PNNS-GS2: Development and validation of a dietary quality score reflecting the French nutritional recommendations of 2017
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Abstract

Introduction

The food-based dietary guidelines having been revised in March 2017, it appeared necessary to update the National Nutrition Health Program - Guidelines Score (PNNS-GS), the diet quality score developed according to the 2001 recommendations. This study was therefore aimed at developing and validating the PNNS-GS2, the diet quality score based on the new recommendations.

Material and methods

Our sample included 80,965 French adults enrolled in the prospective NutriNet-Santé cohort. Collected data included 24-hour dietary records over two years, socio-demographic data, and (in a sub-sample of 16,938 individuals) clinical and biological indicators. The cut-offs and weights of the components of the PNNS-GS2 were developed collegially by nutrition experts who were involved in the update of the recommendations. The score has 13 components for a theoretical value ranging from $-\infty$ to 13.5 points. Nutritional, socio-demographic, clinical, and biological data were described according to the PNNS-GS2 quintile. The face, content, construct and criterion validities were also evaluated.

Results

In our sample, mean PNNS-GS2 was 2.1 (SD = 3.1) in women and -0.3 (SD = 3.6) in men. A high PNNS-GS2 (and therefore a better adherence to the new recommendations) was positively associated with (mean difference Q5-Q1) a high age ($\Delta$women = + 8.4 / $\Delta$men = + 4.7 years), higher educational level ($\Delta$women = + 3.9 / $\Delta$men = + 7.4% with a university level), more physical activity ($\Delta$women = + 13.3 / $\Delta$men = + 3.5% with $\geq$ 60 min/day) and a larger proportion of non-smokers ($\Delta$women = + 9.7 / $\Delta$men = + 13.7%). A high PNNS-GS2 was also positively associated with a higher fiber intake ($\Delta$women = + 8.7 / $\Delta$men = + 10.7 g/d) or vitamin C ($\Delta$women = + 36.6 / $\Delta$men = + 43.8 mg/d), and negatively with mean arterial pressure ($\Delta$women = -3.0 / $\Delta$men = -2.8 mmHg) and plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations ($\Delta$women = -0.07 / $\Delta$men = -0.06 g/L) and triglycerides ($\Delta$women = -0.1 / $\Delta$men = -0.16 g/L). All tests were significant ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Associations observed between the PNNS-GS2 and socio-demographic, nutritional and clinico-biological factors are consistent and corroborate its validity. Further studies will be needed to estimate its association with mortality and morbidity.
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