# AXIOMATIC PROOF OF <br> J. LAMBEK'S HOMOLOGICAL THEOREM 

J. B. Leicht
(received May 22, 1964)


#### Abstract

DEFINITION 1: A category $\mathcal{L}$ with zero-maps is called "quasi-exact" in the sense of D. Puppe (see [4], page 8, 2.4), if it satisfies the following axioms:


$\left(Q_{1}\right)$ : Every may $f$ is a product $f=\mu \varepsilon$ of an epimorphism $\varepsilon$ followed by a monomorphism $\mu$.
$\left(Q_{2}\right)$ : a) Every epimorphism $\varepsilon$ has a kernel $\mathcal{K}=\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$.
b) Every monomorphism $\mu$ has a cokernel $\gamma=$ Coker $\mu$, where Ker and Coker are characterized by the familiar universality properties (see [3], page 252, (1.10) and (1.11)).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Q_{3}\right): \text { a) For epic } \varepsilon, \varepsilon & =\operatorname{Coker}(\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon) . \\
& \text { b) For monic } \mu, \mu=\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Coker} \mu) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These axioms alone suffice to develop essential parts of the elementary homological algebra (see [4], page 8, 2.4 and also [2]). This was shown essentially by P.J. Hilton and W. Ledermann in their theory of ringoids (see [1]), where basic theorems are proved without really using the additivity assumptions.

PROPOSITION 2: Suppose $\alpha$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are cofinal monomorphisms such that $\beta=\alpha \omega$ for some (unique and monic) $\omega$. Then for $\alpha^{\prime}=$ Coker $\alpha, \beta^{\prime}=$ Coker $\beta$ there exists (a unique and epic) $\omega^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ and an object $Q$ which is simultaneously the range of Coker $\omega$ (denoted by $\alpha / \beta$ ) and the domain of Ker $\omega^{\prime}$ (denoted by $f^{\prime} \backslash \alpha^{\prime}$ ).
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Proof: $\omega^{\prime}$ is given by $\alpha^{\prime} \beta=\alpha^{\prime} \alpha \omega=0$ and $\beta^{\prime}=$ Coker $\beta$. Put $\gamma=$ Coker $\omega: A \rightarrow Q$; then by $\left(\beta^{\prime} \alpha\right)_{\omega}=\beta^{\prime} \beta=0$ one obtains $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\beta^{\prime} \alpha=K \gamma$. Moreover $\omega^{\prime} K=0$, since $\omega^{\prime} \mathcal{K} \gamma=\alpha^{\prime} \alpha=0$ and $\gamma$ is epic. Suppose $(\kappa \gamma) \eta=0$ for some $\eta$; then $\beta^{\prime}(\alpha \eta)=0$, hence $\alpha_{\eta}$ factorizes over $\beta=\operatorname{Ker} \beta^{\prime}=\alpha \omega$ and thus also $\eta$ over $\omega, \alpha$ being monic. Hence $\gamma \eta=0$, which shows $\operatorname{Ker}(K \gamma)=\operatorname{Ker} \gamma$. Since $\gamma$ is epic, it follows from axioms $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{3}\right)$ a) that $K$ is a monomorphism. Suppose $\zeta K=0$ for some $\zeta$, then $\zeta \kappa \gamma=\left(\zeta \beta^{\prime}\right) \alpha=0$, hence $\zeta \beta^{\prime}$ factorizes over $\alpha^{\prime}=$ Coker $\alpha=\omega^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$, and thus also $\zeta$ over $\omega^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ being epic. This proves $\omega^{\prime}=$ Coker $\mathcal{K}$, hence $K=\operatorname{Ker} \omega^{\prime}$ by ( $Q_{3}$ )b).

THEOREM 3: Suppose both rows of the commutative diagram are exact. Then there exists an object $Q$ which represents simultaneously $Q=\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon /(\operatorname{Ker} \beta \cup \operatorname{Ker} \psi)$ $=\left(\operatorname{Im} \beta \curvearrowleft \operatorname{Im} \varphi^{\prime}\right) / \operatorname{Im} \delta$.



Proof: The canonical factorization of a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ given by $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ is essentially unique and will be described by $f=f_{m}^{f} f: A \rightarrow B$, so that $f_{e}=\operatorname{Coim} f, f_{m}=\operatorname{Im} f$. Factorize in this way $\varphi, \delta, \varepsilon, \psi^{\prime}$, then $\varphi_{\mathrm{m}}=\operatorname{Ker} \psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{e}}=\operatorname{Coker} \varphi^{\prime}$ $=$ Coker ( $\varphi^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}$ ). By uniqueness one obtains the monomorphism $\xi=\left(\beta_{e^{\varphi}}\right)_{m}$ and the epimorphism $\eta=\left(\psi^{\prime} e^{\beta} m^{\prime} e^{\prime} e^{\prime}\right.$, so that $\xi^{\prime}=\operatorname{Coker}\left(\beta_{e^{\varphi}}\right)=\operatorname{Coker} \xi$ and $\eta^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\psi_{e}^{\prime} \beta_{m}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} \eta$. To construct the sum $\sigma=\operatorname{Ker} \beta \smile \operatorname{Ker} \psi$ form $\sigma^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime} \beta_{e}$, then $\sigma=\operatorname{Ker} \sigma^{\prime}$. Dually the intersection $\tau=\operatorname{Im} \beta \frown \operatorname{Im} \varphi^{\prime}=\beta_{m} \eta^{\prime}$ (see [1], pp. 2, 3, Props. 2.2 and 2.6). Since $\varepsilon_{m}{ }^{\eta \xi \delta} e^{=\varepsilon \varphi}$ $=\gamma \psi_{\varphi}=0$, one has $\eta \xi=0$, hence there exists $\pi$ and $\downarrow$ such that $\eta=\pi \xi^{\prime}$ and $\xi=\eta^{\prime} \iota$, thus also $\varepsilon_{e}=\eta \beta_{e}=\pi \xi^{\prime} \beta_{e}=\pi \sigma^{\prime}$ and $\delta_{m}=\beta_{m} \xi=\beta_{m} \eta^{\prime} \iota=\tau \iota$. Since $\varepsilon e^{\sigma=\pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma=0, ~} \sigma$ factorizes over Ker $\varepsilon$ (similarly $\tau^{\prime}=$ Coker $\tau$ factorizes over Coker 8). Repeated application of Prop. 2) gives an object $Q=\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon / \sigma=\sigma^{\prime} \backslash \varepsilon_{\mathrm{e}}=\xi^{\prime} \backslash \eta=\eta^{\prime} / \xi=\tau / \delta_{\mathrm{m}}\left(=\right.$ Coker $\left.\delta \backslash \tau^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark: In the category of groups (or rings) axiom $\left(Q_{3}\right) b$ ) no longer holds, and thus a distinction must be made between "normal" monomorphisms $\mu=\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Coker} \mu$ ) and nonnormal ones. Still valid however is axiom
(G): If $\mu$ is a normal monomorphism and $\varepsilon$ an epimorphism such that $\varepsilon \mu$ is defined, then also $(\varepsilon \mu)_{m}$ (the image of $\mu$ under $\varepsilon$ ) is normal.

The latter suffices to prove Prop. 2 for normal $\alpha$ and $\beta$, because then $\mathcal{K}$ is also normal. Thm. 3 remains valid without restriction: Its premises imply the normality of $\xi$, so the same proof applies.
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