## ERRATUM

## Coastal occupation and foraging during the last glacial maximum and early Holocene at Waterfall Bluff, eastern Pondoland, South Africa – Erratum

Erich C. Fisher, Hayley C. Cawthra, Irene Esteban, Antonieta Jerardino, Frank H. Neumann, Annette Oertle, Justin Pargeter, Rosaria B. Saktura, Katherine Szabó, Stephan Winkler, Irit Zohar

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2020.26; Published online by Cambridge University Press: 14 May 2020

In the original publication of Fisher et al. (2020), a typesetting error occurred in Table 3. The correct Table 3 is reproduced below. In addition, an error was introduced in the text referencing the samples described in Table 3. The correct text is written below:

For samples CN312, CN310, CN309, and CN308, further work on understanding site formation and sediment context at the microscopic level is required to make an informative interpretation of the  $D_e$  distributions and to help choose an appropriate age model for final  $D_e$  determination.

The publisher apologizes for these errors.

## Reference

Fisher, E., Cawthra, H., Esteban, I., Jerardino, A., Neumann, F., Oertle, A., Pargeter, J. Coastal occupation and foraging during the last glacial maximum and early Holocene at Waterfall Bluff, eastern Pondoland, South Africa. *Quaternary Research*. First published online 14 May 2020. doi:10.1017/qua.2020.26.



**Cite this article:** Fisher, E. C. *et al* 2020. Coastal occupation and foraging during the last glacial maximum and early Holocene at Waterfall Bluff, eastern Pondoland, South Africa – Erratum. *Quaternary Research* 97, 216–217. https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2020.55

Cat. Number	Depth (m)	Sub-Agg	Field water content (%)*	Dose rates (Gy/ka)			Total dose	Number	Age			OSL age
				Beta	Gamma	Cosmic	rate (Gy/ka)	of grains	Model	D <sub>e</sub> (Gy)	OD (%) <sup>#</sup>	(ka)
311	0.34	SRCS Courtney	22 (22.5)	$0.397 \pm 0.024$	$0.429 \pm 0.021$	$0.052 \pm 0.004$	$0.911 \pm 0.034$	123/1000	CAM	$12.2 \pm 0.4$	$36.7 \pm 2.8$	$13.3 \pm 0.7$
310	0.45	LBCS Kuka	5 (5.7)	$0.849 \pm 0.031$	$0.578 \pm 0.026$	$0.051 \pm 0.004$	$1.511 \pm 0.041$	78/1000	CAM	$34.9 \pm 2.1$	$45.9 \pm 4.7$	$23.1 \pm 1.6$
									MAM	$19.0 \pm 1.8$		$12.5 \pm 1.2$
309	0.57	LBCS Otis	10 (10.8)	$0.877 \pm 0.037$	$0.695 \pm 0.030$	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$1.655 \pm 0.049$	317/3000	CAM	$66.1 \pm 1.9$	$42.8 \pm 2.3$	$39.9 \pm 1.8$
									MAM	$36.6 \pm 1.6$		$22.1 \pm 1.3$
308	0.76	LBCS Kate	5 (5.4)	$0.989 \pm 0.035$	$0.740 \pm 0.033$	$0.048 \pm 0.004$	$1.809 \pm 0.049$	144/3000	CAM	$86.7 \pm 3.8$	$42.8 \pm 3.6$	$47.9 \pm 2.7$
									MAM	$50.3 \pm 3.4$		$27.8 \pm 2.1$
312	0.82	LBCS Colton	5 (4.1)	$1.058 \pm 0.038$	$0.808 \pm 0.035$	$0.047 \pm 0.004$	$1.945 \pm 0.052$	66/2900	CAM	$100.9 \pm 7.4$	$49.5 \pm 5.9$	$51.8 \pm 4.2$
									MAM	$73.1 \pm 7.9$		$37.6 \pm 4.2$

\*Estimated water content over period of burial of sample. Current measured field water content value given in brackets. \*Overdispersion (OD) values calculated using the CAM.