Gamma-ray generation from ultraintense laser-irradiated solid targets with preplasma
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Abstract

In the laser plasma interaction of quantum electrodynamics (QED)-dominated regime, γ-rays are generated due to synchrotron radiation from high-energy electrons traveling in a strong background electromagnetic field. With the aid of 2D particle-in-cell code including QED physics, we investigate the preplasma effect on the γ-ray generation during the interaction between an ultraintense laser pulse and solid targets. We found that with the increasing preplasma scale length, the γ-ray emission is enhanced significantly and finally reaches a steady state. Meanwhile, the γ-ray beam becomes collimated. This shows that, in some cases, the preplasmas will be piled up acting as a plasma mirror in the underdense preplasma region, where the γ-rays are produced by the collision between the forward electrons and the reflected laser fields from the piled plasma. The piled plasma plays the same role as the usual reflection mirror made from a solid target. Thus, a single solid target with proper scale length preplasma can serve as a manufactural and robust γ-ray source.
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1. Introduction

Preplasma has an important effect on the interaction between laser and matter, especially in solid targets, which produces different laser absorption mechanisms in sub-relativistic laser states, such as resonance absorption[¹] and vacuum heating (the Brunel mechanism)⁵. Based on these studies, several interesting results have been obtained recently, such as the Brunel-like mechanism[¹], high harmonic generation⁴, and vacuum electron acceleration⁵.

With the gargantuan laser powers projected to be realized in the developing petawatt (PW) facilities ELI in Europe⁶–⁹ and SULF in China¹⁰, the interaction between lasers and plasmas is poised to occur in the ultrarelativistic state¹¹. As is commonly understood, in the quantum electrodynamics (QED)-dominated regime, when an ultraintense laser interacts with a target, γ-rays can be generated by synchrotron radiation arising from high-energy electrons traveling in a strong, background electromagnetic field¹²,¹³. Previous studies focused on the function of the preplasma before a dense target¹⁴,¹⁵, scanning different parameters to obtain the optimal γ-ray source. The other efficient method to generate γ-ray flare is to make accelerated electrons interact with a reflected laser, a method called all-optical Compton backscattering, in which electrons may be accelerated by a wakefield¹⁶,¹⁷ or pondermotive force¹⁸ and laser is reflected by a dense plasma mirror.

In these cases, underdense gas or nanoparticles¹⁹ are required before the solid targets in their plans. The γ-rays generated in different regimes have lent themselves to many applications, such as dense matter tomography²⁰, photonuclear reactions²¹, and laboratory astrophysics²². Previous research has shown that an ultraintense laser
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interacting with a plasma can emit γ-rays in different directions, depending on the plasma density\textsuperscript{[11, 12, 23]} and the corresponding physical mechanisms at play.

As mentioned previously, the preplasma scale length plays an important role in the ultraintense laser matter interactions, which is the object of the present article. Via two-dimensional (2D) simulation and theoretical analysis, we found that the preplasma affects the γ-ray generation markedly. With increasing preplasma scale length, the angular distribution of the γ-rays tends to proceed with small angle. Moreover, the conversion efficiency of laser to γ-ray reaches its highest at an appropriate scale length. This shows that piled plasma formed in preplasma has similar effects to the reflecting mirror in an all-optical Compton backscattering scenario. The mirror is usually made of a solid target and reflects the laser. We propose a simple 2D analytical model to explain the simulation results, which is in good agreement with our simulation. It indicates that a single solid target with appropriate preplasma could also be robust to generating a γ-ray source.

2. Traditional mechanism of γ-ray production in uniform plasma

The nonlinear QED effect\textsuperscript{[24]} must be considered under ultraintense laser conditions, which mainly contains two important processes: γ-ray generation through synchrotron radiation and electron–positron pair creation by the Breit–Wheeler (BW) process\textsuperscript{[25]}. These two processes can be simply described as

\begin{equation}
\begin{align*}
e^- + n\gamma_1 &\rightarrow e^- + \gamma_h, \\
\gamma_h + n\gamma_1 &\rightarrow e^+ + e^-,
\end{align*}
\end{equation}

where γ\textsubscript{1} is a laser photon and γ\textsubscript{h} is a gamma photon.

\(\gamma\)-ray generation is controlled by the parameter:

\[
\eta = \left(\frac{\gamma}{E_s}\right)|E_\perp + \beta \times cB|,
\]

which is the ratio of the electromagnetic field in the electron’s rest frame to the Schwinger field \((E_s = 1.3 \times 10^{18} \text{ V/m})\textsuperscript{[26]}\), where \(\gamma\) is the Lorentz factor of the relativistic electron, \(E_\perp\) is the electric field perpendicular to the direction of motion of the electron, \(\beta\) is the electron velocity normalized by the speed of light \(c\), and \(B\) is the magnetic field. When \(\eta\) approaches unity, the QED process must be considered, especially \(\gamma\)-ray emission.

As can be found in the formula, the motion of the electrons and the electromagnetic field they experience determine the production of \(\gamma\)-rays. There are four main mechanisms of \(\gamma\)-ray generation in uniform plasma according to the density (shown in Figure 1), which produce different electron behaviors.

In a comparatively low-density plasma of near-critical density \((n_e \sim n_c, n_e = \frac{m_e^2 c^2}{\hbar^2}\) is the critical density), the laser penetrates the plasma and a proportion of the electrons become trapped in the plasma channel owing to the combined effects of the radiation reaction and the self-generated magnetic field\textsuperscript{[27]}. Brilliant forward collimated γ-rays can be generated by relativistic electron bunches through synchrotron radiation, in a cone with an angle \(1/\gamma\) (\(\gamma\) is the Lorentz factor of the electron) around the direction of electron motion.

When the plasma is no longer transparent (the plasma density is close to the penetration threshold value \(n_e \sim n_{th}\), in which \(n_{th}\) satisfies

\[
a_d^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[2n_{th}(1+a_d^2)\left(\sqrt{1+a_d^2} - 1\right) - a_d^4\right]
\]

\(n_{th}\) is the penetration threshold value of the SDE process.

\[\text{Figure 1. Schematic of traditional } \gamma\text{-ray generation mechanisms at uniform plasma with different density (blue backgrounds represent plasma densities; black circles are electrons; purple represents the gamma photons; small red arrows show the moving direction of the electrons and gamma photons; light red arrows are the laser; and yellow arrows are the space charge force). (a) Low-density plasma } n_e \sim n_c, \text{ forming a plasma channel. (b) Plasma density is close to the penetration threshold value } n_e \sim n_{th}, \text{ showing the RESE process. (c) Transition region with } n_{th} < n_e < n_{c*} \text{ of the TOEE mechanism. (d) High density of } n_e > n_{c*} \text{ of the SDE process.}\]
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for a circularly polarized laser\(^{[28]}\) and more complicated for a linearly polarized laser\(^{[28,30]}\) so that no stable plasma channel can be formed, a process known as ‘reinjected electron synchrotron emission’ (RESE)\(^{[11]}\) generates a backward \(\gamma\)-ray beam. The backward reinjected electrons are accelerated by a space-charge field when the ponderomotive force is smaller than charge-separation electrostatic force at the laser pulse back edge. The \(\gamma\)-rays are emitted by the backward reinjected electrons traveling in the incident laser field.

In the transition region with \(n_{\text{th}} < n_e < n_e^*\) (\(n_e^*\) is the relativistically corrected critical density, which depends on the relativistic effect as \(n_e^* \sim \langle \gamma \rangle n_e\) and the energy distribution between the degrees of freedom in the plasma\(^{[23,31]}\), the longitudinal laser ponderomotive force can come to a balance with the restoring electrostatic force. The electrons mainly oscillate in the transverse direction. Thus, transversely emitted \(\gamma\)-rays at laser frequency are produced by the transversely oscillating electron synchrotron emission (TOEE)\(^{[11]}\).

When the density is greater than \(n_e^*\), the laser pulse can only penetrate the target to several skin depths, after which it is reflected backwards. A small number of electrons, accelerated by the ponderomotive force within several skin depths, counterpropagate with the reflected pulse, and emit forward \(\gamma\)-ray bursts, a process known as skin-depth emission (SDE)\(^{[11,12]}\).

The four traditional mechanisms mentioned previously occur specifically in a uniform plasma with sharp edge. If a preplasma exists that covers a broad range from underdense to solid density, the \(\gamma\)-ray generation is not simply the superimposition of the four traditional mechanisms. The preplasma will alter the electron acceleration and laser reflection process, which then alters the features of the \(\gamma\)-rays. This represents a new phenomenon, as described in the following.

### 3. Simulation setup

Here, a 2D particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation code OPIC\(^{[32]}\) was used to investigate the process of an ultraintense laser (\(10^{22}\) W/cm\(^2\)) irradiating a solid CH target with different preplasma scale lengths. A QED model is included in the code, which takes into account QED effects of the synchrotron radiation of \(\gamma\)-rays and the Breit–Wheeler electron–positron pair production using a Monte Carlo algorithm. Meanwhile, the radiation reaction effect is also taken into account in our simulation, which is important in the QED regime\(^{[33-36]}\). It presents high-energy electrons that partially lose their energy through emitting gamma photons in laser field.

In the simulation, a box of dimensions 25 \(\mu\text{m} \times 10 \mu\text{m}\) \((x \times y)\) is divided into 2500 grids in the \(x\) direction and 1000 grids in the \(y\) direction. A \(p\)-polarized laser pulse, with a peak intensity of \(5.5 \times 10^{22}\) W/cm\(^2\) \((a_0 = 200)\) and wavelength of \(\lambda = 1 \mu\text{m}\), propagates from the left boundary. It has a transverse Gaussian profile with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) radius of \(r = 2\lambda\) and a sine square temporal profile \(a = a_0 \sin^2(\pi t/2T_{1/2})\), in which \(T_{1/2}\) is the laser period. The target is composed of CH\(_2\), which consists of a uniform main target and a preplasma before the main target, as shown in Figure 2. The uniform main target is located from 18\(\lambda\) to 20\(\lambda\), with an electron density 200\(n_e\) \((n_e = \omega^2_c / q_0 m_e / e^2\) is the critical density). The target is fully ionized, and the initial temperatures of ions and electrons are 30 eV and 1 keV, respectively. Each cell of plasma is represented by 50 pseudoelectrons, 25 pseudopions, and 16 pseudocarbons. The scale lengths \(L\) of the exponential preplasma \((L = (d \ln n/dx)^{-1})\) are set to \(L = 4\lambda\) (2–18 \(\mu\text{m}\) in the \(x\) direction), \(L = 2\lambda\) (10–18 \(\mu\text{m}\)), \(L = 0.5\lambda\) (16–18 \(\mu\text{m}\)), and \(L = 0\). The cutoff electron density is about 3.6\(n_e\) to minimize the computational cost. We also simulated a larger preplasma region, in which the cutoff density of electrons was below \(n_e\). There is no difference between the simulation results for the same scale length parameters, which means that the contribution of electrons to \(\gamma\)-ray generation in the \(<3.6n_e\) attenuate plasma region can be ignored.

### 4. Results and discussion

In Figure 3, the temporal evolution of energetic electron numbers with \(\eta > 0.05\) is shown at four kinds of...
provides the spatial distribution of electrons and γ-rays. Most energetic electrons are produced in laser skin layer from the dense plasma of 200\(\lambda_c\) and 4\(\lambda_c\). The energetic electron have similar forward oscillation, which emit a forward γ-ray beam. However, in the dense preplasma region of 74\(n_c\), electrons only oscillate in transverse direction with little forward motion, which generates the typical transverse γ-ray emission of the TOEE mechanism. Therefore, the angular distribution of γ-rays is the superposition of the dominated forward γ-rays in the underdense region and transverse γ-ray emission by TOEE in dense plasma, as shown in Figures 5(c) and 5(g). As can be found in Figure 6, the piled plasma by laser ponderomotive force produces a dense plasma mirror in preplasma, which plays the same function as an additional plasma mirror in double target scheme. The backward laser field is mainly reflected by the preplasma region instead of the main target because there is no laser field behind the piled plasma. Meanwhile, the piled electron density shown in Figure 6(b) is almost reaches 200\(n_c\) in the position of the laser axis and the main target shows little alteration. The reflected laser (pink line in Figure 6(b)) serves as a background electric field to maximum γ-ray generation. Thus, the forward γ-rays are generated in the laser pathway through Compton backscattering with a laser reflected by the piled plasma mirror, which presents a relatively collimated emission angle compared with shorter cases.

Increasing the preplasma scale length to \(L = 4\lambda_c\), the characteristics of electrons and γ-rays are similar to the case of \(L = 2\lambda_c\). From the Figures 4(d), 4(h), and 4(l), the majority of electrons are accelerated forward, emitting γ-rays in the underdense region density below 74\(n_c\) through Compton backscattering. Minor γ-rays are also accelerated in preplasma. Therefore, the forward-moving electrons have higher momentum and numbers owing to the longer acceleration length, as shown in Figures 4(f) and 4(j). The forward-moving energetic electrons interact with the backward laser field reflected by the skin layer of dense plasma, and produce brighter forward γ-rays. Some energetic electrons are generated in preplasma of \(x < 18\lambda_c\), which exhibit obvious features of the RESE mechanism. The electrons have backward and transverse momentum. The backward re-injected electrons, reflected by the space-charge field of dense plasma, counterpropagate in the incident laser field, and emit a burst of backward γ-rays in preplasma, as can be seen in Figure 5(f). Thus, the angular profiles of energetic electrons and γ-rays present two forward ±45° peaks (SDE-like) and one backward peak (RESE), as shown in Figures 5(b) and 5(f).

If further increasing the preplasma to \(L = 2\lambda_c\) scale length, the generation region of γ-rays has changed apparently: the majority of γ-rays generated in underdense preplasma below \(\lambda_c\) and the minority in dense preplasma of \(74\lambda_c\), which is illustrated in Figure 4(c) and Figure 6(a). The behaviors of electrons in those two regions are significantly different as presented in Figures 4(g) and 4(f). In underdense preplasma (<74\(n_c\)), electrons are mainly going forward accompanied by transverse oscillation, which emit a forward γ-ray beam. However, in the dense preplasma region of 74\(n_c\), electrons only oscillate in transverse direction with little forward motion, which generates the typical transverse γ-ray emission of the TOEE mechanism. Therefore, the angular distribution of γ-rays is the superposition of the dominated forward γ-rays in the underdense region and transverse γ-ray emission by TOEE in dense plasma, as shown in Figures 5(c) and 5(g). As can be found in Figure 6, the piled plasma by laser ponderomotive force produces a dense plasma mirror in preplasma, which plays the same function as an additional plasma mirror in double target scheme. The backward laser field is mainly reflected by the preplasma region instead of the main target because there is no laser field behind the piled plasma. Meanwhile, the piled electron density shown in Figure 6(b) is almost reaches 200\(n_c\) in the position of the laser axis and the main target shows little alteration. The reflected laser (pink line in Figure 6(b)) serves as a background electric field to maximum γ-ray generation. Thus, the forward γ-rays are generated in the laser pathway through Compton backscattering with a laser reflected by the piled plasma mirror, which presents a relatively collimated emission angle compared with shorter cases.

Increasing the preplasma scale length to \(L = 2\lambda_c\), the characteristics of electrons and γ-rays are similar to the case of \(L = 2\lambda_c\). From the Figures 4(d), 4(h), and 4(l), the majority of electrons are accelerated forward, emitting γ-rays in the underdense region density below 74\(n_c\) through Compton backscattering. Minor γ-rays are also accelerated in skin depth similar to SDE.
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Figure 4. (a)–(d) Spatial density distributions of the electrons (black) and gamma photons (red) at corresponding characteristic times. (e)–(l) Phase space distribution of electron momentum (e)–(h) $P_x$ and (i)–(l) $P_y$ at the characteristic time. There are four cases: (a), (e), (i) $L = 0$; (b), (f), (j) $L = 0.5 \lambda$ (preplasma region 16–18 $\mu$m); (c), (g), (k) $L = 2 \lambda$ (10–18 $\mu$m); (d), (h), (l) $L = 4 \lambda$ (2–18 $\mu$m). The colorbars represent the electron density.

Figure 5. The angular energy distributions $\ln[N(\theta, \varepsilon)]$ of (a)–(d) energetic electrons and (e)–(h) and gamma photons at corresponding characteristic times (all units are MeV): (a), (e) $L = 0$; (b), (f) $L = 0.5 \lambda$; (c), (g) $L = 2 \lambda$; (d), (h) $L = 4 \lambda$. The colorbars represent the $\ln N$ of electrons or gamma photons ($N$ represents their density).

contributed by TOEE from transversely oscillating electrons in the dense plasma of 74$n_c$–120$n_c$ ($12 \lambda < x < 16 \lambda$). The electrons and $\gamma$-rays are further collimated as illustrated in Figures 5(d) and 5(h). The expanding angles have changed from $\pm 45^\circ$ to nearly $\pm 25^\circ$ owing to increasing scale length. Although the angles are still quite large compared with other schemes[38, 39], the present simple layout is easier to perform in experiments such as the radiography of dense objects[40].

A simple analytical model is now presented to explain the continuous enhanced and collimated $\gamma$-ray emission with increasing preplasma scale length. When a linear-polarized laser penetrates the plasma, electrons are pushed forward by the ponderomotive force, which can be written as[41]

$$F_{p-x} = -\frac{m_e c^2}{2\gamma_e} \frac{\delta a^2}{\delta x},$$

and oscillate at twice the laser frequency. In the longitudinal direction, electrons stop accelerating forward until the increasing electrostatic force is equal to the ponderomotive force. Under different preplasma scale length, electrons experience different acceleration lengths, which emit gamma photons mainly via SDE ($L_1 = 0$), SDE-like ($L_2 = 0.5 \lambda$), and Compton backscattering ($L_3 = 2 \lambda$ and $L_4 = 4 \lambda$) mechanisms. The ratio of the acceleration distance can be estimated approximately by the scale length ratio as $L_1:L_2:L_3:L_4$ ($L$ corresponding to the preplasma scale length). The final
electron velocity in the longitudinal direction is proportional to the square root of the preplasma scale length. Moreover, the electrons also oscillate in the transverse direction with the laser cycle as exhibited in traditional SDE and TOEE mechanisms. Using simulation data and analytical calculations, we finally arrive at a rough ratio of the electron velocity $v_x$:

$$v_x = 1 : \sqrt{2} : 2 : 1,$$

corresponding to the expanding angles $\alpha_1 = 45^\circ$, $\alpha_2 = 45^\circ$, $\alpha_3 = 35^\circ$, and $\alpha_4 = 27^\circ$, which are in good agreement with the angular distributions of energetic electrons and gamma photons shown in Figure 5.

Returning to simulation, the temporal profiles of conversion efficiency from laser energy to electrons and $\gamma$-rays are shown in Figure 7. The longer scale length obtains the highest conversion efficiency, although it seems that the $L = 4\lambda$ case has the saturation tendency relative to that of the $L = 2\lambda$ case. Thus, we scan a large parameter region to find the scale law between $\gamma$-ray conversion efficiency and scale length, which is shown in Figure 8.

As can be found in Figure 8, with increasing scale length, the conversion efficiency first increases owing to the longer acceleration length, then reaches a saturated region, and finally decreases slightly at various laser parameters. The saturation phenomenon is a natural result of the piled plasma mirror. The $\gamma$-rays are the combined effect of forward electron acceleration and backward laser field reflected by piled plasma. At small scale length, the short acceleration length limits the electron energy and the $\gamma$-ray emission. At very long scale length, the plasma accumulation and the piled plasma mirror are not formed in time owing to

Figure 6. (a) The electron density (black to white colorbar) and laser field $E_y$ (red to blue colorbar) distribution of the preplasma scale length $L = 2\lambda$ condition at characteristic time; (b) lineplot in the position of $y = 5 \mu m$ for the laser field $E_y$, reflected laser field $bp$ ($bp = (E_y - cB_z)/2$), and electron density (blue is the current distribution and green is the initial profile).

Figure 7. The conversion efficiency of laser energy to (a) electrons and (b) $\gamma$-rays at various preplasma scale lengths.

Figure 8. The conversion efficiency from laser energy to $\gamma$-rays at different scale lengths and laser parameters. The conversion efficiencies are saturated at longer scale lengths ($d$ is the laser pulse width (FWHM) and $T = 3.3$ fs is the laser period for $\lambda = 1 \mu m$).
over-attenuated preplasma, which decreases the reflected laser field and the γ-ray emission, although it has more energetic electrons. Thus, the optimal scale length of γ-ray generation is the balance between acceleration of electrons and laser reflection.

From Figure 8 we also find the positive correlation between the optimal scale length and laser pulse duration. A shorter laser pulse needs to be reflected by piled plasma early to serve as the background field for the accelerated electrons before the laser energy is depleted. On the other hand, longer laser duration can accelerate electrons fully before the laser reflected because the longer pulse contains more energy to accelerate electrons and act as a background field. Meanwhile, the formation time of piled plasma mirror and the scale length are proportionate. Therefore, the optimal scale length for a long pulse is nearly five times that of a short pulse (as shown in Figure 8 for a = 200), which is a multiple of the duration time.

5. Conclusion

In summary, we have investigated the influence of preplasma scale length on γ-ray emission in the interaction between an ultraintense laser and solid targets via 2D PIC simulation. We find that the preplasma will enhance and collimate the γ-ray emission significantly. It exhibits the formation of piled plasma mirror, which has the same function as plasma mirror in an all-optical Compton backscattering scenario. The forward-accelerated electrons interact with the backward laser field reflected by the piled plasma in the preplasma region and produce the γ-ray emission. A solid target with appropriate preplasma could be robust to generating a useful γ-ray source, which is easier for experimental operation. The feature may be slightly different in an actual 3D situation, but the primary physical characterization will be maintained. The current study may be conducted in PW facilities such as SULF and ELI, and is helpful for future experiments, especially for bright collimated γ-ray source generation, and it may also be used to diagnose the preplasma according to the features of the emitted γ-rays.
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