the values (5) of $\alpha$ belong to a certain class of quadratic surds for which

$$
u_{v_{n}}=u_{n}+v_{n} .
$$

We hope to publish elsewhere an account of this and other results connected with Beatty sequences.
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## SOME PROPERTIES OF BEATTY SEQUENCES I*

Ian G. Connell

(received June 2, 1959)

1. Introduction. Two sequences of natural numbers are said to be complementary if they contain all the positive integers without repetition or omission. S. Beatty [1] observed that the sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}=[n(1+1 / \alpha)], n=1,2,3, \ldots,  \tag{1}\\
& v_{n}=[n(1+\alpha)], n=1,2,3, \ldots, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

(where square brackets denote the integral part function) are complementary if and only if $\alpha>0$ and $\alpha$ is irrational. We call the pair (1),(2) Beatty sequences of argument $\alpha$.

[^0]The particular values of $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2}\left(k+\sqrt{k^{2}+4}\right), k=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

give rise to Beatty sequences which are connected with a generalization of Wythoff's game. (See [2] where the proof of Theorem 1 may be used to prove Beatty's statement.) Since

$$
1+A=1+(1 / A)+k
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=u_{n}+k n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k=1, A=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$ and $v_{n}=u_{n}+n$. The Beatty sequences of this argument are given in Table I which was constructed by the rules:
(i) $u_{1}=1$;
(ii) $v_{n}=u_{n}+n$;
(iii) $u_{n+l}$ is the least positive integer distinct from the $2 n$ integers $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots u_{n}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$.

| n | $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 5 | 8 | 13 |
| 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 7 | 11 | 18 |
| 8 | 12 | 20 |
| 9 | 14 | 23 |
| 10 | 16 | 26 |
| 11 | 17 | 28 |
| 12 | 19 | 31 |
| 13 | 21 | 34 |

Table I
It was conjectured from this table that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{v_{n}}=u_{n}+v_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is proved below. The main object of this paper is to determine all $\alpha>0$ for which the Beatty sequences of argument
$\alpha$ obey (5) for every positive integer n .

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 2. If } 0<\alpha<1 \text { then } u_{1}>1, v_{1}=1 \text { and } \\
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{v}_{1}}=\mathrm{u}_{1} \neq \mathrm{u}_{1}+\mathrm{v}_{1},
\end{gathered}
$$

so that (5) is violated for $\mathrm{n}=1$. Thus we assume $\alpha>1$. Writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=1+1 / \alpha \quad, \quad \eta=1+\alpha, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(whence $1<\xi<2$ ), Beatty's theorem becomes: $[n \xi]$ and $[n \eta]$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ are complementary if and only if $\xi>1, \xi$ is irrational and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{-1}+\eta^{-1}=1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (5) is

$$
\begin{align*}
{[[n \eta] \xi] } & =[n \xi]+[n \eta]  \tag{8}\\
{[n \xi]+[n \eta] } & <[n \eta]\}<[n \xi]+[n \eta]+1
\end{align*}
$$

(strict inequalities since $\xi$ is irrational).
Denote by $r(x)=x-[x]$ the fractional part of $x$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{n} \xi)=\varepsilon, \mathrm{r}(\mathrm{n} \eta)=\delta . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting (8) we have,

$$
n(\xi+\eta)-\varepsilon-\delta<n \eta \xi-\delta \xi<n(\xi+\eta)-\varepsilon-\delta+1
$$

or since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi+\eta=\xi \eta \\
-\varepsilon-\delta<-\delta \xi<-\varepsilon-\delta+1
\end{gathered}
$$

Now $-\delta \xi<-\varepsilon-\delta+1$ is invariably true since $\xi>1$, $\delta>0$, and $\varepsilon<1$. Thus (5) is equivalent to

$$
-\varepsilon-\delta<-\delta \xi
$$

or, since $\xi=1+1 / \alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta<\alpha \varepsilon . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require the following well known result which we state without proof.

LEMMA. If $\xi, \eta$ and 1 are linearly independent the set of points with cartesian coordinates

$$
(r(n \xi), r(n \eta)), n=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

is uniformly distributed in the unit square. If one and only one relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \eta=a \xi+b \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for (not all zero) integers $a, b, c$ holds, then the set is uniformly distributed on the segments within the unit square of the lines

$$
c y=a x+\nu
$$

where $\nu$ is any integer. The values of $\nu$ which give rise to segments within the unit square are (with $c>0$ ):

```
a positive: -a< \nu}<\textrm{c}\mathrm{ ,
a negative: 0< y<c-a.
```

Our condition is $\delta<\alpha \varepsilon$. Thus, plotting the points $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ we see that if a point lies in region A (fig. 1) then (5) is false and if it lies in region $B,(5)$ is


Fig. 1 true. Hence (5) cannot be true for every $n$ if $\xi, \eta$ and 1 are linearly independent, for region $A$ contains infinitely many points. If there exists a relation (11), the possible situations are shown in figures 2-5. We disregard $a=0$ and $c=0$ which correspond to $\eta$ and $\xi$ rational, for by the additional condition (7) they would then both be rational and two relations of type (11) would exist. We take $c>0$.

$c=1,0<a<\alpha$
Fig. 2

$c>0, a / c>\alpha$
Fig. 4

$c>1,0<a / c<\alpha$
Fig. 3

$c>0, \quad a<0$
Fig. 5

We see that $\delta<\alpha \varepsilon$ for all $n$ only in the case $c=1$, $0<a<\alpha$. From (7) and (11) we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\left\{(a+b-1)+\sqrt{(a+b-1)^{2}+4 a}\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $\alpha>$ a gives

$$
\sqrt{(a+b-1)^{2}+4 a}>a-b+1
$$

or $4 \mathrm{ab}>0$, and since $\mathrm{a}>0, \mathrm{~b}>0$.
We notice that the values (12) of $\alpha$ are irrational; for let

$$
(a+b-1)^{2}+4 a=(a+b-1+m)^{2}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer. Then

$$
4 a=2 m(a+b-1)+m^{2}
$$

and $m=2 m_{1}$. Hence

$$
a=m_{1}(a+b-1)+m_{1}^{2}
$$

Since $b \geqslant 1$ and $m_{1}>0$,

$$
a \geqslant m_{1}\left(a+m_{1}\right)
$$

which is impossible for a positive integer.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { The equation } & \eta=a \xi+b \text { is equivalent to } \\
v_{n}=u_{a n}+b n . \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

We have
THEOREM 1. If $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are Beatty sequences of argument $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{v_{n}}=u_{n}+v_{n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true if and only if there exists a relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{an}}+\mathrm{bn}, \mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with fixed positive integers $a$ and $b$. The only values of $\alpha$ for which this is true are

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =\frac{1}{2}\left\{(a+b-1)+\sqrt{(a+b-1)^{2}+4 a}\right\},  \tag{16}\\
a & =1,2,3, \ldots, b=1,2,3, \ldots .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. A restatement of Theorem 1 .

THEOREM 2. The statement

$$
\begin{equation*}
[[n(1+\alpha)] / \alpha]=[n(1+\alpha) / \alpha], n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true for
(i) positive integers, $\alpha=1,2,3, \ldots$
(ii) the irrational numbers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{(a+b-1)+\sqrt{(a+b-1)^{2}+4 a}\right\}, \\
a & =1,2,3, \ldots, b=1,2,3, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

and is false for all other values of $\alpha>0$. Written in order of magnitude the possible values of $\alpha$ are:

$$
1, \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{ } 5), 2,1+\sqrt{2}, 1+\sqrt{3}, 3, \frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{ } 13), \frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{ } 17), \frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{2} 1), 4, \ldots
$$

Proof: Writing (8) in terms of $\alpha$,

$$
[[n(1+\alpha)](1+1 / \alpha)]=[n(1+1 / \alpha)]+[n(1+\alpha)],
$$

or

$$
[[\mathrm{n}(1+\alpha)] 1 / \alpha]=[\mathrm{n}(1+1 / \alpha)],
$$

which is (17). By Theorem 1, (17) is true for irrational $\alpha>0$ only for the values listed.

If $0<\alpha<1$, set $n=1$. Then

$$
[[\mathrm{n}(1+\alpha)] / \alpha]=[1 / \alpha]
$$

and

$$
[\mathrm{n}(1+\alpha) / \alpha]=1+[1 / \alpha]
$$

so that (17) is violated for $\mathrm{n}=1$.
Clearly (17) is true if $\alpha$ is a positive integer. But it is false for any non-integral rational; for let

$$
\alpha=p / q, \quad(p, q)=1, \quad 1<q<p,
$$

and set $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p}$. Let

$$
\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{rq}+\mathrm{s}, 0<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{q}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[[n(l+\alpha)] / \alpha] } & =[(p+r) q / p]=q+\left[\left(p^{2}-s\right) / p\right] \\
& =p+q+[-s / p]=p+q-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas,

$$
[n(1+\alpha) / \alpha]=[p(1+p / q) q / p]=p+q,
$$

and (17) is violated for $n=p$.
4. Some asymptotic formulas. Define $\lambda(n)=1$ if (5) is true and $\lambda(n)=0$ if (5) is false; set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda(n) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1 gives the values of $\alpha$ for which $\bigwedge(N)=N$ for all $N$. In the other cases it is possible to evaluate $\Lambda(N)$ asymptotically.

If a relation (11) exists then by (7) $\xi$ and $\eta$ are quadratic surds and the points ( $\varepsilon, \delta$ ) are uniformly distributed on line segments in the unit square. Hence $\Lambda(N) / N$ is asymptotic to the ratio of the length of line segments in region $B$ (fig. 1) to the total length of line segments in the unit square. However the expressions in the various cases are complicated.

If $\xi, \eta$ and 1 are linearly independent, then, by the uniform distribution,

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \bigwedge(N) / N=\text { area of region } B
$$

That is,
THEOREM 3. If $\alpha>1$ is irrational but not a quadratic surd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge(N) \sim N(2 \alpha-1) / 2 \alpha \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a theorem of $W$. Sierpinski [3] we can get a weaker result, but one which is valid for all irrational $\alpha$.

THEOREM 4. If $\alpha>1$ is irrational,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(N)>N((\alpha-1) / 2 \alpha)+o(1) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If (8) is true,

$$
[n \eta]\}<[n\}]+[n \eta]+1,
$$

and if it is false,
In either case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n \eta]\}<[n \xi]+[n \eta] \text {. } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$[n \eta]\}[n \xi]+[n \eta]+\lambda(n)$.
Sierpinski's result states that for x irrational,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{N}[n x]=\frac{1}{2} N(N+1) x-\frac{1}{2} N+o(1) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding inequalities (21) and applying (22),

$$
\frac{1}{2} N(N+1) \eta \xi-\frac{1}{2} N \xi+o(1)<\frac{1}{2} N(N+1)(\xi+\eta)-N+\Lambda(N)+o(1),
$$

i.e., $\quad \wedge(N)>\frac{1}{2} N(2-\xi)+o(1)$, which is the theorem.
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