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Invasion in and translocation across enterocytes are major events during *Campylobacter jejuni*-induced enteritis in humans. *C. jejuni in vitro* infection of cell monolayers typically results in loss of tight junction integrity, which could contribute to translocation. In the present study, we wanted to investigate whether butyrate is able to confer protection to Caco-2 cells against *C. jejuni* invasion, thus reducing paracellular permeability and limiting *C. jejuni* translocation. Protection of Caco-2 cells against *C. jejuni* invasion was assessed using a gentamicin protection assay. Transwell systems were used to investigate the impact of butyrate on translocation of *C. jejuni* across a Caco-2 monolayer and its effect on transepithelial resistance during infection. Butyrate protected Caco-2 cells against *C. jejuni* invasion in a concentration-dependent manner. Differentiated Caco-2 cells were less susceptible to *C. jejuni* invasion than 3-d-old undifferentiated cells and higher concentrations of butyrate and longer incubation times were needed to become refractive for invasion. *C. jejuni* translocation over Caco-2 monolayers was reduced when monolayers were treated with butyrate and this was accompanied by an enhanced drop in transepithelial resistance. The present study showed that butyrate is able to protect Caco-2 cells from two major virulence mechanisms of *C. jejuni*, namely invasion and translocation, but not from a decline in transepithelial resistance.

**Butyrate:** *Campylobacter jejuni*: Caco-2 cells

Butyrate is an important product of bacterial fermentation of dietary fibre in the colonic lumen, where it is consumed as a primary energy source by the mucosa. It plays an active role in gut health by its anti-inflammatory properties, its ability to decrease paracellular permeability via modulation of the tight junction proteins, and promotion of the restoration and preservation of the gut barrier after damage.

The pathogenesis of a *Campylobacter jejuni* infection, currently one of the leading causes of food-borne bacterial gastroenteritis, is associated with bacterial invasion in and translocation over the intestinal epithelium and disruption of tight junctions. The mechanisms behind *C. jejuni* translocation are paradoxical: both transcellular and paracellular routes have been observed. A drop in transepithelial resistance (TER) is noticed after prolonged infection times and high inoculation doses. This TER drop is associated with a re-localisation of occludin from an intercellular to an intracellular location and thus a disruption of the tight junctions.

Because of the beneficial effects of butyrate on tight junction integrity and gut health, we investigated whether butyrate could play a protective role during *C. jejuni* infection by decreasing invasion and increasing tight junction integrity resulting in decreased bacterial paracellular translocation.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture and reagents**

Caco-2 cells were used in the present study, because this cell line is widely known for its ability to differentiate and its capacity to form high columnar epithelial cells, closely resembling intestinal epithelium. Caco-2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 1% non-essential amino acids and 10% fetal calf serum, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. All cell-culture reagents were from Gibco (Invitrogen, Merelbeke, Belgium). For all experiments twenty-four-well plates were used. Cells were seeded at 1 x 10⁵ cells/well in 1 ml medium and allowed to attach and reach confluence for 3 d. Cells were subjected to experiments at two stages of differentiation: for undifferentiated cells, 3-d-old monolayers were used, while for differentiated cells, monolayers were used 17 d after seeding. Medium without butyrate supplement was refreshed every 72 h, while medium with butyrate was changed daily.

**Bacterial strains and culture conditions**

*C. jejuni* strain R-27456, kindly provided by Professor Dr Peter Vandamme (Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium),

---
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was isolated from a patient suffering from bloody diarrhoea. Bacteria were routinely cultured in Preston broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hants, UK) supplemented with Campylobacter-specific growth supplements (SR117 and SR0232; Oxoid) at 42°C under microaerobic conditions (5% O₂; 5% CO₂; 5% H₂; 85% N₂). Escherichia coli DH5α and Salmonella enteritidis 76SA88 were routinely cultured in Luria broth at 37°C. For enumeration of bacteria, C. jejuni, E. coli and S. enteritidis were plated on modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA) plates, Luria agar plates and brilliant green agar plates, respectively (Oxoid).

**Cell number and cell viability assay**

The number of Caco-2 cells visible in the wells for bacterial invasion was quantified, since proliferation and induction of apoptosis by butyrate could influence available Caco-2 cell number[13,14]. Cells were seeded on glass coverslips in a twenty-four-well plate at 1 x 10⁵ cells/well and allowed to adhere and grow for 3 or 17 d. Cell numbers of undifferentiated and differentiated cells, incubated with 0 mM-, 2.5 mM- or 5.0 mM-butyrate for 24, 48 or 72 h were enumerated microscopically after fixation with ice-cold methanol and staining with Hoechst 33342 (10 μg/ml; Sigma Aldrich) for 10 min at room temperature. Glass coverslips were mounted and were examined through a Leica DM CB microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and cells of a minimum of seven fields were counted.

Cell viability was assessed by using the colorimetric 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma, Bornem, Belgium) assay. Undifferentiated and differentiated cells were treated with butyrate as described above. MTT (0.5 mg/ml) was added to the wells and cells were further incubated for 3 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The reaction was stopped by aspirating the medium and the formed formazan crystals were dissolved by adding dimethylsulfoxide. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm with a reference filter at 690 nm.

**Bacterial invasion assay**

Caco-2 cells were seeded in twenty-four-well plates at 1 x 10⁵ cells/well and allowed to adhere and reach confluency for 3 d. Because butyrate induces differentiation of the Caco-2 cell layer[13], we used undifferentiated and differentiated monolayers to investigate the effect of an increased cellular differentiation status on invasion susceptibility.

At 3 and 17 d respectively, butyrate was added to the medium at 0, 2.5 or 5.0 mM for 24, 48 or 72 h. Thereafter, cell cultures were washed and exposed to 8 x 10⁵ colony-forming units (cfu) of C. jejuni suspended in cell-culture medium without antibiotics and containing 0.0, 2.5 or 5.0 mM-sodium butyrate. Plates were centrifuged for 10 min at 600 g at 37°C to deposit bacteria to the surface of the monolayer. After 3 h, cell layers were washed and incubated with gentamicin (100 μg/ml) for 2 h to kill extracellular bacteria. To enumerate intracellular bacteria, cells were lysed using 0.25% sodium deoxycholate and bacterial counts were performed by titration on modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA) plates.

To examine the effect of butyrate on the C. jejuni ability to invade Caco-2 cells, bacteria were grown in Preston broth containing 5.0 mM-butyrate for 18 h at 42°C, under microaerobic conditions. The invasion assay was carried out on 3-d-old Caco-2 cells, not exposed to butyrate.

**Bacterial translocation and epithelial permeability assays**

For these experiments, 1 x 10⁵ cells/well were seeded on the apical side of Transwell polyester membrane filters (6.5 mm diameter inserts, 3.0 μm pore size; Corning, Sigma Aldrich, Bornem, Belgium) and left to differentiate for 17 d, after which 0.0 mM- or 5.0 mM-sodium butyrate (Sigma Aldrich) was added to the apical and basolateral chamber. After 48 h, cells were washed and 2 x 10⁷ cfu of C. jejuni were added to the apical chamber in cell culture medium without antibiotics and containing 0.0 mM- or 5.0 mM-sodium butyrate. Wells plates were centrifuged for 10 min at 600 g at 37°C. E. coli DH5α and S. enteritidis 76SA88 served as negative and positive controls respectively for bacterial translocation and TER reduction[15]. To enumerate translocated bacteria, 20 μl samples were taken from the basolateral chamber at 0, 1, 3, 6 and 24 h after centrifugation and titrated. Resistance was measured before and after inoculation using the Endohm™ tissue resistance measurement chamber coupled to an EVOM™ epithelial voltohmmeter (World Precision Instruments, Stevenage, Herts, UK).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistics were performed on at least three independent assays. Results are given as mean values with their standard errors. Significance was tested by the two-tailed Student’s t test and P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**The effect of butyrate on Caco-2 cell viability and cell number**

Since butyrate is known to induce apoptosis in Caco-2 cells and cell invasion by C. jejuni is influenced by the available cell number in the monolayer, the effect of butyrate on cell viability and number was evaluated. Treatment of undifferentiated monolayers with butyrate resulted in decreased cell viability after 72 h incubation with 2.5 mM and 5.0 mM (P<0.01) as shown in Fig. 1(A). Differentiated cells showed a decreased cell viability only after incubation with 5.0 mM-butyrate for 48 h and longer (Fig. 1(B)) (P<0.05), while 2.5 mM had no effect.

The cell number in the monolayer was slightly affected by butyrate treatment. Cell number of undifferentiated monolayers (Fig. 1(A)) was only significantly reduced after 72 h incubation with 5.0 mM-butyrate and the percentage compared with the untreated control was 63 (SEM 3) % (P<0.01). For differentiated monolayers (Fig. 1(B)), 5.0 mM significantly reduced cell number after 24 and 48 h (86 (SEM 2) and 79 (SEM 3) % respectively compared with the untreated control (P<0.01)).
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only concentrations of 5·0 mM and incubation times of 48 h or still had a protective effect on 17-d-old Caco-2 cells, although vertical bars. Mean value was significantly different from that of the untreated control from at least three different experiments, with standard errors represented by 5·0 mM (\(\text{C. jejuni}\) after incubation for 24, 48 and 72 h with concentrations of 2·5 mM (\(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion of undifferentiated Caco-2 cells. Cell viability was evaluated by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yi)-2,5-diphenyloxazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Results are expressed on the left axis as % of control (100 %). On the right axis, the cell number initiated Caco-2 cells. Cell viability was evaluated by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yi)-2,5-diphenyloxazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Results are expressed on the left axis as % of control (100 %). On the right axis, the cell number summarised in Fig. 2(A). No further decrease in invasion was found when monolayers where incubated longer than 48 h (\(P>0.05\)). Because butyrate enhances the differentiation of Caco-2 cells, we investigated the impact of the differentiation state alone on \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion. In absence of butyrate, undifferentiated 3-d-old Caco-2 monolayers were almost four times more susceptible for \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion than 17-d-old differentiated cells: 2·3 (SEM 0·3) \(\times 10^6\) and 4·4 (SEM 1·1) \(\times 10^7\) cfu/ml (\(P<0.001\)) respectively, despite equal cell numbers in the monolayer (average 1·44 (SEM 0·05) \(\times 10^6\) cells/well and 1·43 (SEM 0·07) \(\times 10^6\) cells/well for differentiated and undifferentiated cells respectively; \(P>0.05\)). Even so, butyrate still had a protective effect on 17-d-old Caco-2 cells, although only concentrations of 5·0 mM and incubation times of 48 h or longer were needed. A butyrate concentration of 2·5 mM had no effect on \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion at all. Data are summarised in Fig. 2(B).

No significant differences were found in the ability of \(\text{C. jejuni}\) to invade the Caco-2 monolayer, when bacteria were grown in the presence of 5·0 mM-butyrate, compared with \(\text{C. jejuni}\) grown in Preston broth alone (data not shown).

**Butyrate protects undifferentiated Caco-2 cells better against invasion of Campylobacter jejuni than differentiated cells**

Treatment of the monolayer with butyrate caused a significant decrease in \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion and this effect was concentration dependent. This reduction was observed as soon as 24 h after pre-incubation of the monolayer with as little as 2·5 mM-butyrate. Data for undifferentiated monolayers are summarised in Fig. 2(A). No further decrease in invasion was found when monolayers where incubated longer than 48 h (\(P>0.05\)).

Because butyrate enhances the differentiation of Caco-2 cells, we investigated the impact of the differentiation state alone on \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion. In absence of butyrate, undifferentiated 3-d-old Caco-2 monolayers were almost four times more susceptible for \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion than 17-d-old differentiated cells: 2·3 (SEM 0·3) \(\times 10^6\) and 4·4 (SEM 1·1) \(\times 10^7\) cfu/ml (\(P<0.001\)) respectively, despite equal cell numbers in the monolayer (average 1·44 (SEM 0·05) \(\times 10^6\) cells/well and 1·43 (SEM 0·07) \(\times 10^6\) cells/well for differentiated and undifferentiated cells respectively; \(P>0.05\)). Even so, butyrate still had a protective effect on 17-d-old Caco-2 cells, although only concentrations of 5·0 mM and incubation times of 48 h or longer were needed. A butyrate concentration of 2·5 mM had no effect on \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion at all. Data are summarised in Fig. 2(B).

No significant differences were found in the ability of \(\text{C. jejuni}\) to invade the Caco-2 monolayer, when bacteria were grown in the presence of 5·0 mM-butyrate, compared with \(\text{C. jejuni}\) grown in Preston broth alone (data not shown).

**Butyrate reduces translocation of Campylobacter jejuni over the Caco-2 cell monolayer, despite loss of transepithelial resistance**

Because of the marked decrease of \(\text{C. jejuni}\) invasion due to butyrate treatment, we wondered if butyrate could also influence translocation of \(\text{C. jejuni}\) over Caco-2 cells. The addition of 5·0 mM-butyrate to the monolayer for a period of 48 h resulted in a slight but still significant drop in TER (0·0 mM-butyrate: 1056 (SEM 34) \(\Omega/cm^2\); 5·0 mM-butyrate: 841 (SEM 78) \(\Omega/cm^2\); \(P<0.01\)). When \(\text{C. jejuni}\) was added to the apical chamber of butyrate-treated and -untreated differentiated monolayers seeded in the Transwell system, bacteria were recovered from the basolateral chamber after centrifugation. However, 24 h after bacterial inoculation, approximately ten times less bacteria were recovered: 1·3 (SEM 0·8) \(\times 10^5\) cfu/ml for monolayers treated with 5·0 mM-butyrate, compared with 3·0 (SEM 1·5) \(\times 10^6\) cfu/ml for monolayers not treated with butyrate (\(P<0.05\)). Results are given in Fig. 3.

The addition of \(\text{C. jejuni}\) at 2 \(\times 10^7\) cfu to the apical chamber of untreated cells did not result in TER decrease (before inoculation: 1056 (SEM 34) \(\Omega/cm^2\); after inoculation: 1026 (SEM 87) \(\Omega/cm^2\); \(P>0.05\)). In contrast, when monolayers were inoculated with \(\text{C. jejuni}\) after pre-treatment of the Caco-2 cells with 5·0 mM-butyrate for 48 h, a pronounced drop of TER was observed 24 h after infection (before inoculation: 841 (SEM 78) \(\Omega/cm^2\); after inoculation: 425 (SEM 53) \(\Omega/cm^2\); \(P<0.01\)).

No translocation or drop in TER was observed when both butyrate-pre-treated and -untreated monolayers were inoculated with \(\text{E. coli DH5\alpha}\), which served as a negative control. When \(\text{S. enteritidis}\) was added to the monolayers, a drop in
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was observed as soon as 24 h after treatment with butyrate. Indeed tyrosine phosphorylation observed during Caco-2 cell invasion has been shown to depend on tyrosine phosphorylation of the host cell(17 – 19).

Differentiation(16). Indeed, the reduced bacterial invasion might be largely explained by campylobacteriosis. The concentration of butyrate can be increased by altering the composition of the diet. Resistant starch and dietary fibres that escaped digestion are the most important sources for fermentation by the colonic anaerobic microbiota. Various feeding studies demonstrate the ability of diet composition to alter SCFA concentrations in the intestine. Rats that were fed a wheat-bran diet had increased butyrate concentration compared with rats on a high-fermentable pectin diet(21). The distribution of butyrate depends on the fermentability of fibres: the fermentation and absorption of SCFA is very fast and highly fermentable fibres such as pectin and oat bran are absorbed in the caecum and the proximal colon, while the consumption of slowly fermentable fibres, such as wheat bran, result in a consistently high butyrate level in the distal region of the rat colon(22,23). It should be noted that fast fermentable fibres still are able to contribute to intestinal health and elevation in butyrate concentration, as demonstrated by Hallert et al.(24). In their study, patients suffering from ulcerative colitis were subjected to a diet consisting of 20 g dietary fibre from oat bran and their faecal butyrate concentration was significantly increased at the end of the trial. Since an elevation of butyrate concentration due to slow-fermenting fibres is most noticeable in the distal colon, and considering the topology of C. jejuni infections(25,26), dietary fibres could have a positive influence on C. jejuni-induced enteritis by reducing C. jejuni invasion and translocation over the gut epithelium.

In conclusion, the present study illustrates that butyrate is able to protect the Caco-2 monolayer from C. jejuni invasion and translocation, correlating with enhanced cellular differentiation. Since colonic butyrate concentrations depend on dietary fibre, diet formulation may influence the outcome of campylobacteriosis.

Acknowledgements

The study was financed by the Federal Public Service for Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment project R-04/002-CAMPY. The authors acknowledge that there is no conflict of interest.

Discussion

The present study showed that butyrate can protect Caco-2 cells against the first steps of C. jejuni pathogenesis: invasion and translocation. These effects could be attributed to a protective effect of butyrate on Caco-2 cells and not to an effect on the pathogen itself regarding its invasive capabilities.

Butyrate protected Caco-2 cells from C. jejuni invasion in a concentration-dependent manner and an incubation time of 24 h was sufficient. It has been described that butyrate inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis(13,14) and thus the observed decrease in invasion could be the result of a reduced cell number in the monolayer. However, in the case of undifferentiated cells, the effect of butyrate on cell number and cell viability could not explain these observations: the number and viability of undifferentiated Caco-2 cells was only significantly reduced from 72 and 48 h incubation with 5·0 mM-butyrate respectively, while the reduction of Campylobacter invasion was observed as soon as 24 h after treatment with butyrate.

The reduced bacterial invasion might be largely explained by the butyrate-induced cellular differentiation(13). This hypothesis is supported by the finding that, in the absence of butyrate, differentiated monolayers were four times less susceptible to C. jejuni invasion than undifferentiated cells. A possible mechanism of butyrate protection could be the decrease in tyrosine phosphorylation observed during Caco-2 cell differentiation(16). Indeed C. jejuni invasion has been shown to depend on tyrosine phosphorylation of the host cell(17–19).

Butyrate still had a protective effect on differentiated monolayers, but only after a pre-treatment period of 48 h with 5·0 mM-butyrate. This is in accordance with earlier observations that the differentiating monolayer loses its sensitivity towards butyrate(20). The observed reduction of invasion of Campylobacter coincided with a decreased cell viability and it seems that, unlike undifferentiated cells, the protective effect of butyrate can be at least partly be attributed to a decrease in cell viability.

The second step in C. jejuni pathogenesis, namely translocation across the cell monolayer, was significantly reduced when cells were treated with butyrate, despite a marked decrease in TER. Although this drop suggests increased monolayer permeability, it seems that tight junctions still displayed sufficient integrity to prevent mass paracellular translocation of C. jejuni. The decrease in TER combined with a pronounced reduction of translocated bacteria thus favours the hypothesis that translocation of C. jejuni is transcellular in nature and that the observed reduction of translocation is caused by a decreased susceptibility of the cell for C. jejuni invasion.

Butyrate is frequently associated with various health-promoting functions, such as a decreased risk for colon cancer and irritable bowel syndrome. The present results suggest that butyrate might protect from campylobacteriosis. The concentration of butyrate can be increased by altering the composition of the diet. Resistant starch and dietary fibres that escaped digestion are the most important sources for fermentation by the colonic anaerobic microbiota. Various feeding studies demonstrate the ability of diet composition to alter SCFA concentrations in the intestine. Rats that were fed a wheat-bran diet had increased butyrate concentration compared with rats on a high-fermentable pectin diet(21). The distribution of butyrate depends on the fermentability of fibres: the fermentation and absorption of SCFA is very fast and highly fermentable fibres such as pectin and oat bran are absorbed in the caecum and the proximal colon, while the consumption of slowly fermentable fibres, such as wheat bran, result in a consistently high butyrate level in the distal region of the rat colon(22,23).
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