J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 60 (1996), 1-6

# ON THE LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

## M. M. ELHOSH

(Received 20 May 1991; revised 7 April 1992)

Communicated by P. C. Fenton

#### Abstract

Logarithmic coefficient bounds for some univalent functions are given in this paper.

1991 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 30C45, 30C50, 30C55.

Let S denote the usual class of univalent functions f(z) normalized so that f(0) = f'(0) - 1 in |z| < 1. Let C denote the set of functions f(z) normalized as above and which satisfy the condition Re  $zf'(z)/g(z) \ge 0$  in |z| < 1, where g(z) itself is subject to the conditions Re  $zg'(z)/g(z) \ge 0$ , g(0) = 0 and Re g'(0) > 0 in |z| < 1. Then f(z) is called *close-to-convex* relative to the starlike function g(z). We denote this set of functions g(z) by  $S^*$ . Now let K denote the set of functions Q(z) which satisfy the conditions: Q(0) = 0, Re Q'(0) > 0 and Re  $(zQ''/Q' + 1) \ge 0$  in |z| < 1. Such Q(z) are called convex. It is well known that each  $zQ'(z) \in S^*$  and  $K \subset S^* \subset C \subset S$  (see for example [6, pp. 40–46]; [11, pp. 11–18]; [14, p. 361]).

Now, as in [6, p. 151], each  $f(z) \in S$  has a logarithmic expansion

(1) 
$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$$

in |z| < 1 where  $\gamma_n$  are known as the logarithmic coefficients. The problem of the best upper bounds for  $|\gamma_n|$  is still open. In fact even the proper order of magnitude is still not known. It is known, however, for the starlike functions that the best bound

<sup>© 1996</sup> Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/95 \$A2.00 + 0.00

#### M. M. Elhosh

is  $|\gamma_n| \le 1/n$   $(n \ge 1)$  and that this is not true in general [6, p. 151]; [5, p. 898]; [1, p. 140] and [7].

The importance of this problem in relation to the Bieberbach conjecture was pointed out by Milin in his conjecture (see [1, p. 141]; [6, pp. 155–156]; [5, p. 899]) that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( k |\gamma_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \le 0,$$

which led De Branges, by proving this conjecture, to the proof of the Bieberbach conjecture [2]. Milin has shown [6, p. 151] that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k|\gamma_k|^2 - 1/k) \leq \delta$  where  $\delta < 0.312$ .

It is known that  $\delta$  cannot be reduced to zero in general [6, p. 155]. However, its exact value remains unknown. In this note we show that  $|\gamma_n| \le 1/n$   $(n \ge 1)$ , for  $f \in C$ , and consequently  $\delta = 0$  as for the starlike functions. We shall use  $\sum a_n z^n \ll \sum b_n z^n$  to mean  $|a_n| \le b_n$  for  $n \ge 1$  [12, p. 52] and  $f \prec F$  to mean that f(0) = F(0) and  $f(z : |z| < 1) \subset F(z : |z| < 1)$  or equivalently  $f(z) = F(\phi(z))$ , where  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $|\phi(z)| < 1$  [6, p. 190].

THEOREM 1. Let  $f \in C$  so that (1) holds. Then for  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$|\gamma_n| \le \frac{1}{n}$$

PROOF. For  $f \in C$  let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence in C which converges uniformly on compact subsets to  $f \in C$ . Let also for a fixed n,  $J(g) = |\gamma_n|$  where  $g(z) = \log(f(z)/z) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$  and let  $\log(f_k(z)/z) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^{(k)} z^n$ . Then, using the coefficient formula we deduce for  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , 0 < r < 1, that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |\gamma_n^{(k)}| - |\gamma_n| \right| &\leq |\gamma_n^{(k)} - \gamma_n| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \left( \log \frac{f_k(z)}{z} - \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) \frac{dz}{z^{n+1}} \right| \\ &\leq r^{-n} \max_{|z|=r} |\log(f_k(z)/f(z))| \\ &\to 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $f_k \to f$  uniformly on |z| = r as  $k \to \infty$  [11, p. 40]. Thus we see that  $|\gamma_n^{(k)}|^2 \to |\gamma_n|^2$  so that J(g) is continuous.

Now let  $h(z) = \log(f_1(z)/z) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma'_n z^n$ ,  $\phi(z) = \log(f_2(z)/z) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma''_n z^n$ in |z| < 1 where  $f_1, f_2 \in C$ . Let also  $g = th + (1-t)\phi$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Then by [11, Lemma 5.6] we have

$$J(g) = |\gamma_n|^2 = |t\gamma'_n + (1-t)\gamma''_n|^2$$
  

$$\leq t |\gamma'_n|^2 + (1-t)|\gamma''_n|^2$$
  

$$= t J(h) + (1-t) J(\phi),$$

which implies that J(g) is convex.

Thus, in view of [11, Theorem 4.6], we need only prove Theorem 1 for the extreme points of the closed convex hull of C, denoted by EHC, since max $\{J(f) : f \in EHC\}$  = max $\{J(f) : f \in C\}$  in this case.

Functions  $f \in EHC$  are of the form

(3) 
$$f(z) = (z - \frac{1}{2}(x + y)z^2)/(1 - yz)^2$$

where  $x \neq y$  and |x| = |y| = 1.

With a suitable rotation (see [11, p. 83]), this can be written in the form

$$f(z) = (z - bz^2)/(1 - z)^2$$

where |b - 1/2| = 1/2.

Writing  $\psi(z) = (1 - bz)/(1 - z)$  we see that

Re 
$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 + (1 - 2b)z}{1 - z} \right) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
.

We also see that Re  $(z\psi''/\psi'+1) = \text{Re}((1+z)/(1-z)) \ge 0$  which implies that  $\psi$  is convex and consequently starlike of order 1/2 (see [4, p. 418];[6, p. 251]). Thus, as in [4, p. 417] and [16, p. 722], using Herglotz's formula (see [6, pp. 22–40]; [11, pp. 27–30])

$$p(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{1 + e^{-it}z}{1 - e^{-it}z} \right) d\mu(t)$$

where p(0) = 1, Re  $p(z) \ge 0$ ,  $d\mu(t) \ge 0$  and  $\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(t) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\log \psi(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - ze^{-it}}\right) d\mu(t)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t)\right) \frac{z^n}{n}$$
$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{z^n}{n} = \log\frac{1}{1 - z}.$$

Hence we see that

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = \log \frac{1}{1-z} + \log \frac{1-bz}{1-z}$$
$$\ll 2\log \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

This gives (2) by the definition of  $\ll$  above.

COROLLARY 1. For  $f \in C$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 d\theta = 1 + 4 \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^2 |\gamma_n|^2 r^{2n}$$
$$\leq 1 + 4 \sum_{n=1}^\infty r^{2n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zK'(z)}{K(z)} \right|^2 d\theta$$

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , 0 < r < 1, and  $K(z) = z(1-z)^{-2}$ .

THEOREM 2. For  $f \in EHC$  we have

(4) 
$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \log \frac{K(z)}{z}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{K(z)}{z}$$

where K(z) is as defined above.

PROOF. This theorem follows from the fact that Re  $((1 - bz)/(1 - z)) \ge 1/2$  is equivalent to  $(1 - bz)/(1 - z) \prec 1/(1 - z)$ , [11, p. 53], and this is equivalent to  $\log((1 - bz)/(1 - z)) \prec \log(1/(1 - z))$  [17, p. 23]. Thus we have

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\log \frac{1}{1-z} + (1-\frac{1}{2})\log \frac{1-bz}{1-z}\right)$$
  
$$\prec 2\log \frac{1}{1-z}$$

as required.

COROLLARY 2. For  $f \in EHC$  we see from [11, Theorem 3.3]; [6, Theorem 6.1] and (4) that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right|^{q} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \log \frac{K(z)}{z} \right|^{q} d\theta$$

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , 0 < r < 1, and q > 0. This extends [10, Theorem 1] for  $f \in EHC$ .

COROLLARY 3. For  $f \in C$  and  $\gamma_n$  as defined in Theorem 1 we see from [6, p. 212 (Exercise 7)], (4) and (2) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k |\gamma_k|^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1/k.$$

THEOREM 3. Let  $f \in C$  and  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$ . Then for  $n \ge 2$  we have

$$\left||a_n|-|a_{n-1}|\right|\leq 1.$$

**PROOF.** For some choice of  $\xi$  on the boundary, with  $|\xi| = 1$ , we have

$$(1-\xi z)\frac{f(z)}{z} = \exp\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2\gamma_n - \frac{\xi^n}{n}\right) z^n\right\}.$$

Applying the Lebedev-Milin inequality  $|\beta_n|^2 \leq \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n k |\alpha_k|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k\right\}$  for the expansion  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k z^k\right\}$ ,  $\beta_0 = 1$  [6, p. 143]; [5, p. 897], we deduce by using the triangle inequality that

(5) 
$$\left||a_n|-|a_{n-1}|\right| \leq \exp\left\{2\sum_{k=1}^n (k|\gamma_k|^2 - \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi^k \gamma_k\right)\right)\right\}.$$

We now write  $\xi = e^{it}$  and choose t such that  $kt + \arg(\gamma_k) = 0$ . We see that  $e^{kit}\gamma_k = |\gamma_k|$ . Using this and (2) in (5) we deduce Theorem 3 since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k|\gamma_k|^2 - \operatorname{Re}(\xi^k \gamma_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k|\gamma_k|^2 - |\gamma_k|) \le 0.$$

REMARK 1. The case n = 3 has been proved by Koepf [13]. For the full class S the author [9, p. 13] obtained  $||a_3| - |a_2|| < 1.411$ .

REMARK 2. It has been shown by Pearce [15] that functions of the form (3) are extreme points of S whenever  $0 < |\arg(-x/y)| \le \pi/4$  and consequently Theorems 2 and 3 hold for these functions. Our results give a partial answer to the questions raised in [8] and [3, Problem 6.71; p. 558].

REMARK 3. The Koeke function  $K(z) = z(1-z)^{-2}$  and its rotations show that our results are the best possible.

REMARK 4. Let  $(zf'/f)^q = 1 + \sum c_n(q)z^n$  and  $[(1+z)/(1-z)]^q = 1 + \sum D_n(q)z^n$ where n, q are positive integers. Then we have  $|c_n(q)| \le D_n(q)$  since  $(zf'/f)^q \ll [(1+z)/(1-z)]^q$  in this case. (See [12, Lemma 2.4.1, p. 53].) We now easily see that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^{2q} d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zK'(z)}{K(z)} \right|^{2q} d\theta$$

and this extends Corollary 1 when q is a positive integer.

[5]

The author would like to thank the referees for their useful comments to improve the first version of this paper.

### References

- J. Anderson, K. Barth and D. Brannan, 'Research problems in complex analysis', Bull. London Math. Soc. 9 (1977), 129-162.
- [2] L. De Branges, 'A proof of the Bieberbach Conjecture', Acta Math. 154 (1985), 137-152.
- [3] D. Brannan and J. Clunie, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis (Academic Press, 1980).
- [4] L. Brickman, D. Hallenbeck and T. MacGregor, 'Convex hulls and extreme points of families of starlike and convex mappings', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 185 (1973), 413–428.
- [5] P. Duren, 'Coefficients of univalent functions', Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (5) 83 (1977), 891-911.
- [6] —, Univalent functions (Springer, New York, 1983).
- [7] P. Duren and Y. Leung, 'Logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions', J. Analyse Math. 36 (1979), 36-43.
- [8] M. M. Elhosh, 'On the logarithmic coefficients of close-to-convex functions', Math. Japon. (2) 31 (1986), 201–204.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, 'On mean p-valent functions', Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 34 (1989), 11-15.
- [10] D. Girela, 'Integral means and BMOA norms of logarithms of univalent functions', J. London Math. Soc. 33 (1986), 117-132.
- [11] D. Hallenbeck and T. MacGregor, *Linear problems and convexity techniques in geometric function theory* (Pitman, London, 1984).
- [12] E. Hille, Ordinary differential equations in the complex domain (Wiley, New York, 1976).
- [13] W. Koepf, 'On the Fekete-Szego problem for close-to-convex functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 101 (1987), 89–95.
- [14] T. MacGregor, 'Applications of extreme point theory to univalent functions', *Michigan Math. J.* 19 (1972), 361–376.
- [15] K. Pearce, 'New support points of S and extreme points of KS', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1981), 425–428.
- [16] B. Pinchuk, 'On starlike and convex functions of order  $\alpha$ ', Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 721–734.
- [17] R. Robinson, 'On the theory of univalent functions', Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), 1-35.

Department of Mathematics The University College of Wales Aberystwyth Dyfed UK