A computed tomography scan application to evaluate adiposity in a minipig model of human obesity
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The aim of the present study was to describe and validate a computed tomography (CT) method to analyse adiposity distribution in Göttingen minipigs. Adiposity was evaluated in two groups of minipigs. In group 1 \((n=8)\), measurements were performed before and after the induction of obesity. In group 2 \((n=7)\), animals were fed rations designed to obtain heterogeneous adiposity before analyses. CT acquisitions were associated with anatomical, ultrasonography and body chemical measurements. Our CT method was based on acquisition of a single slice at a fixed anatomical landmark, calculation of individual X-ray density ranges for CT values and delineation of the three main adipose compartments (subcutaneous adipose tissue, SAT; retroperitoneal adipose tissue, RAT; and visceral adipose tissue, VAT). Our validation measures showed that the CT-scan method was accurate, sensitive and reliable. The CT data were found to be correlated with body weight, abdominal perimeter, ultrasonography, anatomical measurements and body chemical composition (from \(r=0.84\) to \(0.93\), \(P<0.001\) for all), with a pitfall concerning the precise estimation of VAT. With increased body weight, the amount of adipose tissue increased and the relative proportion of SAT increased, whereas the relative proportion of RAT and VAT decreased \((P<0.001\) for all). Adiposity measured by CT, and especially SAT, was found to be negatively correlated with insulin sensitivity \((r=0.54, P<0.05)\). In conclusion, a precise evaluation of the adipose compartments in minipigs was done by CT. Therefore, the use of Göttingen minipigs is relevant to further investigate the relationship between the different adipose tissues and obesity.


Increase in adipose tissue (AT) mass and changes in AT distribution are associated with increased risk of developing disorders such as the metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular diseases or diabetes\textsuperscript{(17)}. Subcutaneous (SAT) and intra-abdominal AT (IAAT) have major functional and structural differences\textsuperscript{(2)}. This latter AT is composed of visceral AT (VAT) (bound by the \textit{fascia transversalis} and retroperitoneal AT (RAT) (between the \textit{fascia transversalis} and the \textit{fascia abdominis subcutanea} or Scarpa’s fascia)\textsuperscript{[3]}. Visceral adiposity is highly correlated with a metabolic risk\textsuperscript{(4)}). Therefore, an accurate determination of body adiposity and AT distribution is of major interest to evaluate the metabolic risk of patients and/or further investigate the relationship between physiology and adiposity parameters in human subjects or animal models. Even though IAAT can also be estimated by anatomical indexes such as BMI and waist circumference\textsuperscript{(5,6)}, some studies demonstrated that those measurements may misclassify individuals in terms of metabolic risk\textsuperscript{(7,8)}. In addition to anthropometric measurements, AT mass can also be assessed by bioelectric impedance, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, abdominal ultrasonography, MRI and computed tomography (CT)\textsuperscript{(9)}. Because of its spatial resolution and reproducibility, CT is now considered as the ‘gold’ standard for adiposity measurement. In human subjects, several analytical methods based on single-slice scan estimation have been described\textsuperscript{(10,11)}. The use of such a method instead of a full abdominal three-dimensional reconstruction reduces X-ray exposure, as well as image acquisition and analysis time. Moreover, it is less expensive than a whole-body scan, and it is less prone to motion artifacts mainly associated with respiratory excursion. CT scanners are now available for the quantification of AT and its distribution in laboratory rodents, and these measurements have been validated by several authors\textsuperscript{(12–14)}. Luu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(14)} even demonstrated that the analysis of selected abdominal slices showed a good correlation with whole-body scans, though such studies using alternative research models are lacking.

The pig is emerging as a model of predilection in biomedical research\textsuperscript{(15,16)} because of its metabolic features and ability to develop metabolic disorders observed in humans (e.g. excessive fat deposition, diabetes, atherosclerosis and hypertension). As a consequence, the number of studies using minipigs as a model of adult human obesity has increased in the recent years\textsuperscript{(17,18)}. To our knowledge, the use of CT to evaluate body adiposity in the pig has been mentioned in only one study\textsuperscript{(18)}, but this method has not been validated properly in this species. The main purpose of
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the present study was thus to validate the use of CT to assess AT distribution and AT mass in comparison with ultrasonography, anatomical measurements and body chemical analysis in Gottingen minipigs, which have been widely used in the literature. To determine whether these minipigs may be a good model of obesity, the effect of a deleterious diet on adiposity and insulin resistance was also examined. Another important point is that this diet intervention is a means to induce large variation in body composition. It thus allows us to calculate correlations between different measures of adiposity.

Materials and methods

Animals and diets

The present experiment was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the European Community (directive 86/609/EEC), agreement no. A35-622 and authorisation no. 01894. In the present study, 30-month-old adult male Gottingen miniature pigs were used (Ellegard Gottingen Minipigs ApS, Dalmose, Denmark). They were housed in individual pens under controlled conditions (temperature kept between 22 and 23°C) with 12 h light–12 h dark cycle and had free access to water.

Before beginning the experiments, all the pigs were fed a standard diet (Table 1) that was formulated and rationed to maintain a lean phenotype in the Gottingen minipig (41.5 g/kg·0.75 of body weight once daily at 09.00 hours, on average 647 MJ/d per animal). Three groups of pigs were used. In group 1, eight lean animals were submitted to a first set of CT-scan and ultrasonographic adiposity measurements. Then, they were offered ad libitum a Western diet (WD, Table 1) enriched with carbohydrates and lipids (one meal offered at 09.00 hours and calculated to exceed the daily consumption of the animals, on average 19.39 MJ/d per animal). After 15 weeks of such a diet, a second set of adiposity measurements was performed. In group 2, seven other animals were offered a WD during 4–10 weeks according to a rationing plan designed to obtain animals with a wide range of body weight and adiposity (from 6.16 to 15.84 MJ and on average 11.13 MJ/d per animal). These minipigs were submitted to CT-scan adiposity measurements at the end of this period and thereafter, they were slaughtered in our experimental slaughterhouse by electrical stunning and exsanguination for direct ex vivo AT evaluation. The measurements performed for all the animals are summarised in Table 2. In a third group, four animals (one Gottingen minipig and three growing pigs) were used to validate the CT-scan method.

Computed tomography-scan adiposity measurement

Computed tomography-scan procedure and analysis. Feed was withheld for 15–18 h before CT measurements. Animals were anaesthetised before CT-scan acquisition with an injection of ketamine (5 mg/kg intramuscularly; Rhône Merieux, Lyon, France). CT scans (Hispeed NX/I; General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA) were performed with all subjects in the prone position (Axial slice, 120 kV, 80–100 mA depending of the scout scan data, section thickness of 4 mm, exposition time of 3000 ms, data collection diameter of 500 mm, reconstruction type set as standard, no reconstruction filter and matrix size 512 × 512). A scout acquisition was performed in all subjects to localise the thoracic vertebra no. 13 (T13) and lumbar vertebra no. 2 (L2). Two cross-sectional scans were acquired at these two levels that were chosen for three reasons. First, CT scans in human subjects are generally performed at a level where the abdominal girth is the widest, i.e. the L4–L5 level (preferable to a slice at the umbilicus location which is highly variable among individuals). In minipigs, the abdominal girth is widest at the T13–L2 levels. Secondly, the L2 level is of particular interest in the pig because the P2 site, a commonly used site in the pig industry to measure SAT thickness by ultrasonography, is located on this slice. McEvoy et al.19 indicated that the current P2 site is situated in an area of low local variation and optimal correlation with the total volume of SAT. Finally, it is easier to delineate manually the RAT after identification of the kidneys that are clearly visible at the L2 level in the pig. Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) 3.0-uncompressed images were exported to ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD, USA) for further analyses. The CT-scan machine was calibrated daily against air density and 30–60 min before the animal scan. The CT was also calibrated weekly for Hounsfield units with a water phantom. Its spatial resolution was also evaluated weekly against a water/Plexiglas phantom. The size of the reconstructed pixels was 0.495 mm² (1422 pixels/mm). The method used in the present study was based on the standardised technique for adiposity measurement in human subjects10. A region of interest of the well-identified subcutaneous adipose layer was delineated by manual drawing of its contour on each scan (Fig. 1a) and (b), and the X-ray density range (in Hounsfield units) for AT was calculated. A histogram for AT was computed to extract the mean and standard deviation. Three regions of interest were then drawn on each scan to include the whole

Table 1. Composition and nutritional values of the standard and Western diets used to feed the Gottingen minipigs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (%)</th>
<th>Standard diet</th>
<th>Western diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>10-00</td>
<td>32-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley</td>
<td>33-00</td>
<td>10-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyabean meal</td>
<td>6-00</td>
<td>15-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molasses</td>
<td>1-00</td>
<td>2-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lard</td>
<td>0-00</td>
<td>10-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat bran</td>
<td>25-00</td>
<td>12-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower meal</td>
<td>10-00</td>
<td>0-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyabean hulls</td>
<td>12-00</td>
<td>0-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>0-00</td>
<td>5-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize starch</td>
<td>0-00</td>
<td>10-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicalcium phosphate</td>
<td>0-60</td>
<td>1-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate</td>
<td>1-30</td>
<td>1-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>0-60</td>
<td>0-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin and mineral supplements</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>0-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100-00</td>
<td>100-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolisable energy (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>10-31</td>
<td>14-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net energy (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>7-27</td>
<td>11-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional value (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre</td>
<td>11-05</td>
<td>3-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat content (in % of the digestible energy)</td>
<td>2-17 (2-10)</td>
<td>11-40 (22-74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral content</td>
<td>6-81</td>
<td>5-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen matter</td>
<td>14-66</td>
<td>13-32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ultrasonographic adiposity measurement

Echographic images (ATL Ultramark 9; Advanced Technology Laboratories, Bothell, WA, USA) were acquired in the two-dimensional mode immediately after the CT-scan acquisition with animals still anaesthetised and maintained in the same position as for CT. The echotomographic machine was fitted with a CLA 76 probe at 3·5 MHz (acoustic power...
Fig. 1. Adiposity measurement by computed tomography (CT) in minipigs. (a) Main steps for the analysis of adiposity distribution in a CT-scan slice. Step 1, a well-identified sample area is selected in the subcutaneous adipose tissue, for which the grey shades histogram and distribution are calculated. Step 2, a double threshold is applied to the CT-scan image to visualise the total adipose tissue in the slice ((M $\pm 2\,\text{SD}; M + 2\,\text{SD})$ with $M =$ mean and $\text{SD} =$ standard deviation calculated in step 1). Step 3, the adipose tissue amount (in cm$^2$) is calculated in three regions of interest with I including the whole slice and consequently the total adipose tissue, II including the intra-abdominal area (retroperitoneal adipose tissue (RAT) and visceral adipose tissue (VAT)), and III including only the intraperitoneal area and visceral adipose tissue. Step 4, once identified and calculated in each region of interest, the adiposity is represented with three colours for an easier visualisation. (b) Adiposity distribution between subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), RAT and VAT (lumbar vertebra no. 2 level, L2) in three individuals fed a standard diet (6·95 MJ/d) and a WD during 10 weeks (14·64 MJ/d) or 15 weeks (19·58 MJ/d). (c) Adiposity distribution measured by CT scan in minipigs fed a standard diet (group 1 in lean condition, $n$ 8), a WD during 4–10 weeks (group 2, $n$ 7) or a Western diet during 15 weeks (group 1 in obese condition, $n$ 8). The proportion of total adipose tissue (in percentage of CT slice area) is indicated on the right of each histogram, whereas the proportion of adiposity (in % of total fat) is indicated for the SAT, RAT and VAT.
100 %, dynamic range 50 db, frame rate 9.8 Hz and depth acquisition 15–20 cm). The measurement site was localised by palpation on the left flank, approximately 6–7 cm lateral to the dorsal spinous process at the level of the most caudal point of the last rib, which corresponded to the P2 site used in the pig industry. Three different measures of the subcutaneous backfat thickness were realised every 2 cm.

Anatomical measurements and body chemical analysis

After slaughtering of minipigs from group 2, intra-abdominal viscera and the perirenal AT were immediately collected. Visceral organs were then emptied. The carcass was laterally cut into two equal parts. The SAT (further called backfat) was excised from the right side of the carcass (from the L2 vertebra to the fifth rib). The left half-carcass (without legs, head and tail) was kept. The collected samples were weighed. The half-carcass and the abdominal content (VAT + RAT) were then stored at −20°C. These frozen fractions were minced, sampled and freeze-dried for further chemical analyses. Analyses were then performed in duplicate on freeze-dried samples. The residual water content after freeze-drying was determined from 2.0 g samples by drying at +105°C to constant weight. Lipid content was determined from 2 g samples after chloroform–methanol (2:1, v/v) extraction according to Folch et al.\(^{20}\).

Intravenous glucose tolerance test

Animals from group 1 underwent surgery before the beginning of the experiment to allow easy venous access. Pre-anaesthesia was induced by ketamine (5 mg/kg, intramuscularly). Anaesthesia was maintained with inhaled isoflurane (2.5 %, v/v). Analgesia was achieved by a subcutaneous administration of morphine (0.2 mg/kg) every 4 h for 12 h. A silicon catheter was inserted into the left jugular vein and connected to a subcutaneous implantable port allowing repeated sampling (Simplant; Vygon, Ecouen, France).

The intravenous glucose tolerance test was conducted before and after 15 weeks of WD. At 08.00 hours, after a 15–18 h overnight fast, blood samples were collected at 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 18, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 60, 70, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180 and 240 min after the end of the glucose infusion to assay glucose and insulin concentrations. Plasma glucose was measured in duplicate by an automated spectrophotometric method (Kone’lab 20i; ThermoFisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The intra-assay CV was 2.5 %. Plasma insulin concentrations were measured with a specific homologous double-antibody RIA (Cisbio Bioassays, Bagnols-sur-Cèze, France). The intra-assay and inter-assay CV for plasma samples with a mean concentration of 35 μU/ml were 15 and 11 %, respectively (where 1 IU = 45.5 μg pure crystalline insulin). Basal glucose and insulin concentrations were calculated as

---

**Fig. 2.** Computed tomography-scan longitudinal and cross-sectional images (thoracic vertebra no. 13, T13 and lumbar vertebra no. 2, L2) showing the location of the ultrasonography measurement site. The measurement site was localised by palpation on the left flank, approximately 6–7 cm lateral to the dorsal spinous process at the level of the most caudal point of the last rib, which corresponded to the P2 site used in the pig industry. Three different measures of the subcutaneous backfat thickness were realised every 2 cm.
the mean of the −10 and 0 min samples concentration. The glucose and insulin responses were integrated using the minimal model of cold glucose kinetics via the software SAAM II (Simulation, Analysis and Modeling Software for Kinetic Analysis, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA), as described in Martin et al. (21), to obtain the insulin sensitivity index, \( S_I \).

Statistics

Correlation calculations followed by Fisher’s \( r \) to \( z \) tests were performed to analyse the relationship between animal characteristics (e.g. weight or abdominal perimeter) and/or different adiposity measures. Differences between lean and obese conditions in group 1 were assessed via paired \( t \) tests. Simple regressions as well as CI at 95% (mean ± 1.96 SD) were calculated to estimate the repeatability and reliability of the CT-scan measures. A Bland–Altman plot was constructed to visualise the intra- and inter-rater variabilities.

Results

Validation of computed tomography-scan measurements

Replicate measurements of adiposity performed at the L2 level of one minipig indicated that the calculated AT surface on the slice was 95.3 (SD 1.1) cm\(^2\) with a CI at 95% corresponding to 93, 97. This corresponds to an accuracy of approximately ±2 cm\(^2\) in a 30 kg minipig (Fig. 3(a)). Implanted fat pads with a 1 or 4 cm\(^2\) section into the abdominal cavity of pigs were easily detected on the CT-scan slice (Fig. 3(b)). These fat pads corresponded to 1.04 and 4.84%, respectively, of the TAT of the receiver pigs measured at L2. The intra- and inter-rater measures for TAT, SAT, IAAT, RAT and VAT quantification (measured on ten CT-scan slices of minipigs) were similar (intra-rater: \( r \) from 0.99 to 1, \( P < 0.001 \) and inter-rater: \( r \) from 0.98 to 0.99, \( P < 0.001 \)). Estimation of adiposity distribution (SAT, IAAT, RAT and VAT) in percentage of the TAT was also highly correlated between the two similar analyses performed by the same rater and between the analyses of two different raters (Fig. 4(a) and (c)). The intra- and inter-rater variabilities (Fig. 4(b) and (d)) for adiposity distribution assessment were also very similar, i.e. ±4.4 and ±4.7%, respectively, within the 95% limits of agreement (1.96 SD from the mean difference), indicating an uncertainty of the measurement less than the 4 cm\(^2\) adipose implant visible in Fig. 3(b) (4.82% of the TAT). All the subsequent analyses were based on a single analysis of each scan by the same operator.

Computed tomography-scan measurements compared with other adiposity measurements

CT-scan measures at T13 and L2 levels were highly correlated for SAT and RAT (\( r \) 0.98 and 0.73, respectively, \( P < 0.001 \) for both) but not for VAT (\( r \) 0.31, \( P = 0.156 \)). The CT data further presented were averaged upon T13 and L2 measures. Results for groups 1 and 2 are summarised in Table 2. The body weight was highly correlated (\( P < 0.001 \)) with the average daily energetic intake (\( r \) 0.92), the T13 perimeter (\( r \) 0.95) and the L2 perimeter (\( r \) 0.94). Correlation analyses for CT-scan measures (TAT, SAT and IAAT) vs. other adiposity evaluation methods are summarised in Table 3. The perirenal

Fig. 3. (a) Repeated computed tomography (CT)-scan acquisitions realised at the level of lumbar vertebra no. 2 (L2) on the same minipig. The average total fat surfaces of the slices as well as the CI at 95% are indicated. (b) CT-scan acquisitions realised on two growing pigs implanted with a 1 and 4 cm\(^2\) fat pad, respectively, in the peritoneal cavity. These fat pads represented 1.04 and 4.82%, respectively, of the total adipose tissue measured at L2 in the receiver animals. Both adipose implants are clearly visible on the CT-scan slices.
fat weight was highly correlated with the RAT measured by CT \((r = 0.88, P = 0.005)\). Compared with the anatomical measurements, the carcass lipid content \((r = 0.77)\) and the abdominal lipid content \((r = 0.83)\) were best correlated with the perirenal fat weight.

**Insulin sensitivity and adiposity in lean and obese minipigs**

The basal glycaemia was not different between lean and obese conditions in group 1 \((t = 0.313, P = 0.765)\), contrary to the basal insulinemia \((t = 2.461, P = 0.043)\). After analysis with the cold glucose minimal model method, we found an SI reduced in the obese condition compared with the lean condition \((t = 2.577, P = 0.023)\). Total AT \((r = 0.52)\) and SAT \((r = 0.54)\) measured by CT were negatively correlated \((P < 0.05)\) with insulin sensitivity. The correlation was NS for insulin sensitivity v. RAT and VAT \((r = 0.50 \text{ and } 0.40, \text{ respectively, } P > 0.10)\). There was a trend for the correlation with IAAT \((r = 0.50, P = 0.059)\), including both RAT and VAT.

Fig. 1(b) and (c) displays the AT distribution in minipigs from groups 1 and 2. In group 1, 15 weeks of *ad libitum* WD induced a large increase in adiposity measured by CT \((t = 5.802, P < 0.001)\) as well as a modification of body fat distribution \((t = 5.116, P < 0.001)\) (Fig. 1(c)). Interestingly, with increased body weight, the relative proportion of SAT increased, whereas the relative proportion of IAAT decreased (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

The present study indicates that a standardised CT-scan method, considered as the gold standard technique in human subjects\(^{10,22}\), provides relevant estimations of AT distribution in minipigs. Obtained values are highly correlated with ultrasonographic data, anatomical measurements and body chemical composition. Our method allowed to estimate a wide range of adiposity in a non-invasive manner as demonstrated.
using animals with different degrees of adiposity by virtue of various rationing plans of WD.

Three important requirements must be met to analyse adiposity distribution by CT with the single-slice method: the choice of an adequate scan location, the use of an optimal range of AT X-ray density and the selection of regions of interest that can discriminate different fat compartments. We chose to perform the CT scan at the level of T13 and L2, two locations corresponding to the widest abdominal girth in minipigs, which is equivalent to L4–L5 level in human subjects. Even though these two scan locations provided similar results, we recommend the use of L2 level for later single-slice studies for three reasons. First, its perimeter was best correlated with VAT measured in the CT slice. Second, visualisation of the kidneys facilitates the delineation of RAT. Third, this level is the closest to the L4–L5 level used in human subjects, and includes the P2 site used in the pig industry for adiposity measurement by ultrasonography. Pixel densities between −190 and −30 Hounsfield units have been widely accepted as the X-ray density range for AT determination by CT(9,22,23). Nevertheless, as reported in human subjects(10), we found a wide variation among the average X-ray density for AT in the examined subjects. Such a variation with weight and adiposity level prevents the use of a fixed window width to measure X-ray density. We advocate the use of a flexible X-ray density range measured upon the basis of a well-identified region of interest delineated in the SAT.

The major pitfall in adipose measurement by CT is the precise identification and delineation of VAT. Clasey et al.(24) demonstrated that small changes in anatomical boundary line location resulted in significantly different VAT cross-sectional area measurement and proposed anatomical boundaries to exclude as much intramuscular fat as possible. It should be mentioned that most studies performed in human subjects still make semantic and/or anatomical confusions between VAT and IAAT, which is composed of VAT per se and RAT. The RAT is very often included into the anatomical boundaries that are chosen to measure VAT, which obviously leads to erroneous fat estimations. Romero et al.(11) highlighted the difficulty in generating a method to identify automatically VAT. The technical difficulty in discriminating these adipose compartments has been recognised, even though several attempts have been made to develop measurement boundaries using anatomical landmarks to distinguish VAT from RAT(9,25). Further development is still needed to solve this issue in human subjects, but we had no trouble in identifying the border between intraperitoneal and retroperitoneal AT in Göttingen minipigs. The high correlation and agreement between the measurements performed by an experienced and an inexperienced rater also support that the delineation of the different adipose compartments is not difficult in minipigs.

The good correlations that have been obtained between backfat weight and SAT, perirenal fat weight and RAT, and between fresh carcass lipids and TAT indicate that CT is a valid method for adiposity assessment. The downside of the present results is the low correlation between lipids extracted from the abdominal content and IAAT measured by CT. One hypothesis to explain this result is that uncertainty of VAT measurement is much higher than that of SAT or RAT.
Adiposity evaluation in minipigs

Adipose depots are very often inter-related (30). Moreover, in minipigs even though SAT represented the biggest fat cible than CT (9), and the possibility of erroneous measurement to assess SAT than other fat compartments. However, this measure may be used with a good confidence to assess general adiposity in minipigs, even if this measure is more appropriate an estimator of body adiposity in minipigs. This simple sonographic subcutaneous fat measurement can be used as compartments is not required, our data indicate that ultra-accuracy of measurements performed at the slaughterhouse, L. L. for the chemical analyses and C. H. M. for the development of the CT-scan method and the CT-scan acquisitions performed at the PRISM imagery platform. All contributed to the experimental design and the final version of the manuscript. The authors gratefully acknowledge the efforts and cooperation of the staff who participated in the present experiment: Maurice Alix, Alain Chauvin, Sylvie Guérin, Benoît Janson, Isabelle Nogret, Gwenaelle Randuineau and Christine Tréfou.

Acknowledgements

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The present study was supported by a grant from the CRITT Santé Bretagne. D. V.-L. was responsible for the entire study, data acquisition and analysis, and manuscript preparation. S. B. was responsible for the anatomical measurements performed at the slaughterhouse, L. L. for the chemical analyses and C. H. M. for the development of the CT-scan method and the CT-scan acquisitions performed at the PRISM imagery platform. All contributed to the experimental design and the final version of the manuscript. The authors gratefully acknowledge the efforts and cooperation of the staff who participated in the present experiment: Maurice Alix, Alain Chauvin, Sylvie Guérin, Benoît Janson, Isabelle Nogret, Gwenaelle Randuineau and Christine Tréfou.

References