## Does The End-Tidal CO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Have Prognostic Value during Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest?

Stefek Grmec, MD, EMS-PHU; <sup>1</sup> Edita Stok, MD<sup>2</sup>
1) Maribor, Slovenia; 2) Ministry of Health of Republic of Slovenia, Ljubljana, SLOVENIA

Introduction: Management of cardiac arrest is made difficult by the absence of a readily available, non-invasive measurement that identifies individual patients who are likely to be resuscitated successfully. Animal and clinical studies have suggested that end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> (EtCO<sub>2</sub>) correlates closely with cardiac output during resuscitation efforts. To investigate further the utility of EtCO<sub>2</sub> as a prognostic indicator of initial outcome of resuscitation and survival in patients, we conducted a prospective study of the use of EtCO<sub>2</sub> in adult victims of out-of-hospital, non-trauma-related cardiac arrest.

**Methods**. We prospectively studied 238 adult (age >18 years) patients in non-trauma-related, out-of hospital cardiac arrest (in from January 1998–December 1999). EtCO<sub>2</sub> was monitored with an in-line sensor and was calibrated every 48 hours according to the manufacturer's specification (BCI 82000 Capnometer, BCI International). For each patient, the following measures were recorded: 1) age; 2) gender; 3) EtCO<sub>2</sub> (initial, final); 4) cardiac rhythm; 5) return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC); and 6) survival. Data were analyzed to compare patients who died (NS, NR) with those were resuscitated successfully (R), and with survival (S). Data were analyzed using the unpaired, two-tailed, Student's *t*-test; O<sub>2</sub>-test;  $\rho$  <0.05.

**Results**: 238 patients were included in the study (144 (61%) males, and 94 (39%) females). Survivors were younger than non-survivors (56  $\pm$ 15 vs.69  $\pm$ 11 years; p <0.05). The mean values for EtCO<sub>2</sub> are in Table 1.

Table 1 Group Number Initial EtCO<sub>2</sub> Final EtCO, mean SD mean All (n = 238)18.8 6.2 24.1 5.1 68 NR 2.4 5.8 4.3 170 7.4 S 26 22.2 3.7 28.4 4.3 NS 8.7 7.2 4.2 212 2.3 Asystole (n = 132)15.2 6.2 28 6.3 21.2 NR 104 6.4 5.2 3.5 2.1 17.8 3.7 22.5 4.5 NS 129 7.2 3.7 6.3 4.2  $\mathbf{VF}$  (n = 55) R 22 17.2 4.5 28.6 10.3 NR 33 7.3 5.4 2.5 2.3 14 19.4 5.4 31.2 10.1 NS 41 8.4 4.1 7.2 3.2 VT (n = 12)R 8 21.5 7.4 26.2 8.7 NR 4 9.8 3.9 6.2 2.2 6 26.2 6.3 27.8 7.5 NS 5.5 6 11.3 4.7 8.2 **EMD** (n = 39)10 22.7 5.8 31.2 8.1 R NR 29 6.3 1.9 7.1 2.3 3 24.3 35.2 6.3 6.5 NS 9.3 36 3.5 7.3 4.2

The initial and final EtCO<sub>2</sub> was significantly higher in patients with ROSC than in patients without ROSC (p <0.05). The initial and final EtCO<sub>2</sub> also was greater for those patients who survived to leave the hospital compared with those patients who died (p <0.05).

Conclusion: Data from this prospective clinical trial indicate that EtCO<sub>2</sub> monitoring during CPR correlates with resuscitation from and survival of cardiac arrest. End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring has potential as a non-invasive indicator of cardiac output during resuscitation and a prognostic indicator for survival.

**Keywords**: cardiac arrest; EtCO<sub>2</sub>; prognosis; ROSC; survival **E-mail**: zd-mb.re\_ l@siol.net