Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 50 (2), 2007 pp. 234-242

# A Remark on a Modular Analogue of the Sato–Tate Conjecture

Wentang Kuo

*Abstract.* The original Sato–Tate Conjecture concerns the angle distribution of the eigenvalues arising from non-CM elliptic curves. In this paper, we formulate a modular analogue of the Sato–Tate Conjecture and prove that the angles arising from non-CM holomorphic Hecke eigenforms with non-trivial central characters are not distributed with respect to the Sate–Tate measure for non-CM elliptic curves. Furthermore, under a reasonable conjecture, we prove that the expected distribution is uniform.

## 1 Introduction

Let *E* be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\Delta_E$  the discriminant of *E*. For a rational prime *p*, coprime to  $\Delta_E$ , define

$$N_p = p + 1 - a_p = |E(\mathbb{F}_p)|,$$

where  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the set of rational points of *E* defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$  is the cardinality of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . For a rational prime  $p \nmid \Delta_E$ , a result of Hasse [13, Theorem 1.1] states that

$$|a_p| \le 2p^{1/2}$$

Thus, we can write

$$a_p = 2p^{1/2}\cos\theta_p,$$

for a uniquely defined angle  $\theta_p$  satisfying  $0 \le \theta_p < \pi$ . A natural question to ask is how  $\theta_p$  distributes in the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . For elliptic curves with complex multiplication, the answer to this question is well known [9]. On the other hand, for elliptic curves without complex multiplication, the problem remains open until today. Sato and Tate independently conjectured that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \cdot \#\{p : p \le x, \theta_p \in (\alpha, \beta)\} = \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} 2\sin^2\theta \, d\theta\right).$$

where  $\pi(x)$  is the number of primes less than or equal to *x*. It is called the Sato–Tate conjecture and it has many classical origins. For instance, it is related to how often a quadratic form is a prime in a certain region [4] and the distribution of primes in quadratic progressions [8].

We can also extend this conjecture to modular forms. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \operatorname{cusps},$$

The author's research was partially supported by an NSERC grant.

Received by the editors January 15, 2005.

AMS subject classification: 11F03, 11F25.

Keywords: L-functions, Elliptic curves, Sato-Tate.

<sup>©</sup>Canadian Mathematical Society 2007.

be the upper half plane and the upper half plane with cusps, respectively. Let  $\Gamma$  be a modular group.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\omega$  be a non-trivial primitive Dirichlet character. A (*holomorphic*) *Hecke eigenform* f of  $\Gamma$  with the Nebentypus  $\omega$  is a complex valued function on  $\mathcal{H}^*$  satisfying

- (i) There is an integer  $k \ge 0$  such that for each  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma$  we have the modular transformation law  $f(\gamma z) = \omega(d)(cz + d)^k f(z)$ .
- (ii) The function f is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  and extends holomorphically to every cusp of  $\Gamma$ . It also vanishes on cusps.
- (iii) By (i), we have the Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

Define the *L*-function L(s, f) of f as

$$L(s,f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n\cdot n^{-s}.$$

Let  $\mathcal P$  be the set of rational primes. Then there is a finite subset  $\mathcal P(f)$  of  $\mathcal P$  such that

$$L(s, f) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + \omega(p) p^{k-1} p^{-2s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}(f)} l_p(s)^{-1},$$

where  $l_p(s)$  are polynomials in  $p^{-s}$  with  $l_p(0) \neq 0$ . In other words, f admits an Euler product.

We denote by  $H(\Gamma, \omega)$  the set of all Hecke eigenforms of  $\Gamma$  with the Nebentypus  $\omega$ .

The Ramanujan conjecture on  $H(\Gamma, \omega)$  can be stated as follows:

**Conjecture 1.2** (Ramanujan) For each  $f \in H(\Gamma, \omega)$ , we can rewrite the Euler product as

$$L(s,f) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)} (1 - \alpha_p \cdot p^{(k-1)/2} p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_p \cdot p^{(k-1)/2} p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}(f)} l_p(s)^{-1},$$

where  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  are the roots of the quadratic polynomial  $x^2 - (a_p/p^{(k-1)/2})x + \omega(p)$ . Then  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ .

The above conjecture is proved by Deligne [1]. Therefore, for each  $f \in H(\Gamma, \omega)$ , we have  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$  for all rational primes  $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)$ . Since  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ , we can write  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  as polar forms

$$lpha_p = e^{i heta_p}, \quad eta_p = e^{i\psi_p}, \quad 0 \le heta_p, \psi_p < 2\pi,$$

The question now is how  $\theta_p$ ,  $\psi_p$  distribute on  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

# **2** Distributions and *L*-Functions

**Definition 2.1** ([10, Appendix to Ch. 1]) Let *X* be a compact topological space and *C*(*X*) the set of all continuous functions on *X*. Let *S* be a sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq X$  with the index set *I* equipped with a norm map  $N: I \to \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the property that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, N^{-1}(n)$  is a finite set. For all positive real numbers *x*, define

$$\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{S}}(x) := \{ i \in I \mid N(i) \le x \}.$$

Let  $\mu$  be a distribution on *X* and for all  $g \in C(X)$ , define

$$\mu_x(g) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{S}}(x)|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{S}}(x)} g(x_i).$$

We say that *S* is *distributed with respect to a distribution*  $\mu$  *on X*, if for all  $g \in C(X)$ 

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\mu_x(g)=\mu(g).$$

In our case,  $X = S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/2\pi$ . We have the following handy criterion [10, Corollary 2, Appendix to Chapter 1]:

**Theorem 2.2** (Generalized Weyl Criterion) Let f be a piece-wise continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  of period  $2\pi$  whose the Fourier expansion is

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=\infty} c_m e^{-im\theta}, \quad and \sum_{m=-\infty}^{m=\infty} |c_m|^2 < \infty.$$

Let S be a sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  of real numbers between 0 and  $2\pi$  with a norm map  $N: I \to \mathbb{N}$ . Then S is distributed with respect to a distribution  $\int f(\theta) d\theta$  if and only if for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{N}^{S}(x)}e^{imx_{i}}=c_{m}|\mathcal{N}^{S}(x)|+\mathbf{o}\big(|\mathcal{N}^{S}(x)|\big),$$

as x tends to infinity. In particular, if all  $c_m = 0$  except for m = 0, then S is distributed with respect to the standard Lebesgue measure. In this case, we say that S is uniformly distributed.

Let f be a Hecke eigenform with a non-trivial Nebentypus  $\omega$  and

$$S_f = \{\theta_p, \psi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)},$$

the set of angles arising from f, with the index set

$$\bigcup_{p\in \mathcal{P}\setminus\mathcal{P}(f)}\{p,p\},$$

236

and the natural norm map N defined by

$$N(p) = p.$$

Thus, studying the distribution of  $S_f$  is equivalent to studying the asymptotic behavior of

$$A^{m}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (e^{im\theta_{p}} + e^{im\psi_{p}}) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (\alpha_{p}^{m} + \beta_{p}^{m}),$$

where *m* is an integer. Note that

$$A^{-m}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (e^{-im\theta_p} + e^{-im\psi_p}) = \overline{\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (e^{im\theta_p} + e^{im\psi_p})} = \overline{A^m(x)}.$$

Therefore, we only need to consider the case when  $m \ge 0$ . We need the following lemma.

*Lemma 2.3* Let F(s) be a Dirichlet series of the Euler product

$$F(s) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{G}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left( 1 - \alpha_p^{(i)} \cdot p^{-s} \right)^{-1} \right), \quad |\alpha_p^{(i)}| = 1,$$

where G is a finite subset of rational primes. If F(s) has an analytic continuation to  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$  and is non-vanishing at  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{G}}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_p^{(i)} \right) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)).$$

**Proof** Consider  $F'(s)/F(s) = (\log F(s)t)'$ .

$$-F'(s)/F(s) = -(\log F(s))'$$

$$= -\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{G}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log(1 - \alpha_p^{(i)} \cdot p^{-s})\right)\right)'$$

$$= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{G}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(\alpha_p^{(i)})^k (\log p) p^{-ks}\right)\right).$$

Using the condition  $|lpha_p^{(i)}|=1$  to estimate the term for  $k\geq 2$  and applying a Tauberian theorem, we obtain our result. 

Let  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the ring of adeles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\pi = \bigotimes_p \pi_p$  be a cuspidal representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  with central character  $\omega_{\pi}$ . Fix a positive integer *m*, and let

Sym<sup>*m*</sup>: 
$$\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \operatorname{GL}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$$

be the *m*-th symmetric power representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  on symmetric tensors of rank m (*cf.* [11, 12]). By the local Langlands correspondence,  $\operatorname{Sym}^m(\pi_p)$  is well defined for every p. The Langlands functoriality in this case is equivalent to the fact that  $\operatorname{Sym}^m(\pi) = \bigotimes_p \operatorname{Sym}^m(\pi_p)$  is an automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_{m+1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(\pi)$  be the set of places where  $\pi$  is ramified. One can define the *L*-function  $L(s, \pi)$  associated to  $\pi$  as follows:

$$L(s,\pi) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)} (1 - \alpha_p p^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta_p p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\pi)} h_p(s)^{-1},$$

where  $l_p(s)$  are polynomials in  $p^{-s}$  with  $l_p(0) \neq 0$ . Then it follows that

$$L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{m}(\pi)) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)} \prod_{i=0}^{m} (1 - \alpha_{p}^{m-i} \beta_{p}^{i} p^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\pi)} g_{p}(s)^{-1}$$

where  $g_p(s)$  are polynomials in  $p^{-s}$  with  $g_p(0) \neq 0$ .

#### **Remarks** 1

(1) The generalized Ramanujan conjecture predicts that if  $\pi$  is a cuspidal representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ , then for all  $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)$ ,  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ .

(2) Let  $\omega$  be a non-trivial primitive Dirichlet character. By Deligne [1], for any  $f \in H(\Gamma, \omega)$ , f is attached to a cuspidal representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $L(s, f) = L(s, \pi)$ .

## 3 Main Theorems

**Definition 3.1** Let f be a Hecke eigenform with the Nebentypus  $\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is a non-trivial primitive character. We say that f is non-CM if there is no Grössen-character  $\chi$  such that  $L(s, \chi)$  is equal to L(s, f).

As in the case of elliptic curves, we consider only those *f*'s which are non-CM. Now we can state and prove our theorems.

**Theorem 3.2** Let f be a non-CM Hecke eigenform with the Nebentypus  $\omega$ , for a nontrivial primitive character  $\omega$ . Then the sequence  $S_f = \{\theta_p, \psi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}$  is not distributed with respect to the Sato–Tate measure  $(1/2\pi) \int 2 \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$ .

**Remark 2** Note that in the original Sato–Tate conjecture, the values of the sequences are between 0 and  $\pi$ . However, in our setting, those are between 0 and  $2\pi$ . Therefore, the corresponding Sato–Tate measure is  $(1/2\pi) \int 2 \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$ .

**Proof** Suppose that  $S_f$  is distributed with respect to the Sato–Tate measure

$$(1/2\pi)\int 2\sin^2\theta\,d\theta.$$

Since  $2\sin^2\theta = 1 - \cos 2\theta$ , and  $|N^{S_f}(x)| = 2\pi(x) + O(1)$ , we have

$$A^{0}(x) = 2\pi(x) + \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)), \quad A^{1}(x) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)), \quad \text{and} \quad A^{2}(x) = -\pi(x) + \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)),$$

For m = 0,

$$A^{0}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (\alpha_{p}^{0} + \beta_{p}^{0}) = |\mathcal{N}^{S_{f}}(x)| = 2\pi(x) + \mathbf{O}(1).$$

For m = 1, let  $\pi$  be the cuspidal representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  attached to f. By [3, 5],  $L(s, \pi)$  is entire and non-vanishing at  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ . We can ignore the ramified places since there are only finitely many of them. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,

$$A^{1}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} t(\alpha_{p} + \beta_{p}) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)).$$

For m = 2, we claim first that  $\pi$  is not monomial, *i.e.*, there is no non-trivial Grössencharacter  $\eta$  such that  $\pi \otimes \eta \cong \pi$ . If there is such a Grössencharacter  $\eta$ , then  $\eta^2 = 1$ and  $\eta$  determines a quadratic extension *E*. According to [7], there is a Grössencharacter  $\chi$  of *E* such that  $L(s, \pi) = L(s, \chi)$ , which is impossible since *f* is non-CM.

Since  $\pi$  is not monomial, by [2], Sym<sup>2</sup>( $\pi$ ) is cuspidal. Therefore, by [3, 5],  $L(s, \text{Sym}^2(\pi))$  is entire and non-vanishing at Re(s) = 1. Thus, by Lemma 2.3

$$\sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (\alpha_p^2 + \alpha_p \beta_p + \beta_p^2) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2}(x) &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (\alpha_{p}^{2} + \beta_{p}^{2}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} (\alpha_{p}^{2} + \alpha_{p}\beta_{p} + \beta_{p}^{2} - \alpha_{p}\beta_{p}) \\ &= \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)) - \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} \omega(p). \end{aligned}$$

By classical theorems,  $L(s, \omega)$  is entire and non-vanishing at Re(s) = 1. Thus, applying Lemma 2.3, we get

$$A^{2}(x) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)) - \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}} \omega(p) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)) \neq -\pi(x) + \mathbf{o}(\pi(x));$$

it contradicts the fact that  $A^2(x) = -\pi(x) + \mathbf{o}(\pi(x))$  if the set  $\{\theta_p, \psi_p\}$  distributes with respect to the Sato–Tate measure. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now it is natural to ask what is the expected distribution of the sequence  $S_f = \{\theta_p, \psi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}$  arising from a Hecke eigenform f with non-trivial Nebentypus. We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $\pi = \bigotimes_p \pi_p$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  with central character  $\omega_{\pi}$ . For two positive integers m and n, define

$$S^{m}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \alpha_{p}^{m-i} \beta_{p}^{i}, \quad and \quad \tilde{S}^{n}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)}} \omega(p) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n-i} \beta_{p}^{i}\right).$$

Assume that the L-functions  $L(s, \text{Sym}^m(\pi))$  and  $L(s, \text{Sym}^n(\pi) \otimes \omega_{\pi})$  have analytic continuation for  $\text{Re}(s) \ge 1$ , and are non-vanishing for  $\text{Re}(s) \ge 1$ . Then

$$S^m(x) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)), \quad \tilde{S}^n(x) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)).$$

**Proof** It is an application of Lemma 2.3. Note that we can ignore the contribution from ramified places.

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  which satisfies the Ramanujan conjecture. Assume that for all positive integers *m*, the L-functions

$$L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{m}(\pi))$$
 and  $L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^{m}(\pi) \otimes \omega)$ 

have analytic continuation for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge 1$ , and are non-vanishing for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge 1$ . Then the sequence  $S_f = \{\theta_p, \psi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)}$  is uniformly distributed.

**Proof** According to Theorem 2.2, we need to prove  $c_0 = 1$  and  $c_m = 0$  for all positive integers *m*'s. For  $c_0$ , we have

$$A^0(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)}} (lpha_p^0 + eta_p^0) = 1 \cdot |\mathfrak{N}^{S_f}(x)|.$$

Thus,  $c_0 = 1$ .

240

For m = 1, by [3, 5],  $L(s, \pi)$  is entire and non-vanishing at Re(s) = 1. By Lemma 2.3,

$$A^{1}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)}} (\alpha_{p} + \beta_{p}) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)) = \mathbf{o}(|\mathcal{N}^{S_{f}}(x)|) = 0 \cdot |\mathcal{N}^{S_{f}}(x)| + \mathbf{o}(|\mathcal{N}^{S_{f}}(x)|)$$

It implies that  $c_1 = 0$ .

For m = 2, by our assumption,  $L(s, \text{Sym}^2(\pi))$  has analytic continuation for  $\text{Re}(s) \ge 1$ , non-vanishing at Re(s) = 1. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,

$$A^{2}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(\pi)}} (\alpha_{p}^{2} + \beta_{p}^{2}) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)) = \mathbf{o}(|\mathcal{N}^{S_{f}}(x)|).$$

We obtain  $c_2 = 0$ . Now we consider  $m \ge 3$ . We have the following identity

$$a^{m} + b^{m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a^{m-i} b^{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a^{m-i} b^{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a^{m-i} b^{i} - ab \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} a^{m-2-i} b^{i}.$$

Therefore, for  $m \ge 3$ 

$$A^m(x) = S^m(x) - \tilde{S}^{m-2}(x) = \mathbf{o}(\pi(x)) = \mathbf{o}(|\mathcal{N}^{S_f}(x)|).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

It is widely believed that the Ramanujan conjecture is true for cuspidal representations of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ . However, the assumption in Theorem 3.4 is not always true. For instance, by [6], there is a cuspidal representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that the *L*-functions of its symmetric powers might have poles at s = 1. Yet, for the *L*-functions attached to Hecke eigenforms, it is expected to be true. More precisely,

**Conjecture 3.5** Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  attached to a Hecke eigenform with the Nebentypus  $\omega_{\pi}$ . Then for all positive integer m, the *L*-functions  $L(s, \text{Sym}^m(\pi))$  and  $L(s, \text{Sym}^n(\pi) \otimes \omega_{\pi})$  have analytic continuation for  $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$ , and are non-vanishing for  $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$ .

Combining Theorem 3.4 and the conjecture above, we have

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $\omega$  be a non-trivial primitive Dirichlet character and f a Hecke eigenform with the Nebentypus  $\omega$ . Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  attached to f. Assume that for all positive integers m, the L-functions  $L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^m(\pi))$  and  $L(s, \operatorname{Sym}^m(\pi) \otimes \omega)$  have analytic continuation for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$ , and are non-vanishing for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 1$ . Then the sequence  $S_f = \{\theta_p, \psi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(f)}$  is uniformly distributed.

We conclude this paper with several remarks.

(1) Our results can be extended to any number field.

(2) The Ramanujan conjecture in Theorem 3.4 is a part of the Langlands program. It is conjectured to be held for any cuspidal representation of  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ .

(3) For Conjecture 3.5, the existence of the meromorphic continuation of *L*-functions for symmetric powers is also a part of the Langlands program. Therefore, it is conjectured to be true in general. As we remarked before, the holomorphic condition on Re(s) = 1 is not true in general. However, a deeper conjecture predicts that if  $\pi$  is a cuspidal representation attached to a non-CM Hecke eigenform, then for all positive integers *n*, Sym<sup>*n*</sup>( $\pi$ ) are cuspidal as well. This explains why it is a general belief that Conjecture 3.5 should be true even if it is not true in general.

(4) In the cases of non-CM elliptic curves, by [9], the assumption of non-vanishing can be removed. It should be possible to remove this assumption from Theorem 3.6. We plan to investigate it in future work.

## 4 Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Ram Murty for valuable discussions and comments about this work. This work was started during my stay at the Fields institute in 2003. I would like to thank the institute for their hospitality.

## References

- [1] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil. I. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 43(1974), 273–307.
- [2] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3). Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11(1978), no. 4, 471–542.
- [3] R. Godement and H. Jacquet, Zeta Functions of Simple Algebras. Lecture Notes in
  - Mathematics 260, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [4] E. Hecke, *Mathematische Werke*. Springer-Verlag, Göttingen, 1959.
- [5] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, A non-vanishing theorem for zeta functions of GL<sub>n</sub>. Invent. Math. 38(1976), no. 1, 1–16.
- [6] H. Kim and F. Shahidi, Cuspidality of symmetric powers with applications. Duke Math. J. 112(2002), no. 1, 177–197.
- J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands, *L-indistinguishability for* SL(2). Canad. J. Math. 31(1979), no. 4, 726–785.
- [8] B. Mazur, Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields. Invent. Math. 18(1972), 183–266.
- [9] V. Kumar Murty, On the Sato-Tate conjecture. In: Number Theory Related to Fermat's Last Theorem Progr. Math. 26, Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1982, pp. 195–205.
- [10] J.-P. Serre, Abelian l-adic Representations and Elliptic Curves. Benjamin, New York, 1970.
- [11] F. Shahidi, *Third symmetric power L-functions for* GL(2). Compositio Math. 70(1989), no. 3, 245–273.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, Symmetric power L-functions for GL(2). In: Elliptic Curves and Related Topics. CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 4, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 159–182.
- [13] J. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

Department of Pure Mathematics Faculty of Mathematics University of Waterloo Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1 e-mail: wtkuo@math.uwaterloo.ca