator norm  $\|B\|_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ . When  $B$  belongs to  $B(\alpha, \alpha)$ , in particular, we write  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ .

The following proposition is well-known.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** (*Interpolation theorem*) ([6]). *Let  $B$  be a bounded operator belonging to  $B(\alpha, 0) \cap B(\beta, 0)$  ( $\alpha \leq \beta$ ). Then,  $B$  is the bounded operator belonging to  $B(\gamma, 0)$  ( $\alpha \leq \gamma \leq \beta$ ) and satisfies the following estimate*

$$\|B\|_{(\gamma, 0)} \leq C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \|B\|_{(\alpha, 0)}^{(\beta-\gamma)/(\beta-\alpha)} \|B\|_{(\beta, 0)}^{(\gamma-\alpha)/(\beta-\alpha)}.$$

where a constant  $C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is independent of  $B$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $-1/4 < \gamma \leq 0$ , then the operators  $A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)$  and*

$A(t)^{-1/2}P^*(t)$  can be extended to bounded operators belonging to  $B(\gamma, \gamma)$  with the operator norm independent of  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ .

*Proof.* We shall give the proof only for  $A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)$ . Since  $C_0^\infty(0, 1)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ , it is sufficient to show that for any  $u \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \|A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)u\|_\gamma \leq C \|u\|_\gamma.$$

Let  $u \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$ . Then, by the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)u\|_\gamma &= \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}_{-\gamma}} \frac{|(A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)u, v)_0|}{\|v\|_{-\gamma}} \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}_{-\gamma}} \frac{|(u, P^*(t)A(t)^{-1/2}v)_0|}{\|v\|_{-\gamma}} \\ &\leq \|u\|_\gamma \sup_{v \in \mathcal{H}_{-\gamma}} \frac{\|P^*(t)A(t)^{-1/2}v\|_{-\gamma}}{\|v\|_{-\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $P^*(t)$  is a bounded operator from  $\mathcal{H}_{1/2-\gamma} = H_0^1(0, 1) \cap H^{1-2r}(0, 1)$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{-\gamma} = H^{-2r}(0, 1)$  with the operator norm independent of  $t$ . Hence, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \|P^*(t)A(t)^{-1/2}v\|_{-\gamma} \leq C \|A(t)^{-1/2}v\|_{1/2-\gamma} \leq C \|v\|_{-\gamma},$$

which together with (3.4) implies our assertion (3.3). Q.E.D.

#### § 4. Main theorem.

In this section, our main theorem stated in § 1 will be proved by a series of lemmas.

Let  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$  be fixed. Then, we consider the following differential equation for given  $f \in L^2(-\infty, \infty; X)$  and any  $h > 0$ :

$$(4.1) \quad (T(t) + h)u = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}u - \frac{d}{dx}P(t)u + P^*(t)\frac{d}{dx}u + A(t)u + hu = f.$$

The solution  $u(x)$  is given by

$$u(x) = (T(t) + h)^{-1}f = R_t(h)f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t(x - s; h)f(s)ds,$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad K_t(x - s; h) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x-s)\xi} (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} d\xi, \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})$$

$$(4.3) \quad E(t, \xi) = -i\xi P(t) + i\xi P^*(t) + A(t) .$$

We denote by  $R_t^{(j)}(h)$  ( $= 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) the operator  $\left(\frac{d}{dh}\right)^j R_t^{(j)}(h)$ , which is defined by

$$(4.4) \quad R_t^{(j)}(h)f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(j)}(x - s)f(s)ds ,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad K_t^{(j)}(x - s; h) = (-1)^j(j!)(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(x-s)\xi}(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-(j+1)}d\xi .$$

We often write  $R_t^{(0)}(h)$  and  $K_t^{(0)}(x; h)$  instead of  $R_t(h)$  and  $K_t(x; h)$  respectively.

Let us introduce real-valued  $C_0$ -functions  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $\psi(x)$  and  $\chi(x)$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  such that  $\varphi(x)$ ,  $\psi(x)$  and  $\chi(x) = 1$  if  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $= 0$  if  $|x| \geq 2$ , and that  $\varphi(x)\psi(x) \equiv \varphi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)\chi(x) \equiv \psi(x)$ . For each fixed  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  ( $\varepsilon < 1$ ), we denote by  $\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(x)$  the function  $\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(x) = \varphi((x - t)/\varepsilon)$ . Similarly we define the functions  $\psi_{t,\varepsilon}(x)$  and  $\chi_{t,\varepsilon}(x)$ . Then, putting  $R(h) = (T + h)^{-1}$  and using the resolvent equation, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}R(h) &= \psi_{t,\varepsilon}R_t(h)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon} \\ &+ \psi_{t,\varepsilon}R_t(h)((T(t) + h)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon} - \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(T + h))\chi_{t,\varepsilon}R(h) . \end{aligned}$$

(We have used that  $\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(T + h)\chi_{t,\varepsilon} = \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(T + h)$ .)

Let  $\{u_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be the normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of the operator  $T$ . Then, by letting (4.6) operate on each  $u_j(x)$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mu_j + h)^{-1}\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}u_j &= \psi_{t,\varepsilon}R_t(h)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}u_j \\ &+ (\mu_j + h)^{-1}\psi_{t,\varepsilon}R_t(h)B(t, s, \varepsilon)u_j , \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} B(t, s, \varepsilon) &= (T(t)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) - \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s)T)\chi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) = (T(t)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) - \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s)T) \\ &= \left( \left( -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \frac{d}{ds}P(t) + P^*(t)\frac{d}{ds} + A(t) \right) \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) \left( -\frac{d^2}{ds^2} - \frac{d}{ds}P(s) + P^*(s)\frac{d}{ds} + A(s) \right) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating (4.7)  $n$ -times with respect to  $h$  in the sense of  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; X)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^n(n!)(\mu_j + h)^{-(n+1)}\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}u_j \\
 (4.9) \quad & = \psi_{t,\varepsilon}R_t^{(n)}(h)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}u_j + \sum_{p=0}^n C_p(\mu_j + h)^{-(n-p+1)}\psi_{t,\varepsilon}R_t^{(p)}(h)B(t, s, \varepsilon)u_j .
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by rewriting (4.9) in the form of the integral equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^n(n!)(\mu_j + h)^{-(n+1)}\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(x)u_j(x) \\
 (4.10) \quad & = \psi_{t,\varepsilon}(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(n)}(x - s; h)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s)u_j(s)ds \\
 & + \sum_{p=0}^n C_p(\mu_j + h)^{-(n-p+1)}\psi_{t,\varepsilon}(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(p)}(x - s; h)B(t, s, \varepsilon)u_j(s)ds \\
 & = a_j(t, x, \varepsilon) + \sum_{p=0}^n C_p b_{j,p}(t, x, \varepsilon) .
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that by the regularity theorem for elliptic operators, the eigenfunction  $u_j(x)$  belongs to  $C^\infty(-\infty, \infty; X)$  (the set of smooth functions with values in  $X$ ). Hence, the equality (4.10) is well-defined for all  $x$ . By this fact, we can put  $x = t$  in (4.10). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-1)^n(n!)(\mu_j + h)^{-(n+1)}u_j(t) \\
 (4.11) \quad & = a_j(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{p=0}^n C_p b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have set  $a_j(t, \varepsilon) = a_j(t, t, \varepsilon)$  and  $b_j(t, \varepsilon) = b_{j,p}(t, t, \varepsilon)$ .

By taking the scalar products in  $X$  of both sides of (4.11) and the summation with respect to  $j$ , and integrating over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.12) \quad & (n!)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_j(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt \\
 & + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} \left( a_j(t, \varepsilon), \sum_{p=0}^n C_p b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) \right)_0 dt \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{p=0}^n C_p b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) \right\|_0^2 dt .
 \end{aligned}$$

This is our basic equality in proving the main theorem.

From now on, we fix  $n$  (integer) such that

$$(4.13) \quad n > 1/2 + 1/2m - 1 .$$

Let  $\{\alpha_j(t, \xi)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be eigenvalues of the operator  $E(t, \xi)$ . Then, we have

**LEMMA 4.1.** *For any  $r > 1/2 + 1/2m$ , there exist positive constants  $C_1(r)$  and  $C_2(r)$  independent of  $h > 2$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1(r)h^{1/2+1/2m-r} &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\leq C_2(r)h^{1/2+1/2m-r} . \\ &\quad (0 < m < 1) \end{aligned} \right. \\
 C_1(r)h^{1-r} \log h &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\leq C_2(r)h^{1-r} \log h . \\ &\quad (m = 1) \end{aligned} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi \\
 &\sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \zeta_j q(t)^{-2})^{-2(n+1)} d\xi , \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty .
 \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the above lemmas will be given in this section after the proof of Theorem 1.1. In what follows, we shall state two lemmas concerning the estimates for  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_j(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$ . These lemmas will be proved in the following two sections.

LEMMA 4.3. *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any sufficiently large  $r$ , there exists a constant  $C(r, \varepsilon)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_j(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt - (n!)^2(2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi \right| \\
 &\leq C(r, \varepsilon)h^{-r} .
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.4. *For any sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we can take  $\varepsilon(\delta)$  small enough and  $h(\delta)$  large enough so that for any  $h > h(\delta)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon(\delta))\|_0^2 dt &\leq \delta h^{1/2+1/2m-2(n+1)} \quad (0 < m < 1) . \\
 &(\leq \delta h^{1-2(n+1)} \log h \quad (m = 1).) \quad (0 \leq p \leq n) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1:*

From (4.12) it follows that for any sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C(\delta)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| (n!)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_j(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt \right| \\
 &\leq \delta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_j(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt + C(\delta) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^n \|b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by virtue of Lemmas 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4, we can first choose  $\varepsilon(\delta)$

small enough and next  $h(\delta)$  large enough so that for any  $h > h(\delta)$

$$(4.13) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi \right|$$

$$\leq \delta h^{1/2+1/2m-2(n+1)} \quad (0 < m < 1) .$$

$$\leq \delta h^{1-2(n+1)} \log h \quad (m = 1) .$$

Furthermore, by using Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n+1)} \sim (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \zeta_j q(t)^{-2})^{-2(n+1)} d\xi$$

as  $h \rightarrow \infty$  .

Now we are in a position to apply the Tauberian theorem due to Keldysh (see [5]) to (4.14). Then, we have

$$N(h) \sim (\pi)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_j(h)} (h - \zeta_j q(x)^{-2})^{1/2} dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty ,$$

which completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

Next we shall prove Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

*Proof of Lemma 4.1:*

An argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 shows that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0)$ ,

$$C((\xi^2 u, u)_0 + (1 + |t|^{2m})(A_0 u, u)_0)$$

$$\leq (\xi^2 u, u)_0 + (E(t, \xi)u, u)_0$$

$$\leq C((\xi^2 u, u)_0 + (1 + |t|^{2m})(A_0 u, u)_0) .$$

Hence, we have

$$(4.15) \quad C(\xi^2 + \zeta_j(1 + |t|^{2m})) \leq \xi^2 + \alpha_j(t, \xi) \leq C(\xi^2 + \zeta_j(1 + |t|^{2m})) .$$

By using (4.15), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-r} d\xi$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta_j^{-1/2m} (\zeta_j + h)^{1/2+1/2m-r} \leq Ch^{1/2+1/2m-r} \quad (0 < m < 1) .$$

( $\leq Ch^{1-r} \log h$  ( $m = 1$ )).

Thus we have obtained the estimate from above. Similarly we can get the estimate from below. Q.E.D.

*Proof of Lemma 4.2:*

We shall give only an outline. As was remarked in § 2, coefficients of  $P(t)$  are uniformly bounded. Hence, for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $R(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $|t| \geq R(\varepsilon)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^{1/2})$ ,

$$(4.16) \quad \|P(t)u\|_0 \leq \varepsilon q(t)^{-1} \|A_0^{1/2}u\|_0 .$$

From (4.16) it readily follows that for  $|t| \geq R(\varepsilon)$ ,

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - C\varepsilon)(\xi^2 + h + \zeta_j q(t)^{-2}) &\leq \xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi) \\ &\leq (1 + C\varepsilon)(\xi^2 + h + \zeta_j q(t)^{-2}) . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any bounded interval  $I$ , we have

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_I dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi \leq C(I)h^{1-2(n+1)} .$$

By combining (4.17) and (4.18), we obtain the proof. Q.E.D.

**§ 5. Proof of Lemma 4.3.**

Lemma 4.3 is proved with the aid of the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $\alpha$  be any non-negative integer and let  $n$  be the fixed integer by (4.13). Then, the operator  $\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-(n+1)}$  belongs to  $B_2(X)$  and satisfies the following estimate:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-(n+1)} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\alpha, n)(\xi^2 + h)^{-\alpha/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} \right)^{1/2} . \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma will be given at the end of this section

*Proof of Lemma 4.3:*

By virtue of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|a_j(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |K_t^{(n)}(t - s; h)\varphi_{t,s}(s) | \|_2^2 ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |K_t^{(n)}(t - s; h) | \|_2^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |K_t^{(n)}(t - s; h) | \|_2^2 (\varphi_{t,s}(s)^2 - 1) ds , \\ &= I(t) + II(t) . \end{aligned}$$

We first investigate the term  $I(t)$ . By means of the Parseval equality we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad I(t) &= (n!)^2(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)}\|_2^2 d\xi \\
 &= (n!)^2(2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall deal with the term  $II(t)$ . We note that

$$(t - s)^\alpha K_t^{(n)}(t - s; h) = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-s)\xi} \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi.$$

By using Lemma 5.1 and this equality, we calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad &\|K_t^{(n)}(t - s; h)\|_2 \\
 &\leq C |t - s|^{-\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h)^{-\alpha/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)}\right)^{1/2} d\xi, \\
 &\leq C |t - s|^{-\alpha} h^{-\alpha/2+1/4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi\right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Schwarz' inequality)

Furthermore, by virtue of Lemma 4.1 and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |II(t)| dt &\leq C(\varepsilon) h^{-\alpha+1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} d\xi \\
 &\leq C(\varepsilon) h^{-\alpha+1+1/2m-2(n+1)} \quad (0 < m < 1). \\
 &(\leq C(\varepsilon) h^{-\alpha+2-2(n+1)} \log h \quad (m = 1).)
 \end{aligned}$$

(We have used the inequality  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t - s|^{-2\alpha} |\varphi_{t,s}(s)^2 - 1| ds \leq C(\varepsilon)$ )

By combining (5.2) and (5.4), we get the proof since  $\alpha$  is arbitrary.

In order to prove Lemma 5.1, we have to prepare the following two lemmas

**LEMMA 5.2.** *For any non-negative integer  $\alpha$ , the following estimates hold:*

$$(5.5) \quad \left\| \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} \right\|_0 \leq C(\alpha) (\xi^2 + h)^{-(\alpha/2+1)};$$

$$(5.6) \quad \left\| P(t) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} \right\|_0 \leq C(\alpha) (\xi^2 + h)^{-(\alpha+1)/2}.$$

Replacing  $P(t)$  by  $P^*(t)$  in (5.6), we have the same estimate as (5.6).

*Proof.* We shall make induction on  $\alpha$ . It is clear that (5.5) and (5.6) hold when  $\alpha=0$ . Assuming that (5.5) and (5.6) are valid for  $\alpha \leq k$ , we shall prove (5.5) when  $\alpha = k + 1$ . For the sake of simplicity, we set  $(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} = F(t, \xi)$ . Then, a direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{(k+1)} F(t, \xi) &= -\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^k \{F(t, \xi)(2\xi - iP(t) + iP^*(t))F(t, \xi)\} \\
 &= 2\xi \sum_{p=0}^k C(p) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^p F(t, \xi) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{(k-p)} F(t, \xi) \\
 (5.7) \quad &+ \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} C(q) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^q F(t, \xi) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{(k-1-q)} F(t, \xi) \\
 &+ \sum_{r=0}^k C(r) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^r F(t, \xi) (-iP(t) + iP^*(t)) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{(k-r)} F(t, \xi) .
 \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of induction, (5.7) implies (5.5) with  $\alpha = k + 1$ . By multiplying (5.7) by  $P(t)$  from the left, we obtain (5.6) with  $\alpha = k + 1$ .  
 Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5.3. For any non-negative integer  $\alpha$ ,  $\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1}$  belongs to  $B_r(X)$  ( $\gamma \geq 2$ ) with the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.8) \quad &\left\| \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} \right\|_r \\
 &\leq C(\alpha, \gamma) (\xi^2 + h)^{-\alpha/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-r} \right)^{1/r} .
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of the above lemma, we shall give the proof by induction on  $\alpha$ . It is clear that (5.8) is valid for  $\alpha = 0$ . Under the assumption that (5.8) is true for  $\alpha \leq k$ , we shall show that (5.8) holds also when  $\alpha = k + 1$ . Noting that if  $A \in B_r(X)$  and  $B \in B(X)$ , then  $A \cdot B$  belongs to  $B_r(X)$  with the estimate  $\|A \cdot B\|_r \leq \|A\|_r \|B\|_0$ , we have, by means of Lemma 5.2 and (5.7), the desired result.  
 Q.E.D.

Now we shall prove Lemma 5.1.

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we set  $F(t, \xi) = (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1}$ . Then, a simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha F(t, \xi)^{(n+1)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_{n+1} = \alpha} C(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1}) \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_1} F(t, \xi) \cdots \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_{n+1}} F(t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_k} F(t, \xi)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ ) belongs to  $B_{2(n+1)}(X)$ , we have, by means of Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^\alpha F(t, \xi)^{-(n+1)} \right\|_2 \\ & \leq C(\alpha) \sum_{\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_{n+1} = \alpha} \left\| \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_1} F(t, \xi) \right\|_{2(n+1)} \cdots \left\| \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_{n+1}} F(t, \xi) \right\|_{2(n+1)} \\ & \leq C(\alpha) (\xi^2 + h)^{-\alpha/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2(n+1)} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(We have used the well-known fact that if  $A \in B_p(X)$  and  $B \in B_q(X)$ , then  $A \cdot B \in B_r(X)$  ( $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ ) and satisfies  $\|A \cdot B\|_r \leq C(p, q) \|A\|_p \|B\|_q$ .)  
Q.E.D.

## § 6. Proof of Lemma 4.4.

In this section we shall prove Lemma 4.4. For this purpose, recalling the definition of the operator  $B(t, s, \varepsilon)$  given by (4.8), we rewrite  $B(t, s, \varepsilon)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad B(t, s, \varepsilon) &= B_1(t, s, \varepsilon) - \frac{d}{ds}(P(t) - P(s))\varphi_{t,s}(s) \\ &+ \frac{d}{ds}(P^*(t) - P^*(s))\varphi_{t,s}(s) + (A(t) - A(s))\varphi_{t,s}(s) \\ &= B_1(t, s, \varepsilon) + \sum_{k=0}^2 H_k(t, s, \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_1(t, s, \varepsilon) = \left[ -\left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^2, \varphi_{t,s} \right] + \left[ \varphi_{t,s}, \frac{d}{ds} \right] P(s) + \left[ \frac{d}{ds}, P^*(s) \right] \varphi_{t,s}.$$

( $[, ]$  stands for a commutator between two operators.)

Then,  $b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)$  is rewritten as follows:

$$b_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) = (\mu_j + h)^{-(n-p+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h) B_1(t, s, \varepsilon) u_j(s) ds$$

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & + (\mu_j + h)^{-(n-p+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h) \sum_{k=0}^2 H_k(t, s, \varepsilon) u_j(s) ds \\ & = d_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) + e_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) + f_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) + g_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We note that for  $v(s) \in C_0^\infty(-\infty, \infty; X)$  (the set of all  $X$ -valued smooth functions with compact support) and  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h) \frac{d}{ds} v(s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_t^{(p)}(t-s; h) v(s) dt,$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad F_t^{(p)}(t-s; h) = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-s)\xi} \xi (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-(p+1)} d\xi.$$

The relation (6.3) is easily obtained with the aid of the vector-valued Fourier transform. The integral (6.4) is valid also for  $p = 0$ . In this case, the integration must be taken in the weak sense. But we don't use this fact below. By virtue of (6.3), we can rewrite  $e_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) as follows:

$$e_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon) = (\mu_j + h)^{-(n-p+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_t^{(p)}(t-s; h) (P(s) - P(t)) \varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) u_j(s) ds.$$

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Lemma 4.4.

**LEMMA 6.1.** *Let  $K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)$  and  $F_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)$  be operators defined by (4.5) and (6.4) respectively. Then,  $K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)$ ,  $K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)^{1/2}$  and  $F_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)^{1/2}$  can be extended to bounded operators in  $X$  ( $t \neq s$ ) and satisfy the following estimates:*

$$(6.5) \quad |||K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)|||_0 \leq C(p, \alpha) h^{-p} |t-s|^{-\alpha},$$

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |||K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)^{1/2}|||_0 & \leq C(p, \alpha) h^{-p-1/2} |t-s|^{-\alpha}, \\ & \leq C(p, \beta) h^{-p} |t-s|^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.7) \quad |||F_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)^{1/2}|||_0 \leq C(p, \alpha) h^{-p} |t-s|^{-\alpha}, \quad (p \geq 1)$$

where constants  $C(p, \alpha)$  and  $C(p, \beta)$  are independent of  $t, s$  and  $h$ , and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are some constants satisfying  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$  respectively.

*Proof.* We shall give the proof only for (6.5) with  $p = 0$ , because (6.5) with general  $p$ , (6.6), (6.6') and (6.7) can be proved in the same

manner. Let  $\delta$  be a fixed number such that  $0 < \delta < 1/8$ . Then, we shall establish the following two assertions:

$$(6.8) \quad \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)u\|_0 \leq C(\delta)q(t)^{-(1+2\delta)} \|u\|_{1/2+\delta},$$

$$(6.9) \quad \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)u\|_0 \leq C(\delta)q(t)^{2\delta} |t - s|^{-2} \|u\|_{-\delta}, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0).$$

All constants  $C$  appearing throughout the proof of this lemma may depend only on  $\delta$ . If we can prove (6.8) and (6.9), the operator  $K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)$  can be extended to a bounded operator from  $\mathcal{H}_{1/2+\delta}$  to  $X$  and from  $\mathcal{H}_{-\delta}$  to  $X$  since  $\mathcal{D}(A_0)$  is dense in both spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{1/2+\delta}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{-\delta}$ . Hence, the application of the well-known interpolation theorem (Proposition 3.2) shows that

$$\| \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)\|_0 \leq C |t - s|^{-\alpha}, \quad (0 < \alpha < 2).$$

Proof of (6.8): Since  $\|A(t)^{1/2+\delta}u\|_0 \leq Cq(t)^{-(1+2\delta)} \|A_0^{1/2+\delta}u\|_0$  for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_0)$  by (2.9), it is sufficient to prove that

$$(6.10) \quad \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1/2-\delta}v\|_0 \leq C \|v\|_0, \quad \text{for } v \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^{1/2-\delta}).$$

By the definition of  $K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)$ , we have

$$(6.11) \quad K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1/2-\delta} = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-s)\xi} (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} A(t)^{1/2-\delta} d\xi.$$

On the other hand, we easily see that

$$(6.12) \quad \|(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{\delta-1/2} A(t)^{1/2-\delta} v\|_0 \leq C \|v\|_0,$$

$$(6.13) \quad \|(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-(1/2+\delta)}\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-(1/2+\delta)}.$$

Hence, in view of (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13), we have (6.10).

Proof of (6.9): Since  $\|A(t)^{-\delta}u\|_0 \leq Cq(t)^{2\delta} \|A_0^{-\delta}u\|_0$  by (2.10), it suffices to show that for  $w \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{1+\delta})$ ,

$$(6.14) \quad \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq C |t - s|^{-2} \|w\|_0.$$

The operator  $K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta}$  is represented as

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta} \\ &= C(t - s)^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-s)\xi} \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^2 (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1} A(t)^{1+\delta} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, we again put  $F(t, \xi) = (\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1}$ .

By the resolvent equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^2 F(t, \xi) &= \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^2 (\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1} \\
 (6.16) \quad &+ i \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^2 \{F(t, \xi)\xi P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}\} \\
 &- i \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^2 \{F(t, \xi)\xi P^*(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}\} \\
 &= I(t, \xi) + i II(t, \xi) - i III(t, \xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

By inserting (6.16) into (6.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta} \\
 (6.17) \quad &= C(t - s)^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-s)\xi} (I(t, \xi) + i II(t, \xi) - i III(t, \xi)) A(t)^{1+\delta} d\xi \\
 &= C(t - s)^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^2 K_{t,k}^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for  $w \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{1+\delta})$ ,

$$\|I(t, \xi)A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-(1-\delta)} \|w\|_0.$$

This implies that

$$(6.18) \quad \|K_{t,1}^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq \|w\|_0.$$

Next we shall investigate the term  $II(t, \xi)A(t)^{1+\delta}$ . A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.19) \quad II(t, \xi) &= \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 2} \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_1} \{F(t, \xi)\xi P(t)\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\beta_2} \{(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}\} \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1} \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\alpha_1} \{F(t, \xi)\}P(t)\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)^{\alpha_2} \{(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider only the term  $\left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)\{F(t, \xi)\}P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}$ , because the other terms can be dealt with in the same way. Putting  $2\xi - iP(t) + iP^*(t) = T(t, \xi)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\frac{d}{d\xi}\right)\{F(t, \xi)\}P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1} \\
 = -F(t, \xi)T(t, \xi)F(t, \xi)P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that for  $w \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{1+\delta})$ ,

$$(6.20) \quad \|F(t, \xi)P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-\delta} \|w\|_0.$$

If we have proved (6.20), then we have

$$(6.21) \quad \left\| \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \{F(t, \xi)\} P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1} A(t)^{1+\delta} w \right\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-1/2-\delta} \|w\|_0$$

since  $\|F(t, \xi)T(t, \xi)\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-1/2}$ .

Since the other terms in (6.19) obey the estimate of the same type as (6.21), we see that for  $w \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{1+\delta})$ ,

$$\|II(t, \xi)A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-1/2-\delta} \|w\|_0 .$$

This implies that

$$(6.22) \quad \|K_{t,2}^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq C \|w\|_0 .$$

Similarly we have

$$(6.23) \quad \|K_{t,3}^{(0)}(t - s; h)A(t)^{1+\delta}w\|_0 \leq C \|w\|_0 .$$

Hence, by combining (6.18), (6.22) and (6.23), we have (6.14).

Now we shall prove (6.20). To this end, we rewrite  $F(t, \xi)P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}A(t)^{1+\delta}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &F(t, \xi)P(t)(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}A(t)^{1+\delta} \\ &= [F(t, \xi)A(t)][A(t)^{-1}P(t)A(t)^{2\delta}][(\xi^2 + h + A(t))^{-1}A(t)^{1-\delta}] \\ &= II_1(t, \xi) II_2(t) II_3(t, \xi) . \end{aligned}$$

The operators  $II_1(t, \xi)$  and  $II_3(t, \xi)$  can be extended to bounded operators in  $X$  and satisfy the estimates  $\|II_1(t, \xi)\|_0 \leq C$  and  $\|II_3(t, \xi)\|_0 \leq C(\xi^2 + 1)^{-\delta}$  respectively. Hence, in order to prove (6.20), it is sufficient to show that  $II_2(t)$  can be extended to a bounded operator in  $X$  and that  $\|II_2(t)\|_0 \leq C$ . But this fact readily follows from Lemma 3.1. In fact, we have for  $w \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)^{2\delta})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A(t)^{-1}P(t)A(t)^{2\delta}w\|_0 \\ &\leq C \|A(t)^{-2\delta}A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)A(t)^{2\delta}w\|_0 \\ &\leq Cq(t)^{2\delta} \|A(t)^{-1/2}P(t)A(t)^{2\delta}w\|_{-2\delta} \\ &\leq Cq(t)^{2\delta} \|A(t)^{2\delta}w\|_{-2\delta} \leq C \|w\|_0 , \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\|II_2(t)\|_0 \leq C$  since  $\mathcal{D}(A(t)^{2\delta})$  is dense in  $X$ . Q.E.D.

**LEMMA 6.2.** *Let  $g_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) be the functions defined in (6.2). Then, for any sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon(\delta)$  such that for  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(\delta)$ ,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g_{j,p}(t, \epsilon)\|_0^2 dt \leq \delta h^{1/2+1/2m-2(n+1)} \quad (0 < m < 1) .$$

$$(\leq \delta h^{1-2(n+1)} \log h \quad (m = 1).)$$

Here we should note that  $\epsilon(\delta)$  is taken independently of  $h > 2$ .

*Proof.* We shall consider only the case of  $0 < m < 1$ . Two different methods of estimates will be employed in proving this lemma.

Case 1,  $0 \leq p < n + 1 - 1/4 - 1/4m$ : By the definition of  $g_{j,p}(t, \epsilon)$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g_{j,p}(t, \epsilon)\|_0^2 dt \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n-p+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)H_2(t, s, \epsilon)u_j(s)\|_0 ds \right)^2 .$$

Set  $I(t, s, j) = \|K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)H_2(t, s, \epsilon)u_j(s)\|_0$ . Then, by virtue of Lemma 6.1 and (2.14) in Lemma 2.2,  $I(t, s, j)$  is estimated as follows:

$$I(t, s, j) = \|[K_t^{(p)}(t-s; h)A(t)][A(t)^{-1}(A(t) - A(s))\varphi_{t,\epsilon}(s)]u_j(s)\|_0$$

$$\leq Ch^{-p} |t-s|^{1-\alpha} \|u_j(s)\|_0, \quad \text{for } |t-s| \leq 2\epsilon .$$

$$(= 0 \quad \text{for } |t-s| > 2\epsilon)$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I(t, s, j) ds \right)^2 \leq Ch^{-2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left( \int_{|t-s| \leq 2\epsilon} |t-s|^{1-\alpha} \|u_j(s)\|_0 ds \right)^2$$

$$\leq Ch^{-2p} \int_{|s| \leq 2\epsilon} |s|^{1-\alpha} ds \int_{|r| \leq 2\epsilon} |r|^{1-\alpha} dr$$

$$\cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|u_j(t+s)\|_0 \|u_j(t+r)\|_0 dt$$

$$\leq Ch^{-2p} \epsilon^{4-2\alpha} .$$

(We have used that  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|u_j(t+s)\|_0 \|u_j(t+r)\|_0 dt \leq 1$  (Schwarz's inequality).) On the other hand, we have proved in Proposition 2.1 that if  $2(n-p+1) > 1/2 + 1/2m$ ,

$$(6.25) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n-p+1)} \leq Ch^{1/2+1/2m-2(n-p+1)} .$$

Hence, by combining (6.24) and (6.25), we have the desired estimate.

Case 2,  $n \geq p \geq n + 1 - 1/4 - 1/4m > (1/4 + 1/4m)$ : ( $m \leq 1/3$ )<sup>1)</sup>

It is easily seen that the operator  $K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)H_2(t, s, \varepsilon)$  belongs to  $B_2(X)$  since  $(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-1}A(t)$  can be extended to a bounded operator in  $X$ . Therefore, by virtue of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$(6.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|g_{j,p}(t, \xi)\|_0^2 &\leq h^{-2(n-p+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)H_2(t, s, \varepsilon)u_j(s)ds \right\|_0^2 \\ &= h^{-2(n-p+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)H_2(t, s, \varepsilon) | \|_2^2 ds . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it follows from (2.14) in Lemma 2.2 that

$$(6.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\| |K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)H_2(t, s, \varepsilon) | \|_2 \\ &= \| | [K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)A(t)] [A(t)^{-1}(A(t) - A(s))\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s)] | \|_2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \| |K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)A(t) | \|_2 . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, with the aid of the Parseval equality we have

$$(6.28) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |K_t^{(p)}(t - s; h)A(t) | \|_2^2 dt \\ &= C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-(p+1)}A(t) | \|_2^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \| |(\xi^2 + h + E(t, \xi))^{-p} | \|_2^2 d\xi \\ &= C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2p} d\xi . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by combining (6.27) and (6.28) with (6.26), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|g_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 \leq C\varepsilon h^{-2(n-p+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\xi^2 + h + \alpha_j(t, \xi))^{-2p} d\xi ,$$

which together with Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|g_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt \leq C\varepsilon h^{1/2+1/2m-2(n+1)} .$$

This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

**LEMMA 6.3.** *Let  $e_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)$  be the function defined in (6.2). Then, for any sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $\varepsilon(\delta)$  and  $h(\delta)$  such that for  $h > h(\delta)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon(\delta))\|_0^2 dt &\leq \delta h^{1/2+1/2m-2(n+1)} \quad (0 < m < 1) , \\ &(\leq \delta h^{1-2(n+1)} \log h \quad (m = 1.) \end{aligned}$$

1) If  $m > 1/3$ , it is enough to consider only the case 1.

*Proof.*

Case 1,  $n \geq p \geq 1$ :

By using (6.7) instead of (6.5), the proof is obtained exactly in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 6.2.

Case 2,  $p = 0$ :

Recalling the definition of  $H_0(t, s, \varepsilon)$  given by (6.1), we rewrite  $H_0(t, s, \varepsilon)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(t, s, \varepsilon) &= -(P(t) - P(s))\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) \frac{d}{ds} - (P(t) - P(s))\varphi'_{t,\varepsilon}(s) + P'(s)\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s) \\ &= I_1(t, s, \varepsilon) + I_2(t, s, \varepsilon) + I_3(t, s, \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where we put  $P'(s) = \frac{d}{ds}P(s)$  and  $\varphi'_{t,\varepsilon}(s) = \frac{d}{ds}\varphi_{t,\varepsilon}(s)$ . Then,  $e_{j,0}(t, \varepsilon)$  is rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{j,0}(t, \varepsilon) &= (\mu_j + h)^{-(n+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h) \sum_{k=1}^3 I_k(t, s, \varepsilon) u_j(s) ds \\ &= e_{j,0,1}(t, \varepsilon) + e_{j,0,2}(t, \varepsilon) + e_{j,0,3}(t, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

(1) Case 2-1, estimate of  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,1}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$ :

We note that there exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $j$  such that

$$(6.29) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{ds} u_j(s) \right\|_0^2 ds \leq C\mu_j.$$

Put  $\Pi_1(t, s, j) = \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h) I_1(t, s, \varepsilon) u_j(s)\|_0$ . Then, an argument similar to the proof of the case 1 in Lemma 6.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1(t, s, j) &\leq Ch^{-1/2} |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \left\| \frac{d}{ds} u_j(s) \right\|_0, \quad \text{for } |t - s| \leq 2\varepsilon. \\ &= 0, \quad \text{for } |t - s| > 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using (6.29) and this estimate, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Pi_1(t, s, j) ds \right)^2 \leq Ch^{-1} \varepsilon^{4-2\alpha} \mu_j.$$

Hence, by virtue of Proposition 2.1, it readily follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,1}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt &\leq Ch^{-1} \varepsilon^{4-2\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j + h)^{-2(n+1)} \mu_j \\ &\leq Ch^{-1} \varepsilon^{4-2\alpha} h^{1/2+1/2m-2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon(\delta)$  in the above estimate so that  $C\varepsilon^{4-2\alpha} < \delta$ , we can get the desired estimate for the term  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,1}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$ .

(2) Case 2-2, estimate of  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,2}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$ :

Set  $\Pi_2(t, s, j) = \|K_t^{(0)}(t - s; h) I_2(t, s, \varepsilon) u_j(s)\|_0$ . Then, by virtue of (6.6) in Lemma 6.1, we have

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi_2(t, s, j) &\leq C(\varepsilon) h^{-1/2} |t - s|^{1-\alpha} \|u_j(s)\|_0, & \text{for } |t - s| \leq 2\varepsilon. \\ &= 0 & \text{for } |t - s| \geq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as in the proof of the above case 2-1, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,2}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt \leq C(\varepsilon) \cdot h^{-1} h^{1/2+1/2m-2(n+1)}.$$

Choosing  $h$  such that  $C(\varepsilon)h^{-1} < \delta$ , we have have the desired estimate for the term  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,2}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$ .

Similarly we can see that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,3}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$  is estimated as in  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e_{j,0,2}(t, \varepsilon)\|_0^2 dt$ .

Combining the results of the cases 2-1 and 2-2, the proof is completed. Q.E.D.

A method similar to those given in the proofs of Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 can be applied also to  $d_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)$  and  $f_{j,p}(t, \varepsilon)$ . Thus the proof of Lemma 4.4 is completed.

### 7. Generalizations.

The method developed in the preceding sections can be applied to more general problems.

#### 7.1. Multi-dimensional case.

Let us consider the following problem:

$$(7.1) \quad -\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} u - \Delta_y u = \lambda u, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where  $\Delta_y = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2}$ .

Here we impose the following assumptions on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+k}$ .

(A-1)  $\Omega$  is a domain of the form  $\Omega = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^k, y \in \Omega(x) \subset \mathbf{R}^n\}$ , where  $\Omega(x)$  is a bounded domain for each fixed  $x \in \mathbf{R}^k$ .

(A-2) There exists a family of differentiable mappings of class  $C^\infty$ ,  $\{g(x, y) = (g_i(x, y))_{i=1}^n\}$ , from  $\Omega(x)$  onto  $\Omega(0)$  satisfying the following assumptions:

Let  $m$  be a positive constant such that  $0 < m \leq k/n$  and let  $C$  be a positive constant independent of  $x, y \in \Omega(x)$  and  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

(1) For the Jacobian  $J(x, y) = \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} g_i(x, y) \right) \right|,$

$$C(1 + |x|)^{mn} \leq J(x, y) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{mn} .$$

(2) For  $g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} g_j(x, y),$

$$C(1 + |x|)^m |\xi|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ij}(x, y) \xi_i \xi_j \right)^2 \leq C(1 + |x|)^m |\xi|^2 .$$

(3) For any multi-index  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 2, \left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha g_i(x, y) \right| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{m-|\alpha|} .$

If a domain  $\Omega$  satisfies the above assumptions (A-1) and (A-2), we say that  $\Omega$  belongs to  $D(m)$ .

**THEOREM 7.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain belonging to  $D(m)$  with  $0 < m \leq k/n$ . Let  $\{\alpha_j(x)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be eigenvalues of the operator  $-\Delta_y$  with the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_y) = H_0^1(\Omega(x)) \cap H^2(\Omega(x))$ .  $N(h)$  denotes the number of eigenvalues less than  $h$  of the problem (7.1). Then,*

$$N(h) \sim C \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\Omega_j(h)} (h - \alpha_j(x))^{k/2} dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty ,$$

where  $C = ((2\sqrt{\pi})^k \Gamma(1 + k/2))^{-1}$  and  $\Omega_j(h) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^k \mid \alpha_j(x) > h\}$ .

**7.2. Case of domains with a finite number of holes:**

Consider the following eigenvalue problem:

(7.2) 
$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u = \lambda u , \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega) .$$

Here we assume that an open domain  $\Omega = \{(x, y) \mid -\infty < x < \infty, y \in \Omega(x)\}$  with the smooth boundary is decomposed into

$$(-\infty, -R) \times (0, q(x)) \cup \Omega_0 \cup (R, \infty) \times (0, q(x)) ,$$

where  $R$  is some constant and  $q(x)$  is a smooth function belonging to  $K(m)$ , while  $\Omega_0$  is not necessarily a simply connected domain but may have a finite number of holes.

**THEOREM 7.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open domain satisfying the above assumptions. Let  $\{\alpha_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be eigenvalues of the operator  $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$  with the domain of definition  $H_0^1(\Omega(x)) \cap H^2(\Omega(x))$ . Then,*

$$N(h) \sim (\pi)^{-1} \int_{\Omega_j(h)} (h - \alpha_j(x))^{1/2} dx \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty .$$

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] C. Clark, An asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator in an unbounded domain, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1966), pp. 709–713.
- [ 2 ] I. M. Glazman and B. Ya. Skacek, On the discrete part of the spectrum of the Laplacian in limit cylindrical domains, *Dok. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **147** (1962), pp. 760–763.
- [ 3 ] P. Grisvard, Caractérisation de quelques espaces d'interpolation, *Ark. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, **25** (1967), pp. 40–63.
- [ 4 ] D. E. Hewgill, On the eigenvalues of the Laplacian operator in an unbounded domain, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **27** (1967), pp. 153–164.
- [ 5 ] A. G. Kostjuchenko and B. M. Levitan, Asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville operator problem, *Funkcional Anal. i Prilozen*, **1** (1967), pp. 86–96 (in Russian), *Funct. Analysis Appl.*, **1** (1967), pp. 75–83.
- [ 6 ] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, 1, Paris Dunod (1968).
- [ 7 ] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction Expansions associated with second order differential equations*, vol. II. Oxford University Press (1958).

*Department of Mathematics  
Nagoya University*