

## ON A CLASS OF METRICAL AUTOMORPHISMS ON GAUSSIAN MEASURE SPACE

HISAO NOMOTO

*To Professor KATUZI ONO on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

**1. Introduction.** Let  $E$  be an infinite dimensional real nuclear space and  $H$  be its completion by a continuous Hilbertian norm  $\| \cdot \|$  of  $E$ . Then we have the relation

$$E \subset H \subset E^*$$

where  $E^*$  is the conjugate space of  $E$ . Consider a function  $C(\xi)$  on  $E$  defined by the formula

$$(1) \quad C(\xi) = e^{-\|\xi\|^2/2}, \quad \xi \in E.$$

Then  $C(\xi)$  is a positive definite and continuous function with  $C(0)=1$ . Therefore, by Bochner-Minlos' theorem, there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $E^*$  such that

$$(2) \quad \int_{E^*} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu(x) = e^{-\|\xi\|^2/2}, \quad \xi \in E,$$

where  $\langle x, \xi \rangle$  being the canonical bilinear form. The measure  $\mu$  is defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by all cylinder sets of  $E^*$  ([1]). We call  $\mu$  a *Gaussian measure*.

Let  $O(H)$  be the group formed by all linear and orthogonal operators acting on  $H$ . After [3], we consider a subgroup  $O(E)$  of the group  $O(H)$  which is defined as the collection of all  $g$ 's of  $O(H)$  having the property that each of  $g$  is a linear homeomorphism from  $E$  onto  $E$ . An operator  $g$  of  $O(E)$  is called a rotation of  $E$ . As is seen from the formula (2), the conjugate operator  $g^*$  of a rotation  $g$  is a metrical automorphism on the space  $(E^*, \mu)$ . The purpose of this paper is to generalize this fact and we shall prove that

---

Received March 31 1969.

(i) for each  $g$  of  $O(H)$  there exists an automorphism  $T_g$  on the space  $(E^*, \mu)$ , with the group property

(ii)  $T_{g_1}T_{g_2} = T_{g_1g_2} \pmod{0}$ , for each  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .

In the following section 2, we shall prepare lemmas used to prove the above assertions. In particular, we consider a unitary representation  $(U_g, L_2(E^*, \mu))$  of the group  $O(H)$  and we make use it in section 3 for the proof of Theorem.

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section we shall give some preparatory lemmas used in the following. For details we refer to [2] and [3].

Let  $\mu$  be the Gaussian measure on the space  $(E^*, \mathcal{L})$ . We denote by  $L_2 = L_2(E^*, \mu)$  the Hilbert space of all square integrable complex-valued functions with the inner product  $(\varphi, \psi) = \int_{E^*} \varphi(x)\overline{\psi(x)}d\mu(x)$ . Then we have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. The mapping  $\gamma$  from  $E$  into  $L_2$  defined by

$$\gamma : \xi \longrightarrow \langle x, \xi \rangle$$

can be extended to a linear isometric mapping from  $H$  into  $L_2$ . Moreover, for each  $f$  of  $H$ ,  $\gamma(f)$  (we shall also denote it by  $\langle x, f \rangle$ ) is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance  $\|f\|^2$  ([3], Proposition 1).

LEMMA 2. The linear subspace  $M$  of  $L_2$  spanned by  $\{e^{i\langle x, f \rangle}; f \in H\}$  is dense in  $L_2$  ([2], Lemma 2. 1).

Let  $g$  be an orthogonal operator of  $H$ . We shall define a unitary operator  $U_g$  on  $L_2$  by the following manner. First, we define  $U_g$  as an operator on  $M$  by the formula:

$$(3) \quad U_g\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{i\langle x, f_k \rangle}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{i\langle x, g f_k \rangle}, \quad f_k \in H, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then, by lemma 1, we obtain the following relation:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (U_{g_1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{i\langle x, f_k \rangle}\right), U_{g_2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^m b_l e^{i\langle x, h_l \rangle}\right)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m a_k \bar{b}_l \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \|g_1 f_k - g_2 h_l\|^2\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  being elements of  $O(H)$ . In particular, putting  $g_1 = g_2 = g$ , we know that  $U_g$  preserves the inner product in  $M$ . Hence, by lemma 2,

$U_g$  can be extended to a unitary operator on  $L_2$ . Then we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *The system  $\{U_g, g \in O(H); L_2\}$  is a unitary representation of the group  $O(H)$ :*

(i) 
$$U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = U_{g_1 g_2},$$

and

(ii) *the mapping  $g \rightarrow U_g$  is continuous, that is, if  $g, f \rightarrow gf$  ( $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ) for any  $f$  of  $H$ , then  $U_{g_\nu} \varphi \rightarrow U_g \varphi$  ( $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ) for any  $\varphi$  of  $L_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since each operator  $U_g$  is unitary, (i) is obvious by definition (3) of  $U_g$  and the lemma 2. To prove (ii), it is enough to show that (iii) holds for  $\varphi$  with the form  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k e^{i \langle x, f_k \rangle}$ . By the relation (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_{g_\nu} \varphi - U_g \varphi\|^2 &= 2\|\varphi\|^2 - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_k \bar{a}_l \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \|gf_k - g_\nu f_l\|^2 \right\} \\ &\xrightarrow{(\nu \rightarrow \infty)} 2\|\varphi\|^2 - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_k \bar{a}_l \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \|gf_k - gf_l\|^2 \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

**3. The theorem.** The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *For any  $g$  of  $O(H)$  there exists a unique (mod 0) metrical automorphism  $T_g$  of the space  $(E^*, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$  with the following properties:*

(i) 
$$U_g \varphi(x) = \varphi(T_g^{-1} x) \text{ (a.e.)}, \quad \varphi \in L_2,$$

and

(ii) 
$$T_{g_1} T_{g_2} = T_{g_1 g_2} \text{ (mod 0), for each } g_1 \text{ and } g_2.$$

*Proof.* 1°. We put  $U = U_g$  and prove that  $U$  is multiplicative: it holds that

(\*) 
$$U(\varphi\psi) = U\varphi \cdot U\psi$$

for any bounded measurable functions. By the definition (3) of  $U$  the relation (\*) is obvious if both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are functions in  $M$ . Suppose  $\varphi$  is

bounded and  $\phi$  belongs to  $M$ . By lemma 2, there exists such a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}$  of functions in  $M$  that

$$\varphi_n \longrightarrow \varphi \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \text{ in } L_2.$$

Then the following inequality (which holds almost everywhere)

$$\begin{aligned} |U(\varphi\phi)(x) - U\varphi(x) \cdot U\phi(x)| &\leq |U(\varphi\phi)(x) - U(\varphi_n\phi)(x)| \\ &+ |U(\varphi_n\phi)(x) - U\varphi_n(x) \cdot U\phi(x)| + |U\varphi_n(x) \cdot U\phi(x) - U\varphi(x) \cdot U\phi(x)| \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\varphi\phi) - U\varphi \cdot U\phi\|_{L_1} &\leq \|U(\varphi\phi) - U(\varphi_n\phi)\|_{L_1} + \|(U\varphi_n - U\varphi)U\phi\|_{L_1} \\ &\leq \|U(\varphi\phi) - U(\varphi_n\phi)\| + \|(U\varphi_n - U\varphi)U\phi\| \\ &\leq \left\{ \sup_x |\phi(x)| + \sup_x |U\phi(x)| \right\} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{L_1}$  being the  $L_1$ -norm. Hence we have  $U(\varphi\phi) = U\varphi \cdot U\phi$ . Furthermore, let  $\psi$  be bounded and find  $\psi_n \in M$  such that

$$\psi_n \longrightarrow \psi \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \text{ in } L_2.$$

Then using the above result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\varphi\psi) - U\varphi \cdot U\psi\|_{L_1} &\leq \|U(\varphi\psi) - U(\varphi\psi_n)\|_{L_1} + \|U(\varphi\psi_n) - U\varphi \cdot U\psi_n\|_{L_1} \\ &\quad + \|(U\psi_n - U\psi)U\varphi\|_{L_1} \\ &\leq \|U(\varphi\psi) - U(\varphi\psi_n)\| + \|U\varphi\| \cdot \|U\psi_n - U\psi\| \\ &\leq \left\{ \sup_x |\varphi(x)| + \|\varphi\| \right\} \|\psi_n - \psi\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

so that we have  $U(\varphi\psi) = U\varphi \cdot U\psi$ . This proves the assertion.

2° Since  $E$  is a nuclear space, the conjugate space  $E^*$  of  $E$  is a separable complete metric space and the class of all Borel sets of this space coincides with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by all cylinder sets (see [6]). Moreover, the Gaussian measure  $\mu$  is regular. Therefore, on account of the results of von Neumann [4, 5], we know that there exists a unique (mod 0) automorphism  $T_\sigma$  satisfying the relation of (i). Finally, applying (i) of lemma 3, we get

$$\varphi(T_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}^{-1}x) = U_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}\varphi(x) = U_{\sigma_1}U_{\sigma_2}\varphi(x) = \varphi(T_{\sigma_2}^{-1}T_{\sigma_1}^{-1}x) \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

Thus we obtain  $T_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = T_{\sigma_1}T_{\sigma_2}$  (mod 0). This concludes the proof.

