*Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **84** (2011), 452–454 doi:10.1017/S0004972711002413

# A PSEUDOCOMPACT TYCHONOFF SPACE THAT IS NOT STAR LINDELÖF

### YANKUI SONG

(Received 2 March 2011)

#### Abstract

Let *P* be a topological property. A space *X* is said to be *star P* if whenever  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of *X*, there exists a subspace  $A \subseteq X$  with property *P* such that  $X = \text{St}(A, \mathcal{U})$ , where  $\text{St}(A, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ . In this paper we construct an example of a pseudocompact Tychonoff space that is not star Lindelöf, which gives a negative answer to Alas *et al.* ['Countability and star covering properties', *Topology Appl.* **158** (2011), 620–626, Question 3].

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 54A25; secondary 54D20. *Keywords and phrases*: pseudocompact, star countable, star  $\sigma$ -compact, star Lindelöf.

#### 1. Introduction

By a 'space' we mean a topological space. Let *X* be a space and  $\mathcal{U}$  a collection of subsets of *X*. For  $A \subseteq X$ , let  $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup \{ U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap A \neq \emptyset \}$ .

DEFINITION 1.1 [2, 9]. Let *P* be a topological property. A space *X* is said to be *star P* if whenever  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of *X*, there exists a subspace  $A \subseteq X$  with property *P* such that  $X = St(A, \mathcal{U})$ . The set *A* will be called a *star kernel* of the cover  $\mathcal{U}$ .

The term star *P* was coined in [9] and used in [1, 2], but certain star properties, specifically those corresponding to '*P* = finite' and '*P* = countable', were first studied by van Douwen *et al.* in [8] and later by many other authors. A survey of star covering properties with a comprehensive bibliography can be found in [4]. Here, we use the terminology from [2, 4]. In [8, 9] a star finite space is called starcompact and strongly 1-starcompact, and a star countable space is called star Lindelöf and strongly 1-star Lindelöf. In [7], a star  $\sigma$ -compact space is called  $\sigma$ -starcompact. From the definitions, we have the following diagram:

### star countable $\longrightarrow$ star $\sigma$ -compact $\longrightarrow$ star Lindelöf

In [2], Alas *et al.* studied the relationships of star *P* properties for  $P \in \{\text{Lindelöf}, \sigma - \text{compact, countable}\}$  with other Lindelöf type properties and asked the following question.

<sup>© 2011</sup> Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2011 \$16.00

QUESTION 1.2 [2, Question 3]. Is a pseudocompact Tychonoff space star Lindelöf?

The purpose of this note is to construct an example which gives a negative answer to this question.

Let c denote the cardinality of the set of all real numbers. As usual, a cardinal is an initial ordinal and an ordinal is the set of smaller ordinals. Every cardinal is often viewed as a space with the usual order topology. Other terms and symbols that we do not define follow [3].

### 2. Main results

In this section we construct an example of a pseudocompact Tychonoff space that is not star Lindelöf. For a Tychonoff space X, let  $\beta(X)$  denote the Čech–Stone compactification of X.

THEOREM 2.1. There exists a pseudocompact Tychonoff space which is not star Lindelöf.

**PROOF.** Let  $D = \{d_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a discrete space of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  and let

$$X = (\beta(D) \times (\mathfrak{c} + 1)) \setminus ((\beta(D) \setminus D) \times \{\mathfrak{c}\})$$

be the subspace of  $\beta(D) \times (\mathfrak{c} + 1)$ . As was shown by Noble [5], X is pseudocompact; in fact, it has a countably compact, dense subspace  $\beta(D) \times \mathfrak{c}$ .

Next, we show that X is not star Lindelöf. For each  $\alpha < c$ , let

$$U_{\alpha} = \{d_{\alpha}\} \times [0, \mathfrak{c}].$$

Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \{\beta(D) \times \mathfrak{c}\}$$

of X. Let A be a Lindelöf subset of X and let

$$\Lambda = \{ \alpha : \langle d_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{c} \rangle \in A \}.$$

Then  $\Lambda$  is countable, since  $\{\langle d_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{c} \rangle : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  is a discrete closed subset of X. Let

$$A' = A \setminus \bigcup \{ U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda \}.$$

If  $A' = \emptyset$ , then there exists an  $\alpha_0 < \mathfrak{c}$  such that  $A \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$ , hence  $\langle d_{\alpha_0}, \mathfrak{c} \rangle \notin \mathbb{C}$ St(A, U), since  $U_{\alpha_0}$  is the only element of U containing the point  $\langle d_{\alpha_0}, \mathfrak{c} \rangle$ . On the other hand, if  $A' \neq \emptyset$ , then A' is closed in A and A' is Lindelöf and  $A' \subseteq \beta(D) \times \mathfrak{c}$ , hence  $\pi(A')$  is a Lindelöf subset of a countably compact space c, where  $\pi: \beta(D) \times \mathfrak{c} \to \mathfrak{c}$  is the projection. Hence, there exists  $\alpha_1 < \mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\pi(A') \cap (\alpha_1, \mathfrak{c}) = \emptyset$ . Choose  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ such that  $\alpha > \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha \notin \Lambda$ . Then  $\langle d_\alpha, \mathfrak{c} \rangle \notin \operatorname{St}(A, \mathcal{U})$ , since  $U_\alpha$  is the only element of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing  $\langle d_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{c} \rangle$  and  $U_{\alpha} \cap A = \emptyset$ , which shows that X is not star Lindelöf, which completes the proof. 

Y. Song

**REMARK 2.2.** Alas *et al.* [1] show there is an example of such a space by using the example due to Shakhmatov [6]. Shakhmatov's example is very complicated, but it has a point-countable base. The construction of Theorem 2.1 is simpler than theirs.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Mr Shuicong Quan for his kind help and valuable suggestions.

### References

- O. T. Alas, L. R. Junqueira, J. van Mill, V. V. Tkachuk and R. G. Wilson, 'On the extent of star countable spaces', *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 9(3) (2011), 603–615.
- [2] O. T. Alas, L. R. Junqueira and R. G. Wilson, 'Countability and star covering properties', *Topology Appl.* 158 (2011), 620–626.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd edn, Sigma Series in Mathematics, 6 (Heldermann, Berlin, 1989).
- [4] M. V. Matveev, 'A survey on star-covering properties', Topological Atlas, Preprint No. 330, 1998.
- [5] N. Noble, 'Countably compact and pseudocompact products', *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **279**(4) (1985), 825–829.
- [6] D. B. Shahmatov, 'On pseudocompact spaces with point base', *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 19 (1969), 390–397.
- [7] Y. Song, 'On  $\sigma$ -starcompact spaces', Appl. Gen. Topol. 9(2) (2008), 293–299.
- [8] E. K. van Douwen, G. K. Reed, A. W. Roscoe and I. J. Tree, 'Star covering properties', *Topology Appl.* 39 (1991), 71–103.
- [9] J. van Mill, V. V. Tkachuk and R. G. Wilson, 'Classes defined by stars and neighbourhood assignments', *Topology Appl.* 154 (2007), 2127–2134.

YANKUI SONG, Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Science, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, PR China e-mail: songyankui@njnu.edu.cn