References

[1] Aaronson, S. (2015). Read the fine print. *Nat. Phys.*, **11**, 291–293. doi:10.1038/nphys3272.

[2] Aaronson, S. (2018). Shadow tomography of quantum states. Pages 325–338 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3188745.3188802. arXiv:1711.01053.

[3] Aaronson, S. (2021). Open problems related to quantum query complexity. *ACM Trans. Quantum Comput.*, **2**. doi:10.1145/3488559. arXiv:2109.06917.

[4] Aaronson, S., and Gottesman, D. (2004). Improved simulation of stabilizer circuits. *Phys. Rev. A*, **70**, 052328. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.70.052328. arXiv:quant-ph/0406196.

[5] Aaronson, S., Chen, X., Hazan, E., et al. (2019). Online learning of quantum states. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, **2019**, 124019. doi:10.1088/1742-5468/ab3988. arXiv:1802.09025.

[6] Abbas, A., Ambainis, A., Augustino, B., et al. (2024). Challenges and opportunities in quantum optimization. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **6**, 718–735. doi:10.1038/s42254-024-00770-9. arXiv:2312.02279.

[7] Adachi, S. H., and Henderson, M. P. (2015). Application of quantum annealing to training of deep neural networks. arXiv:1510.06356.

[8] Aggarwal, D., Brennen, G., Lee, T., et al. (2018). Quantum attacks on bitcoin, and how to protect against them. *Ledger*, **3**. doi:10.5195/ledger.2018.127. arXiv:1710.10377.

[9] Agrawal, A. A., Wilson, T. L., Saadatmand, S., et al. (2024). Quantifying fault tolerant simulation of strongly correlated systems using the Fermi–Hubbard model. arXiv:2406.06511.

[10] Aharonov, D., and Ben-Or, M. (2008). Fault-tolerant quantum computation with constant error rate. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **38**, 1207–1282. doi:10.1137/S0097539799359385. Earlier version in *STOC'97*. arXiv:quant-ph/9906129.

[11] Aharonov, D., and Ta-Shma, A. (2007). Adiabatic quantum state generation. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **37**, 47–82. doi:10.1137/060648829. Earlier version in *STOC'03*. arXiv:quant-ph/0301023.

[12] Aharonov, D., Ben-Or, M., Impagliazzo, R., and Nisan, N. (1996). Limitations of noisy reversible computation. arXiv:quant-ph/9611028.

[13] Akhalwaya, I. Y., Ubaru, S., Clarkson, K. L., et al. (2022). Exponential advantage on noisy quantum computers. arXiv:2209.09371.

[14] Akhalwaya, I. Y., Connolly, A., Guichard, R., et al. (2023). A modular engine for quantum Monte Carlo integration. arXiv:2308.06081.

[15] Alagic, G., Apon, D., Cooper, D., et al. (2022). *Status report on the third round of the NIST post-quantum cryptography standardization process*. Tech. rept. NISTIR 8413. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. doi:10.6028/NIST.IR.8413-upd1.

[16] Albash, T., and Lidar, D. A. (2018). Adiabatic quantum computation. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **90**, 015002. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015002. arXiv:1611.04471.

[17] Albert, V. V., and Faist, P. (eds). (2024). *The error correction zoo*. https://errorcorrectionzoo.org/, accessed: 2024-12-01.

[18] Alexis, M., Lin, L., Mnatsakanyan, G., et al. (2024). Infinite quantum signal processing for arbitrary Szegő functions. arXiv:2407.05634.

[19] Alexis, M., Mnatsakanyan, G., and Thiele, C. (2024). Quantum signal processing and nonlinear Fourier analysis. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **37**, 655–694. doi:10.1007/s13163-024-00494-5. arXiv:2310.12683.

[20] Aliferis, P., Gottesman, D., and Preskill, J. (2006). Quantum accuracy threshold for concatenated distance-3 codes. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **6**, 97–165. doi:10.26421/QIC6.2-1. arXiv:quant-ph/0504218.

[21] Aliferis, P., Gottesman, D., and Preskill, J. (2008). Accuracy threshold for postselected quantum computation. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **8**, 181–244. doi:10.26421/QIC8.3-4-1. arXiv:quant-ph/0703264.

[22] Altaisky, M. (2001). Quantum neural network. arXiv:quant-ph/0107012.

[23] Altshuler, B., Krovi, H., and Roland, J. (2010). Anderson localization makes adiabatic quantum optimization fail. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **107**, 12446–12450. doi:10.1073/pnas.1002116107. arXiv:0912.0746.

[24] Ambainis, A. (2004). Quantum search algorithms. *SIGACT News*, **35**, 22–35. doi:10.1145/992287.992296. arXiv:quant-ph/0504012.

[25] Ambainis, A. (2007). Quantum walk algorithm for element distinctness. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **37**, 210–239. doi:10.1137/S0097539705447311. Earlier version in *FOCS'04*. arXiv:quant-ph/0311001.

[26] Ambainis, A. (2012). Variable time amplitude amplification and quantum algorithms for linear algebra problems. Pages 636–647 of: *STACS*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.STACS.2012.636. arXiv:1010.4458.

[27] Ambainis, A., and Kokainis, M. (2017). Quantum algorithm for tree size estimation, with applications to backtracking and 2-player games. Pages 989–1002 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3055399.3055444. arXiv:1704.06774.

[28] Ambainis, A., Balodis, K., Iraids, J., et al. (2019). Quantum speedups for exponential-time dynamic programming algorithms. Pages 1783–1793 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975482.107. arXiv:2104.14384.

[29] Ambainis, A., Kokainis, M., and Vihrovs, J. (2023). Improved algorithm and lower bound for variable time quantum search. arXiv:2302.06749.

[30] Amin, M. H., Andriyash, E., Rolfe, J., et al. (2018). Quantum Boltzmann machine. *Phys. Rev. X*, **8**, 021050. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.8.021050. arXiv:1601.02036.

[31] An, D., and Lin, L. (2022). Quantum linear system solver based on time-optimal adiabatic quantum computing and quantum approximate optimization algorithm. *ACM Trans. Quantum Comput.*, **3**. doi:10.1145/3498331. arXiv:1909.05500.

[32] An, D., and Trivisa, K. (2023). Quantum algorithms for linear and non-linear fractional reaction–diffusion equations. arXiv:2310.18900.

[33] An, D., Linden, N., Liu, J.-P., et al. (2021). Quantum-accelerated multilevel Monte Carlo methods for stochastic differential equations in mathematical finance. *Quantum*, **5**, 481. doi:10.22331/q-2021-06-24-481. arXiv:2012.06283.

[34] An, D., Fang, D., and Lin, L. (2021). Time-dependent unbounded Hamiltonian simulation with vector norm scaling. *Quantum*, **5**, 459. doi:10.22331/q-2021-05-26-459. arXiv:2012.13105.

[35] An, D., Liu, J.-P., Wang, D., and Zhao, Q. (2022). A theory of quantum differential equation solvers: Limitations and fast-forwarding. arXiv:2211.05246.

[36] An, D., Liu, J.-P., and Lin, L. (2023). Linear combination of Hamiltonian simulation for nonunitary dynamics with optimal state preparation cost. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **131**, 150603. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.150603. arXiv:2303.01029.

[37] An, D., Childs, A. M., and Lin, L. (2023). Quantum algorithm for linear non-unitary dynamics with near-optimal dependence on all parameters. arXiv:2312.03916.

[38] Andersen, E. D., and Andersen, K. D. (2000). The Mosek interior point optimizer for linear programming: An implementation of the homogeneous algorithm. Pages 197–232 of: *High Performance Optimization*. doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-3216-0_8.

[39] Anschuetz, E., Olson, J., Aspuru-Guzik, A., and Cao, Y. (2019). Variational quantum factoring. Pages 74–85 of: *Quantum Technology and Optimization Problems*. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-14082-3_7. arXiv:1808.08927.

[40] Anschuetz, E. R., and Cao, Y. (2019). Realizing quantum Boltzmann machines through eigenstate thermalization. arXiv:1903.01359.

[41] Anschuetz, E. R., and Kiani, B. T. (2022). Quantum variational algorithms are swamped with traps. *Nat. Commun.*, **13**, 7760. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-35364-5. arXiv:2205.05786.

[42] Anwar, H., Brown, B. J., Campbell, E. T., and Browne, D. E. (2014). Fast decoders for qudit topological codes. *New J. Phys.*, **16**, 063038. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063038. arXiv:1311.4895.

[43] Aoki, H., Tsuji, N., Eckstein, M., et al. (2014). Nonequilibrium dynamical mean-field theory and its applications. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **86**, 779–837. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.86.779. arXiv:1310.5329.

[44] Aoki, Y., Blum, T., Colangelo, G., et al. (2022). FLAG review 2021. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, **82**, 869. arXiv:2111.09849.

[45] van Apeldoorn, J., and Gilyén, A. (2019). Improvements in quantum SDP-solving with applications. Pages 99:1–99:15 of: *ICALP*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2019.99. arXiv:1804.05058.

[46] van Apeldoorn, J., and Gilyén, A. (2019). Quantum algorithms for zero-sum games. arXiv:1904.03180.

[47] van Apeldoorn, J., Gilyén, A., Gribling, S., and de Wolf, R. (2020). Convex optimization using quantum oracles. *Quantum*, **4**, 220. doi:10.22331/q-2020-01-13-220. arXiv:1809.00643.

[48] van Apeldoorn, J., Gilyén, A., Gribling, S., and de Wolf, R. (2020). Quantum SDP-solvers: Better upper and lower bounds. *Quantum*, **4**, 230. doi:10.22331/q-2020-02-14-230. Earlier version in *FOCS'17*. arXiv:1705.01843.

[49] van Apeldoorn, J., Cornelissen, A., Gilyén, A., and Nannicini, G. (2023). Quantum tomography using state-preparation unitaries. Pages 1265–1318 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611977554.ch47. arXiv:2207.08800.

[50] Apers, S., and de Wolf, R. (2020). Quantum speedup for graph sparsification, cut approximation and Laplacian solving. Pages 637–648 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS46700.2020.00065. arXiv:1911.07306.

[51] Apers, S., and Gribling, S. (2023). Quantum speedups for linear programming via interior point methods. arXiv:2311.03215.

[52] Apers, S., Gilyén, A., and Jeffery, S. (2021). A unified framework of quantum walk search. Pages 6:1–6:13 of: *STACS*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.STACS.2021.6. arXiv:1912.04233.

[53] Apers, S., Gribling, S., Sen, S., and Szabó, D. (2023). A (simple) classical algorithm for estimating Betti numbers. *Quantum*, **7**, 1202. doi:10.22331/q-2023-12-06-1202. arXiv:2211.09618.

[54] Arad, I., and Landau, Z. (2010). Quantum computation and the evaluation of tensor networks. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **39**, 3089–3121. doi:10.1137/080739379. arXiv:0805.0040.

[55] Argüello-Luengo, J., González-Tudela, A., Shi, T., et al. (2019). Analogue quantum chemistry simulation. *Nature*, **574**, 215–218. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1614-4. arXiv:1807.09228.

[56] Arora, S., and Kale, S. (2007). A combinatorial, primal-dual approach to semidefinite programs. Pages 227–236 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/1250790.1250823.

[57] Arora, S., Hazan, E., and Kale, S. (2005). Fast algorithms for approximate semidefinite programming using the multiplicative weights update method. Pages 339–348 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/SFCS.2005.35.

[58] Arora, S., Hazan, E., and Kale, S. (2012). The multiplicative weights update method: a meta-algorithm and applications. *Theory Comput.*, **8**, 121–164. doi:10.4086/toc.2012.v008a006.

[59] Arovas, D. P., Berg, E., Kivelson, S. A., and Raghu, S. (2022). The Hubbard model. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.*, **13**, 239–274. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031620-102024. arXiv:2103.12097.

[60] Arrasmith, A., Holmes, Z., Cerezo, M., and Coles, P. J. (2022). Equivalence of quantum barren plateaus to cost concentration and narrow gorges. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **7**, 045015. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ac7d06. arXiv:2104.05868.

[61] Arrazola, J. M., Delgado, A., Bardhan, B. R., and Lloyd, S. (2020). Quantum-inspired algorithms in practice. *Quantum*, **4**, 307. doi:10.22331/q-2020-08-13-307. arXiv:1905.10415.

[62] Arunachalam, S., Gheorghiu, V., Jochym-O'Connor, T., et al. (2015). On the robustness of bucket brigade quantum RAM. *New J. Phys.*, **17**, 123010. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/17/12/123010. arXiv:1502.03450.

[63] Arute, F., Arya, K., Babbush, R., et al. (2019). Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor. *Nature*, **574**, 505–510. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5.

[64] Arute, F., Arya, K., Babbush, R., et al. (2020). Observation of separated dynamics of charge and spin in the Fermi–Hubbard model. arXiv:2010.07965.

[65] Ashikhmin, A., Lai, C. Y., and Brun, T. A. (2020). Quantum data-syndrome codes. *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, **38**, 449–462. doi:10.1109/JSAC.2020.2968997. arXiv:1907.01393.

[66] Aspuru-Guzik, A., Dutoi, A. D., Love, P. J., and Head-Gordon, M. (2005). Simulated quantum computation of molecular energies. *Science*, **309**, 1704–1707. doi:10.1126/science.1113479. arXiv:0604193.

[67] Atas, Y. Y., Zhang, J., Lewis, R., et al. (2021). SU(2) hadrons on a quantum computer via a variational approach. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 6499. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26825-4. arXiv:2102.08920.

[68] Augustino, B., Terlaky, T., and Zuluaga, L. F. (2022). *An inexact-feasible quantum interior point method for second-order cone optimization*. Tech. rept. 21T-009. Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Lehigh University.

[69] Augustino, B., Leng, J., Nannicini, G., et al. (2023). A quantum central path algorithm for linear optimization. arXiv:2311.03977.

[70] Augustino, B., Nannicini, G., Terlaky, T., and Zuluaga, L. F. (2023). Quantum interior point methods for semidefinite optimization. *Quantum*, **7**, 1110. doi:10.22331/q-2023-09-11-1110. arXiv:2112.06025.

[71] Augustino, B., Nannicini, G., Terlaky, T., and Zuluaga, L. (2023). Solving the semidefinite relaxation of QUBOs in matrix multiplication time, and faster with a quantum computer. arXiv:2301.04237.

[72] Babbush, R., McClean, J., Wecker, D., et al. (2015). Chemical basis of Trotter–Suzuki errors in quantum chemistry simulation. *Phys. Rev. A*, **91**, 022311. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.91.022311. arXiv:1410.8159.

[73] Babbush, R., Berry, D. W., Kivlichan, I. D., et al. (2016). Exponentially more precise quantum simulation of fermions in second quantization. *New J. Phys.*, **18**, 033032. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/18/3/033032. arXiv:1506.01020.

[74] Babbush, R., Berry, D. W., Sanders, Y. R., et al. (2017). Exponentially more precise quantum simulation of fermions in the configuration interaction representation. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **3**, 015006. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aa9463. arXiv:1506.01029.

[75] Babbush, R., Gidney, C., Berry, D. W., et al. (2018). Encoding electronic spectra in quantum circuits with linear T complexity. *Phys. Rev. X*, **8**, 041015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.8.041015. arXiv:1805.03662.

[76] Babbush, R., Wiebe, N., Mcclean, J., et al. (2018). Low-depth quantum simulation of materials. *Phys. Rev. X*, **8**, 011044. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.8.011044. arXiv:1706.00023.

[77] Babbush, R., Berry, D. W., McClean, J. R., and Neven, H. (2019). Quantum simulation of chemistry with sublinear scaling in basis size. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **5**, 92. doi:10.1038/s41534-019-0199-y. arXiv:1807.09802.

[78] Babbush, R., Berry, D. W., and Neven, H. (2019). Quantum simulation of the Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev model by asymmetric qubitization. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 040301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.040301. arXiv:1806.02793.

[79] Babbush, R., McClean, J. R., Newman, M., et al. (2021). Focus beyond quadratic speedups for error-corrected quantum advantage. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 010103. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.010103. arXiv:2011.04149.

[80] Babbush, R., Berry, D. W., Kothari, R., et al. (2023). Exponential quantum speedup in simulating coupled classical oscillators. arXiv:2303.13012.

[81] Babbush, R., Huggins, W. J., Berry, D. W., et al. (2023). Quantum simulation of exact electron dynamics can be more efficient than classical mean-field methods. *Nat. Commun.*, **14**, 4058. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39024-0. arXiv:2301.01203.

[82] Bagherimehrab, M., Sanders, Y. R., Berry, D. W., et al. (2022). Nearly optimal quantum algorithm for generating the ground state of a free quantum field theory. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 020364. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.020364. arXiv:2110.05708.

[83] Bagherimehrab, M., Nakaji, K., Wiebe, N., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2023). Fast quantum algorithm for differential equations. arXiv:2306.11802.

[84] Baiardi, A., Stein, C. J., Barone, V., and Reiher, M. (2017). Vibrational density matrix renormalization group. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, **13**, 3764–3777. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00329. arXiv:1703.09313.

[85] Baker, J. S., and Radha, S. K. (2022). Wasserstein solution quality and the quantum approximate optimization algorithm: A portfolio optimization case study. arXiv:2202.06782.

[86] Bakshi, A., and Tang, E. (2024). An improved classical singular value transformation for quantum machine learning. Pages 2398–2453 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611977912.86. arXiv:2303.01492.

[87] Banaszek, K., Cramer, M., and Gross, D. (2013). Focus on quantum tomography. *New J. Phys.*, **15**, 125020. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/15/12/125020.

[88] Banchi, L., Pereira, J., and Pirandola, S. (2021). Generalization in quantum machine learning: A quantum information standpoint. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 040321. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040321. arXiv:2102.08991.

[89] Banegas, G., and Bernstein, D. J. (2018). Low-communication parallel quantum multi-target preimage search. Pages 325–335 of: *SAC*. ePrint:2017/789.

[90] Banuls, M.-C., Pérez-García, D., Wolf, M. M., et al. (2008). Sequentially generated states for the study of two-dimensional systems. *Phys. Rev. A*, **77**, 052306. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.77.052306. arXiv:0802.2472.

[91] Banuls, M. C., Cichy, K., Cirac, J. I., et al. (2019). Tensor networks and their use for lattice gauge theories. Page 022 of: *LATTICE*. doi:10.22323/1.334.0022. arXiv:1810.12838.

[92] Bañuls, M. C., Blatt, R., Catani, J., et al. (2020). Simulating lattice gauge theories within quantum technologies. *Eur. Phys. J. D*, **74**, 165. doi:10.1140/epjd/e2020-100571-8. arXiv:1911.00003.

[93] Bao, N., Hayden, P., Salton, G., and Thomas, N. (2015). Universal quantum computation by scattering in the Fermi–Hubbard model. *New J. Phys.*, **17**, 093028. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/17/9/093028. arXiv:1409.3585.

[94] Barahona, F. (1982). On the computational complexity of Ising spin glass models. *J. Phys. A*, **15**, 3241–3253. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/15/10/028.

[95] Barker, E. (2020). *Recommendation for key management: Part 1—general*. Tech. rept. SP 800-57 Part 1 Rev. 5. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. doi:10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt1r5.

[96] Barker, E., and Dang, Q. (2015). *Recommendation for key management, part 3: Application-specific key management guidance*. Tech. rept. SP 800-57 Part 3 Rev. 1. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. doi:10.6028/NIST.SP.800-57pt3r1.

[97] Barmes, I., and Bosch, B. (2019). *Quantum computers and the bitcoin blockchain*. https://deloitte.com/nl/nl/pages/innovatie/artikelen/quantum-computers-and-the-bitcoin-blockchain.html, accessed: 2023-09-30.

[98] Barmes, I., Bosch, B., and Verdonk, M. (2022). *Quantum risk to the Ethereum blockchain—a bump in the road or a brick wall?* https://deloitte.com/nl/nl/pages/risk/articles/quantum-risk-to-the-ethereum-blockchain.html, accessed: 2023-09-30.

[99] Barone, V., Alessandrini, S., Biczysko, M., et al. (2021). Computational molecular spectroscopy. *Nat. Rev. Methods Primers*, **1**, 38. doi:10.1038/s43586-021-00034-1.

[100] Barrett, B. R., Navratil, P., and Vary, J. P. (2013). Ab initio no core shell model. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, **69**, 131–181. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2012.10.003.

[101] Bärtschi, A., Caravelli, F., Coffrin, C., et al. (2024). Potential applications of quantum computing at Los Alamos National Laboratory. arXiv:2406.06625.

[102] Bauer, B., Bravyi, S., Motta, M., and Chan, G. K.-L. (2020). Quantum algorithms for quantum chemistry and quantum materials science. *Chem. Rev.*, **120**, 12685–12717. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00829. arXiv:2001.03685.

[103] Bauer, C. W., Nachman, B., and Freytsis, M. (2021). Simulating collider physics on quantum computers using effective field theories. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **127**, 212001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.212001. arXiv:2102.05044.

[104] Bauer, C. W., Davoudi, Z., Balantekin, A. B., et al. (2023). Quantum simulation for high-energy physics. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 027001. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.027001. arXiv:2204.03381.

[105] Bauer, C. W., Davoudi, Z., Klco, N., and Savage, M. J. (2023). Quantum simulation of fundamental particles and forces. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **5**, 420–432. doi:10.1038/s42254-023-00599-8.

[106] Bausch, J. (2022). Fast black-box quantum state preparation. *Quantum*, **6**. doi:10.22331/q-2022-08-04-773. arXiv:2009.10709.

[107] Bausch, J., Senior, A. W., Heras, F. J. H., et al. (2023). Learning to decode the surface code with a recurrent, transformer-based neural network. arXiv:2310.05900.

[108] Beane, S., Detmold, W., Orginos, K., and Savage, M. (2011). Nuclear physics from lattice QCD. *Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys.*, **66**, 1–40. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2010.08.002. arXiv:1004.2935.

[109] Beauregard, S. (2003). Circuit for Shor's algorithm using 2n+3 qubits. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **3**, 175–185. doi:10.26421/QIC3.2-8. arXiv:quant-ph/0205095.

[110] Beck, D., Carlson, J., Davoudi, Z., et al. (2023). Quantum information science and technology for nuclear physics: Input into U.S. long-range planning, 2023. arXiv:2303.00113.

[111] Beckman, D., Chari, A. N., Devabhaktuni, S., and Preskill, J. (1996). Efficient networks for quantum factoring. *Phys. Rev. A*, **54**, 1034–1063. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.54.1034. arXiv:quant-ph/9602016.

[112] Beer, K., Bondarenko, D., Farrelly, T., et al. (2020). Training deep quantum neural networks. *Nat. Commun.*, **11**, 808. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14454-2. arXiv:1902.10445.

[113] Bellonzi, N., Kunitsa, A., Cantin, J. T., et al. (2024). Feasibility of accelerating homogeneous catalyst discovery with fault-tolerant quantum computers. arXiv:2406.06335.

[114] Ben-Or, M., Gottesman, D., and Hassidim, A. (2013). Quantum refrigerator. arXiv:1301.1995.

[115] Bender, M., Bernard, R., Bertsch, G., et al. (2020). Future of nuclear fission theory. *J. Phys. G*, **47**, 113002. doi:10.1088/1361-6471/abab4f. arXiv:2005.10216.

[116] Benedetti, M., Realpe-Gómez, J., Biswas, R., and Perdomo-Ortiz, A. (2017). Quantum-assisted learning of hardware-embedded probabilistic graphical models. *Phys. Rev. X*, **7**, 041052. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041052. arXiv:1609.02542.

[117] Benedetti, M., Garcia-Pintos, D., Perdomo, O., et al. (2019). A generative modeling approach for benchmarking and training shallow quantum circuits. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **5**, 1–9. doi:10.1038/s41534-019-0157-8. arXiv:1801.07686.

[118] Benedetti, M., Lloyd, E., Sack, S., and Fiorentini, M. (2019). Parameterized quantum circuits as machine learning models. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **4**, 043001. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ab4eb5. arXiv:1906.07682.

[119] Benedetti, M., Fiorentini, M., and Lubasch, M. (2021). Hardware-efficient variational quantum algorithms for time evolution. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **3**, 033083. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.033083. arXiv:2009.12361.

[120] Benioff, P. (1980). The computer as a physical system: A microscopic quantum mechanical Hamiltonian model of computers as represented by Turing machines. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **22**, 563–591. doi:10.1007/BF01011339.

[121] Bennett, C. H., and Brassard, G. (2014). Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, **560**, 7–11. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2014.05.025. arXiv:2003.06557.

[122] Bennett, C. H., Bernstein, E., Brassard, G., and Vazirani, U. (1997). Strengths and weaknesses of quantum computing. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **26**, 1510–1523. doi:10.1137/S0097539796300933. arXiv:quant-ph/9701001.

[123] Bergholm, V., Izaac, J., Schuld, M., et al. (2018). Pennylane: Automatic differentiation of hybrid quantum-classical computations. arXiv:1811.04968. https://pennylane.ai/codebook, accessed: 2024-12-01.

[124] van den Berg, E., and Temme, K. (2020). Circuit optimization of Hamiltonian simulation by simultaneous diagonalization of Pauli clusters. *Quantum*, **4**, 322. doi:10.22331/q-2020-09-12-322. arXiv:2003.13599.

[125] Bermudez, A., Xu, X., Nigmatullin, R., et al. (2017). Assessing the progress of trapped-ion processors towards fault-tolerant quantum computation. *Phys. Rev. X*, **7**, 041061. doi:10.1103/physrevx.7.041061. arXiv:1705.02771.

[126] Bernstein, D. J., and Lange, T. (2017). Post-quantum cryptography. *Nature*, **549**, 188–194. doi:10.1038/nature23461. ePrint:2017/314.

[127] Bernstein, D. J., Engels, S., Lange, T., et al. (2016). Faster elliptic-curve discrete logarithms on FPGAs. ePrint:2016/382.

[128] Bernstein, D. J., Biasse, J.-F., and Mosca, M. (2017). A low-resource quantum factoring algorithm. Pages 330–346 of: *PQCrypto*. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-59879-6_19. ePrint:2017/352.

[129] Bernstein, E., and Vazirani, U. (1997). Quantum complexity theory. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **26**, 1411–1473. doi:10.1137/S0097539796300921. Earlier version in *STOC'93*.

[130] Berntson, B. K., and Sünderhauf, C. (2024). Complementary polynomials in quantum signal processing. arXiv:2406.04246.

[131] Berry, D. W. (2014). High-order quantum algorithm for solving linear differential equations. *J. Phys. A*, **47**, 105301. doi:10.1088/1751-8113/47/10/105301.

[132] Berry, D. W., and C. S. Costa, P. (2024). Quantum algorithm for time-dependent differential equations using Dyson series. *Quantum*, **8**, 1369. doi:10.22331/q-2024-06-13-1369. arXiv:2212.03544.

[133] Berry, D. W., and Childs, A. M. (2012). Black-box Hamiltonian simulation and unitary implementation. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **12**, 29–62. doi:10.26421/QIC12.1-2. arXiv:0910.4157.

[134] Berry, D. W., Ahokas, G., Cleve, R., and Sanders, B. C. (2007). Efficient quantum algorithms for simulating sparse Hamiltonians. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **270**, 359–371. doi:10.1007/s00220-006-0150-x. arXiv:quant-ph/0508139.

[135] Berry, D. W., Childs, A. M., Cleve, R., et al. (2014). Exponential improvement in precision for simulating sparse Hamiltonians. Pages 283–292 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/2591796.2591854. arXiv:1312.1414.

[136] Berry, D. W., Childs, A. M., and Kothari, R. (2015). Hamiltonian simulation with nearly optimal dependence on all parameters. Pages 792–809 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2015.54. arXiv:1501.01715.

[137] Berry, D. W., Childs, A. M., Cleve, R., et al. (2015). Simulating Hamiltonian dynamics with a truncated Taylor series. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **114**, 090502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.090502. arXiv:1412.4687.

[138] Berry, D. W., Childs, A. M., Ostrander, A., and Wang, G. (2017). Quantum algorithm for linear differential equations with exponentially improved dependence on precision. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **356**, 1057–1081. doi:10.1007/s00220-017-3002-y. arXiv:1701.03684.

[139] Berry, D. W., Kieferová, M., Scherer, A., et al. (2018). Improved techniques for preparing eigenstates of fermionic Hamiltonians. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **4**, 22. doi:10.1038/s41534-018-0071-5. arXiv:1711.10460.

[140] Berry, D. W., Gidney, C., Motta, M., et al. (2019). Qubitization of arbitrary basis quantum chemistry leveraging sparsity and low rank factorization. *Quantum*, **3**, 208. doi:10.22331/q-2019-12-02-208. arXiv:1902.02134.

[141] Berry, D. W., Childs, A. M., Su, Y., et al. (2020). Time-dependent Hamiltonian simulation with L^1-norm scaling. *Quantum*, **4**, 254. doi:10.22331/q-2020-04-20-254. arXiv:1906.07115.

[142] Berry, D. W., Rubin, N. C., Elnabawy, A. O., et al. (2023). Quantum simulation of realistic materials in first quantization using non-local pseudopotentials. arXiv:2312.07654.

[143] Berry, D. W., Su, Y., Gyurik, C., et al. (2024). Analyzing prospects for quantum advantage in topological data analysis. *PRX Quantum*, **5**, 010319. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.010319. arXiv:2209.13581.

[144] Berry, D. W., Tong, Y., Khattar, T., et al. (2024). Rapid initial state preparation for the quantum simulation of strongly correlated molecules. arXiv:2409.11748.

[145] Beullens, W. (2022). Breaking rainbow takes a weekend on a laptop. Pages 464–479 of: *CRYPTO*. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-15979-4_16. ePrint:2022/214.

[146] Beverland, M. E., Kubica, A., and Svore, K. M. (2021). Cost of universality: A comparative study of the overhead of state distillation and code switching with color codes. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 020341. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.020341. arXiv:2101.02211.

[147] Beverland, M. E., Murali, P., Troyer, M., et al. (2022). Assessing requirements to scale to practical quantum advantage. arXiv:2211.07629.

[148] Bharti, K., Cervera-Lierta, A., Kyaw, T. H., et al. (2022). Noisy intermediate-scale quantum algorithms. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **94**, 015004. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.94.015004. arXiv:2101.08448.

[149] Bhaskar, M. K., Hadfield, S., Papageorgiou, A., and Petras, I. (2016). Quantum algorithms and circuits for scientific computing. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **16**. doi:10.26421/QIC16.3-4-2. arXiv:1511.08253.

[150] Biamonte, J., and Bergholm, V. (2017). Tensor networks in a nutshell. arXiv:1708.00006.

[151] Biamonte, J., Wittek, P., Pancotti, N., et al. (2017). Quantum machine learning. *Nature*, **549**, 195–202. doi:10.1038/nature23474. arXiv:1611.09347.

[152] Bilgin, E., and Boixo, S. (2010). Preparing thermal states of quantum systems by dimension reduction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **105**, 170405. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.170405. arXiv:1008.4162.

[153] Binder, S., Ekström, A., Hagen, G., et al. (2016). Effective field theory in the harmonic oscillator basis. *Phys. Rev. C*, **93**, 044332. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.93.044332. arXiv:1512.03802.

[154] Bittel, L., and Kliesch, M. (2021). Training variational quantum algorithms is NP-hard. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **127**, 120502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.120502. arXiv:2101.07267.

[155] Blais, A., Grimsmo, A. L., Girvin, S. M., and Wallraff, A. (2021). Circuit quantum electrodynamics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **93**, 025005. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.93.025005. arXiv:2005.12667.

[156] Blanchet, J., Szegedy, M., and Wang, G. (2024). Quadratic speed-up in infinite variance quantum Monte Carlo. arXiv:2401.07497.

[157] Bloch, I., Dalibard, J., and Nascimbène, S. (2012). Quantum simulations with ultracold quantum gases. *Nat. Phys.*, **8**, 267–276. doi:10.1038/nphys2259.

[158] Blunt, N. S., Camps, J., Crawford, O., et al. (2022). Perspective on the current state-of-the-art of quantum computing for drug discovery applications. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, **18**, 7001–7023. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.2c00574. arXiv:2206.00551.

[159] Blunt, N. S., Caune, L., Izsák, R., et al. (2023). Statistical phase estimation and error mitigation on a superconducting quantum processor. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 040341. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040341. arXiv:2304.05126.

[160] Bogdanov, A., Khovratovich, D., and Rechberger, C. (2011). Biclique cryptanalysis of the full AES. Pages 344–371 of: *ASIACRYPT*. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-25385-0_19. ePrint:2011/449.

[161] Boixo, S., and Somma, R. D. (2010). Necessary condition for the quantum adiabatic approximation. *Phys. Rev. A*, **81**, 032308. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.81.032308. arXiv:0911.1362.

[162] Boixo, S., Knill, E., and Somma, R. D. (2010). Fast quantum algorithms for traversing paths of eigenstates. arXiv:1005.3034.

[163] Bolte, J., Boustany, R., Pauwels, E., and Pesquet-Popescu, B. (2022). Nonsmooth automatic differentiation: A cheap gradient principle and other complexity results. arXiv:2206.01730.

[164] Bolusani, S., Besançon, M., Bestuzheva, K., et al. (2024). The SCIP optimization suite 9.0. arXiv:2402.17702.

[165] Bombín, H. (2015). Gauge color codes: Optimal transversal gates and gauge fixing in topological stabilizer codes. *New J. Phys.*, **17**, 083002. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/17/8/083002. arXiv:1311.0879.

[166] Bombín, H. (2015). Single-shot fault-tolerant quantum error correction. *Phys. Rev. X*, **5**, 031043. doi:10.1103/physrevx.5.031043. arXiv:1404.5504.

[167] Bombín, H. (2018). 2D quantum computation with 3D topological codes. arXiv:1810.09571.

[168] Bombín, H., and Martin-Delgado, M. (2007). Exact topological quantum order in $d = 3$ and beyond: Branyons and brane-net condensates. *Phys. Rev. B*, **75**, 075103. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.75.075103. arXiv:cond-mat/0607736.

[169] Bombín, H., and Martin-Delgado, M. A. (2006). Topological quantum distillation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **97**, 180501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.180501. arXiv:quant-ph/0605138.

[170] Bombín, H., Dawson, C., Liu, Y.-H., et al. (2023). Modular decoding: Parallelizable real-time decoding for quantum computers. arXiv:2303.04846.

[171] Bombín, H. G. D.-C., and Poulin, D. (2012). Universal topological phase of two-dimensional stabilizer codes. *New J. Phys.*, **14**, 073048. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/7/073048. arXiv:1103.4606.

[172] Bonfà, P., Frassineti, J., Isah, M. M., et al. (2021). UNDI: An open-source library to simulate muon-nuclear interactions in solids. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **260**, 107719. doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107719.

[173] Bonilla Ataides, J. P., Tuckett, D. K., Bartlett, S. D., et al. (2021). The XZZX surface code. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 2172. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22274-1. arXiv:2009.07851.

[174] Bosse, J. L., and Montanaro, A. (2022). Probing ground-state properties of the kagome antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model using the variational quantum eigensolver. *Phys. Rev. B*, **105**, 094409. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.105.094409. arXiv:2108.08086.

[175] Boudot, F., Gaudry, P., Guillevic, A., et al. (2020). Comparing the difficulty of factorization and discrete logarithm: A 240-digit experiment. Pages 62–91 of: *CRYPTO*. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-56880-1_3. arXiv:2006.06197.

[176] Bouland, A., van Dam, W., Joorati, H., et al. (2020). Prospects and challenges of quantum finance. arXiv:2011.06492.

[177] Bouland, A., Dandapani, A., and Prakash, A. (2023). A quantum spectral method for simulating stochastic processes, with applications to Monte Carlo. arXiv:2303.06719.

[178] Bouland, A., Getachew, Y. M., Jin, Y., et al. (2023). Quantum speedups for zero-sum games via improved dynamic Gibbs sampling. Pages 2932–2952 of: *ICML*. arXiv:2301.03763.

[179] Boulebnane, S., and Montanaro, A. (2024). Solving Boolean satisfiability problems with the quantum approximate optimization algorithm. *PRX Quantum*, **5**, 030348. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.030348. arXiv:2208.06909.

[180] Boyd, S., and Vandenberghe, L. (2004). *Convex optimization*. Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511804441.

[181] Brandão, F. G. S. L., and Svore, K. M. (2017). Quantum speedups for solving semidefinite programs. Pages 415–426 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2017.45. arXiv:1609.05537.

[182] Brandão, F. G. S. L., Kalev, A., Li, T., et al. (2019). Quantum SDP solvers: Large speed-ups, optimality, and applications to quantum learning. Pages 27:1–27:14 of: *ICALP*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2019.27. arXiv:1710.02581.

[183] Brandão, F. G. S. L., Kueng, R., and França, D. S. (2022). Faster quantum and classical SDP approximations for quadratic binary optimization. *Quantum*, **6**, 625. doi:10.22331/q-2022-01-20-625. arXiv:1909.04613.

[184] Brandhofer, S., Braun, D., Dehn, V., et al. (2023). Benchmarking the performance of portfolio optimization with QAOA. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **22**, 1–27. doi:10.1007/s11128-022-03766-5. arXiv:2207.10555.

[185] van den Brand, J., Lee, Y. T., Sidford, A., and Song, Z. (2020). Solving tall dense linear programs in nearly linear time. Pages 775–788 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3357713.3384309. arXiv:2002.02304.

[186] Brassard, G., Høyer, P., Mosca, M., and Tapp, A. (2002). Quantum amplitude amplification and estimation. Pages 53–74 of: *Quantum computation and quantum information: A millennium volume*, vol. 305. doi:10.1090/conm/305/05215. arXiv:quant-ph/0005055.

[187] Bravo-Prieto, C., LaRose, R., Cerezo, M., et al. (2019). Variational quantum linear solver. arXiv:1909.05820.

[188] Bravyi, S. (2015). Monte Carlo simulation of stoquastic Hamiltonians. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **15**, 1122–1140. doi:10.26421/QIC15.13-14-3. arXiv:1402.2295.

[189] Bravyi, S., and Cross, A. (2015). Doubled color codes. arXiv:1509.03239.

[190] Bravyi, S., and Kitaev, A. (2005). Universal quantum computation with ideal Clifford gates and noisy ancillas. *Phys. Rev. A*, **71**, 022316. doi:10.1103/physreva.71.022316. arXiv:quant-ph/0403025.

[191] Bravyi, S., and Terhal, B. (2010). Complexity of stoquastic frustration-free Hamiltonians. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **39**, 1462–1485. doi:10.1137/08072689X. arXiv:0806.1746.

[192] Bravyi, S., Suchara, M., and Vargo, A. (2014). Efficient algorithms for maximum likelihood decoding in the surface code. *Phys. Rev. A*, **90**, 032326. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.90.032326. arXiv:1405.4883.

[193] Bravyi, S., Englbrecht, M., König, R., and Peard, N. (2018). Correcting coherent errors with surface codes. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **4**. doi:10.1038/s41534-018-0106-y.

[194] Bravyi, S., Kliesch, A., Koenig, R., and Tang, E. (2020). Obstacles to variational quantum optimization from symmetry protection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **125**, 260505. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.260505. arXiv:1910.08980.

[195] Bravyi, S., Chowdhury, A., Gosset, D., and Wocjan, P. (2022). Quantum Hamiltonian complexity in thermal equilibrium. *Nat. Phys.*, **18**, 1367–1370. doi:10.1038/s41567-022-01742-5. arXiv:2110.15466.

[196] Bravyi, S. B., and Kitaev, A. Y. (1998). Quantum codes on a lattice with boundary. arXiv:quant-ph/9811052.

[197] Bravyi, S. B., and Kitaev, A. Y. (2002). Fermionic quantum computation. *Ann. Phys.*, **298**, 210–226. doi:10.1006/aphy.2002.6254. arXiv:quant-ph/0003137.

[198] Brenner, S. C., and Scott, L. R. (2008). *The mathematical theory of finite element methods*. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-75934-0.

[199] Breuckmann, N. P., and Eberhardt, J. N. (2021). Balanced product quantum codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **67**, 6653–6674. doi:10.1109/tit.2021.3097347. arXiv:2012.09271.

[200] Breuckmann, N. P., Duivenvoorden, K., Michels, D., and Terhal, B. M. (2017). Local decoders for the 2D and 4D toric code. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **17**, 0181. doi:10.26421/QIC17.3-4-1. arXiv:1609.00510.

[201] Bridgeman, J. C., and Chubb, C. T. (2017). Hand-waving and interpretive dance: An introductory course on tensor networks. *J. Phys. A*, **50**, 223001. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aa6dc3. arXiv:1603.03039.

[202] Bridgeman, J. C., Kubica, A., and Vasmer, M. (2024). Lifting topological codes: Three-dimensional subsystem codes from two-dimensional anyon models. *PRX Quantum*, **5**. doi:10.1103/prxquantum.5.020310. arXiv:2305.06365.

[203] Browaeys, A., and Lahaye, T. (2020). Many-body physics with individually controlled Rydberg atoms. *Nat. Phys.*, **16**, 132–142. doi:10.1038/s41567-019-0733-z. arXiv:2002.07413.

[204] Brown, A. R., Gharibyan, H., Leichenauer, S., et al. (2023). Quantum gravity in the lab. I. Teleportation by size and traversable wormholes. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 010320. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.010320. arXiv:1911.06314.

[205] Brown, B. J. (2023). Conservation laws and quantum error correction: Towards a generalised matching decoder. *IEEE BITS Inf. Theory Mag.*, 1–12. doi:10.1109/MBITS.2023.3246025. arXiv:2207.06428.

[206] Brown, B. J., Laubscher, K., Kesselring, M. S., and Wootton, J. R. (2017). Poking holes and cutting corners to achieve Clifford gates with the surface code. *Phys. Rev. X*, **7**, 021029. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021029. arXiv:1609.04673.

[207] Brüstle, N., and Wiebe, N. (2024). Quantum and classical algorithms for nonlinear unitary dynamics. arXiv:2407.07685.

[208] Bruzewicz, C. D., Chiaverini, J., McConnell, R., and Sage, J. M. (2019). Trapped-ion quantum computing: Progress and challenges. *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, **6**, 021314. doi:10.1063/1.5088164. arXiv:1904.04178.

[209] Bădescu, C., and O'Donnell, R. (2021). Improved quantum data analysis. Pages 1398–1411 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3406325.3451109. arXiv:2011.10908.

[210] Buhrman, H., Patro, S., and Speelman, F. (2021). A framework of quantum strong exponential-time hypotheses. Pages 19:1–19:19 of: *STACS*, vol. 187. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.STACS.2021.19. arXiv:1911.05686.

[211] Bürgisser, P., Franks, C., Garg, A., et al. (2018). Efficient algorithms for tensor scaling, quantum marginals, and moment polytopes. Pages 883–897 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2018.00088. arXiv:1804.04739.

[212] von Burg, V., Low, G. H., Häner, T., et al. (2021). Quantum computing enhanced computational catalysis. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **3**, 033055. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.033055. arXiv:2007.14460.

[213] Byrnes, T., and Yamamoto, Y. (2006). Simulating lattice gauge theories on a quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. A*, **73**, 022328. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.73.022328. arXiv:quant-ph/0510027.

[214] Cade, C., and Crichigno, P. M. (2021). Complexity of supersymmetric systems and the cohomology problem. arXiv:2107.00011.

[215] Cade, C., Mineh, L., Montanaro, A., and Stanisic, S. (2020). Strategies for solving the Fermi–Hubbard model on near-term quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. B*, **102**, 235122. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.102.235122. arXiv:1912.06007.

[216] Cade, C., Folkertsma, M., Niesen, I., and Weggemans, J. (2022). Quantum algorithms for community detection and their empirical run-times. arXiv:2203.06208.

[217] Cade, C., Folkertsma, M., Niesen, I., and Weggemans, J. (2023). Quantifying Grover speed-ups beyond asymptotic analysis. *Quantum*, **7**, 1133. doi:10.22331/q-2023-10-10-1133. arXiv:2203.04975.

[218] Cai, Z. (2020). Resource estimation for quantum variational simulations of the Hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. Appl.*, **14**, 014059. doi:10.1103/PhysRevApplied.14.014059. arXiv:1910.02719.

[219] Calabrese, P., and Cardy, J. (2005). Evolution of entanglement entropy in one-dimensional systems. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, 04010. doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2005/04/P04010. arXiv:cond-mat/0503393.

[220] Calabro, C., Impagliazzo, R., and Paturi, R. (2009). The complexity of satisfiability of small depth circuits. Pages 75–85 of: *Parameterized and Exact Computation*. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-11269-0_6.

[221] Calderbank, A. R., and Shor, P. W. (1996). Good quantum error-correcting codes exist. *Phys. Rev. A*, **54**, 1098–1105. doi:10.1103/physreva.54.1098. arXiv:quant-ph/9512032.

[222] Campbell, E. (2019). Random compiler for fast Hamiltonian simulation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **123**. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.123.070503. arXiv:1811.08017.

[223] Campbell, E., Khurana, A., and Montanaro, A. (2019). Applying quantum algorithms to constraint satisfaction problems. *Quantum*, **3**, 167. doi:10.22331/q-2019-07-18-167. arXiv:1810.05582.

[224] Campbell, E. T. (2019). A theory of single-shot error correction for adversarial noise. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **4**, 025006. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aafc8f. arXiv:1805.09271.

[225] Campbell, E. T. (2021). Early fault-tolerant simulations of the Hubbard model. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **7**, 015007. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ac3110. arXiv:2012.09238.

[226] Campbell, E. T., Terhal, B. M., and Vuillot, C. (2017). Roads towards fault-tolerant universal quantum computation. *Nature*, **549**, 172–179. doi:10.1038/nature23460. arXiv:1612.07330.

[227] Camps, D., Lin, L., Van Beeumen, R., and Yang, C. (2024). Explicit quantum circuits for block encodings of certain sparse matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, **45**, 801–827. doi:10.1137/22M1484298. arXiv:2203.10236.

[228] Cao, Y., Papageorgiou, A., Petras, I., et al. (2013). Quantum algorithm and circuit design solving the poisson equation. *New J. Phys.*, **15**, 013021. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/15/1/013021. arXiv:1207.2485.

[229] Cao, Y., Romero, J., Olson, J. P., et al. (2019). Quantum chemistry in the age of quantum computing. *Chem. Rev.*, **119**, 10856–10915. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00803. arXiv:1812.09976.

[230] Carbone, A., Cipollone, A., Barbieri, C., et al. (2013). Self-consistent Green's functions formalism with three-body interactions. *Phys. Rev. C*, **88**, 054326. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.88.054326. arXiv:1310.3688.

[231] Carena, M., Lamm, H., Li, Y.-Y., and Liu, W. (2021). Lattice renormalization of quantum simulations. *Phys. Rev. D*, **104**, 094519. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.094519. arXiv:2107.01166.

[232] Carlson, J., Gandolfi, S., Pederiva, F., et al. (2015). Quantum Monte Carlo methods for nuclear physics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **87**, 1067. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.87.1067. arXiv:1412.3081.

[233] Carlson, J., Savage, M. J., Gerber, R., et al. (2017). *Nuclear physics exascale requirements review: An Office of Science review sponsored jointly by Advanced Scientific Computing Research and Nuclear Physics, June 15–17, 2016, Gaithersburg, Maryland*. Tech. rept. OSTI 1906717. U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information. doi:10.2172/1369223.

[234] Caro, M. C., Gil-Fuster, E., Meyer, J. J., et al. (2021). Encoding-dependent generalization bounds for parametrized quantum circuits. *Quantum*, **5**, 582. doi:10.22331/q-2021-11-17-582. arXiv:2106.03880.

[235] Caro, M. C., Huang, H.-Y., Cerezo, M., et al. (2022). Generalization in quantum machine learning from few training data. *Nat. Commun.*, **13**, 4919. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32550-3. arXiv:2111.05292.

[236] Caro, M. C., Huang, H.-Y., Ezzell, N., et al. (2023). Out-of-distribution generalization for learning quantum dynamics. *Nat. Commun.*, **14**, 3751. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39381-w. arXiv:2204.10268.

[237] Carrington Jr, T. (2017). Perspective: Computing (ro-)vibrational spectra of molecules with more than four atoms. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **146**, 120902. doi:10.1063/1.4979117.

[238] Casares, P. A. M., Campos, R., and Martin-Delgado, M. A. (2022). TFermion: A non-Clifford gate cost assessment library of quantum phase estimation algorithms for quantum chemistry. *Quantum*, **6**, 768. doi:10.22331/q-2022-07-20-768. arXiv:2110.05899.

[239] Castryck, W., and Decru, T. (2023). An efficient key recovery attack on SIDH. Pages 423–447 of: *EUROCRYPT*. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-30589-4_15. ePrint:2022/975.

[240] Cerezo, M., Sone, A., Volkoff, T., et al. (2021). Cost function dependent barren plateaus in shallow parametrized quantum circuits. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 1–12. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21728-w. arXiv:2001.00550.

[241] Cerezo, M., Arrasmith, A., Babbush, R., et al. (2021). Variational quantum algorithms. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, 625–644. doi:10.1038/s42254-021-00348-9. arXiv:2012.09265.

[242] Cerezo, M., Verdon, G., Huang, H.-Y., et al. (2022). Challenges and opportunities in quantum machine learning. *Nat. Comput. Sci.*, **2**, 567–576. doi:10.1038/s43588-022-00311-3. arXiv:2303.09491.

[243] Cerezo, M., Larocca, M., García-Martín, D., et al. (2023). Does provable absence of barren plateaus imply classical simulability? Or, why we need to rethink variational quantum computing. arXiv:2312.09121.

[244] Cerjan, C. (1993). *Numerical grid methods and their application to Schrödinger's equation*. Vol. 412. Springer Science & Business Media. doi:10.1007/978-94-015-8240-7.

[245] Chakrabarti, S., Childs, A. M., Li, T., and Wu, X. (2020). Quantum algorithms and lower bounds for convex optimization. *Quantum*, **4**, 221. doi:10.22331/q-2020-01-13-221. arXiv:1809.01731.

[246] Chakrabarti, S., Krishnakumar, R., Mazzola, G., et al. (2021). A threshold for quantum advantage in derivative pricing. *Quantum*, **5**, 463. doi:10.22331/q-2021-06-01-463. arXiv:2012.03819.

[247] Chakrabarti, S., Minssen, P., Yalovetzky, R., and Pistoia, M. (2022). Universal quantum speedup for branch-and-bound, branch-and-cut, and tree-search algorithms. arXiv:2210.03210.

[248] Chakraborty, S., Gilyén, A., and Jeffery, S. (2019). The power of block-encoded matrix powers: Improved regression techniques via faster Hamiltonian simulation. Pages 33:1–33:14 of: *ICALP*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2019.33. arXiv:1804.01973.

[249] Chamberland, C., and Cross, A. W. (2019). Fault-tolerant magic state preparation with flag qubits. *Quantum*, **3**, 143. doi:10.22331/q-2019-05-20-143. arXiv:1811.00566.

[250] Chamberland, C., Noh, K., Arrangoiz-Arriola, P., et al. (2022). Building a fault-tolerant quantum computer using concatenated cat codes. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 010329. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.010329. arXiv:2012.04108.

[251] Chamberland, C., Goncalves, L., Sivarajah, P., et al. (2023). Techniques for combining fast local decoders with global decoders under circuit-level noise. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **8**, 045011. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ace64d. arXiv:2208.01178.

[252] Chan, G. K.-L. (2024). Spiers memorial lecture: Quantum chemistry, classical heuristics, and quantum advantage. *Faraday Discuss.*, **254**, 11–52. doi:10.1039/D4FD00141A. arXiv:2407.11235.

[253] Chan, H. H. S., Meister, R., Jones, T., et al. (2023). Grid-based methods for chemistry simulations on a quantum computer. *Sci. Adv.*, **9**, eabo7484. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abo7484. arXiv:2202.05864.

[254] Chao, R., and Reichardt, B. W. (2020). Flag fault-tolerant error correction for any stabilizer code. *PRX Quantum*, **1**. doi:10.1103/prxquantum.1.010302. arXiv:1912.09549.

[255] Chao, R., Ding, D., Gilyén, A., et al. (2020). Finding angles for quantum signal processing with machine precision. arXiv:2003.02831.

[256] Chen, C.-F., and Brandão, F. G. S. L. (2021). Fast thermalization from the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. arXiv:2112.07646.

[257] Chen, C.-F., and Brandão, F. G. S. L. (2024). Average-case speedup for product formulas. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **405**, 32. doi:10.1007/s00220-023-04912-5. arXiv:2111.05324.

[258] Chen, C.-F., Huang, H.-Y., Kueng, R., and Tropp, J. A. (2021). Concentration for random product formulas. *PRX Quantum*, **2**. doi:10.1103/prxquantum.2.040305. arXiv:2008.11751.

[259] Chen, C.-F., Kastoryano, M. J., and Gilyén, A. (2023). An efficient and exact noncommutative quantum Gibbs sampler. arXiv:2311.09207.

[260] Chen, C.-F., Kastoryano, M. J., Brandão, F. G. S. L., and Gilyén, A. (2023). Quantum thermal state preparation. arXiv:2303.18224.

[261] Chen, C.-F., Lucas, A., and Yin, C. (2023). Speed limits and locality in many-body quantum dynamics. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **86**, 116001. doi:10.1088/1361-6633/acfaae. arXiv:2303.07386.

[262] Chen, J., Stoudenmire, E., and White, S. R. (2023). Quantum Fourier transform has small entanglement. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 040318. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040318. arXiv:2210.08468.

[263] Chen, L., Jordan, S., Liu, Y.-K., et al. (2016). *Report on post-quantum cryptography*. Tech. rept. NISTIR 8105. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. doi:10.6028/NIST.IR.8105.

[264] Chen, M.-H., Yu, C.-H., Gao, J.-L., et al. (2022). Quantum algorithm for Gaussian process regression. *Phys. Rev. A*, **106**, 012406. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.106.012406. arXiv:2106.06701.

[265] Chen, S., Cotler, J., Huang, H.-Y., and Li, J. (2022). Exponential separations between learning with and without quantum memory. Pages 574–585 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS52979.2021.00063. arXiv:2111.05881.

[266] Chen, Y., and de Wolf, R. (2023). Quantum algorithms and lower bounds for linear regression with norm constraints. Pages 38:1–38:21 of: *ICALP*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2023.38. arXiv:2110.13086.

[267] Chen, Y., Gilyén, A., and de Wolf, R. (2025). A quantum speed-up for approximating the top eigenvectors of a matrix. In: *SODA*. Accepted, arXiv:2405.14765.

[268] Chen, Z.-Y., Xue, C., Chen, S.-M., et al. (2022). Quantum approach to accelerate finite volume method on steady computational fluid dynamics problems. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **21**, 137. doi:10.1007/s11128-022-03478-w. arXiv:2102.03557.

[269] Chen, Z.-Y., Xue, C., Sun, T.-P., et al. (2023). An efficient and error-resilient protocol for quantum random access memory with generalized data size. arXiv:2303.05207.

[270] Chepurko, N., Clarkson, K., Horesh, L., et al. (2022). Quantum-inspired algorithms from randomized numerical linear algebra. Pages 3879–3900 of: *ICML*, vol. 162. arXiv:2011.04125.

[271] Chia, N.-H., Gilyén, A. P., Li, T., et al. (2022). Sampling-based sublinear low-rank matrix arithmetic framework for dequantizing quantum machine learning. *J. ACM*, **69**, 1–72. doi:10.1145/3549524. Earlier version in *STOC'20*. arXiv:1910.06151.

[272] Chiang, C.-F., and Wocjan, P. (2010). Quantum algorithm for preparing thermal Gibbs states-detailed analysis. Pages 138–147 of: *Quantum Cryptography and Computing*, vol. 26. doi:10.3233/978-1-60750-547-1-138. arXiv:1001.1130.

[273] Chiesa, A., Tacchino, F., Grossi, M., et al. (2019). Quantum hardware simulating four-dimensional inelastic neutron scattering. *Nat. Phys.*, **15**, 455–459. doi:10.1038/s41567-019-0437-4. arXiv:1809.07974.

[274] Childs, A., Jao, D., and Soukharev, V. (2014). Constructing elliptic curve isogenies in quantum subexponential time. *J. Math. Cryptol.*, **8**, 1–29. doi:10.1515/jmc-2012-0016. arXiv:1012.4019.

[275] Childs, A. M. (2010). On the relationship between continuous- and discrete-time quantum walk. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **294**, 581–603. doi:10.1007/s00220-009-0930-1. arXiv:0810.0312.

[276] Childs, A. M. (2022). *Lecture notes on quantum algorithms*. https://cs.umd.edu/~amchilds/qa/, accessed: 2024-12-01.

[277] Childs, A. M., and van Dam, W. (2010). Quantum algorithms for algebraic problems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **82**, 1–52. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.82.1. arXiv:0812.0380.

[278] Childs, A. M., and Kothari, R. (2011). Simulating sparse Hamiltonians with star decompositions. Pages 94–103 of: *TQC*. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-18073-6_8. arXiv:1003.3683.

[279] Childs, A. M., and Liu, J.-P. (2020). Quantum spectral methods for differential equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **375**, 1427–1457. doi:10.1007/s00220-020-03699-z. arXiv:1901.00961.

[280] Childs, A. M., and Su, Y. (2019). Nearly optimal lattice simulation by product formulas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **123**, 050503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.050503. arXiv:1901.00564.

[281] Childs, A. M., and Wiebe, N. (2012). Hamiltonian simulation using linear combinations of unitary operations. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **12**, 901–924. doi:10.26421/QIC12.11-12. arXiv:1202.5822.

[282] Childs, A. M., Kothari, R., and Somma, R. D. (2017). Quantum algorithm for systems of linear equations with exponentially improved dependence on precision. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **46**, 1920–1950. doi:10.1137/16M1087072. arXiv:1511.02306.

[283] Childs, A. M., Maslov, D., Nam, Y., et al. (2018). Toward the first quantum simulation with quantum speedup. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **115**, 9456–9461. doi:10.1073/pnas.1801723115. arXiv:1711.10980.

[284] Childs, A. M., Ostrander, A., and Su, Y. (2019). Faster quantum simulation by randomization. *Quantum*, **3**, 182. doi:10.22331/q-2019-09-02-182. arXiv:1805.08385.

[285] Childs, A. M., Liu, J.-P., and Ostrander, A. (2021). High-precision quantum algorithms for partial differential equations. *Quantum*, **5**, 574. doi:10.22331/q-2021-11-10-574. arXiv:2002.07868.

[286] Childs, A. M., Su, Y., Tran, M. C., et al. (2021). Theory of Trotter error with commutator scaling. *Phys. Rev. X*, **11**. doi:10.1103/physrevx.11.011020. arXiv:1912.08854.

[287] Childs, A. M., Leng, J., Li, T., et al. (2022). Quantum simulation of real-space dynamics. *Quantum*, **6**, 860. doi:10.22331/q-2022-11-17-860. arXiv:2203.17006.

[288] Cho, C. H., Berry, D. W., and Hsieh, M.-H. (2022). Doubling the order of approximation via the randomized product formula. arXiv:2210.11281.

[289] Chowdhury, A. N., and Somma, R. D. (2017). Quantum algorithms for Gibbs sampling and hitting-time estimation. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **17**, 41–64. doi:10.26421/QIC17.1-2. arXiv:1603.02940.

[290] Chowdhury, A. N., Somma, R. D., and Subaşı, Y. (2021). Computing partition functions in the one-clean-qubit model. *Phys. Rev. A*, **103**, 032422. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.103.032422. arXiv:1910.11842.

[291] Chubb, C. T. (2021). General tensor network decoding of 2D Pauli codes. arXiv:2101.04125.

[292] Ciavarella, A., Klco, N., and Savage, M. J. (2021). Trailhead for quantum simulation of SU(3) Yang–Mills lattice gauge theory in the local multiplet basis. *Phys. Rev. D*, **103**, 094501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094501. arXiv:2101.10227.

[293] Ciliberto, C., Herbster, M., Ialongo, A. D., et al. (2018). Quantum machine learning: A classical perspective. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **474**, 20170551. doi:10.1098/rspa.2017.0551. arXiv:1707.08561.

[294] Cirstoiu, C., Holmes, Z., Iosue, J., et al. (2020). Variational fast forwarding for quantum simulation beyond the coherence time. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **6**, 82. doi:10.1038/s41534-020-00302-0. arXiv:1910.04292.

[295] Clader, B. D., Jacobs, B. C., and Sprouse, C. R. (2013). Preconditioned quantum linear system algorithm. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **110**, 250504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.250504. arXiv:1301.2340.

[296] Clader, B. D., Dalzell, A. M., Stamatopoulos, N., et al. (2022). Quantum resources required to block-encode a matrix of classical data. *IEEE Trans. Quantum Eng.*, **3**, 1–23. doi:10.1109/TQE.2022.3231194. arXiv:2206.03505.

[297] Cleve, R., and Wang, C. (2017). Efficient quantum algorithms for simulating Lindblad evolution. Pages 17:1–17:14 of: *ICALP*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2017.17. arXiv:1612.09512.

[298] Cleve, R., and Watrous, J. (2000). Fast parallel circuits for the quantum Fourier transform. Pages 526–536 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/SFCS.2000.892140. arXiv:quant-ph/0006004.

[299] Cleve, R., Ekert, A., Macchiavello, C., and Mosca, M. (1998). Quantum algorithms revisited. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **454**, 339–354. doi:10.1098/rspa.1998.0164. arXiv:quant-ph/9708016.

[300] Clinton, L., Bausch, J., and Cubitt, T. (2021). Hamiltonian simulation algorithms for near-term quantum hardware. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 4989. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-25196-0. arXiv:2003.06886.

[301] Clinton, L., Cubitt, T., Flynn, B., et al. (2024). Towards near-term quantum simulation of materials. *Nat. Commun.*, **15**, 211. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-43479-6. arXiv:2205.15256.

[302] Coecke, B., and Kissinger, A. (2017). *Picturing quantum processes*. Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781316219317.

[303] Cohen, L. Z., Kim, I. H., Bartlett, S. D., and Brown, B. J. (2022). Low-overhead fault-tolerant quantum computing using long-range connectivity. *Sci. Adv.*, **8**. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abn1717.

[304] Cohen, M. B., Lee, Y. T., and Song, Z. (2021). Solving linear programs in the current matrix multiplication time. *J. ACM*, **68**. doi:10.1145/3424305. arXiv:1810.07896.

[305] Commeau, B., Cerezo, M., Holmes, Z., et al. (2020). Variational Hamiltonian diagonalization for dynamical quantum simulation. arXiv:2009.02559.

[306] Cong, I., Choi, S., and Lukin, M. D. (2019). Quantum convolutional neural networks. *Nat. Phys.*, **15**, 1273–1278. doi:10.1038/s41567-019-0648-8. arXiv:1810.03787.

[307] Coppersmith, D., Gamarnik, D., Hajiaghayi, M., and Sorkin, G. B. (2004). Random MAX SAT, random MAX CUT, and their phase transitions. *Rand. Struct. Algorithms*, **24**, 502–545. doi:10.1002/rsa.20015. Earlier version in *SODA'03*. arXiv:math/0306047.

[308] Cornelissen, A., and Hamoudi, Y. (2023). A sublinear-time quantum algorithm for approximating partition functions. Pages 1245–1264 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611977554.ch46. arXiv:2207.08643.

[309] Cornelissen, A., and Jerbi, S. (2021). Quantum algorithms for multivariate Monte Carlo estimation. arXiv:2107.03410.

[310] Cornelissen, A., Hamoudi, Y., and Jerbi, S. (2022). Near-optimal quantum algorithms for multivariate mean estimation. Pages 33–43 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3519935.3520045. arXiv:2111.09787.

[311] Cornuejols, G., and Tütüncü, R. (2006). *Optimization methods in finance*. Vol. 5. Cambridge University Press.

[312] Costa, P. C., Jordan, S., and Ostrander, A. (2019). Quantum algorithm for simulating the wave equation. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 012323. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.012323.

[313] Costa, P. C., An, D., Sanders, Y. R., et al. (2022). Optimal scaling quantum linear-systems solver via discrete adiabatic theorem. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 040303. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.040303. arXiv:2111.08152.

[314] Costa, P. C. S., An, D., Babbush, R., and Berry, D. (2023). The discrete adiabatic quantum linear system solver has lower constant factors than the randomized adiabatic solver. arXiv:2312.07690.

[315] Costa, P. C. S., Schleich, P., Morales, M. E. S., and Berry, D. W. (2023). Further improving quantum algorithms for nonlinear differential equations via higher-order methods and rescaling. arXiv:2312.09518.

[316] Cotler, J. S., Gur-Ari, G., Hanada, M., et al. (2017). Black holes and random matrices. *J. High Energy Phys.*, **2017**, 1–54. doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2017)118. arXiv:1611.04650.

[317] Cramer, M., Plenio, M. B., Flammia, S. T., et al. (2010). Efficient quantum state tomography. *Nat. Commun.*, **1**, 149. doi:10.1038/ncomms1147. arXiv:1101.4366.

[318] Cramer, R., Ducas, L., and Wesolowski, B. (2017). Short Stickelberger class relations and application to Ideal-SVP. Pages 324–348 of: *EUROCRYPT*. ePrint:2016/885.

[319] Crichigno, M., and Kohler, T. (2022). Clique homology is QMA1-hard. arXiv:2209.11793.

[320] Criger, B., and Ashraf, I. (2018). Multi-path summation for decoding 2d topological codes. *Quantum*, **2**, 102. doi:10.22331/q-2018-10-19-102. arXiv:1709.02154.

[321] Crooks, G. E. (2019). Gradients of parameterized quantum gates using the parameter-shift rule and gate decomposition. arXiv:1905.13311.

[322] Crosson, E., and Harrow, A. W. (2016). Simulated quantum annealing can be exponentially faster than classical simulated annealing. Pages 714–723 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2016.81. arXiv:1601.03030.

[323] Crosson, E., and Lidar, D. (2021). Prospects for quantum enhancement with diabatic quantum annealing. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **3**, 466–489. doi:10.1038/s42254-021-00313-6. arXiv:2008.09913.

[324] Crosson, E., and Slezak, S. (2020). Classical simulation of high temperature quantum Ising models. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2002.02232. arXiv:2002.02232.

[325] Cunningham, J., and Roland, J. (2024). Eigenpath traversal by poisson-distributed phase randomisation. arXiv:2406.03972.

[326] Daley, A. J., Bloch, I., Kokail, C., et al. (2022). Practical quantum advantage in quantum simulation. *Nature*, **607**, 667–676. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04940-6.

[327] Dalzell, A. M. (2024). A shortcut to an optimal quantum linear system solver. arXiv:2406.12086.

[328] Dalzell, A. M., Clader, B. D., Salton, G., et al. (2023). End-to-end resource analysis for quantum interior-point methods and portfolio optimization. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 040325. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040325. arXiv:2211.12489.

[329] Dalzell, A. M., Pancotti, N., Campbell, E. T., and Brandão, F. G. (2023). Mind the gap: Achieving a super-Grover quantum speedup by jumping to the end. Pages 1131–1144 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3564246.3585203. arXiv:2212.01513.

[330] Damm, C., Holzer, M., and McKenzie, P. (2002). The complexity of tensor calculus. *Comput. Complex.*, **11**, 54–89. doi:10.1007/s00037-000-0170-4.

[331] Darmawan, A. S., and Poulin, D. (2017). Tensor-network simulations of the surface code under realistic noise. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **119**, 040502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.040502. arXiv:1607.06460.

[332] Davoudi, Z., Detmold, W., Shanahan, P., et al. (2021). Nuclear matrix elements from lattice QCD for electroweak and beyond-Standard-Model processes. *Phys. Rep.*, **900**, 1–74. doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2020.10.004.

[333] Davoudi, Z., Neil, E. T., Bauer, C. W., et al. (2022). Report of the Snowmass 2021 topical group on lattice gauge theory. *Proceedings of the US Community Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2021)*. arXiv:2209.10758.

[334] Davoudi, Z., Shaw, A. F., and Stryker, J. R. (2023). General quantum algorithms for Hamiltonian simulation with applications to a non-abelian lattice gauge theory. *Quantum*, **7**, 1213. doi:10.22331/q-2023-12-20-1213. arXiv:2212.14030.

[335] de Beaudrap, N., and Horsman, D. (2020). The ZX calculus is a language for surface code lattice surgery. *Quantum*, **4**, 218. doi:10.22331/q-2020-01-09-218. arXiv:1704.08670.

[336] De Palma, G., Marvian, M., Rouzé, C., and França, D. S. (2023). Limitations of variational quantum algorithms: A quantum optimal transport approach. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 010309. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.010309. arXiv:2204.03455.

[337] Dean, D. J. (2007). Beyond the nuclear shell model. *Phys. Today*, **60**, 48–53. doi:10.1063/1.2812123.

[338] Delfosse, N., and Nickerson, N. H. (2021). Almost-linear time decoding algorithm for topological codes. *Quantum*, **5**, 595. doi:10.22331/q-2021-12-02-595. arXiv:1709.06218.

[339] Delfosse, N., Reichardt, B. W., and Svore, K. M. (2022). Beyond single-shot fault-tolerant quantum error correction. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **68**, 287–301. doi:10.1109/tit.2021.3120685. arXiv:2002.05180.

[340] Delgado, A., Hamilton, K. E., Date, P., et al. (2022). Quantum computing for data analysis in high-energy physics. arXiv:2203.08805.

[341] Delgado, A., Casares, P. A. M., dos Reis, R., et al. (2022). Simulating key properties of lithium-ion batteries with a fault-tolerant quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. A*, **106**, 032428. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.106.032428. arXiv:2204.11890.

[342] Deng, C., Sun, F., Qian, X., et al. (2019). TIE: Energy-efficient tensor train-based inference engine for deep neural network. Pages 264–278 of: *ISCA*. doi:10.1145/3307650.3322258.

[343] Dennis, E., Kitaev, A., Landahl, A., and Preskill, J. (2002). Topological quantum memory. *J. Math. Phys.*, **43**, 4452–4505. doi:10.1063/1.1499754. arXiv:quant-ph/0110143.

[344] Derby, C., Klassen, J., Bausch, J., and Cubitt, T. (2021). Compact fermion to qubit mappings. *Phys. Rev. B*, **104**, 035118. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.104.035118. arXiv:2003.06939.

[345] Derrida, B. (1980). Random-energy model: Limit of a family of disordered models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **45**, 79–82. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.45.79.

[346] Deutsch, D. (1985). Quantum theory, the Church–Turing principle and the universal quantum computer. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **400**, 97–117. doi:10.1098/rspa.1985.0070.

[347] Deutsch, D., and Jozsa, R. (1992). Rapid solution of problems by quantum computation. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **439**, 553–558. doi:10.1098/rspa.1992.0167.

[348] Devoret, M. H., and Schoelkopf, R. J. (2013). Superconducting circuits for quantum information: An outlook. *Science*, **339**, 1169–1174. doi:10.1126/science.123193.

[349] Di Matteo, O., Gheorghiu, V., and Mosca, M. (2020). Fault-tolerant resource estimation of quantum random-access memories. *IEEE Trans. Quantum Eng.*, **1**, 1–13. doi:10.1109/TQE.2020.2965803. arXiv:1902.01329.

[350] Ding, C., Bao, T.-Y., and Huang, H.-L. (2022). Quantum-inspired support vector machine. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, **33**, 7210–7222. doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3084467. arXiv:1906.08902.

[351] Ding, Z., Chen, C.-F., and Lin, L. (2024). Single-ancilla ground state preparation via Lindbladians. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **6**, 033147. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.033147. arXiv:2308.15676.

[352] Dinur, I., Evra, S., Livne, R., et al. (2022). Locally testable codes with constant rate, distance, and locality. Pages 357–374 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3519935.3520024. arXiv:2111.04808.

[353] DiVincenzo, D. P., and Aliferis, P. (2007). Effective fault-tolerant quantum computation with slow measurements. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98**. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.98.020501.

[354] Dodin, I. Y., and Startsev, E. A. (2021). On applications of quantum computing to plasma simulations. *Phys. Plasmas*, **28**, 092101. doi:10.1063/5.0056974. arXiv:2005.14369.

[355] Domahidi, A., Chu, E., and Boyd, S. (2013). ECOS: An SOCP solver for embedded systems. Pages 3071–3076 of: *ECC*. doi:10.23919/ECC.2013.6669541.

[356] Dong, Y., Meng, X., Whaley, K. B., and Lin, L. (2021). Efficient phase-factor evaluation in quantum signal processing. *Phys. Rev. A*, **103**, 042419. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.103.042419. arXiv:2002.11649.

[357] Dong, Y., Gross, J., and Niu, M. Y. (2022). Beyond Heisenberg limit quantum metrology through quantum signal processing. arXiv:2209.11207.

[358] Dong, Y., Lin, L., and Tong, Y. (2022). Ground-state preparation and energy estimation on early fault-tolerant quantum computers via quantum eigenvalue transformation of unitary matrices. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 040305. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.040305. arXiv:2204.05955.

[359] Dong, Y., Lin, L., Ni, H., and Wang, J. (2022). Infinite quantum signal processing. arXiv:2209.10162.

[360] Dong, Y., Lin, L., Ni, H., and Wang, J. (2023). Robust iterative method for symmetric quantum signal processing in all parameter regimes. arXiv:2307.12468.

[361] Doriguello, J. a. F., Luongo, A., Bao, J., et al. (2022). Quantum algorithm for stochastic optimal stopping problems with applications in finance. Pages 2:1–2:24 of: *TQC*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.TQC.2022.2. arXiv:2111.15332.

[362] Du, Y., Hsieh, M.-H., Liu, T., and Tao, D. (2020). Expressive power of parametrized quantum circuits. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **2**, 033125. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.033125. arXiv:1810.11922.

[363] Dua, A., Kubica, A., Jiang, L., et al. (2024). Clifford-deformed surface codes. *PRX Quantum*, **5**. doi:10.1103/prxquantum.5.010347. arXiv:2201.07802.

[364] Duclos-Cianci, G., and Poulin, D. (2010). Fast decoders for topological quantum codes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **104**, 050504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.050504. arXiv:0911.0581.

[365] Dukalski, M. (2021). Toward an application of quantum computing in geophysics. Pages 1–5 of: *Fifth EAGE Workshop on High Performance Computing for Upstream*, vol. 2021. doi:10.3997/2214-4609.2021612005.

[366] Dumitrescu, E. F., McCaskey, A. J., Hagen, G., et al. (2018). Cloud quantum computing of an atomic nucleus. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **120**, 210501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.210501. arXiv:1801.03897.

[367] Dürr, C., and Høyer, P. (1996). A quantum algorithm for finding the minimum. arXiv:quant-ph/9607014.

[368] Dürr, C., Heiligman, M., Høyer, P., and Mhalla, M. (2006). Quantum query complexity of some graph problems. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **35**, 1310–1328. doi:10.1137/050644719. Earlier version in *ICALP'04*. arXiv:quant-ph/0401091.

[369] Dürr, S., Fodor, Z., Frison, J., et al. (2008). Ab initio determination of light hadron masses. *Science*, **322**, 1224–1227. doi:10.1126/science.1163233. arXiv:0906.3599.

[370] Eastin, B., and Knill, E. (2009). Restrictions on transversal encoded quantum gate sets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **102**, 110502. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.102.110502. arXiv:0811.4262.

[371] Ebadi, S., Wang, T. T., Levine, H., et al. (2021). Quantum phases of matter on a 256-atom programmable quantum simulator. *Nature*, **595**, 227. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03582-4. arXiv:2012.12281.

[372] Edmonds, J. (1965). Paths, trees, and flowers. *Can. J. Math.*, **17**, 449–467. doi:10.4153/CJM-1965-045-4.

[373] Elben, A., Flammia, S. T., Huang, H.-Y., et al. (2023). The randomized measurement toolbox. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **5**, 9–24. doi:10.1038/s42254-022-00535-2. arXiv:2203.11374.

[374] Elenewski, J. E., Camara, C. M., and Kalev, A. (2024). Prospects for NMR spectral prediction on fault-tolerant quantum computers. arXiv:2406.09340.

[375] Elfving, V. E., Broer, B. W., Webber, M., et al. (2020). How will quantum computers provide an industrially relevant computational advantage in quantum chemistry? arXiv:2009.12472.

[376] Elgart, A., and Hagedorn, G. A. (2012). A note on the switching adiabatic theorem. *J. Math. Phys.*, **53**, 102202. doi:10.1063/1.4748968. arXiv:1204.2318.

[377] Engel, A., Smith, G., and Parker, S. E. (2019). Quantum algorithm for the Vlasov equation. *Phys. Rev. A*, **100**, 062315. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.100.062315. arXiv:1907.09418.

[378] Engineering, S., and (SEAR), A. (2024). *iMessage with PQ3: The new state of the art in quantum-secure messaging at scale*. https://security.apple.com/blog/imessage-pq3/, accessed: 2024-06-05.

[379] Fang, D., Lin, L., and Tong, Y. (2023). Time-marching based quantum solvers for time-dependent linear differential equations. *Quantum*, **7**, 955. doi:10.22331/q-2023-03-20-955. arXiv:2208.06941.

[380] Farhi, E., and Harrow, A. W. (2016). Quantum supremacy through the quantum approximate optimization algorithm. arXiv:1602.07674.

[381] Farhi, E., and Neven, H. (2018). Classification with quantum neural networks on near term processors. arXiv:1802.06002.

[382] Farhi, E., Goldstone, J., Gutmann, S., and Sipser, M. (2000). Quantum computation by adiabatic evolution. arXiv:quant-ph/0001106.

[383] Farhi, E., Goldstone, J., Gosset, D., et al. (2009). Quantum adiabatic algorithms, small gaps, and different paths. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **11**, 181–214. doi:10.26421/QIC11.3-4-1. arXiv:0909.4766.

[384] Farhi, E., Goldstone, J., and Gutmann, S. (2014). A quantum approximate optimization algorithm. arXiv:1411.4028.

[385] Farhi, E., Gamarnik, D., and Gutmann, S. (2020). The quantum approximate optimization algorithm needs to see the whole graph: A typical case. arXiv:2004.09002.

[386] Farhi, E., Gamarnik, D., and Gutmann, S. (2020). The quantum approximate optimization algorithm needs to see the whole graph: Worst case examples. arXiv:2005.08747.

[387] Farrell, R. C., Illa, M., Ciavarella, A. N., and Savage, M. J. (2024). Quantum simulations of hadron dynamics in the Schwinger model using 112 qubits. arXiv:2401.08044.

[388] Fawzi, O., Grospellier, A., and Leverrier, A. (2018). Constant overhead quantum fault-tolerance with quantum expander codes. In: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/focs.2018.00076. arXiv:1808.03821.

[389] Felser, T., Silvi, P., Collura, M., and Montangero, S. (2020). Two-dimensional quantum-link lattice quantum electrodynamics at finite density. *Phys. Rev. X*, **10**, 041040. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.10.041040. arXiv:1911.09693.

[390] Felser, T., Trenti, M., Sestini, L., et al. (2021). Quantum-inspired machine learning on high-energy physics data. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **7**, 111. doi:10.1038/s41534-021-00443-w. arXiv:2004.13747.

[391] Feynman, R. P. (1982). Simulating physics with computers. *Int. J. Th. Phys.*, **21**, 467–488. doi:10.1007/BF02650179.

[392] Flannigan, S., Pearson, N., Low, G. H., et al. (2022). Propagation of errors and quantitative quantum simulation with quantum advantage. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **7**, 045025. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ac88f5. arXiv:2204.13644.

[393] Focardi, S., Fabozzi, F. J., and Mazza, D. (2020). Quantum option pricing and quantum finance. *J. Deriv.*, **28**, 79–98. doi:10.3905/jod.2020.1.111.

[394] Fomichev, S., Hejazi, K., Zini, M. S., et al. (2024). Initial state preparation for quantum chemistry on quantum computers. *PRX Quantum*, **5**, 040339. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.040339. arXiv:2310.18410.

[395] Fomichev, S., Hejazi, K., Loaiza, I., et al. (2024). Simulating X-ray absorption spectroscopy of battery materials on a quantum computer. arXiv:2405.11015.

[396] Fontana, E., Herman, D., Chakrabarti, S., et al. (2024). Characterizing barren plateaus in quantum ansätze with the adjoint representation. *Nat. Commun.*, **15**, 7171. doi:10.1038/s41467-024-49910-w. arXiv:2309.07902.

[397] Fowler, A. G., and Gidney, C. (2018). Low overhead quantum computation using lattice surgery. arXiv:1808.06709.

[398] Fowler, A. G., Mariantoni, M., Martinis, J. M., and Cleland, A. N. (2012). Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation. *Phys. Rev. A*, **86**, 032324. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.86.032324. arXiv:1208.0928.

[399] Fradkin, E., Kivelson, S. A., and Tranquada, J. M. (2015). Colloquium: Theory of intertwined orders in high temperature superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **87**, 457–482. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.87.457. arXiv:1407.4480.

[400] França, D. S., and Garcia-Patron, R. (2021). Limitations of optimization algorithms on noisy quantum devices. *Nat. Phys.*, **17**, 1221–1227. doi:10.1038/s41567-021-01356-3. arXiv:2009.05532.

[401] Franz, M., and Rozali, M. (2018). Mimicking black hole event horizons in atomic and solid-state systems. *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, **3**, 491–501. doi:10.1038/s41578-018-0058-z. arXiv:1808.00541.

[402] Fraxanet, J., Salamon, T., and Lewenstein, M. (2023). The coming decades of quantum simulation. Pages 85–125 of: *Sketches of Physics: The Celebration Collection*. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-32469-7_4. arXiv:2204.08905.

[403] Friedman, J. (1998). Computing Betti numbers via combinatorial Laplacians. *Algorithmica*, **21**, 331–346. doi:10.1007/PL00009218.

[404] Funcke, L., Hartung, T., Jansen, K., and Kühn, S. (2023). Review on quantum computing for lattice field theory. Page 228 of: *LATTICE*, vol. 430. doi:10.22323/1.430.0228. arXiv:2302.00467.

[405] Gaitan, F. (2020). Finding flows of a Navier–Stokes fluid through quantum computing. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **6**, 61. doi:10.1038/s41534-020-00291-0.

[406] Gaitan, F. (2021). Finding solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations through quantum computing—recent progress, a generalization, and next steps forward. *Adv. Quantum Technol.*, **4**, 2100055. doi:10.1002/qute.202100055.

[407] Garcia-Álvarez, L., Egusquiza, I. L., Lamata, L., et al. (2017). Digital quantum simulation of minimal AdS/CFT. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **119**, 040501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.040501. arXiv:1607.08560.

[408] García-García, A. M., and Verbaarschot, J. J. M. (2016). Spectral and thermodynamic properties of the Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev model. *Phys. Rev. D*, **94**, 126010. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.126010. arXiv:1610.03816.

[409] Garg, A., Kothari, R., Netrapalli, P., and Sherif, S. (2021). Near-optimal lower bounds for convex optimization for all orders of smoothness. Pages 29874–29884 of: *NeurIPS*, vol. 34. arXiv:2112.01118.

[410] Garg, A., Kothari, R., Netrapalli, P., and Sherif, S. (2021). No quantum speedup over gradient descent for non-smooth convex optimization. Pages 53:1–53:20 of: *ITCS*, vol. 185. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ITCS.2021.53. arXiv:2010.01801.

[411] Ge, Y., Molnár, A., and Cirac, J. I. (2016). Rapid adiabatic preparation of injective projected entangled pair states and Gibbs states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **116**, 080503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.080503. arXiv:1508.00570.

[412] Ge, Y., Tura, J., and Cirac, J. I. (2019). Faster ground state preparation and high-precision ground energy estimation with fewer qubits. *J. Math. Phys.*, **60**, 022202. doi:10.1063/1.5027484. arXiv:1712.03193.

[413] Gehrmann, T., and Malaescu, B. (2022). Precision QCD physics at the LHC. *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, **72**, 233–258. doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-101920-014923. arXiv:2111.02319.

[414] Gentinetta, G., Sutter, D., Zoufal, C., et al. (2023). Quantum kernel alignment with stochastic gradient descent. Pages 256–262 of: *QCE*, vol. 01. doi:10.1109/QCE57702.2023.00036. arXiv:2304.09899.

[415] Gentinetta, G., Thomsen, A., Sutter, D., and Woerner, S. (2024). The complexity of quantum support vector machines. *Quantum*, **8**, 1225. doi:10.22331/q-2024-01-11-1225. arXiv:2203.00031.

[416] Georges, T. N., Bothe, M., Sünderhauf, C., et al. (2024). Quantum simulations of chemistry in first quantization with any basis set. arXiv:2408.03145.

[417] Georgescu, I. M., Ashhab, S., and Nori, F. (2014). Quantum simulation. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **86**, 153–185. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.86.153. arXiv:1308.6253.

[418] Gharibian, S. (2024). *Quantum complexity theory*. https://groups.uni-paderborn.de/fg-qi/data/QCT_Masterfile.pdf, accessed: 2024-11-19.

[419] Gharibian, S., and Le Gall, F. (2022). Dequantizing the quantum singular value transformation: Hardness and applications to quantum chemistry and the quantum PCP conjecture. Pages 19–32 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3519935.3519991. arXiv:2111.09079.

[420] Gibbs, J., Gili, K., Holmes, Z., et al. (2022). Long-time simulations for fixed input states on quantum hardware. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **8**, 135. doi:10.1038/s41534-022-00625-0. arXiv:2102.04313.

[421] Gidney, C. (2018). Halving the cost of quantum addition. *Quantum*, **2**, 74. doi:10.22331/q-2018-06-18-74. arXiv:1709.06648.

[422] Gidney, C. (2021). Stim: A fast stabilizer circuit simulator. *Quantum*, **5**, 497. doi:10.22331/q-2021-07-06-497. arXiv:2103.02202.

[423] Gidney, C. (2024). Inplace access to the surface code Y basis. *Quantum*, **8**, 1310. doi:10.22331/q-2024-04-08-1310. arXiv:2302.07395.

[424] Gidney, C., and Ekerå, M. (2021). How to factor 2048 bit RSA integers in 8 hours using 20 million noisy qubits. *Quantum*, **5**, 433. doi:10.22331/q-2021-04-15-433. arXiv:1905.09749.

[425] Gil-Fuster, E., Eisert, J., and Dunjko, V. (2023). On the expressivity of embedding quantum kernels. *Mach. Learn.: Sci. Technol.*, **5**, 025003. doi:10.1088/2632-2153/ad2f51. arXiv:2309.14419.

[426] Giles, M. B. (2015). Multilevel Monte Carlo methods. *Acta Numer.*, **24**, 259–328. doi:10.1017/S096249291500001X. arXiv:1304.5472.

[427] Gilyén, A. (2014). *Quantum walk based search methods and algorithmic applications*. Master's thesis, Eötvös Loránd University.

[428] Gilyén, A. (2019). *Quantum singular value transformation & its algorithmic applications*. PhD thesis, University of Amsterdam.

[429] Gilyén, A., Su, Y., Low, G. H., and Wiebe, N. (2018). Quantum singular value transformation and beyond: Exponential improvements for quantum matrix arithmetics [full version]. arXiv:1806.01838.

[430] Gilyén, A., Arunachalam, S., and Wiebe, N. (2019). Optimizing quantum optimization algorithms via faster quantum gradient computation. Pages 1425–1444 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975482.87. arXiv:1711.00465.

[431] Gilyén, A., Su, Y., Low, G. H., and Wiebe, N. (2019). Quantum singular value transformation and beyond: Exponential improvements for quantum matrix arithmetics. Pages 193–204 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3313276.3316366. arXiv:1806.01838.

[432] Gilyén, A., Hastings, M. B., and Vazirani, U. (2021). (Sub)Exponential advantage of adiabatic quantum computation with no sign problem. Pages 1357–1369 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3406325.3451060. arXiv:2011.09495.

[433] Gilyén, A., Song, Z., and Tang, E. (2022). An improved quantum-inspired algorithm for linear regression. *Quantum*, **6**, 754. doi:10.22331/q-2022-06-30-754. arXiv:2009.07268.

[434] Giovannetti, V., Lloyd, S., and Maccone, L. (2008). Quantum random access memory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **100**, 160501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.160501. arXiv:0708.1879.

[435] Glasserman, P. (2004). *Monte Carlo methods in financial engineering*. Vol. 53. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-21617-1.

[436] Glick, J. R., Gujarati, T. P., Córcoles, A. D., et al. (2024). Covariant quantum kernels for data with group structure. *Nat. Phys.*, **20**, 479–483. doi:10.1038/s41567-023-02340-9. arXiv:2105.03406.

[437] Goings, J. J., White, A., Lee, J., et al. (2022). Reliably assessing the electronic structure of cytochrome P450 on today's classical computers and tomorrow's quantum computers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **119**, e2203533119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2203533119. arXiv:2202.01244.

[438] Gondzio, J. (2012). Interior point methods 25 years later. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, **218**, 587–601. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2011.09.017.

[439] Gong, W., Zhang, C., and Li, T. (2022). Robustness of quantum algorithms for nonconvex optimization. arXiv:2212.02548.

[440] Gonthier, J. F., Radin, M. D., Buda, C., et al. (2022). Measurements as a roadblock to near-term practical quantum advantage in chemistry: Resource analysis. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **4**, 033154. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.033154.

[441] Gonzalez-Conde, J., Rodríguez-Rozas, Á., Solano, E., and Sanz, M. (2021). Simulating option price dynamics with exponential quantum speedup. arXiv:2101.04023.

[442] Google AI Quantum, Arute, F., Arya, K., et al. (2020). Hartree–Fock on a superconducting qubit quantum computer. *Science*, **369**, 1084–1089. doi:10.1126/science.abb9811. arXiv:2004.04174.

[443] Gorman, D. J., Hemmerling, B., Megidish, E., et al. (2018). Engineering vibrationally assisted energy transfer in a trapped-ion quantum simulator. *Phys. Rev. X*, **8**, 011038. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.8.011038. arXiv:1709.04064.

[444] Gottesman, D. (1996). Class of quantum error-correcting codes saturating the quantum Hamming bound. *Phys. Rev. A*, **54**, 1862–1868. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.54.1862. arXiv:quant-ph/9604038.

[445] Gottesman, D. (1998). The Heisenberg representation of quantum computers. arXiv:quant-ph/9807006.

[446] Gottesman, D. (2010). An introduction to quantum error correction and fault-tolerant quantum computation. Pages 13–58 of: *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 68. arXiv:0904.2557.

[447] Gottesman, D. (2014). Fault-tolerant quantum computation with constant overhead. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **14**, 1338–1372. doi:10.26421/QIC14.15-16-5. arXiv:1310.2984.

[448] Gottesman, D., and Chuang, I. L. (1999). Demonstrating the viability of universal quantum computation using teleportation and single-qubit operations. *Nature*, **402**, 390–393. doi:10.1038/46503.

[449] Gourianov, N., Lubasch, M., Dolgov, S., et al. (2022). A quantum-inspired approach to exploit turbulence structures. *Nat. Comput. Sci.*, **2**, 30–37. doi:10.1038/s43588-021-00181-1. arXiv:2106.05782.

[450] Gouzien, E., Ruiz, D., Le Régent, F.-M., et al. (2023). Performance analysis of a repetition cat code architecture: Computing 256-bit elliptic curve logarithm in 9 hours with 126133 cat qubits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **131**, 040602. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.040602. arXiv:2302.06639.

[451] Grant, E., Benedetti, M., Cao, S., et al. (2018). Hierarchical quantum classifiers. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **4**, 65. doi:10.1038/s41534-018-0116-9. arXiv:1804.03680.

[452] Grant, E., Humble, T. S., and Stump, B. (2021). Benchmarking quantum annealing controls with portfolio optimization. *Phys. Rev. Appl.*, **15**, 014012. doi:10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.014012. arXiv:2007.03005.

[453] Grassl, M., Langenberg, B., Roetteler, M., and Steinwandt, R. (2016). Applying Grover's algorithm to AES: Quantum resource estimates. Pages 29–43 of: *PQCrypto*. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-29360-8_3. arXiv:1512.04965.

[454] Gray, J., and Chan, G. K.-L. (2024). Hyperoptimized approximate contraction of tensor networks with arbitrary geometry. *Phys. Rev. X*, **14**, 011009. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.14.011009. arXiv:2206.07044.

[455] Gray, J., and Kourtis, S. (2021). Hyper-optimized tensor network contraction. *Quantum*, **5**, 410. doi:10.22331/q-2021-03-15-410. arXiv:2002.01935.

[456] Greenaway, S., Pol, W., and Sim, S. (2024). A case study against QSVT: Assessment of quantum phase estimation improved by signal processing techniques. arXiv:2404.01396.

[457] Griewank, A., and Walther, A. (2008). *Evaluating derivatives: Principles and techniques of algorithmic differentiation*. SIAM. doi:10.1137/1.9780898717761.

[458] Griffiths, R. B., and Niu, C.-S. (1996). Semiclassical Fourier transform for quantum computation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **76**, 3228. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.76.3228. arXiv:quant-ph/9511007.

[459] Grigoriadis, M. D., and Khachiyan, L. G. (1995). A sublinear-time randomized approximation algorithm for matrix games. *Oper. Res. Lett.*, **18**, 53–58. doi:10.1016/0167-6377(95)00032-0.

[460] Grinko, D., Gacon, J., Zoufal, C., and Woerner, S. (2021). Iterative quantum amplitude estimation. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **7**, 52. doi:10.1038/s41534-021-00379-1. arXiv:1912.05559.

[461] Gross, C., and Bloch, I. (2017). Quantum simulations with ultracold atoms in optical lattices. *Science*, **357**, 995–1001. doi:10.1126/science.aal3837.

[462] Gross, D., Liu, Y.-K., Flammia, S. T., et al. (2010). Quantum state tomography via compressed sensing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **105**, 150401. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.150401. arXiv:0909.3304.

[463] Grover, L., and Rudolph, T. (2002). Creating superpositions that correspond to efficiently integrable probability distributions. arXiv:quant-ph/0208112.

[464] Grover, L. K. (1996). A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. Pages 212–219 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/237814.237866. arXiv:quant-ph/9605043.

[465] Grover, L. K. (2000). Synthesis of quantum superpositions by quantum computation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **85**, 1334. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.1334.

[466] Grover, L. K. (2005). Fixed-point quantum search. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **95**, 150501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.150501. arXiv:quant-ph/0503205.

[467] Gu, S., Retzker, A., and Kubica, A. (2023). Fault-tolerant quantum architectures based on erasure qubits. arXiv:2312.14060.

[468] Gui, K., Dalzell, A. M., Achille, A., et al. (2024). Spacetime-efficient low-depth quantum state preparation with applications. *Quantum*, **8**, 1257. doi:10.22331/q-2024-02-15-1257. arXiv:2303.02131.

[469] Gunn, S., and Kornerup, N. (2019). Review of a quantum algorithm for Betti numbers. arXiv:1906.07673.

[470] Gurobi Optimization. (2024). *Gurobi optimizer reference manual*. Version 11.0, accessed: 2024-05-09.

[471] Guta, M., Kahn, J., Kueng, R., and Tropp, J. A. (2020). Fast state tomography with optimal error bounds. *J. Phys. A*, **53**, 204001. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ab8111. arXiv:1809.11162.

[472] Gysbers, P., Hagen, G., Holt, J. D., et al. (2019). Discrepancy between experimental and theoretical β-decay rates resolved from first principles. *Nat. Phys.*, **15**, 428–431. doi:10.1038/s41567-019-0450-7. arXiv:1903.00047.

[473] Gyurik, C., and Dunjko, V. (2023). Exponential separations between classical and quantum learners. arXiv:2306.16028.

[474] Gyurik, C., Cade, C., and Dunjko, V. (2022). Towards quantum advantage via topological data analysis. *Quantum*, **6**, 855. doi:10.22331/q-2022-11-10-855.

[475] Gyurik, C., Dunjko, V., et al. (2023). Structural risk minimization for quantum linear classifiers. *Quantum*, **7**, 893. doi:10.22331/q-2023-01-13-893. arXiv:2105.05566.

[476] Ha, J., Lee, J., and Heo, J. (2022). Resource analysis of quantum computing with noisy qubits for Shor's factoring algorithms. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **21**, 60. doi:10.1007/s11128-021-03398-1.

[477] Haah, J. (2019). Product decomposition of periodic functions in quantum signal processing. *Quantum*, **3**, 190. doi:10.22331/q-2019-10-07-190. arXiv:1806.10236.

[478] Haah, J., Harrow, A. W., Ji, Z., et al. (2017). Sample-optimal tomography of quantum states. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **63**, 5628–5641. doi:10.1109/TIT.2017.2719044. arXiv:1508.01797.

[479] Haah, J., Hastings, M. B., Kothari, R., and Low, G. H. (2018). Quantum algorithm for simulating real time evolution of lattice Hamiltonians. Pages 350–360 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2018.00041. arXiv:1801.03922.

[480] Haah, J., Kothari, R., O'Donnell, R., and Tang, E. (2023). Query-optimal estimation of unitary channels in diamond distance. arXiv:2302.14066.

[481] Hackbusch, W. (2016). *Iterative solution of large sparse systems of equations*. 2nd edn. Vol. 95. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-28483-5.

[482] Hadfield, S., Wang, Z., O'Gorman, B., et al. (2019). From the quantum approximate optimization algorithm to a quantum alternating operator ansatz. *Algorithms*, **12**, 34. doi:10.3390/a12020034. arXiv:1709.03489.

[483] Haferkamp, J., Hangleiter, D., Eisert, J., and Gluza, M. (2020). Contracting projected entangled pair states is average-case hard. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **2**, 013010. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013010. arXiv:1810.00738.

[484] Hagan, M., and Wiebe, N. (2022). Composite quantum simulations. arXiv:2206.06409.

[485] Hagen, G., Papenbrock, T., Hjorth-Jensen, M., and Dean, D. J. (2014). Coupled-cluster computations of atomic nuclei. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **77**, 096302. doi:10.1088/0034-4885/77/9/096302. arXiv:1312.7872.

[486] Hales, L., and Hallgren, S. (2000). An improved quantum Fourier transform algorithm and applications. Pages 515–525 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/SFCS.2000.892139.

[487] Halimeh, J. C., Lang, H., Mildenberger, J., et al. (2021). Gauge-symmetry protection using single-body terms. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 040311. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040311. arXiv:2007.00668.

[488] Halkier, A., Helgaker, T., Jørgensen, P., et al. (1998). Basis-set convergence in correlated calculations on Ne, N_2, and H_2O. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **286**, 243–252. doi:10.1016/S0009-2614(98)00111-0.

[489] Hammer, H.-W., König, S., and van Kolck, U. (2020). Nuclear effective field theory: Status and perspectives. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **92**, 025004. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.92.025004.

[490] Hamoudi, Y., and Magniez, F. (2019). Quantum Chebyshev's inequality and applications. Pages 69:1–69:16 of: *ICALP*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ICALP.2019.69. arXiv:1807.06456.

[491] Han, J. Y., and Rebentrost, P. (2022). Quantum advantage for multi-option portfolio pricing and valuation adjustments. arXiv:2203.04924.

[492] Häner, T., and Steiger, D. S. (2017). 0.5 petabyte simulation of a 45-qubit quantum circuit. In: *SC*. doi:10.1145/3126908.3126947. arXiv:1704.01127.

[493] Häner, T., Roetteler, M., and Svore, K. M. (2017). Factoring using 2n + 2 qubits with Toffoli based modular multiplication. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **17**, 673–684. doi:10.26421/QIC17.7-8-7. arXiv:1611.07995.

[494] Häner, T., Roetteler, M., and Svore, K. M. (2018). Optimizing quantum circuits for arithmetic. arXiv:1805.12445.

[495] Häner, T., Jaques, S., Naehrig, M., et al. (2020). Improved quantum circuits for elliptic curve discrete logarithms. Pages 425–444 of: *PQCrypto*. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-44223-1_23. arXiv:2001.09580.

[496] Hann, C. T., Lee, G., Girvin, S., and Jiang, L. (2021). Resilience of quantum random access memory to generic noise. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 020311. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.020311. arXiv:2012.05340.

[497] Hansen, T. D., Kaplan, H., Zamir, O., and Zwick, U. (2019). Faster k-SAT algorithms using biased-PPSZ. Pages 578–589 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3313276.3316359.

[498] Harrow, A. W. (2020). Small quantum computers and large classical data sets. arXiv:2004.00026.

[499] Harrow, A. W., and Wei, A. Y. (2020). Adaptive quantum simulated annealing for Bayesian inference and estimating partition functions. Pages 193–212 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611975994.12. arXiv:1907.09965.

[500] Harrow, A. W., Hassidim, A., and Lloyd, S. (2009). Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **103**, 150502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.150502. arXiv:0811.3171.

[501] Hart, O., Gopalakrishnan, S., and Castelnovo, C. (2021). Logarithmic entanglement growth from disorder-free localization in the two-leg compass ladder. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **126**, 227202. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.227202. arXiv:2009.00618.

[502] Harvey, D., and van der Hoeven, J. (2021). Integer multiplication in time $o(n \log n)$. *Ann. Math.*, **193**, 563 – 617. doi:10.4007/annals.2021.193.2.4.

[503] Hastings, M. (2010). *Theoretical computer science stack exchange: The complexity of area-lawed Hamiltonians*. https://cstheory.stackexchange.com/questions/3083/the-complexity-of-area-lawed-hamiltonians, accessed: 2024-10-29.

[504] Hastings, M. B. (2007). An area law for one-dimensional quantum systems. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, **2007**, P08024. doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2007/08/P08024. arXiv:0705.2024.

[505] Hastings, M. B. (2018). A short path quantum algorithm for exact optimization. *Quantum*, **2**, 78. doi:10.22331/q-2018-07-26-78. arXiv:1802.10124.

[506] Hastings, M. B. (2018). Weaker assumptions for the short path optimization algorithm. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1807.03758. arXiv:1807.03758.

[507] Hastings, M. B. (2019). Classical and quantum bounded depth approximation algorithms. arXiv:1905.07047.

[508] Hastings, M. B. (2019). The short path algorithm applied to a toy model. *Quantum*, **3**, 145. doi:10.22331/q-2019-05-20-145. arXiv:1901.03884.

[509] Hastings, M. B. (2020). Classical and quantum algorithms for tensor principal component analysis. *Quantum*, **4**, 237. doi:10.22331/q-2020-02-27-237. arXiv:1907.12724.

[510] Hastings, M. B. (2020). The power of adiabatic quantum computation with no sign problem. arXiv:2005.03791.

[511] Hastings, M. B., and O'Donnell, R. (2022). Optimizing strongly interacting fermionic Hamiltonians. Pages 776–789 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3519935.3519960. arXiv:2110.10701.

[512] Hastings, W. K. (1970). Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications. *Biometrika*, **57**, 97–109. doi:10.1093/biomet/57.1.97.

[513] Havlíček, V., Córcoles, A. D., Temme, K., et al. (2019). Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. *Nature*, **567**, 209–212. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0980-2. arXiv:1804.11326.

[514] Hayakawa, R. (2022). Quantum algorithm for persistent Betti numbers and topological data analysis. *Quantum*, **6**, 873. doi:10.22331/q-2022-12-07-873. arXiv:2111.00433.

[515] Heinrich, S. (2002). Quantum summation with an application to integration. *J. Complex.*, **18**, 1–50. doi:10.1006/jcom.2001.0629. arXiv:quant-ph/0105116.

[516] Hejazi, K., Zini, M. S., and Arrazola, J. M. (2024). Better bounds for low-energy product formulas. arXiv:2402.10362.

[517] Hen, I., and Young, A. P. (2011). Exponential complexity of the quantum adiabatic algorithm for certain satisfiability problems. *Phys. Rev. E*, **84**, 061152. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.061152. arXiv:1109.6872.

[518] Henderson, J. M., Podzorova, M., Cerezo, M., et al. (2023). Quantum algorithms for geologic fracture networks. *Sci. Rep.*, **13**, 2906. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-29643-4. arXiv:2210.11685.

[519] Hensel, F., Moor, M., and Rieck, B. (2021). A survey of topological machine learning methods. *Front. Artif. Intell.*, **4**, 681108. doi:10.3389/frai.2021.681108.

[520] Henstra, F. (2023). *The multiplicative weights method in quantum computing*. Master's thesis, Utrecht University.

[521] Herbert, S. (2021). No quantum speedup with Grover–Rudolph state preparation for quantum Monte Carlo integration. *Phys. Rev. E*, **103**, 063302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.103.063302. arXiv:2101.02240.

[522] Herbert, S. (2022). Quantum Monte Carlo integration: The full advantage in minimal circuit depth. *Quantum*, **6**, 823. doi:10.22331/q-2022-09-29-823. arXiv:2105.09100.

[523] Hergert, H. (2020). A guided tour of ab initio nuclear many-body theory. *Front. Phys.*, **8**. doi:10.3389/fphy.2020.00379. arXiv:2008.05061.

[524] Herman, D., Shaydulin, R., Sun, Y., et al. (2022). Portfolio optimization via quantum Zeno dynamics on a quantum processor. arXiv:2209.15024.

[525] Herman, D., Googin, C., Liu, X., et al. (2023). Quantum computing for finance. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **5**, 450–465. doi:10.1038/s42254-023-00603-1. arXiv:2201.02773.

[526] Hertli, T. (2014). 3-SAT faster and simpler—Unique-SAT bounds for PPSZ hold in general. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **43**, 718–729. doi:10.1137/120868177. arXiv:1103.2165.

[527] Hestenes, M. R., and Stiefel, E. (1952). Methods of conjugate gradients for solving linear systems. *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand.*, **49**, 409–435.

[528] Higgott, O. (2022). Pymatching: A Python package for decoding quantum codes with minimum-weight perfect matching. *ACM Trans. Quantum Comput.*, **3**. doi:10.1145/3505637. arXiv:2105.13082.

[529] Higgott, O., and Gidney, C. (2023). Sparse blossom: Correcting a million errors per core second with minimum-weight matching. arXiv:2303.15933.

[530] Higgott, O., Bohdanowicz, T. C., Kubica, A., et al. (2023). Improved decoding of circuit noise and fragile boundaries of tailored surface codes. *Phys. Rev. X*, **13**, 031007. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.13.031007. arXiv:2203.04948.

[531] Hinton, G. E. (2002). Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence. *Neural Comput.*, **14**, 1771–1800. doi:10.1162/089976602760128018.

[532] Hoefler, T., Häner, T., and Troyer, M. (2023). Disentangling hype from practicality: On realistically achieving quantum advantage. *Commun. ACM*, **66**, 82–87. doi:10.1145/3571725.

[533] Hogben, H., Krzystyniak, M., Charnock, G., et al. (2011). Spinach—a software library for simulation of spin dynamics in large spin systems. *J. Magn. Reson.*, **208**, 179–194. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2010.11.008.

[534] Holmes, Z., Sharma, K., Cerezo, M., and Coles, P. J. (2022). Connecting ansatz expressibility to gradient magnitudes and barren plateaus. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 010313. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.010313. arXiv:2101.02138.

[535] Horsman, D., Fowler, A. G., Devitt, S., and Meter, R. V. (2012). Surface code quantum computing by lattice surgery. *New J. Phys.*, **14**, 123011. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/12/123011. arXiv:1111.4022.

[536] Hoyle, D., and Rattray, M. (2003). PCA learning for sparse high-dimensional data. *Europhys. Lett.*, **62**, 117. doi:10.1209/epl/i2003-00370-1.

[537] Huang, B., Jiang, S., Song, Z., et al. (2022). A faster quantum algorithm for semidefinite programming via robust IPM framework. arXiv:2207.11154.

[538] Huang, B., Jiang, S., Song, Z., et al. (2022). Solving SDP faster: A robust IPM framework and efficient implementation. Pages 233–244 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS54457.2022.00029. arXiv:2101.08208.

[539] Huang, B., Chen, Y.-T., Gupt, B., et al. (2024). Evaluating a quantum-classical quantum Monte Carlo algorithm with matchgate shadows. arXiv:2404.18303.

[540] Huang, H.-Y., Kueng, R., and Preskill, J. (2020). Predicting many properties of a quantum system from very few measurements. *Nat. Phys.*, **16**, 1050–1057. doi:10.1038/s41567-020-0932-7. arXiv:2002.08953.

[541] Huang, H.-Y., Bharti, K., and Rebentrost, P. (2021). Near-term quantum algorithms for linear systems of equations with regression loss functions. *New J. Phys.*, **23**, 113021. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/ac325f. arXiv:1909.07344.

[542] Huang, H.-Y., Broughton, M., Mohseni, M., et al. (2021). Power of data in quantum machine learning. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 2631. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22539-9. arXiv:2011.01938.

[543] Huang, H.-Y., Broughton, M., Cotler, J., et al. (2022). Quantum advantage in learning from experiments. *Science*, **376**, 1182–1186. doi:10.1126/science.abn7293. arXiv:2112.00778.

[544] Huang, S., and Brown, K. R. (2021). Between Shor and Steane: A unifying construction for measuring error syndromes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **127**. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.127.090505. arXiv:2012.15403.

[545] Huang, Y. (2021). Two-dimensional local Hamiltonian problem with area laws is QMA-complete. *J. Comput. Phys.*, **443**, 110534. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2021.110534. Earlier version in *ISIT'20*. arXiv:1411.6614.

[546] Hubbard, J., and Flowers, B. H. (1963). Electron correlations in narrow energy bands. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **276**, 238–257. doi:10.1098/rspa.1963.0204.

[547] Hubregtsen, T., Wierichs, D., Gil-Fuster, E., et al. (2022). Training quantum embedding kernels on near-term quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. A*, **106**, 042431. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.106.042431. arXiv:2105.02276.

[548] Huggins, W., Patil, P., Mitchell, B., et al. (2019). Towards quantum machine learning with tensor networks. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **4**, 024001. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aaea94. arXiv:1803.11537.

[549] Huggins, W. J., Wan, K., McClean, J., et al. (2022). Nearly optimal quantum algorithm for estimating multiple expectation values. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **129**, 240501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.240501. arXiv:2111.09283.

[550] Huggins, W. J., O'Gorman, B. A., Rubin, N. C., et al. (2022). Unbiasing fermionic quantum Monte Carlo with a quantum computer. *Nature*, **603**, 416–420. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04351-z. arXiv:2106.16235.

[551] Huggins, W. J., Leimkuhler, O., Stetina, T. F., and Whaley, K. B. (2024). Efficient state preparation for the quantum simulation of molecules in first quantization. arXiv:2407.00249.

[552] Huh, J. (2011). *Unified description of vibronic transitions with coherent states*. PhD thesis, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität.

[553] Huh, J., Guerreschi, G. G., Peropadre, B., et al. (2015). Boson sampling for molecular vibronic spectra. *Nat. Photonics*, **9**, 615–620. doi:10.1038/nphoton.2015.153. arXiv:1412.8427.

[554] Hull, J. (2017). *Options, futures, and other derivatives*. Pearson.

[555] Hunter-Jones, N. R. (2018). *Chaos and randomness in strongly-interacting quantum systems*. PhD thesis, California Institute of Technology. doi:10.7907/BHZ5-HV76.

[556] Huyghebaert, J., and Raedt, H. D. (1990). Product formula methods for time-dependent Schrodinger problems. *J. Phys. A*, **23**, 5777. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/23/24/019.

[557] Iijima, N., Imamura, S., Morita, M., et al. (2023). Towards accurate quantum chemical calculations on noisy quantum computers. arXiv:2311.09634.

[558] Impagliazzo, R., Paturi, R., and Zane, F. (2001). Which problems have strongly exponential complexity? *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, **63**, 512–530. doi:10.1006/jcss.2001.1774.

[559] Information Technology Laboratory. (2001). *Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)*. Tech. rept. FIPS 197. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD. doi:10.6028/NIST.FIPS.197-upd1.

[560] Itani, W., Sreenivasan, K. R., and Succi, S. (2023). Quantum algorithm for lattice Boltzmann (QALB) simulation of incompressible fluids with a nonlinear collision term. arXiv:2304.05915.

[561] Ivanov, A. V., Sünderhauf, C., Holzmann, N., et al. (2023). Quantum computation for periodic solids in second quantization. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **5**, 013200. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.5.013200. arXiv:2210.02403.

[562] Ivanov, A. V., Patterson, A., Bothe, M., et al. (2024). Quantum computation of electronic structure with projector augmented-wave method and plane wave basis set. arXiv:2408.03159.

[563] Iyer, P., and Poulin, D. (2015). Hardness of decoding quantum stabilizer codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **61**, 5209–5223. doi:10.1109/TIT.2015.2422294. arXiv:1310.3235.

[564] Iyer, P., and Poulin, D. (2018). A small quantum computer is needed to optimize fault-tolerant protocols. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **3**, 030504. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aab73c. arXiv:1711.04736.

[565] Jackson, A., Kapourniotis, T., and Datta, A. (2023). Partition-function estimation: Quantum and quantum-inspired algorithms. *Phys. Rev. A*, **107**, 012421. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.107.012421. arXiv:2208.00930.

[566] Jang, K., Baksi, A., Kim, H., et al. (2024). Quantum analysis of AES. Pages 51–90 of: *Implementation and Analysis of Ciphers in Quantum Computing*. doi:10.1007/978-981-97-0025-7. ePrint:2022/683.

[567] Jansen, S., Ruskai, M.-B., and Seiler, R. (2007). Bounds for the adiabatic approximation with applications to quantum computation. *J. Math. Phys.*, **48**, 102111. doi:10.1063/1.2798382. arXiv:quant-ph/0603175.

[568] Jaques, S., and Rattew, A. G. (2023). QRAM: A survey and critique. arXiv:2305.10310.

[569] Jarret, M., and Wan, K. (2018). Improved quantum backtracking algorithms using effective resistance estimates. *Phys. Rev. A*, **97**, 022337. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.97.022337. arXiv:1711.05295.

[570] Jarret, M., Jordan, S. P., and Lackey, B. (2016). Adiabatic optimization versus diffusion Monte Carlo methods. *Phys. Rev. A*, **94**, 042318. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.94.042318. arXiv:1607.03389.

[571] Jennings, D., Lostaglio, M., Lowrie, R. B., et al. (2023). The cost of solving linear differential equations on a quantum computer: Fast-forwarding to explicit resource counts. arXiv:2309.07881.

[572] Jennings, D., Lostaglio, M., Pallister, S., et al. (2023). Efficient quantum linear solver algorithm with detailed running costs. arXiv:2305.11352.

[573] Jerbi, S., Gyurik, C., Marshall, S., et al. (2021). Parametrized quantum policies for reinforcement learning. Pages 28362–28375 of: *NeurIPS*. arXiv:2103.05577.

[574] Jiang, H., Kathuria, T., Lee, Y. T., et al. (2020). A faster interior point method for semidefinite programming. Pages 910–918 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS46700.2020.00089. arXiv:2009.10217.

[575] Jiang, H., Lee, Y. T., Song, Z., and Wong, S. C.-W. (2020). An improved cutting plane method for convex optimization, convex-concave games, and its applications. Pages 944–953 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3357713.3384284. arXiv:2004.04250.

[576] Jiang, Z., Sung, K. J., Kechedzhi, K., et al. (2018). Quantum algorithms to simulate many-body physics of correlated fermions. *Phys. Rev. Appl.*, **9**, 44036. doi:10.1103/PhysRevApplied.9.044036. arXiv:1711.05395.

[577] Jin, C., Netrapalli, P., and Jordan, M. I. (2018). Accelerated gradient descent escapes saddle points faster than gradient descent. Pages 1042–1085 of: *COLT*, vol. 75. arXiv:1711.10456.

[578] Jin, S., and Liu, N. (2022). Quantum algorithms for computing observables of nonlinear partial differential equations. arXiv:2202.07834.

[579] Jin, S., Liu, N., and Yu, Y. (2022). Quantum simulation of partial differential equations via Schrodingerisation. arXiv:2212.13969.

[580] Jin, S., Liu, N., and Yu, Y. (2022). Time complexity analysis of quantum difference methods for linear high dimensional and multiscale partial differential equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, **471**, 111641. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2022.111641. arXiv:2202.04537.

[581] Jin, S., Liu, N., and Yu, Y. (2023). Quantum simulation of partial differential equations: Applications and detailed analysis. *Phys. Rev. A*, **108**, 032603. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.108.032603. arXiv:2212.14703.

[582] Jochym-O'Connor, T., and Bartlett, S. D. (2016). Stacked codes: Universal fault-tolerant quantum computation in a two-dimensional layout. *Phys. Rev. A*, **93**, 022323. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.93.022323. arXiv:1509.04255.

[583] Jochym-O'Connor, T., Kubica, A., and Yoder, T. J. (2018). Disjointness of stabilizer codes and limitations on fault-tolerant logical gates. *Phys. Rev. X*, **8**, 021047. doi:10.1103/physrevx.8.021047. arXiv:1710.07256.

[584] Jóczik, S., Zimborás, Z., Majoros, T., and Kiss, A. (2022). A cost-efficient approach towards computational fluid dynamics simulations on quantum devices. *Appl. Sci.*, **12**, 2873. doi:10.3390/app12062873.

[585] Joó, B., Jung, C., Christ, N. H., et al. (2019). Status and future perspectives for lattice gauge theory calculations to the exascale and beyond. *Eur. Phys. J. A*, **55**, 199. doi:10.1140/epja/i2019-12919-7. arXiv:1904.09725.

[586] Jordan, S. (2011). *Quantum algorithm zoo*. https://quantumalgorithmzoo.org/, accessed: 2024-10-29.

[587] Jordan, S. P. (2005). Fast quantum algorithm for numerical gradient estimation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **95**, 050501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.050501. arXiv:quant-ph/0405146.

[588] Jordan, S. P., Lee, K. S. M., and Preskill, J. (2012). Quantum algorithms for quantum field theories. *Science*, **336**, 1130–1133. doi:10.1126/science.1217069. arXiv:1111.3633.

[589] Jordan, S. P., Krovi, H., Lee, K. S. M., and Preskill, J. (2018). BQP-completeness of scattering in scalar quantum field theory. *Quantum*, **2**, 44. doi:10.22331/q-2018-01-08-44. arXiv:1703.00454.

[590] Joseph, I. (2020). Koopman–von Neumann approach to quantum simulation of nonlinear classical dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **2**, 043102. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043102. arXiv:2003.09980.

[591] Kadowaki, T., and Nishimori, H. (1998). Quantum annealing in the transverse Ising model. *Phys. Rev. E*, **58**, 5355–5363. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.58.5355. arXiv:cond-mat/9804280.

[592] Kahanamoku-Meyer, G. D., and Yao, N. Y. (2024). Fast quantum integer multiplication with zero ancillas. arXiv:2403.18006.

[593] Kan, A., and Nam, Y. (2021). Lattice quantum chromodynamics and electrodynamics on a universal quantum computer. arXiv:2107.12769.

[594] Kanagawa, M., Hennig, P., Sejdinovic, D., and Sriperumbudur, B. K. (2018). Gaussian processes and kernel methods: A review on connections and equivalences. arXiv:1807.02582.

[595] Kandala, A., Mezzacapo, A., Temme, K., et al. (2017). Hardware-efficient variational quantum eigensolver for small molecules and quantum magnets. *Nature*, **549**, 242–246. doi:10.1038/nature23879. arXiv:1704.05018.

[596] Kaneko, K., Miyamoto, K., Takeda, N., and Yoshino, K. (2022). Quantum pricing with a smile: Implementation of local volatility model on quantum computer. *EPJ Quantum Technol.*, **9**, 7. doi:10.1140/epjqt/s40507-022-00125-2. arXiv:2007.01467.

[597] Kang, M., Nuomin, H., Chowdhury, S. N., et al. (2024). Seeking a quantum advantage with trapped-ion quantum simulations of condensed-phase chemical dynamics. *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, **8**, 340–358. doi:10.1038/s41570-024-00595-1. arXiv:2305.03156.

[598] Kaplan, D. B. (2011). Chiral symmetry and lattice fermions. Pages 223–272 of: *Modern perspectives in lattice QCD: Quantum field theory and high performance computing: Lecture notes of the Les Houches Summer School: Volume 93, August 2009*. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199691609.003.0004. arXiv:0912.2560.

[599] Kaplan, M., Leurent, G., Leverrier, A., and Naya-Plasencia, M. (2016). Breaking symmetric cryptosystems using quantum period finding. Pages 207–237 of: *CRYPTO*. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-53008-5_8. arXiv:1602.05973.

[600] Karamlou, A. H., Simon, W. A., Katabarwa, A., et al. (2021). Analyzing the performance of variational quantum factoring on a superconducting quantum processor. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **7**, 156. doi:10.1038/s41534-021-00478-z. arXiv:2012.07825.

[601] Kassal, I., Jordan, S. P., Love, P. J., et al. (2008). Polynomial-time quantum algorithm for the simulation of chemical dynamics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **105**, 18681–18686. doi:10.1073/pnas.0808245105. arXiv:0801.2986.

[602] Kastoryano, M., and Pancotti, N. (2022). A highly efficient tensor network algorithm for multi-asset Fourier options pricing. arXiv:2203.02804.

[603] Kastoryano, M. J., and Brandão, F. G. S. L. (2016). Quantum Gibbs samplers: The commuting case. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **344**, 915–957. doi:10.1007/s00220-016-2641-8. arXiv:1409.3435.

[604] Kattemölle, J., and van Wezel, J. (2022). Variational quantum eigensolver for the Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the kagome lattice. *Phys. Rev. B*, **106**, 214429. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.106.214429.

[605] Katz, J., and Lindell, Y. (2021). *Introduction to modern cryptography: Third edition*. CRC Press.

[606] Kaye, P., Laflamme, R., and Mosca, M. (2006). *An introduction to quantum computing*. Oxford University Press. doi:10.1093/oso/9780198570004.001.0001.

[607] Kempe, J., Kitaev, A., and Regev, O. (2006). The complexity of the local Hamiltonian problem. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **35**, 1070–1097. doi:10.1137/S0097539704445226. Earlier version in *FSTTCS'04*. arXiv:quant-ph/0406180.

[608] Kerenidis, I., and Prakash, A. (2017). Quantum recommendation systems. Pages 49:1–49:21 of: *ITCS*. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ITCS.2017.49. arXiv:1603.08675.

[609] Kerenidis, I., and Prakash, A. (2020). Quantum gradient descent for linear systems and least squares. *Phys. Rev. A*, **101**, 022316. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.101.022316. arXiv:1704.04992.

[610] Kerenidis, I., and Prakash, A. (2020). A quantum interior point method for LPs and SDPs. *ACM Trans. Quantum Comput.*, **1**. doi:10.1145/3406306. arXiv:1808.09266.

[611] Kerenidis, I., Prakash, A., and Szilágyi, D. (2019). Quantum algorithms for portfolio optimization. Pages 147–155 of: *AFT*. doi:10.1145/3318041.3355465. arXiv:1908.08040.

[612] Kerenidis, I., Prakash, A., and Szilágyi, D. (2021). Quantum algorithms for second-order cone programming and support vector machines. *Quantum*, **5**, 427. doi:10.22331/q-2021-04-08-427. arXiv:1908.06720.

[613] Khatri, S., LaRose, R., Poremba, A., et al. (2019). Quantum-assisted quantum compiling. *Quantum*, **3**, 140. doi:10.22331/q-2019-05-13-140. arXiv:1807.00800.

[614] Kieferová, M., and Wiebe, N. (2017). Tomography and generative training with quantum Boltzmann machines. *Phys. Rev. A*, **96**, 062327. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.96.062327. arXiv:1612.05204.

[615] Kieferová, M., Scherer, A., and Berry, D. W. (2019). Simulating the dynamics of time-dependent Hamiltonians with a truncated Dyson series. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 042314. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.042314. arXiv:1805.00582.

[616] Kim, I. H., and Swingle, B. (2017). Robust entanglement renormalization on a noisy quantum computer. arXiv:1711.07500.

[617] Kim, I. H., Liu, Y.-H., Pallister, S., et al. (2022). Fault-tolerant resource estimate for quantum chemical simulations: Case study on Li-ion battery electrolyte molecules. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **4**, 023019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.023019. arXiv:2104.10653.

[618] Kim, S. K., McMahon, P. L., and Olukotun, K. (2010). A large-scale architecture for restricted Boltzmann machines. Pages 201–208 of: *FCCM*. doi:10.1109/FCCM.2010.38.

[619] Kimmel, S., Lin, C. Y.-Y., Low, G. H., et al. (2017). Hamiltonian simulation with optimal sample complexity. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **3**, 13. doi:10.1038/s41534-017-0013-7. arXiv:1608.00281.

[620] King, R., and Kohler, T. (2023). Promise clique homology on weighted graphs is QMA_1-hard and contained in QMA. arXiv:2311.17234.

[621] Kiss, O., Grossi, M., and Roggero, A. (2023). Importance sampling for stochastic quantum simulations. *Quantum*, **7**, 977. doi:10.22331/q-2023-04-13-977. arXiv:2212.05952.

[622] Kitaev, A. (2015). *A simple model of quantum holography*. Video of talk: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wFH1huu9Jcs (part 1), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q8amZLOElB0 (part 2), accessed: 2023-09-30.

[623] Kitaev, A., and Webb, W. A. (2008). Wavefunction preparation and resampling using a quantum computer. arXiv:0801.0342.

[624] Kitaev, A. Y. (1995). Quantum measurements and the abelian stabilizer problem. arXiv:quant-ph/9511026.

[625] Kitaev, A. Y. (1997). Quantum computations: Algorithms and error correction. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **52**, 1191. doi:10.1070/RM1997v052n06ABEH002155.

[626] Kitaev, A. Y. (2003). Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Ann. Phys.*, **303**, 2–30. doi:10.1016/S0003-4916(02)00018-0. arXiv:quant-ph/9707021.

[627] Kitaev, A. Y., Shen, A., Vyalyi, M. N., and Vyalyi, M. N. (2002). *Classical and quantum computation*. American Mathematical Society.

[628] Kivlichan, I. D., Wiebe, N., Babbush, R., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2017). Bounding the costs of quantum simulation of many-body physics in real space. *J. Phys. A*, **50**, 305301. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aa77b8. arXiv:1608.05696.

[629] Kivlichan, I. D., McClean, J., Wiebe, N., et al. (2018). Quantum simulation of electronic structure with linear depth and connectivity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **120**, 110501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.110501. arXiv:1711.04789.

[630] Kivlichan, I. D., Gidney, C., Berry, D. W., et al. (2020). Improved fault-tolerant quantum simulation of condensed-phase correlated electrons via Trotterization. *Quantum*, **4**, 296. doi:10.22331/q-2020-07-16-296. arXiv:1902.10673.

[631] Klco, N., Dumitrescu, E. F., McCaskey, A. J., et al. (2018). Quantum-classical computation of Schwinger model dynamics using quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. A*, **98**, 032331. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032331. arXiv:1803.03326.

[632] Klco, N., Roggero, A., and Savage, M. J. (2022). Standard model physics and the digital quantum revolution: Thoughts about the interface. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **85**, 064301. doi:10.1088/1361-6633/ac58a4. arXiv:2107.04769.

[633] Knill, E. (2004). Fault-tolerant postselected quantum computation: Schemes. arXiv:quant-ph/0402171.

[634] Knill, E. (2005). Quantum computing with realistically noisy devices. *Nature*, **434**, 39–44. doi:10.1038/nature03350. arXiv:quant-ph/0410199.

[635] Knill, E., and Laflamme, R. (1998). Power of one bit of quantum information. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81**, 5672–5675. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.5672. arXiv:quant-ph/9802037.

[636] Knill, E., Laflamme, R., and Zurek, W. H. (1998). Resilient quantum computation: error models and thresholds. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **454**, 365–384. doi:10.1098/rspa.1998.0166. arXiv:quant-ph/9702058.

[637] Knill, E., Ortiz, G., and Somma, R. D. (2007). Optimal quantum measurements of expectation values of observables. *Phys. Rev. A*, **75**, 012328. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.75.012328. arXiv:quant-ph/0607019.

[638] Knysh, S., and Smelyanskiy, V. (2010). On the relevance of avoided crossings away from quantum critical point to the complexity of quantum adiabatic algorithm. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1005.3011. arXiv:1005.3011.

[639] Koblitz, N. (1987). Elliptic curve cryptosystems. *Math. Comput.*, **48**, 203–209. doi:10.2307/2007884.

[640] Kogut, J. B. (1979). An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **51**, 659–713. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.51.659.

[641] Kokail, C., Maier, C., van Bijnen, R., et al. (2019). Self-verifying variational quantum simulation of lattice models. *Nature*, **569**, 355–360. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1177-4. arXiv:1810.03421.

[642] Kothari, R., and O'Donnell, R. (2023). Mean estimation when you have the source code; or, quantum Monte Carlo methods. Pages 1186–1215 of: *SODA*. doi:10.1137/1.9781611977554.ch44. arXiv:2208.07544.

[643] Kovalev, A. A., and Pryadko, L. P. (2013). Fault tolerance of quantum low-density parity check codes with sublinear distance scaling. *Phys. Rev. A*, **87**. doi:10.1103/physreva.87.020304. arXiv:1208.2317.

[644] Kremenetski, V., Mejuto-Zaera, C., Cotton, S. J., and Tubman, N. M. (2021). Simulation of adiabatic quantum computing for molecular ground states. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **155**, 234106. doi:10.1063/5.0060124. arXiv:2103.12059.

[645] Kret, E. (2023). *Quantum resistance and the Signal protocol*. https://signal.org/blog/pqxdh/, accessed: 2024-06-05.

[646] Krovi, H. (2023). Improved quantum algorithms for linear and nonlinear differential equations. *Quantum*, **7**, 913. doi:10.22331/q-2023-02-02-913. arXiv:2202.01054.

[647] Kubica, A. (2018). *The ABCs of the color code: A study of topological quantum codes as toy models for fault-tolerant quantum computation and quantum phases of matter*. PhD thesis, Caltech. doi:10.7907/059V-MG69.

[648] Kubica, A., and Beverland, M. E. (2015). Universal transversal gates with color codes: A simplified approach. *Phys. Rev. A*, **91**, 032330. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.91.032330. arXiv:1410.0069.

[649] Kubica, A., and Delfosse, N. (2023). Efficient color code decoders in $d \geq 2$ dimensions from toric code decoders. *Quantum*, **7**, 929. doi:10.22331/q-2023-02-21-929. arXiv:1905.07393.

[650] Kubica, A., and Demkowicz-Dobrzański, R. (2021). Using quantum metrological bounds in quantum error correction: A simple proof of the approximate Eastin–Knill theorem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **126**, 150503. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.126.150503. arXiv:2004.11893.

[651] Kubica, A., and Preskill, J. (2019). Cellular-automaton decoders with provable thresholds for topological codes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **123**, 020501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.020501. arXiv:1809.10145.

[652] Kubica, A., and Vasmer, M. (2022). Single-shot quantum error correction with the three-dimensional subsystem toric code. *Nat. Commun.*, **13**, 6272. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-33923-4. arXiv:2106.02621.

[653] Kubica, A., Yoshida, B., and Pastawski, F. (2015). Unfolding the color code. *New J. Phys.*, **17**, 083026. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/17/8/083026. arXiv:1503.02065.

[654] Kubica, A., Haim, A., Vaknin, Y., et al. (2023). Erasure qubits: Overcoming the T_1 limit in superconducting circuits. *Phys. Rev. X*, **13**. doi:10.1103/physrevx.13.041022. arXiv:2208.05461.

[655] Kübler, J., Buchholz, S., and Schölkopf, B. (2021). The inductive bias of quantum kernels. Pages 12661–12673 of: *NeurIPS*. arXiv:2106.03747.

[656] Kueng, R., Rauhut, H., and Terstiege, U. (2017). Low rank matrix recovery from rank one measurements. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, **42**, 88–116. doi:10.1016/j.acha.2015.07.007. arXiv:1410.6913.

[657] Labib, F., Clader, B. D., Stamatopoulos, N., and Zeng, W. J. (2024). Quantum amplitude estimation from classical signal processing. arXiv:2405.14697.

[658] Lähde, T. A., and Meißner, U.-G. (2019). *Nuclear lattice effective field theory: An introduction*. Vol. 957. Springer.

[659] Landahl, A. J., and Ryan-Anderson, C. (2014). Quantum computing by color-code lattice surgery. arXiv:1407.5103.

[660] Lao, L., van Wee, B., Ashraf, I., et al. (2018). Mapping of lattice surgery-based quantum circuits on surface code architectures. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **4**, 015005. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aadd1a. arXiv:1805.11127.

[661] Lapworth, L. (2022). A hybrid quantum-classical CFD methodology with benchmark HHL solutions. arXiv:2206.00419.

[662] Larocca, M., Czarnik, P., Sharma, K., et al. (2022). Diagnosing barren plateaus with tools from quantum optimal control. *Quantum*, **6**, 824. doi:10.22331/q-2022-09-29-824. arXiv:2105.14377.

[663] Larocca, M., Thanasilp, S., Wang, S., et al. (2024). A review of barren plateaus in variational quantum computing. arXiv:2405.00781.

[664] LaRose, R., and Coyle, B. (2020). Robust data encodings for quantum classifiers. *Phys. Rev. A*, **102**, 032420. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.102.032420. arXiv:2003.01695.

[665] Larson, M. G., and Bengzon, F. (2013). *The finite element method: Theory, implementation, and applications*. Vol. 10. Springer Science & Business Media. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-33287-6.

[666] LeBlanc, J. P. F., Antipov, A. E., Becca, F., et al. (2015). Solutions of the two-dimensional Hubbard model: Benchmarks and results from a wide range of numerical algorithms. *Phys. Rev. X*, **5**, 041041. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041041. arXiv:1505.02290.

[667] Lee, D. (2009). Lattice simulations for few- and many-body systems. *Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys.*, **63**, 117–154. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2008.12.001.

[668] Lee, J., Huggins, W. J., Head-Gordon, M., and Whaley, K. B. (2018). Generalized unitary coupled cluster wave functions for quantum computation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, **15**, 311–324. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.8b01004. arXiv:1810.02327.

[669] Lee, J., Berry, D. W., Gidney, C., et al. (2021). Even more efficient quantum computations of chemistry through tensor hypercontraction. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 030305. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.030305. arXiv:2011.03494.

[670] Lee, S., Lee, J., Zhai, H., et al. (2023). Evaluating the evidence for exponential quantum advantage in ground-state quantum chemistry. *Nat. Commun.*, **14**, 1952. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-37587-6. arXiv:2208.02199.

[671] Lee, Y. T., Sidford, A., and Wong, S. C.-W. (2015). A faster cutting plane method and its implications for combinatorial and convex optimization. Pages 1049–1065 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2015.68. arXiv:1508.04874.

[672] Lee, Y. T., Sidford, A., and Vempala, S. S. (2018). Efficient convex optimization with membership oracles. Pages 1292–1294 of: *COLT*. arXiv:1706.07357.

[673] Lemieux, J., Duclos-Cianci, G., Sénéchal, D., and Poulin, D. (2021). Resource estimate for quantum many-body ground-state preparation on a quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. A*, **103**, 052408. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.103.052408. arXiv:2006.04650.

[674] Leng, J., Zheng, Y., and Wu, X. (2023). A quantum-classical performance separation in nonconvex optimization. arXiv:2311.00811.

[675] Leng, J., Hickman, E., Li, J., and Wu, X. (2023). Quantum Hamiltonian descent. arXiv:2303.01471.

[676] Lenstra, A. K. (2006). Key lengths. In: *Handbook of information security*. https://infoscience.epfl.ch/handle/20.500.14299/65745, accessed: 2024-12-01.

[677] Leong, F. Y., Ewe, W.-B., and Koh, D. E. (2022). Variational quantum evolution equation solver. *Sci. Rep.*, **12**, 10817. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-14906-3. arXiv:2204.02912.

[678] Leverrier, A., and Zémor, G. (2022). Quantum Tanner codes. Pages 872–883 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS54457.2022.00117. arXiv:2202.13641.

[679] Leyton, S. K., and Osborne, T. J. (2008). A quantum algorithm to solve nonlinear differential equations. arXiv:0812.4423.

[680] Li, T., Chakrabarti, S., and Wu, X. (2019). Sublinear quantum algorithms for training linear and kernel-based classifiers. Pages 3815–3824 of: *ICML*, vol. 97. arXiv:1904.02276.

[681] Li, T., Wang, C., Chakrabarti, S., and Wu, X. 2021 (5). Sublinear classical and quantum algorithms for general matrix games. Pages 8465–8473 of: *AAAI*, vol. 35. doi:10.1609/aaai.v35i10.17028. arXiv:2012.06519.

[682] Li, X., Govind, N., Isborn, C., et al. (2020). Real-time time-dependent electronic structure theory. *Chem. Rev.*, **120**, 9951–9993. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00223.

[683] Li, X., Yin, X., Wiebe, N., et al. (2023). Potential quantum advantage for simulation of fluid dynamics. arXiv:2303.16550.

[684] Li, Y., and Benjamin, S. C. (2017). Efficient variational quantum simulator incorporating active error minimization. *Phys. Rev. X*, **7**, 021050. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.7.021050. arXiv:1611.09301.

[685] Li, Y., and Neufeld, A. (2023). Quantum Monte Carlo algorithm for solving Black–Scholes PDEs for high-dimensional option pricing in finance and its proof of overcoming the curse of dimensionality. arXiv:2301.09241.

[686] Lim, D., and Rebentrost, P. (2024). A quantum online portfolio optimization algorithm. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **23**, 63. doi:10.1007/s11128-024-04256-6. arXiv:2208.14749.

[687] Lin, L. (2022). Lecture notes on quantum algorithms for scientific computation. arXiv:2201.08309.

[688] Lin, L., and Tong, Y. (2020). Near-optimal ground state preparation. *Quantum*, **4**, 372. doi:10.22331/q-2020-12-14-372. arXiv:2002.12508.

[689] Lin, L., and Tong, Y. (2020). Optimal polynomial based quantum eigenstate filtering with application to solving quantum linear systems. *Quantum*, **4**, 361. doi:10.22331/q-2020-11-11-361. arXiv:1910.14596.

[690] Lin, L., and Tong, Y. (2022). Heisenberg-limited ground-state energy estimation for early fault-tolerant quantum computers. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 010318. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.010318. arXiv:2102.11340.

[691] Linden, N., and de Wolf, R. (2021). Average-case verification of the quantum Fourier transform enables worst-case phase estimation. arXiv:2109.10215.

[692] Linden, N., Montanaro, A., and Shao, C. (2022). Quantum vs. classical algorithms for solving the heat equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **395**, 601–641. doi:10.1007/s00220-022-04442-6. arXiv:2004.06516.

[693] Litinski, D. (2019). A game of surface codes: Large-scale quantum computing with lattice surgery. *Quantum*, **3**, 128. doi:10.22331/q-2019-03-05-128. arXiv:1808.02892.

[694] Litinski, D. (2019). Magic state distillation: Not as costly as you think. *Quantum*, **3**, 205. doi:10.22331/q-2019-12-02-205. arXiv:1905.06903.

[695] Litinski, D. (2023). How to compute a 256-bit elliptic curve private key with only 50 million Toffoli gates. arXiv:2306.08585.

[696] Litinski, D., and Nickerson, N. (2022). Active volume: An architecture for efficient fault-tolerant quantum computers with limited non-local connections. arXiv:2211.15465.

[697] Littlestone, N. (1988). Learning quickly when irrelevant attributes abound: A new linear-threshold algorithm. *Mach. Learn.*, **2**, 285–318. doi:10.1007/BF00116827.

[698] Liu, H., Ong, Y.-S., Shen, X., and Cai, J. (2020). When Gaussian process meets big data: A review of scalable GPs. *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, **31**, 4405–4423. doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2957109. arXiv:1807.01065.

[699] Liu, J., Li, Z., Zheng, H., et al. (2022). Towards a variational Jordan–Lee–Preskill quantum algorithm. *Mach. Learn.: Sci. Technol.*, **3**, 045030. doi:10.1088/2632-2153/aca06b. arXiv:2109.05547.

[700] Liu, J., Najafi, K., Sharma, K., et al. (2023). Analytic theory for the dynamics of wide quantum neural networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **130**, 150601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.150601. arXiv:2203.16711.

[701] Liu, J., Liu, M., Liu, J.-P., et al. (2024). Towards provably efficient quantum algorithms for large-scale machine-learning models. *Nat. Commun.*, **15**, 434. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-43957-x. arXiv:2303.03428.

[702] Liu, J.-P., Kolden, H. Ø., Krovi, H. K., et al. (2021). Efficient quantum algorithm for dissipative nonlinear differential equations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **118**, e2026805118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2026805118. arXiv:2011.03185.

[703] Liu, J.-P., An, D., Fang, D., et al. (2023). Efficient quantum algorithm for nonlinear reaction–diffusion equations and energy estimation. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **404**, 963–1020. doi:10.1007/s00220-023-04857-9. arXiv:2205.01141.

[704] Liu, Y., Arunachalam, S., and Temme, K. (2021). A rigorous and robust quantum speed-up in supervised machine learning. *Nat. Phys.*, **17**, 1013–1017. doi:10.1038/s41567-021-01287-z. arXiv:2010.02174.

[705] Lloyd, S. (1996). Universal quantum simulators. *Science*, **273**, 1073–1078. doi:10.1126/science.273.5278.1073.

[706] Lloyd, S. (2018). Quantum approximate optimization is computationally universal. arXiv:1812.11075.

[707] Lloyd, S., Mohseni, M., and Rebentrost, P. (2013). Quantum algorithms for supervised and unsupervised machine learning. arXiv:1307.0411.

[708] Lloyd, S., Mohseni, M., and Rebentrost, P. (2014). Quantum principal component analysis. *Nat. Phys.*, **10**, 631–633. doi:10.1038/nphys3029. arXiv:1307.0401.

[709] Lloyd, S., Garnerone, S., and Zanardi, P. (2016). Quantum algorithms for topological and geometric analysis of data. *Nat. Commun.*, **7**, 1–7. doi:10.1038/ncomms10138. arXiv:1408.3106.

[710] Lloyd, S., De Palma, G., Gokler, C., et al. (2020). Quantum algorithm for nonlinear differential equations. arXiv:2011.06571.

[711] Lloyd, S., Schuld, M., Ijaz, A., et al. (2020). Quantum embeddings for machine learning. arXiv:2001.03622.

[712] Loaiza, I., and Izmaylov, A. F. (2024). Majorana tensor decomposition: A unifying framework for decompositions of fermionic Hamiltonians to linear combination of unitaries. arXiv:2407.06571.

[713] Lopes, P. C. F., Pereira, A. M. B., Clua, E. W. G., and Leiderman, R. (2022). A GPU implementation of the PCG method for large-scale image-based finite element analysis in heterogeneous periodic media. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, **399**, 115276. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2022.115276.

[714] Low, G. H. (2019). Hamiltonian simulation with nearly optimal dependence on spectral norm. Pages 491–502 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3313276.3316386. arXiv:1807.03967.

[715] Low, G. H., and Chuang, I. L. (2017). Hamiltonian simulation by uniform spectral amplification. arXiv:1707.05391.

[716] Low, G. H., and Chuang, I. L. (2017). Optimal Hamiltonian simulation by quantum signal processing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **118**, 010501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.010501. arXiv:1606.02685.

[717] Low, G. H., and Chuang, I. L. (2019). Hamiltonian simulation by qubitization. *Quantum*, **3**, 163. doi:10.22331/q-2019-07-12-163. arXiv:1610.06546.

[718] Low, G. H., and Wiebe, N. (2018). Hamiltonian simulation in the interaction picture. arXiv:1805.00675.

[719] Low, G. H., Yoder, T. J., and Chuang, I. L. (2016). Methodology of resonant equiangular composite quantum gates. *Phys. Rev. X*, **6**, 041067. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041067. arXiv:1603.03996.

[720] Low, G. H., Kliuchnikov, V., and Wiebe, N. (2019). Well-conditioned multiproduct Hamiltonian simulation. arXiv:1907.11679.

[721] Low, G. H., Su, Y., Tong, Y., and Tran, M. C. (2023). Complexity of implementing Trotter steps. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 020323. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.020323. arXiv:2211.09133.

[722] Low, G. H., Kliuchnikov, V., and Schaeffer, L. (2024). Trading T gates for dirty qubits in state preparation and unitary synthesis. *Quantum*, **8**, 1375. doi:10.22331/q-2024-06-17-1375. arXiv:1812.00954.

[723] Lowe, A., and Nayak, A. (2022). Lower bounds for learning quantum states with single-copy measurements. arXiv:2207.14438.

[724] Lu, H.-H., Klco, N., Lukens, J. M., et al. (2019). Simulations of subatomic many-body physics on a quantum frequency processor. *Phys. Rev. A*, **100**, 012320. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.100.012320. arXiv:1810.03959.

[725] Lubasch, M., Joo, J., Moinier, P., et al. (2020). Variational quantum algorithms for nonlinear problems. *Phys. Rev. A*, **101**, 010301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.101.010301. arXiv:1907.09032.

[726] Lucas, A. (2014). Ising formulations of many NP problems. *Front. Phys.*, **2**. doi:10.3389/fphy.2014.00005. arXiv:1302.5843.

[727] Luo, J., and Li, L. (2024). Circuit complexity of sparse quantum state preparation. arXiv:2406.16142.

[728] Luo, Z., You, Y.-Z., Li, J., et al. (2019). Quantum simulation of the non-Fermi-liquid state of Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev model. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **5**, 53. doi:10.1038/s41534-019-0166-7. arXiv:1712.06458.

[729] Luongo, A. (2020). *Quantum algorithms for data analysis*. https://quantumalgorithms.org, accessed: 2024-11-19.

[730] Lusch, B., Kutz, J. N., and Brunton, S. L. (2018). Deep learning for universal linear embeddings of nonlinear dynamics. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 4950. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07210-0. arXiv:1712.09707.

[731] Macridin, A., Spentzouris, P., Amundson, J., and Harnik, R. (2018). Digital quantum computation of fermion–boson interacting systems. *Phys. Rev. A*, **98**, 042312. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.98.042312. arXiv:1805.09928.

[732] Macridin, A., Spentzouris, P., Amundson, J., and Harnik, R. (2018). Electron-phonon systems on a universal quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **121**, 110504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.110504. arXiv:1802.07347.

[733] Magniez, F., Nayak, A., Roland, J., and Santha, M. (2011). Search via quantum walk. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **40**, 142–164. doi:10.1137/090745854. Earlier version in *STOC'07*. arXiv:quant-ph/0608026.

[734] Magnifico, G., Felser, T., Silvi, P., and Montangero, S. (2021). Lattice quantum electrodynamics in (3+1)-dimensions at finite density with tensor networks. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 3600. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23646-3. arXiv:2011.10658.

[735] Magnifico, G., Cataldi, G., Rigobello, M., et al. (2024). Tensor networks for lattice gauge theories beyond one dimension: A roadmap. arXiv:2407.03058.

[736] Mande, N. S., and de Wolf, R. (2023). Tight bounds for quantum phase estimation and related problems. Pages 81:1–81:16 of: *ESA*, vol. 274. doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.ESA.2023.81. arXiv:2305.04908.

[737] Manin, Y. I. (2007). *Mathematics as metaphor: Selected essays of Yuri I. Manin*. Vol. 20. American Mathematical Society.

[738] Manrique, D. Z., Khan, I. T., Yamamoto, K., et al. (2020). Momentum-space unitary coupled cluster and translational quantum subspace expansion for periodic systems on quantum computers. arXiv:2008.08694.

[739] Marino, R., Parisi, G., and Ricci-Tersenghi, F. (2016). The backtracking survey propagation algorithm for solving random k-SAT problems. *Nat. Commun.*, **7**, 12996. doi:10.1038/ncomms12996. arXiv:1508.05117.

[740] Maris, P., Vary, J. P., Navrátil, P., et al. (2011). Origin of the anomalous long lifetime of ^{14}C. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **106**, 202502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.202502. arXiv:1101.5124.

[741] Markov, I. L., and Shi, Y. (2008). Simulating quantum computation by contracting tensor networks. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **38**, 963–981. doi:10.1137/050644756. arXiv:quant-ph/0511069.

[742] Marrero, C. O., Kieferová, M., and Wiebe, N. (2021). Entanglement-induced barren plateaus. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 040316. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040316. arXiv:2010.15968.

[743] Martiel, S., and Remaud, M. (2020). Practical implementation of a quantum backtracking algorithm. Pages 597–606 of: *SOFSEM*. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-38919-2_49. arXiv:1908.11291.

[744] Martyn, J. M., Rossi, Z. M., Tan, A. K., and Chuang, I. L. (2021). Grand unification of quantum algorithms. *Phys. Rev. X*, **2**, 040203. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040203. arXiv:2105.02859.

[745] Marx, D., and Hutter, J. (2009). *Ab initio molecular dynamics: Basic theory and advanced methods*. Cambridge University Press.

[746] Maskara, N., Kubica, A., and Jochym-O'Connor, T. (2019). Advantages of versatile neural-network decoding for topological codes. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 052351. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.052351. arXiv:1802.08680.

[747] Mathis, S. V., Mazzola, G., and Tavernelli, I. (2020). Toward scalable simulations of lattice gauge theories on quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. D*, **102**, 094501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.094501. arXiv:2005.10271.

[748] Matsuzawa, Y., and Kurashige, Y. (2020). Jastrow-type decomposition in quantum chemistry for low-depth quantum circuits. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, **16**, 944–952. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00963. arXiv:1909.12410.

[749] McArdle, S. (2021). Learning from physics experiments with quantum computers: Applications in muon spectroscopy. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 020349. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.020349. arXiv:2012.06602.

[750] McArdle, S., Mayorov, A., Shan, X., et al. (2019). Digital quantum simulation of molecular vibrations. *Chem. Sci.*, **10**, 5725–5735. doi:10.1039/C9SC01313J. arXiv:1811.04069.

[751] McArdle, S., Jones, T., Endo, S., et al. (2019). Variational ansatz-based quantum simulation of imaginary time evolution. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **5**, 75. doi:10.1038/s41534-019-0187-2. arXiv:1804.03023.

[752] McArdle, S., Endo, S., Aspuru-Guzik, A., et al. (2020). Quantum computational chemistry. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **92**, 015003. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.92.015003. arXiv:1808.10402.

[753] McArdle, S., Campbell, E., and Su, Y. (2022). Exploiting fermion number in factorized decompositions of the electronic structure Hamiltonian. *Phys. Rev. A*, **105**, 012403. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.105.012403. arXiv:2107.07238.

[754] McArdle, S., Gilyén, A., and Berta, M. (2022). Quantum state preparation without coherent arithmetic. arXiv:2210.14892.

[755] McArdle, S., Gilyén, A., and Berta, M. (2022). A streamlined quantum algorithm for topological data analysis with exponentially fewer qubits. arXiv:2209.12887.

[756] McClean, J. R., Boixo, S., Smelyanskiy, V. N., et al. (2018). Barren plateaus in quantum neural network training landscapes. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 1–6. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07090-4. arXiv:1803.11173.

[757] McKeever, C., and Lubasch, M. (2023). Classically optimized Hamiltonian simulation. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **5**, 023146. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.5.023146. arXiv:2205.11427.

[758] McLachlan, A. D. (1964). A variational solution of the time-dependent Schrodinger equation. *Mol. Phys.*, **8**, 39–44. doi:10.1080/00268976400100041.

[759] Meister, R., Benjamin, S. C., and Campbell, E. T. (2022). Tailoring term truncations for electronic structure calculations using a linear combination of unitaries. *Quantum*, **6**, 637. doi:10.22331/q-2022-02-02-637. arXiv:2007.11624.

[760] Merton, R. C. (1972). An analytic derivation of the efficient portfolio frontier. *J. Financial Quant. Anal.*, **7**, 1851–1872. doi:10.2307/2329621.

[761] Meurice, Y., Sakai, R., and Unmuth-Yockey, J. (2022). Tensor lattice field theory for renormalization and quantum computing. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **94**, 025005. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.94.025005. arXiv:2010.06539.

[762] Mi, X., Michailidis, A. A., Shabani, S., et al. (2024). Stable quantum-correlated many-body states through engineered dissipation. *Science*, **383**, 1332–1337. doi:10.1126/science.adh9932. arXiv:2304.13878.

[763] Miller, V. S. (1986). Use of elliptic curves in cryptography. Pages 417–426 of: *CRYPTO*. doi:10.1007/3-540-39799-X_31.

[764] Milosavljević, N., Morozov, D., and Skraba, P. (2011). Zigzag persistent homology in matrix multiplication time. Pages 216–225 of: *SoCG*. doi:10.1145/1998196.1998229.

[765] Mischaikow, K., and Nanda, V. (2013). Morse theory for filtrations and efficient computation of persistent homology. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **50**, 330–353. doi:10.1007/s00454-013-9529-6.

[766] Mitarai, K., Negoro, M., Kitagawa, M., and Fujii, K. (2018). Quantum circuit learning. *Phys. Rev. A*, **98**, 032309. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032309. arXiv:1803.00745.

[767] Miyamoto, K., and Kubo, K. (2021). Pricing multi-asset derivatives by finite-difference method on a quantum computer. *IEEE Trans. Quantum Eng.*, **3**, 1–25. doi:10.1109/TQE.2021.3128643. arXiv:2109.12896.

[768] Miyamoto, K., and Shiohara, K. (2020). Reduction of qubits in a quantum algorithm for Monte Carlo simulation by a pseudo-random-number generator. *Phys. Rev. A*, **102**, 022424. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.102.022424. arXiv:1911.12469.

[769] Mizuta, K. (2023). Optimal/nearly-optimal simulation of multi-periodic time-dependent Hamiltonians. arXiv:2301.06232.

[770] Mizuta, K., and Fujii, K. (2023). Optimal Hamiltonian simulation for time-periodic systems. *Quantum*, **7**, 962. doi:10.22331/q-2023-03-28-962. arXiv:2209.05048.

[771] Mohammadisiahroudi, M., Fakhimi, R., and Terlaky, T. (2022). Efficient use of quantum linear system algorithms in interior point methods for linear optimization. arXiv:2205.01220.

[772] Mohammadisiahroudi, M., Wu, Z., Augustino, B., et al. (2022). Quantum-enhanced regression analysis using state-of-the-art QLSAs and QIPMs. Pages 375–380 of: *SEC*. doi:10.1109/SEC54971.2022.00055.

[773] Montanaro, A. (2015). Quantum speedup of Monte Carlo methods. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **471**. doi:10.1098/rspa.2015.0301. arXiv:1504.06987.

[774] Montanaro, A. (2016). Quantum algorithms: An overview. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **2**, 15023. doi:10.1038/npjqi.2015.23. arXiv:1511.04206.

[775] Montanaro, A. (2018). Quantum-walk speedup of backtracking algorithms. *Theory Comput.*, **14**, 1–24. doi:10.4086/toc.2018.v014a015. arXiv:1509.02374.

[776] Montanaro, A. (2020). Quantum speedup of branch-and-bound algorithms. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **2**, 013056. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013056. arXiv:1906.10375.

[777] Montanaro, A., and Pallister, S. (2016). Quantum algorithms and the finite element method. *Phys. Rev. A*, **93**, 032324. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.93.032324. arXiv:1512.05903.

[778] Monteiro, R. D., and Tsuchiya, T. (2000). Polynomial convergence of primal-dual algorithms for the second-order cone program based on the MZ-family of directions. *Math. Program.*, **88**, 61–83. doi:10.1007/PL00011378.

[779] Moradi, S., Trad, D., and Innanen, K. A. (2018). Quantum computing in geophysics: Algorithms, computational costs, and future applications. In: *2018 SEG International Exposition and Annual Meeting*. doi:10.1190/segam2018-2998507.1.

[780] Morales, M. E., Biamonte, J., and Zimborás, Z. (2020). On the universality of the quantum approximate optimization algorithm. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **19**, 1–26. doi:10.1007/s11128-020-02748-9. arXiv:1909.03123.

[781] MOSEK ApS. (2023). *MOSEK portfolio optimization cookbook: Release 1.3.0*. https://docs.mosek.com/MOSEKPortfolioCookbook-a4paper.pdf, accessed: 2023-10-04.

[782] Motlagh, D., and Wiebe, N. (2024). Generalized quantum signal processing. *PRX Quantum*, **5**, 020368. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.020368. arXiv:2308.01501.

[783] Motta, M., and Rice, J. E. (2022). Emerging quantum computing algorithms for quantum chemistry. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.*, **12**, e1580. doi:10.1002/wcms.1580. arXiv:2109.02873.

[784] Motta, M., Ceperley, D. M., Chan, G. K.-L., et al. (2017). Towards the solution of the many-electron problem in real materials: Equation of state of the hydrogen chain with state-of-the-art many-body methods. *Phys. Rev. X*, **7**, 031059. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031059. arXiv:1705.01608.

[785] Motta, M., Genovese, C., Ma, F., et al. (2020). Ground-state properties of the hydrogen chain: Dimerization, insulator-to-metal transition, and magnetic phases. *Phys. Rev. X*, **10**, 031058. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.10.031058. arXiv:1911.01618.

[786] Motta, M., Ye, E., McClean, J. R., et al. (2021). Low rank representations for quantum simulation of electronic structure. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **7**, 1–7. doi:10.1038/s41534-021-00416-z. arXiv:1808.02625.

[787] Mottonen, M., and Vartiainen, J. J. (2005). Decompositions of general quantum gates. arXiv:quant-ph/0504100.

[788] Moussa, J. E. (2016). Transversal Clifford gates on folded surface codes. *Phys. Rev. A*, **94**, 042316. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.94.042316. arXiv:1603.02286.

[789] Moylett, A. E., Linden, N., and Montanaro, A. (2017). Quantum speedup of the traveling-salesman problem for bounded-degree graphs. *Phys. Rev. A*, **95**, 032323. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.95.032323. arXiv:1612.06203.

[790] Mugel, S., Kuchkovsky, C., Sanchez, E., et al. (2022). Dynamic portfolio optimization with real datasets using quantum processors and quantum-inspired tensor networks. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **4**, 013006. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013006. arXiv:2007.00017.

[791] Muñoz-Coreas, E., and Thapliyal, H. (2018). T-count and qubit optimized quantum circuit design of the non-restoring square root algorithm.

ACM J. Emerg. Technol. Comput. Syst., **14**, 1–15. doi:10.1145/3264816. arXiv:1712.08254.

[792] Nagaj, D., Wocjan, P., and Zhang, Y. (2009). Fast amplification of QMA. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **9**, 1053–1068. doi:10.26421/QIC9.11-12. arXiv:0904.1549.

[793] Nagata, K. (2022). Finite-density lattice QCD and sign problem: Current status and open problems. *Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys.*, **127**, 103991. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2022.103991. arXiv:2108.12423.

[794] Nakaji, K., Bagherimehrab, M., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2023). qSWIFT: High-order randomized compiler for Hamiltonian simulation. arXiv:2302.14811.

[795] Nam, Y., Su, Y., and Maslov, D. (2020). Approximate quantum Fourier transform with $o(n \log(n))$ T gates. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **6**, 26. doi:10.1038/s41534-020-0257-5. arXiv:1803.04933.

[796] Navrátil, P., and Quaglioni, S. (2022). Ab initio nuclear reaction theory with applications to astrophysics. Pages 1–46 of: *Handbook of nuclear physics*. doi:10.1007/978-981-15-8818-1_7-1. arXiv:2204.01187.

[797] Nemirovski, A. S., and Todd, M. J. (2008). Interior-point methods for optimization. *Acta Numer.*, **17**, 191–234. doi:10.1017/S0962492906370018.

[798] Nesterov, Y. (2018). *Lectures on convex optimization*. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-91578-4.

[799] Nezami, S., Lin, H. W., Brown, A. R., et al. (2023). Quantum gravity in the lab. II. Teleportation by size and traversable wormholes. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 010321. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.010321. arXiv:2102.01064.

[800] Nguyen, N., Watts, T. W., Link, B., et al. (2024). Quantum computing for corrosion-resistant materials and anti-corrosive coatings design. arXiv:2406.18759.

[801] Nielsen, M. A., and Chuang, I. L. (2000). *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511976667.

[802] Niroula, P., Shaydulin, R., Yalovetzky, R., et al. (2022). Constrained quantum optimization for extractive summarization on a trapped-ion quantum computer. *Sci. Rep.*, **12**, 1–14. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-20853-w. arXiv:2206.06290.

[803] Novikau, I., Startsev, E. A., and Dodin, I. Y. (2022). Quantum signal processing for simulating cold plasma waves. *Phys. Rev. A*, **105**, 062444. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.105.062444. arXiv:2112.06086.

[804] Novo, L., and Berry, D. W. (2017). Improved Hamiltonian simulation via a truncated Taylor series and corrections. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **17**, 623–635. doi:10.26421/QIC17.7-8-5. arXiv:1611.10033.

[805] O'Brien, T. E., Streif, M., Rubin, N. C., et al. (2022). Efficient quantum computation of molecular forces and other energy gradients. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **4**, 043210. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.043210. arXiv:2111.12437.

[806] O'Brien, T. E., Ioffe, L. B., Su, Y., et al. (2022). Quantum computation of molecular structure using data from challenging-to-classically-simulate nuclear magnetic resonance experiments. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 030345. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.030345. arXiv:2109.02163.

[807] O'Donnell, R., and Wright, J. (2016). Efficient quantum tomography. Pages 899–912 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/2897518.2897544. arXiv:1508.01907.

[808] O'Gorman, B., Irani, S., Whitfield, J., and Fefferman, B. (2022). Intractability of electronic structure in a fixed basis. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 020322. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.020322. arXiv:2103.08215.

[809] O'Gorman, J., and Campbell, E. T. (2017). Quantum computation with realistic magic-state factories. *Phys. Rev. A*, **95**, 032338. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.95.032338. arXiv:1605.07197.

[810] Oh, C., Liu, M., Alexeev, Y., et al. (2024). Classical algorithm for simulating experimental Gaussian boson sampling. *Nat. Phys.*, **20**, 1461–1468. doi:10.1038/s41567-024-02535-8. arXiv:2306.03709.

[811] Oh, C., Lim, Y., Wong, Y., et al. (2024). Quantum-inspired classical algorithms for molecular vibronic spectra. *Nat. Phys.*, **20**, 225–231. doi:10.1038/s41567-023-02308-9. arXiv:2202.01861.

[812] Oka, T., and Kitamura, S. (2019). Floquet engineering of quantum materials. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.*, **10**, 387–408. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031218-013423. arXiv:1804.03212.

[813] Ollitrault, P. J., Baiardi, A., Reiher, M., and Tavernelli, I. (2020). Hardware efficient quantum algorithms for vibrational structure calculations. *Chem. Sci.*, **11**, 6842–6855. doi:10.1039/D0SC01908A. arXiv:2003.12578.

[814] Orsucci, D., and Dunjko, V. (2021). On solving classes of positive-definite quantum linear systems with quadratically improved runtime in the condition number. *Quantum*, **5**, 573. doi:10.22331/q-2021-11-08-573. arXiv:2101.11868.

[815] Orús, R. (2019). Tensor networks for complex quantum systems. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **1**, 538–550. doi:10.1038/s42254-019-0086-7. arXiv:1812.04011.

[816] Orús, R., and Vidal, G. (2009). Simulation of two-dimensional quantum systems on an infinite lattice revisited: Corner transfer matrix for tensor contraction. *Phys. Rev. B*, **80**, 094403. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.80.094403. arXiv:0905.3225.

[817] Otgonbaatar, S., and Kranzlmüller, D. 2023 (7). Quantum-inspired tensor network for earth science. Pages 788–791 of: *IGARSS*. doi:10.1109/IGARSS52108.2023.10282577. arXiv:2301.07528.

[818] Otten, M., Watts, T. W., Johnson, S. D., et al. (2024). Quantum resources required for binding affinity calculations of amyloid beta. arXiv:2406.18744.

[819] Otter, N., Porter, M. A., Tillmann, U., et al. (2017). A roadmap for the computation of persistent homology. *EPJ Data Sci.*, **6**, 1–38. doi:10.1140/epjds/s13688-017-0109-5. arXiv:1506.08903.

[820] Ouyang, Y., White, D. R., and Campbell, E. T. (2020). Compilation by stochastic Hamiltonian sparsification. *Quantum*, **4**, 235. doi:10.22331/q-2020-02-27-235. arXiv:1910.06255.

[821] Oz, F., Vuppala, R. K., Kara, K., and Gaitan, F. (2022). Solving Burgers' equation with quantum computing. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **21**, 1–13. doi:10.1007/s11128-021-03391-8.

[822] Ozols, M., Roetteler, M., and Roland, J. (2013). Quantum rejection sampling. *ACM Trans. Comput. Theory*, **5**. doi:10.1145/2493252.2493256. arXiv:1103.2774.

[823] Paini, M., and Kalev, A. (2019). An approximate description of quantum states. arXiv:1910.10543.

[824] Palmer, S., Sahin, S., Hernandez, R., et al. (2021). Quantum portfolio optimization with investment bands and target volatility. arXiv:2106.06735.

[825] Palmer, S., Karagiannis, K., Florence, A., et al. (2022). Financial index tracking via quantum computing with cardinality constraints. arXiv:2208.11380.

[826] Pan, F., and Zhang, P. (2021). Simulating the sycamore quantum supremacy circuits. arXiv:2103.03074.

[827] Panteleev, P., and Kalachev, G. (2022). Asymptotically good quantum and locally testable classical LDPC codes. Pages 375–388 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3519935.3520017. arXiv:2111.03654.

[828] Park, J. W., Al-Saadon, R., MacLeod, M. K., et al. (2020). Multireference electron correlation methods: Journeys along potential energy surfaces. *Chem. Rev.*, **120**, 5878–5909. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00496. arXiv:1911.06836.

[829] Patel, D., Tan, S. J. S., Subaşı, Y., and Sornborger, A. T. (2024). Optimal coherent quantum phase estimation via tapering. arXiv:2403.18927.

[830] Patel, R., Hsing, C.-W., Sahin, S., et al. (2022). Quantum-inspired tensor neural networks for partial differential equations. arXiv:2208.02235.

[831] Peikert, C. (2020). He gives c-sieves on the CSIDH. Pages 463–492 of: *EUROCRYPT*. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-45724-2_16. ePrint:2019/725.

[832] Penuel, J., Katabarwa, A., Johnson, P. D., et al. (2024). Feasibility of accelerating incompressible computational fluid dynamics simulations with fault-tolerant quantum computers. arXiv:2406.06323.

[833] Peruzzo, A., McClean, J., Shadbolt, P., et al. (2014). A variational eigenvalue solver on a photonic quantum processor. *Nat. Commun.*, **5**. doi:10.1038/ncomms5213. arXiv:1304.3061.

[834] Pirandola, S., Andersen, U. L., Banchi, L., et al. (2020). Advances in quantum cryptography. *Adv. Opt. Photon.*, **12**, 1012–1236. doi:10.1364/AOP.361502. arXiv:1906.01645.

[835] Plesch, M., and Brukner, i. c. v. (2011). Quantum-state preparation with universal gate decompositions. *Phys. Rev. A*, **83**, 032302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.83.032302. arXiv:1003.5760.

[836] Poggi, P. M. (2020). Analysis of lower bounds for quantum control times and their relation to the quantum speed limit. arXiv:2002.11147.

[837] Polkovnikov, A., Sengupta, K., Silva, A., and Vengalattore, M. (2011). Colloquium: Nonequilibrium dynamics of closed interacting quantum systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **83**, 863–883. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.863. arXiv:1007.5331.

[838] Poulin, D., and Wocjan, P. (2009). Sampling from the thermal quantum Gibbs state and evaluating partition functions with a quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **103**, 220502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.220502. arXiv:0905.2199.

[839] Poulin, D., Qarry, A., Somma, R., and Verstraete, F. (2011). Quantum simulation of time-dependent Hamiltonians and the convenient illusion of Hilbert space. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **106**, 170501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.170501. arXiv:1102.1360.

[840] Poulin, D., Hastings, M. B., Wecker, D., et al. (2015). The Trotter step size required for accurate quantum simulation of quantum chemistry. *Quantum Info. Comput.*, **15**, 361–384. doi:10.26421/QIC15.5-6-1. arXiv:1406.4920.

[841] Poulin, D., Kitaev, A., Steiger, D. S., et al. (2018). Quantum algorithm for spectral measurement with a lower gate count. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **121**, 010501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.010501. arXiv:1711.11025.

[842] Poulsen Nautrup, H., Friis, N., and Briegel, H. J. (2017). Fault-tolerant interface between quantum memories and quantum processors. *Nat. Commun.*, **8**. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01418-2. arXiv:1609.08062.

[843] Preskill, J. (2018). Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond. *Quantum*, **2**, 79. doi:10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79. arXiv:1801.00862.

[844] Preskill, J. (2019). Simulating quantum field theory with a quantum computer. doi:10.22323/1.334.0024. arXiv:1811.10085.

[845] Preskill, J. (2022). *Course information for physics 219/computer science 219 quantum computation (formerly physics 229)*. http://theory.caltech.edu/~preskill/ph229/, accessed: 2024-11-19.

[846] Proos, J., and Zalka, C. (2003). Shor's discrete logarithm quantum algorithm for elliptic curves. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **3**, 317–344. arXiv:0301141.

[847] Qin, M., Schafer, T., Andergassen, S., et al. (2022). The Hubbard model: A computational perspective. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.*, **13**, 275–302. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-090921-033948. arXiv:2104.00064.

[848] Ragavan, S. (2024). Regev factoring beyond Fibonacci: Optimizing prefactors. ePrint:2024/636.

[849] Ragavan, S., and Vaikuntanathan, V. (2024). Space-efficient and noise-robust quantum factoring. arXiv:2310.00899.

[850] Ragone, M., Bakalov, B. N., Sauvage, F., et al. (2023). A unified theory of barren plateaus for deep parametrized quantum circuits. arXiv:2309.09342.

[851] Rahmani, A., and Franz, M. (2019). Interacting Majorana fermions. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **82**, 084501. doi:10.1088/1361-6633/ab28ef. arXiv:1811.02593.

[852] Rajput, A., Roggero, A., and Wiebe, N. (2022). Hybridized methods for quantum simulation in the interaction picture. *Quantum*, **6**, 780. doi:10.22331/q-2022-08-17-780. arXiv:2109.03308.

[853] Rall, P. (2020). Quantum algorithms for estimating physical quantities using block encodings. *Phys. Rev. A*, **102**, 022408. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.102.022408. arXiv:2004.06832.

[854] Rall, P. (2021). Faster coherent quantum algorithms for phase, energy, and amplitude estimation. *Quantum*, **5**, 566. doi:10.22331/q-2021-10-19-566. arXiv:2103.09717.

[855] Rall, P., and Fuller, B. (2023). Amplitude estimation from quantum signal processing. *Quantum*, **7**, 937. doi:10.22331/q-2023-03-02-937. arXiv:2207.08628.

[856] Rall, P., Wang, C., and Wocjan, P. (2023). Thermal state preparation via rounding promises. *Quantum*, **7**, 1132. doi:10.22331/q-2023-10-10-1132. arXiv:2210.01670.

[857] Ramos-Calderer, S., Pérez-Salinas, A., García-Martín, D., et al. (2021). Quantum unary approach to option pricing. *Phys. Rev. A*, **103**, 032414. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.103.032414. arXiv:1912.01618.

[858] Rasmussen, C. E., and Williams, C. K. I. (2005). *Gaussian processes for machine learning*. The MIT Press. doi:10.7551/mitpress/3206.001.0001.

[859] Rattew, A. G., and Koczor, B. (2022). Preparing arbitrary continuous functions in quantum registers with logarithmic complexity. arXiv:2205.00519.

[860] Rattew, A. G., Sun, Y., Minssen, P., and Pistoia, M. (2021). The efficient preparation of normal distributions in quantum registers. *Quantum*, **5**, 609. doi:10.22331/q-2021-12-23-609. arXiv:2009.06601.

[861] Raussendorf, R. (2012). Key ideas in quantum error correction. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A*, **370**, 4541–4565. doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0494.

[862] Raussendorf, R., and Harrington, J. (2007). Fault-tolerant quantum computation with high threshold in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98**, 190504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.190504. arXiv:quant-ph/0610082.

[863] Raussendorf, R., Harrington, J., and Goyal, K. (2007). Topological fault-tolerance in cluster state quantum computation. *New J. Phys.*, **9**, 199. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/9/6/199. arXiv:quant-ph/0703143.

[864] Raychowdhury, I., and Stryker, J. R. (2020). Solving Gauss's law on digital quantum computers with loop-string-hadron digitization. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **2**, 033039. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.033039. arXiv:1812.07554.

[865] Rebentrost, P., and Lloyd, S. (2018). Quantum computational finance: Quantum algorithm for portfolio optimization. arXiv:1811.03975.

[866] Rebentrost, P., Mohseni, M., and Lloyd, S. (2014). Quantum support vector machine for big data classification. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **113**, 130503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.130503. arXiv:1307.0471.

[867] Rebentrost, P., Gupt, B., and Bromley, T. R. (2018). Quantum computational finance: Monte Carlo pricing of financial derivatives. *Phys. Rev. A*, **98**, 022321. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.98.022321. arXiv:1805.00109.

[868] Rebentrost, P., Luongo, A., Bosch, S., and Lloyd, S. (2022). Quantum computational finance: Martingale asset pricing for incomplete markets. arXiv:2209.08867.

[869] Regev, O. (2023). An efficient quantum factoring algorithm. arXiv:2308.06572.

[870] Reiher, M., Wiebe, N., Svore, K. M., et al. (2017). Elucidating reaction mechanisms on quantum computers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **114**, 7555–7560. doi:10.1073/pnas.1619152114. arXiv:1605.03590.

[871] Reiner, J. M., Zanker, S., Schwenk, I., et al. (2018). Effects of gate errors in digital quantum simulations of fermionic systems. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **3**. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aad5ba. arXiv:1804.06668.

[872] Reiner, J. M., Wilhelm-Mauch, F., Schön, G., and Marthaler, M. (2019). Finding the ground state of the Hubbard model by variational methods on a quantum computer with gate errors. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **4**. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/ab1e85. arXiv:1811.04476.

[873] Rhodes, M., Kreshchuk, M., and Pathak, S. (2024). Exponential improvements in the simulation of lattice gauge theories using near-optimal techniques. arXiv:2405.10416.

[874] Richard, E., and Montanari, A. (2014). A statistical model for tensor PCA. In: *NeurIPS*. arXiv:1411.1076.

[875] Rivest, R. L., Shamir, A., and Adleman, L. (1978). A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. *Commun. ACM*, **21**, 120–126. doi:10.1145/359340.359342.

[876] Roetteler, M., Naehrig, M., Svore, K. M., and Lauter, K. (2017). Quantum resource estimates for computing elliptic curve discrete logarithms. Pages 241–270 of: *ASIACRYPT*. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-70697-9_9. arXiv:1706.06752.

[877] Roggero, A., Li, A. C. Y., Carlson, J., et al. (2020). Quantum computing for neutrino-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rev. D*, **101**, 074038. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.074038. arXiv:1911.06368.

[878] Romero, J., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2021). Variational quantum generators: Generative adversarial quantum machine learning for continuous distributions. *Adv. Quantum Technol.*, **4**, 2000003. doi:10.1002/qute.202000003. arXiv:1901.00848.

[879] Romero, J., Olson, J. P., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2017). Quantum autoencoders for efficient compression of quantum data. *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, **2**, 045001. doi:10.1088/2058-9565/aa8072. arXiv:1612.02806.

[880] Rosenberg, E., Andersen, T. I., Samajdar, R., et al. (2024). Dynamics of magnetization at infinite temperature in a Heisenberg spin chain. *Science*, **384**, 48–53. doi:10.1126/science.adi7877. arXiv:2306.09333.

[881] Rosenberg, G., Haghnegahdar, P., Goddard, P., et al. (2016). Solving the optimal trading trajectory problem using a quantum annealer. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, **10**, 1053–1060. doi:10.1109/JSTSP.2016.2574703. Earlier version in *WHPCF'15*. arXiv:1508.06182.

[882] Rosenhaus, V. (2019). An introduction to the SYK model. *J. Phys. A*, **52**, 323001. doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ab2ce1. arXiv:1807.03334.

[883] Rosenthal, G. (2021). Query and depth upper bounds for quantum unitaries via Grover search. arXiv:2111.07992.

[884] Ross, N. J., and Selinger, P. (2016). Optimal ancilla-free Clifford+T approximation of z-rotations. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **16**, 901–953. doi:10.26421/QIC16.11-12-1. arXiv:1403.2975.

[885] Rossi, M., Asproni, L., Caputo, D., et al. (2022). Using Shor's algorithm on near term quantum computers: A reduced version. *Quantum Mach. Intell.*, **4**, 18. doi:10.1007/s42484-022-00072-2. arXiv:2112.12647.

[886] Rubin, N. C., Berry, D. W., Malone, F. D., et al. (2023). Fault-tolerant quantum simulation of materials using Bloch orbitals. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 040303. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040303. arXiv:2302.05531.

[887] Rubin, N. C., Berry, D. W., Kononov, A., et al. (2024). Quantum computation of stopping power for inertial fusion target design. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **121**, e2317772121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2317772121. arXiv:2308.12352.

[888] Saad, Y. (2003). *Iterative methods for sparse linear systems*. 2nd edn. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. doi:10.1137/1.9780898718003.

[889] Sachdev, S., and Ye, J. (1993). Gapless spin-fluid ground state in a random quantum Heisenberg magnet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **70**, 3339–3342. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.3339. arXiv:cond-mat/9212030.

[890] Saffman, M., Walker, T. G., and Mølmer, K. (2010). Quantum information with Rydberg atoms. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **82**, 2313–2363. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.82.2313. arXiv:0909.4777.

[891] Şahinoğlu, B., and Somma, R. D. (2021). Hamiltonian simulation in the low-energy subspace. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **7**. doi:10.1038/s41534-021-00451-w. arXiv:2006.02660.

[892] Sakamoto, K., Morisaki, H., Haruna, J., et al. (2024). End-to-end complexity for simulating the Schwinger model on quantum computers. *Quantum*, **8**, 1474. doi:10.22331/q-2024-09-17-1474. arXiv:2311.17388.

[893] Salakhutdinov, R., and Larochelle, H. (2010). Efficient learning of deep Boltzmann machines. Pages 693–700 of: *AISTATS*.

[894] Sanders, Y. R., Low, G. H., Scherer, A., and Berry, D. W. (2019). Black-box quantum state preparation without arithmetic. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **122**, 020502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.020502. arXiv:1807.03206.

[895] Sanders, Y. R., Berry, D. W., Costa, P. C., et al. (2020). Compilation of fault-tolerant quantum heuristics for combinatorial optimization. *PRX Quantum*, **1**, 020312. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.1.020312. arXiv:2007.07391.

[896] Santagati, R., Aspuru-Guzik, A., Babbush, R., et al. (2024). Drug design on quantum computers. *Nat. Phys.*, **20**, 549–557. doi:10.1038/s41567-024-02411-5. arXiv:2301.04114.

[897] Sawaya, N. P., Menke, T., Kyaw, T. H., et al. (2020). Resource-efficient digital quantum simulation of d-level systems for photonic, vibrational, and spin-s Hamiltonians. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **6**, 1–13. doi:10.1038/s41534-020-0278-0. arXiv:1909.12847.

[898] Sawaya, N. P. D., and Huh, J. (2019). Quantum algorithm for calculating molecular vibronic spectra. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, **10**, 3586–3591. doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b01117. arXiv:1812.10495.

[899] Sawaya, N. P. D., Paesani, F., and Tabor, D. P. (2021). Near- and long-term quantum algorithmic approaches for vibrational spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. A*, **104**, 062419. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.104.062419. arXiv:2009.05066.

[900] Schäfer, T., Wentzell, N., Šimkovic, F., et al. (2021). Tracking the footprints of spin fluctuations: A multimethod, multimessenger study of the two-dimensional Hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. X*, **11**, 011058. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.11.011058. arXiv:2006.10769.

[901] Schatzki, L., Larocca, M., Nguyen, Q. T., et al. (2024). Theoretical guarantees for permutation-equivariant quantum neural networks. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **10**, 12. doi:10.1038/s41534-024-00804-1. arXiv:2210.09974.

[902] Scherer, A., Valiron, B., Mau, S.-C., et al. (2017). Concrete resource analysis of the quantum linear-system algorithm used to compute the electromagnetic scattering cross section of a 2D target. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **16**, 1–65. doi:10.1007/s11128-016-1495-5. arXiv:1505.06552.

[903] Schmidhuber, A., and Lloyd, S. (2023). Complexity-theoretic limitations on quantum algorithms for topological data analysis. *PRX Quantum*, **4**, 040349. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.040349. arXiv:2209.14286.

[904] Schmidhuber, A., O'Donnell, R., Kothari, R., and Babbush, R. (2024). Quartic quantum speedups for planted inference. arXiv:2406.19378.

[905] Schölkopf, B., Herbrich, R., and Smola, A. J. (2001). A generalized representer theorem. Pages 416–426 of: *COLT*. doi:10.1007/3-540-44581-1_27.

[906] Scholl, P., Schuler, M., Williams, H. J., et al. (2021). Quantum simulation of 2D antiferromagnets with hundreds of Rydberg atoms. *Nature*, **595**, 233–238. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03585-1. arXiv:2012.12268.

[907] Schollwöck, U. (2011). The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states. *Ann. Phys.*, **326**, 96–192. doi:10.1016/j.aop.2010.09.012. arXiv:1008.3477.

[908] Schöning, U. (1999). A probabilistic algorithm for k-SAT and constraint satisfaction problems. Pages 410–414 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/SFFCS.1999.814612.

[909] Schubert, A., and Mendl, C. B. (2023). Trotter error with commutator scaling for the Fermi–Hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. B*, **108**, 195105. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.108.195105. arXiv:2306.10603.

[910] Schuch, N., and Verstraete, F. (2009). Computational complexity of interacting electrons and fundamental limitations of density functional theory. *Nat. Phys.*, **5**, 732–735. doi:10.1038/nphys1370. arXiv:0712.0483.

[911] Schuch, N., Wolf, M. M., Verstraete, F., and Cirac, J. I. (2007). Computational complexity of projected entangled pair states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98**, 140506. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140506. arXiv:quant-ph/0611050.

[912] Schuch, N., Wolf, M. M., Verstraete, F., and Cirac, J. I. (2008). Entropy scaling and simulability by matrix product states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **100**, 030504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.030504. arXiv:0705.0292.

[913] Schuld, M. (2021). Supervised quantum machine learning models are kernel methods. arXiv:2101.11020.

[914] Schuld, M., and Killoran, N. (2019). Quantum machine learning in feature Hilbert spaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **122**, 040504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.040504. arXiv:1803.07128.

[915] Schuld, M., and Killoran, N. (2022). Is quantum advantage the right goal for quantum machine learning? *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 030101. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.030101. arXiv:2203.01340.

[916] Schuld, M., and Petruccione, F. (2021). *Machine learning with quantum computers*. Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-83098-4.

[917] Schuld, M., Sinayskiy, I., and Petruccione, F. (2016). Prediction by linear regression on a quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. A*, **94**, 022342. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.94.022342. arXiv:1601.07823.

[918] Schuld, M., Bergholm, V., Gogolin, C., et al. (2019). Evaluating analytic gradients on quantum hardware. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 032331. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.032331. arXiv:1811.11184.

[919] Schuld, M., Bocharov, A., Svore, K. M., and Wiebe, N. (2020). Circuit-centric quantum classifiers. *Phys. Rev. A*, **101**, 032308. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.101.032308. arXiv:1804.00633.

[920] Sels, D., Dashti, H., Mora, S., et al. (2020). Quantum approximate Bayesian computation for NMR model inference. *Nat. Mach. Intell.*, **2**, 396–402. doi:10.1038/s42256-020-0198-x. arXiv:1910.14221.

[921] Serbyn, M., Papić, Z., and Abanin, D. A. (2013). Universal slow growth of entanglement in interacting strongly disordered systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **110**, 260601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.260601. arXiv:1304.4605.

[922] Setia, K., Bravyi, S., Mezzacapo, A., and Whitfield, J. D. (2019). Superfast encodings for fermionic quantum simulation. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **1**, 033033. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.1.033033. arXiv:1810.05274.

[923] Shang, H., Fan, Y., Shen, L., et al. (2023). Towards practical and massively parallel quantum computing emulation for quantum chemistry. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **9**, 33. doi:10.1038/s41534-023-00696-7. arXiv:2303.03681.

[924] Shao, C., and Montanaro, A. (2022). Faster quantum-inspired algorithms for solving linear systems. *ACM Trans. Quantum Comput.*, **3**. doi:10.1145/3520141. arXiv:2103.10309.

[925] Shao, C., and Xiang, H. (2018). Quantum circulant preconditioner for a linear system of equations. *Phys. Rev. A*, **98**, 062321. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.98.062321. arXiv:1807.04563.

[926] Sharma, K., Cerezo, M., Cincio, L., and Coles, P. J. (2022). Trainability of dissipative perceptron-based quantum neural networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **128**, 180505. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.180505. arXiv:2005.12458.

[927] Shaw, A. F., Lougovski, P., Stryker, J. R., and Wiebe, N. (2020). Quantum algorithms for simulating the lattice Schwinger model. *Quantum*, **4**, 306. doi:10.22331/q-2020-08-10-306. arXiv:2002.11146.

[928] Shaydulin, R., Li, C., Chakrabarti, S., et al. (2023). Evidence of scaling advantage for the quantum approximate optimization algorithm on a classically intractable problem. arXiv:2308.02342.

[929] Shehab, O., Landsman, K., Nam, Y., et al. (2019). Toward convergence of effective-field-theory simulations on digital quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. A*, **100**, 062319. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.100.062319.

[930] Shen, J., Tang, T., and Wang, L.-L. (2011). *Spectral methods: Algorithms, analysis and applications*. Vol. 41. Springer Science & Business Media. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-71041-7.

[931] Shende, V. V., Markov, I. L., and Bullock, S. S. (2004). Minimal universal two-qubit controlled-not-based circuits. *Phys. Rev. A*, **69**, 062321. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.69.062321. arXiv:quant-ph/0308033.

[932] Shepherd, J. J., Grüneis, A., Booth, G. H., et al. (2012). Convergence of many-body wave-function expansions using a plane-wave basis: From homogeneous electron gas to solid state systems. *Phys. Rev. B*, **86**, 035111. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.86.035111. arXiv:1202.4990.

[933] Sherrington, D., and Kirkpatrick, S. (1975). Solvable model of a spin-glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **35**, 1792. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1792.

[934] Shokrian Zini, M., Delgado, A., dos Reis, R., et al. (2023). Quantum simulation of battery materials using ionic pseudopotentials. *Quantum*, **7**, 1049. doi:10.22331/q-2023-07-10-1049. arXiv:2302.07981.

[935] Shor, P. W. (1995). Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory. *Phys. Rev. A*, **52**, R2493–R2496. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.52.R2493.

[936] Shor, P. W. (1996). Fault-tolerant quantum computation. Pages 56–65 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/SFCS.1996.548464. arXiv:quant-ph/9605011.

[937] Shor, P. W. (1997). Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **26**, 1484–1509. doi:10.1137/S0097539795293172. Earlier version in *FOCS'94*. arXiv:quant-ph/9508027.

[938] Shor, P. W. (2022). The early days of quantum computation. arXiv:2208.09964.

[939] Shtanko, O., and Movassagh, R. (2021). Preparing thermal states on noiseless and noisy programmable quantum processors. arXiv:2112.14688.

[940] Simon, D. R. (1997). On the power of quantum computation. *SIAM J. Comp.*, **26**, 1474–1483. doi:10.1137/S0097539796298637. Earlier version in *FOCS'94*.

[941] Simon, S., Santagati, R., Degroote, M., et al. (2023). Improved precision scaling for simulating coupled quantum-classical dynamics. arXiv:2307.13033.

[942] Skoric, L., Browne, D. E., Barnes, K. M., et al. (2023). Parallel window decoding enables scalable fault tolerant quantum computation. *Nat. Commun.*, **14**. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42482-1.

[943] Smolin, J. A., Smith, G., and Vargo, A. (2013). Oversimplifying quantum factoring. *Nature*, **499**, 163–165. doi:10.1038/nature12290.

[944] Somma, R., Boixo, S., and Barnum, H. (2007). Quantum simulated annealing. arXiv:0712.1008.

[945] Song, X.-Y., Jian, C.-M., and Balents, L. (2017). Strongly correlated metal built from Sachdev–Ye–Kitaev models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **119**, 216601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.216601. arXiv:1705.00117.

[946] Sparrow, C., Martín-López, E., Maraviglia, N., et al. (2018). Simulating the vibrational quantum dynamics of molecules using photonics. *Nature*, **557**, 660–667. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0152-9.

[947] Stace, T. M., Barrett, S. D., and Doherty, A. C. (2009). Thresholds for topological codes in the presence of loss. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **102**, 200501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.200501. arXiv:0904.3556.

[948] Stamatopoulos, N., and Zeng, W. J. (2023). Derivative pricing using quantum signal processing. arXiv:2307.14310.

[949] Stamatopoulos, N., Egger, D. J., Sun, Y., et al. (2020). Option pricing using quantum computers. *Quantum*, **4**, 291. doi:10.22331/q-2020-07-06-291. arXiv:1905.02666.

[950] Stamatopoulos, N., Mazzola, G., Woerner, S., and Zeng, W. J. (2022). Towards quantum advantage in financial market risk using quantum gradient algorithms. *Quantum*, **6**, 770. doi:10.22331/q-2022-07-20-770. arXiv:2111.12509.

[951] Stanisic, S., Bosse, J. L., Gambetta, F. M., et al. (2022). Observing ground-state properties of the Fermi–Hubbard model using a scalable algorithm on a quantum computer. *Nat. Commun.*, **13**, 5743. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-33335-4. arXiv:2112.02025.

[952] Steane, A. M. (1996). Error correcting codes in quantum theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **77**, 793–797. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.793.

[953] Steane, A. M. (1997). Active stabilization, quantum computation, and quantum state synthesis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78**, 2252–2255. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.78.2252. arXiv:quant-ph/9611027.

[954] Steiger, D. S., and Troyer, M. (2016). Racing in parallel: Quantum versus classical. Pages H44–010 of: *APS March Meeting Abstracts*, vol. 2016. doi:10.48660/16080019.

[955] Stetcu, I., Baroni, A., and Carlson, J. (2022). Variational approaches to constructing the many-body nuclear ground state for quantum computing. *Phys. Rev. C*, **105**, 064308. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.105.064308. arXiv:2110.06098.

[956] Steudtner, M., Morley-Short, S., Pol, W., et al. (2023). Fault-tolerant quantum computation of molecular observables. arXiv:2303.14118.

[957] Stevenson, P. D. (2023). Comments on quantum computing in nuclear physics. *Int. J. Unconv. Comput.*, **18**, 10.

[958] Stroberg, S. R., Bogner, S. K., Hergert, H., and Holt, J. D. (2019). Nonempirical interactions for the nuclear shell model: An update. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, **69**, 307–362. doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-101917-021120.

[959] Strohmer, T., and Vershynin, R. (2009). A randomized Kaczmarz algorithm with exponential convergence. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **15**, 262–278. doi:10.1007/s00041-008-9030-4. arXiv:math/0702226.

[960] Stryker, J. R. (2019). Oracles for Gauss's law on digital quantum computers. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 042301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.042301. arXiv:1812.01617.

[961] Su, Y. (2024). Private communication.

[962] Su, Y., Berry, D. W., Wiebe, N., et al. (2021). Fault-tolerant quantum simulations of chemistry in first quantization. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 040332. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.040332. arXiv:2105.12767.

[963] Su, Y., Huang, H. Y., and Campbell, E. T. (2021). Nearly tight Trotterization of interacting electrons. *Quantum*, **5**, 1–58. doi:10.22331/Q-2021-07-05-495. arXiv:2012.09194.

[964] Subaşı, Y., Somma, R. D., and Orsucci, D. (2019). Quantum algorithms for systems of linear equations inspired by adiabatic quantum computing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **122**, 060504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.060504. arXiv:1805.10549.

[965] Succi, S., Itani, W., Sreenivasan, K. R., and Steijl, R. (2023). Ensemble fluid simulations on quantum computers. arXiv:2304.05410.

[966] Sugisaki, K., Toyota, K., Sato, K., et al. (2022). Adiabatic state preparation of correlated wave functions with nonlinear scheduling functions and broken-symmetry wave functions. *Commun. Chem.*, **5**, 84. doi:10.1038/s42004-022-00701-8.

[967] Sun, S.-N., Motta, M., Tazhigulov, R. N., et al. (2021). Quantum computation of finite-temperature static and dynamical properties of spin systems using quantum imaginary time evolution. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 010317. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.010317. arXiv:2009.03542.

[968] Sun, X., Tian, G., Yang, S., et al. (2023). Asymptotically optimal circuit depth for quantum state preparation and general unitary synthesis. *IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst.*, 1–1. doi:10.1109/TCAD.2023.3244885. arXiv:2108.06150.

[969] Sünderhauf, C., Campbell, E., and Camps, J. (2023). Block-encoding structured matrices for data input in quantum computing. arXiv:2302.10949.

[970] Susskind, L. (1977). Lattice fermions. *Phys. Rev. D*, **16**, 3031–3039. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.3031.

[971] Suykens, J., De Brabanter, J., Lukas, L., and Vandewalle, J. (2002). Weighted least squares support vector machines: Robustness and sparse approximation. *Neurocomputing*, **48**, 85–105. doi:10.1016/S0925-2312(01)00644-0.

[972] Suykens, J. A. K., and Vandewalle, J. (1999). Least squares support vector machine classifiers. *Neural Process. Lett.*, **9**, 293–300. doi:10.1023/A:1018628609742.

[973] Sweke, R., Kesselring, M. S., van Nieuwenburg, E. P. L., and Eisert, J. (2020). Reinforcement learning decoders for fault-tolerant quantum computation. *Mach. Learn.: Sci. Technol.*, **2**, 025005. doi:10.1088/2632-2153/abc609. arXiv:1810.07207.

[974] Szegedy, M. (2004). Quantum speed-up of Markov chain based algorithms. Pages 32–41 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2004.53. arXiv:quant-ph/0401053.

[975] Ta-Shma, A. (2013). Inverting well conditioned matrices in quantum logspace. Pages 881–890 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/2488608.2488720.

[976] Tang, E. (2019). A quantum-inspired classical algorithm for recommendation systems. Pages 217–228 of: *STOC*. doi:10.1145/3313276.3316310. arXiv:1807.04271.

[977] Tang, E. (2021). Quantum principal component analysis only achieves an exponential speedup because of its state preparation assumptions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **127**, 060503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.060503. arXiv:1811.00414.

[978] Tang, E. (2022). Dequantizing algorithms to understand quantum advantage in machine learning. *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, **4**, 692–693. doi:10.1038/s42254-022-00511-w.

[979] Tang, E. (2023). *Quantum machine learning without any quantum*. PhD thesis, University of Washington.

[980] Tang, E., and Tian, K. (2023). A CS guide to the quantum singular value transformation. arXiv:2302.14324.

[981] Tang, H., Pal, A., Wang, T.-Y., et al. (2021). Quantum computation for pricing the collateralized debt obligations. *Quantum Eng.*, **3**, e84. doi:10.1002/que2.84. arXiv:2008.04110.

[982] Taube, A. G., and Bartlett, R. J. (2006). New perspectives on unitary coupled-cluster theory. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, **106**, 3393–3401. doi:10.1002/qua.21198.

[983] Tazhigulov, R. N., Sun, S.-N., Haghshenas, R., et al. (2022). Simulating models of challenging correlated molecules and materials on the sycamore quantum processor. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 040318. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.040318. arXiv:2203.15291.

[984] Temme, K., Osborne, T. J., Vollbrecht, K. G., et al. (2011). Quantum Metropolis sampling. *Nature*, **471**, 87–90. doi:10.1038/nature09770. arXiv:0911.3635.

[985] Terhal, B. M. (2015). Quantum error correction for quantum memories. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **87**, 307–346. doi:10.1103/revmodphys.87.307. arXiv:1302.3428.

[986] Terhal, B. M., and Burkard, G. (2005). Fault-tolerant quantum computation for local non-Markovian noise. *Phys. Rev. A*, **71**, 012336. doi:10.1103/physreva.71.012336. arXiv:quant-ph/0402104.

[987] Tews, I., Davoudi, Z., Ekström, A., et al. (2020). New ideas in constraining nuclear forces. *J. Phys. G*, **47**, 103001. doi:10.1088/1361-6471/ab9079. arXiv:2001.03334.

[988] Thanasilp, S., Wang, S., Cerezo, M., and Holmes, Z. (2022). Exponential concentration and untrainability in quantum kernel methods. arXiv:2208.11060.

[989] Thomas, P. S., Carrington Jr., T., Agarwal, J., and Schaefer III, H. F. (2018). Using an iterative eigensolver and intertwined rank reduction to compute vibrational spectra of molecules with more than a dozen atoms: Uracil and naphthalene. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **149**, 064108. doi:10.1063/1.5039147.

[990] Tong, Y., An, D., Wiebe, N., and Lin, L. (2021). Fast inversion, preconditioned quantum linear system solvers, fast Green's-function computation, and fast evaluation of matrix functions. *Phys. Rev. A*, **104**, 032422. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.104.032422. arXiv:2008.13295.

[991] Tong, Y., Albert, V. V., McClean, J. R., et al. (2022). Provably accurate simulation of gauge theories and bosonic systems. *Quantum*, **6**, 816. doi:10.22331/q-2022-09-22-816. arXiv:2110.06942.

[992] Torlai, G., and Melko, R. G. (2017). Neural decoder for topological codes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **119**, 030501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.030501. arXiv:1610.04238.

[993] Tran, M. C., Guo, A. Y., Su, Y., et al. (2019). Locality and digital quantum simulation of power-law interactions. *Phys. Rev. X*, **9**, 031006. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031006. arXiv:1808.05225.

[994] Tran, M. C., Su, Y., Carney, D., and Taylor, J. M. (2021). Faster digital quantum simulation by symmetry protection. *PRX Quantum*, **2**, 010323. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.010323. arXiv:2006.16248.

[995] Trenev, D., Ollitrault, P. J., Harwood, S. M., et al. (2023). Refining resource estimation for the quantum computation of vibrational molecular spectra through Trotter error analysis. arXiv:2311.03719.

[996] Trottenberg, U., Oosterlee, C. W., and Schuller, A. (2000). *Multigrid*. Elsevier.

[997] Troyer, M., and Wiese, U.-J. (2005). Computational complexity and fundamental limitations to fermionic quantum Monte Carlo simulations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **94**, 170201. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.170201. arXiv:cond-mat/0408370.

[998] Tubman, N. M., Mejuto-Zaera, C., Epstein, J. M., et al. (2018). Postponing the orthogonality catastrophe: Efficient state preparation for electronic structure simulations on quantum devices. arXiv:1809.05523.

[999] Tuckett, D. K., Bartlett, S. D., and Flammia, S. T. (2018). Ultrahigh error threshold for surface codes with biased noise. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **120**, 050505. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.050505. arXiv:1708.08474.

[1000] Turro, F., Chistolini, T., Hashim, A., et al. (2023). Demonstration of a quantum-classical coprocessing protocol for simulating nuclear reactions. *Phys. Rev. A*, **108**, 032417. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.108.032417.

[1001] Valahu, C. H., Olaya-Agudelo, V. C., MacDonell, R. J., et al. (2023). Direct observation of geometric-phase interference in dynamics around a conical intersection. *Nat. Chem.*, **15**, 1503–1508. doi:10.1038/s41557-023-01300-3. arXiv:2211.07320.

[1002] van Vreumingen, D., Neukart, F., Von Dollen, D., et al. (2019). Quantum-assisted finite-element design optimization. arXiv:1908.03947.

[1003] Varbanov, B. M., Serra-Peralta, M., Byfield, D., and Terhal, B. M. (2023). Neural network decoder for near-term surface-code experiments. arXiv:2307.03280.

[1004] Vasmer, M., and Kubica, A. (2022). Morphing quantum codes. *PRX Quantum*, **3**, 030319. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.030319. arXiv:2112.01446.

[1005] Vasmer, M., Browne, D. E., and Kubica, A. (2021). Cellular automaton decoders for topological quantum codes with noisy measurements and beyond. *Sci. Rep.*, **11**, 2027. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-81138-2. arXiv:2004.07247.

[1006] Veis, L., and Pittner, J. (2014). Adiabatic state preparation study of methylene. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **140**, 214111. doi:10.1063/1.4880755. arXiv:1401.3186.

[1007] Venkateswaran, R., and O'Donnell, R. (2020). Quantum approximate counting with nonadaptive Grover iterations. arXiv:2010.04370.

[1008] Verdon, G., Broughton, M., and Biamonte, J. (2017). A quantum algorithm to train neural networks using low-depth circuits. arXiv:1712.05304.

[1009] Verdon, G., Pye, J., and Broughton, M. (2018). A universal training algorithm for quantum deep learning. arXiv:1806.09729.

[1010] Verstraete, F., and Cirac, J. I. (2005). Mapping local Hamiltonians of fermions to local Hamiltonians of spins. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, **2005**, P09012. doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2005/09/P09012. arXiv:cond-mat/0508353.

[1011] Wan, K. (2021). Exponentially faster implementations of Select(H) for fermionic Hamiltonians. *Quantum*, **5**. doi:10.22331/q-2021-01-12-380. arXiv:2004.04170.

[1012] Wan, K., and Kim, I. (2020). Fast digital methods for adiabatic state preparation. arXiv:2004.04164.

[1013] Wan, K., Berta, M., and Campbell, E. T. (2022). Randomized quantum algorithm for statistical phase estimation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **129**, 030503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.030503. arXiv:2110.12071.

[1014] Wan, K. H., Dahlsten, O., Kristjánsson, H., et al. (2017). Quantum generalisation of feedforward neural networks. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **3**, 36. doi:10.1038/s41534-017-0032-4. arXiv:1612.01045.

[1015] Wang, C. S., Curtis, J. C., Lester, B. J., et al. (2020). Efficient multiphoton sampling of molecular vibronic spectra on a superconducting bosonic processor. *Phys. Rev. X*, **10**, 021060. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.10.021060. arXiv:1908.03598.

[1016] Wang, C. S., Frattini, N. E., Chapman, B. J., et al. (2023). Observation of wave-packet branching through an engineered conical intersection. *Phys. Rev. X*, **13**, 011008. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.13.011008. arXiv:2202.02364.

[1017] Wang, D. S., Fowler, A. G., and Hollenberg, L. C. L. (2011). Surface code quantum computing with error rates over 1%. *Phys. Rev. A*, **83**, 020302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.83.020302.

[1018] Wang, J., Dong, Y., and Lin, L. (2022). On the energy landscape of symmetric quantum signal processing. *Quantum*, **6**, 850. doi:10.22331/q-2022-11-03-850. arXiv:2110.04993.

[1019] Wang, R., Kashinath, K., Mustafa, M., et al. (2020). Towards physics-informed deep learning for turbulent flow prediction. Pages 1457–1466 of: *KDD*. doi:10.1145/3394486.3403198. arXiv:1911.08655.

[1020] Wang, S., Wang, Z., Cui, G., et al. (2021). Fast black-box quantum state preparation based on linear combination of unitaries. *Quantum Inf. Process.*, **20**, 1–14. doi:10.1007/s11128-021-03203-z. arXiv:2105.06230.

[1021] Wang, S., Fontana, E., Cerezo, M., et al. (2021). Noise-induced barren plateaus in variational quantum algorithms. *Nat. Commun.*, **12**, 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27045-6. arXiv:2007.14384.

[1022] Wang, S., McArdle, S., and Berta, M. (2024). Qubit-efficient randomized quantum algorithms for linear algebra. *PRX Quantum*, **5**, 020324. doi:10.1103/PRXQuantum.5.020324. arXiv:2302.01873.

[1023] Wang, Z., Wei, S., Long, G.-L., and Hanzo, L. (2022). Variational quantum attacks threaten advanced encryption standard based symmetric cryptography. *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, **65**, 200503. doi:10.1007/s11432-022-3511-5. arXiv:2205.03529.

[1024] Wanner, G., and Hairer, E. (1993). *Solving ordinary differential equations I*. Vol. 375. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-78862-1.

[1025] Wanner, G., and Hairer, E. (1996). *Solving ordinary differential equations II*. Vol. 375. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-05221-7.

[1026] Washington, L. C. (2008). *Elliptic curves: Number theory and cryptography, second edition*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.

[1027] Watkins, J., Wiebe, N., Roggero, A., and Lee, D. (2022). Time-dependent Hamiltonian simulation using discrete clock constructions. arXiv:2203.11353.

[1028] Watson, J. D., Bringewatt, J., Shaw, A. F., et al. (2023). Quantum algorithms for simulating nuclear effective field theories. arXiv:2312.05344.

[1029] Watts, T. W., Otten, M., Necaise, J. T., et al. (2024). Fullerene-encapsulated cyclic ozone for the next generation of nano-sized propellants via quantum computation. arXiv:2408.13244.

[1030] Webber, M., Elfving, V., Weidt, S., and Hensinger, W. K. (2022). The impact of hardware specifications on reaching quantum advantage in the fault tolerant regime. *AVS Quantum Sci.*, **4**, 013801. doi:10.1116/5.0073075. arXiv:2108.12371.

[1031] Wecker, D., Hastings, M. B., Wiebe, N., et al. (2015). Solving strongly correlated electron models on a quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. A*, **92**, 062318. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.92.062318. arXiv:1506.05135.

[1032] Wecker, D., Hastings, M. B., and Troyer, M. (2016). Training a quantum optimizer. *Phys. Rev. A*, **94**, 022309. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.94.022309. arXiv:1605.05370.

[1033] Wein, A. S., El Alaoui, A., and Moore, C. (2019). The Kikuchi hierarchy and tensor PCA. Pages 1446–1468 of: *FOCS*. doi:10.1109/FOCS.2019.000-2. arXiv:1904.03858.

[1034] Whitfield, J. D., Biamonte, J., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2011). Simulation of electronic structure Hamiltonians using quantum computers. *Mol. Phys.*, **109**, 735–750. doi:10.1080/00268976.2011.552441. arXiv:1001.3855.

[1035] Whitfield, J. D., Love, P. J., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2013). Computational complexity in electronic structure. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **15**, 397–411. doi:10.1039/C2CP42695A. arXiv:1208.3334.

[1036] Whitlow, J., Jia, Z., Wang, Y., et al. (2023). Quantum simulation of conical intersections using trapped ions. *Nat. Chem.*, **15**, 1509–1514. doi:10.1038/s41557-023-01303-0. arXiv:2211.07319.

[1037] Wiebe, N., and Wossnig, L. (2019). Generative training of quantum Boltzmann machines with hidden units. arXiv:1905.09902.

[1038] Wiebe, N., Berry, D., Høyer, P., and Sanders, B. C. (2010). Higher order decompositions of ordered operator exponentials. *J. Phys. A*, **43**, 065203. doi:10.1088/1751-8113/43/6/065203. arXiv:0812.0562.

[1039] Wiebe, N., Braun, D., and Lloyd, S. (2012). Quantum algorithm for data fitting. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **109**, 050505. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.050505. arXiv:1204.5242.

[1040] Wiebe, N., Kapoor, A., and Svore, K. M. (2015). Quantum nearest-neighbor algorithms for machine learning. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **15**, 318–358. doi:10.26421/QIC15.3-4. arXiv:1401.2142.

[1041] Wiebe, N., Kapoor, A., and Svore, K. M. (2016). Quantum deep learning. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, **16**, 541–587. doi:10.26421/QIC16.7-8-1. arXiv:1412.3489.

[1042] Wiebe, N., Bocharov, A., Smolensky, P., et al. (2019). Quantum language processing. arXiv:1902.05162.

[1043] Wierichs, D., Izaac, J., Wang, C., and Lin, C. Y.-Y. (2022). General parameter-shift rules for quantum gradients. *Quantum*. doi:10.22331/q-2022-03-30-677. arXiv:2107.12390.

[1044] Wilde, M. M. (2017). *Quantum information theory*. 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781316809976. arXiv:1106.1445.

[1045] Wilkinson, J. M., and Blundell, S. J. (2020). Information and decoherence in a muon-fluorine coupled system. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **125**, 087201. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.087201. arXiv:2003.02762.

[1046] Williams, K. T., Yao, Y., Li, J., et al. (2020). Direct comparison of many-body methods for realistic electronic Hamiltonians. *Phys. Rev. X*, **10**, 011041. doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.10.011041. arXiv:1910.00045.

[1047] Williams, R. (2005). A new algorithm for optimal 2-constraint satisfaction and its implications. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, **348**, 357–365. doi:10.1016/j.tcs.2005.09.023. Earlier version in *ICALP'04*.

[1048] Wocjan, P., and Temme, K. (2023). Szegedy walk unitaries for quantum maps. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **402**, 3201–3231. doi:10.1007/s00220-023-04797-4. arXiv:2107.07365.

[1049] Woerner, S., and Egger, D. J. (2019). Quantum risk analysis. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **5**, 15. doi:10.1038/s41534-019-0130-6. arXiv:1806.06893.

[1050] Wolf, M. M. (2012). *Quantum channels & operations: Guided tour*. https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/download/1701036/1701036.pdf, accessed: 2023-09-30.

[1051] de Wolf, R. (2019). Quantum computing: Lecture notes. arXiv:1907.09415.

[1052] Wright, M. (2005). The interior-point revolution in optimization: History, recent developments, and lasting consequences. *Bull. AMS*, **42**, 39–56. doi:10.1090/S0273-0979-04-01040-7.

[1053] Wright, S. J. (1997). *Primal-dual interior-point methods*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. doi:10.1137/1.9781611971453.

[1054] Wu, D., Rossi, R., Vicentini, F., et al. (2023). Variational benchmarks for quantum many-body problems. arXiv:2302.04919.

[1055] Wu, H.-C., Wang, J., and Li, X. (2024). Quantum algorithms for nonlinear dynamics: Revisiting Carleman linearization with no dissipative conditions. arXiv:2405.12714.

[1056] Wu, L.-A., Byrd, M. S., and Lidar, D. A. (2002). Polynomial-time simulation of pairing models on a quantum computer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89**, 057904. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.057904. arXiv:quant-ph/0108110.

[1057] Wu, Y., Kolkowitz, S., Puri, S., and Thompson, J. D. (2022). Erasure conversion for fault-tolerant quantum computing in alkaline earth Rydberg atom arrays. *Nat. Commun.*, **13**, 4657. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32094-6. arXiv:2201.03540.

[1058] Xu, F., Ma, X., Zhang, Q., et al. (2020). Secure quantum key distribution with realistic devices. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **92**, 025002. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.92.025002. arXiv:1903.09051.

[1059] Xu, S., Susskind, L., Su, Y., and Swingle, B. (2020). A sparse model of quantum holography. arXiv:2008.02303.

[1060] Xu, X., Sun, J., Endo, S., et al. (2021). Variational algorithms for linear algebra. *Sci. Bull.*, **66**, 2181–2188. doi:10.1016/j.scib.2021.06.023. arXiv:1909.03898.

[1061] Xue, C., Xu, X.-F., Wu, Y.-C., and Guo, G.-P. (2022). Quantum algorithm for solving a quadratic nonlinear system of equations. *Phys. Rev. A*, **106**, 032427. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.106.032427.

[1062] Yalovetzky, R., Minssen, P., Herman, D., and Pistoia, M. (2021). NISQ-HHL: Portfolio optimization for near-term quantum hardware. arXiv:2110.15958.

[1063] Yamada, S., Imamura, T., and Machida, M. (2005). 16.447 TFlops and 159-billion-dimensional exact-diagonalization for trapped Fermion–Hubbard model on the Earth simulator. Pages 44–44 of: *SC*. doi:10.1109/SC.2005.1.

[1064] Yamasaki, H., Subramanian, S., Sonoda, S., and Koashi, M. (2020). Learning with optimized random features: Exponential speedup by quantum machine learning without sparsity and low-rank assumptions. Pages 13674–13687 of: *NeurIPS*, vol. 33. arXiv:2004.10756.

[1065] Ying, L. (2022). Stable factorization for phase factors of quantum signal processing. *Quantum*, **6**, 842. doi:10.22331/q-2022-10-20-842. arXiv:2202.02671.

[1066] Yoder, T. J., and Kim, I. H. (2017). The surface code with a twist. *Quantum*, **1**, 2. doi:10.22331/q-2017-04-25-2. arXiv:1612.04795.

[1067] Yoder, T. J., Low, G. H., and Chuang, I. L. (2014). Fixed-point quantum search with an optimal number of queries. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **113**, 210501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.210501. arXiv:1409.3305.

[1068] Yoshioka, N., Sato, T., Nakagawa, Y. O., et al. (2022). Variational quantum simulation for periodic materials. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **4**, 013052. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013052. arXiv:2008.09492.

[1069] Yoshioka, N., Okubo, T., Suzuki, Y., et al. (2024). Hunting for quantum-classical crossover in condensed matter problems. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **10**, 45. doi:10.1038/s41534-024-00839-4. arXiv:2210.14109.

[1070] You, X., Chakrabarti, S., Chen, B., and Wu, X. (2023). Analyzing convergence in quantum neural networks: Deviations from neural tangent kernels. Pages 40199–40224 of: *ICML*, vol. 202. arXiv:2303.14844.

[1071] Young, A. P., Knysh, S., and Smelyanskiy, V. N. (2010). First-order phase transition in the quantum adiabatic algorithm. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **104**, 020502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.020502. arXiv:0910.1378.

[1072] Yu, H., Lu, D., Wu, Q., and Wei, T.-C. (2022). Geometric quantum adiabatic methods for quantum chemistry. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, **4**, 033045. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.033045. arXiv:2112.15186.

[1073] Yuan, P., and Zhang, S. (2023). Optimal (controlled) quantum state preparation and improved unitary synthesis by quantum circuits with any number of ancillary qubits. *Quantum*, **7**, 956. doi:10.22331/q-2023-03-20-956. arXiv:2202.11302.

[1074] Yuan, X., Endo, S., Zhao, Q., et al. (2019). Theory of variational quantum simulation. *Quantum*, **3**, 191. doi:10.22331/q-2019-10-07-191. arXiv:1812.08767.

[1075] Yuen, H. (2023). An improved sample complexity lower bound for (fidelity) quantum state tomography. *Quantum*, **7**, 890. doi:10.22331/q-2023-01-03-890. arXiv:2206.11185.

[1076] Yung, M.-H., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2012). A quantum-quantum Metropolis algorithm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **109**, 754–759. doi:10.1073/pnas.1111758109. arXiv:1011.1468.

[1077] Zaletel, M. P., and Pollmann, F. (2020). Isometric tensor network states in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **124**, 037201. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.037201. arXiv:1902.05100.

[1078] Zeng, B., Cross, A., and Chuang, I. L. (2011). Transversality versus universality for additive quantum codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, **57**, 6272–6284. doi:10.1109/tit.2011.2161917. arXiv:0706.1382.

[1079] Zhang, C., and Li, T. (2021). Escape saddle points by a simple gradient-descent based algorithm. Pages 8545–8556 of: *NeurIPS*. arXiv:2111.14069.

[1080] Zhang, C., and Li, T. (2023). Quantum lower bounds for finding stationary points of nonconvex functions. Pages 41268–41299 of: *ICML*, vol. 202. arXiv:2212.03906.

[1081] Zhang, C., Leng, J., and Li, T. (2021). Quantum algorithms for escaping from saddle points. *Quantum*, **5**, 529. doi:10.22331/q-2021-08-20-529. arXiv:2007.10253.

[1082] Zhang, J., Feng, F., and Zhang, Q. (2021). Quantum method for finite element simulation of electromagnetic problems. Pages 120–123 of: *IMS*. doi:10.1109/IMS19712.2021.9574852.

[1083] Zhang, K., Yu, K., and Korepin, V. (2022). Quantum search on noisy intermediate-scale quantum devices. *Europhys. Lett.*, **140**, 18002. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/ac90e6. arXiv:2202.00122.

[1084] Zhang, X.-M., and Yuan, X. (2024). Circuit complexity of quantum access models for encoding classical data. *npj Quant. Inf.*, **10**, 42. doi:10.1038/s41534-024-00835-8. arXiv:2311.11365.

[1085] Zhang, X.-M., Li, T., and Yuan, X. (2022). Quantum state preparation with optimal circuit depth: Implementations and applications. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **129**, 230504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.230504. arXiv:2201.11495.

[1086] Zhao, Q., and Yuan, X. (2021). Exploiting anticommutation in Hamiltonian simulation. *Quantum*, **5**, 534. doi:10.22331/q-2021-08-31-534. arXiv:2103.07988.

[1087] Zhao, Q., Zhou, Y., Shaw, A. F., et al. (2022). Hamiltonian simulation with random inputs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **129**, 270502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.270502. arXiv:2111.04773.

[1088] Zhao, Z., Fitzsimons, J. K., Osborne, M. A., et al. (2019). Quantum algorithms for training Gaussian processes. *Phys. Rev. A*, **100**, 012304. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.100.012304. arXiv:1803.10520.

[1089] Zhao, Z., Fitzsimons, J. K., and Fitzsimons, J. F. (2019). Quantum-assisted Gaussian process regression. *Phys. Rev. A*, **99**, 052331. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.99.052331. arXiv:1512.03929.

[1090] Zhou, H., Zhao, C., Cain, M., et al. (2024). Algorithmic fault tolerance for fast quantum computing. arXiv:2406.17653.

[1091] Zhou, L., Basso, J., and Mei, S. (2024). Statistical estimation in the spiked tensor model via the quantum approximate optimization algorithm. arXiv:2402.19456.

[1092] Zobel, J. P., and González, L. (2021). The quest to simulate excited-state dynamics of transition metal complexes. *JACS Au*, **1**, 1116–1140. doi:10.1021/jacsau.1c00252. arXiv:2106.11912.

[1093] Zoufal, C., Lucchi, A., and Woerner, S. (2021). Variational quantum Boltzmann machines. *Quantum Mach. Intell.*, **3**, 7. doi:10.1007/s42484-020-00033-7. arXiv:2006.06004.

[1094] Žutić, I., Fabian, J., and Das Sarma, S. (2004). Spintronics: Fundamentals and applications. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **76**, 323–410. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.76.323. arXiv:cond-mat/0405528.