the values (5) of  $\alpha$  belong to a certain class of quadratic surds for which

$$u_{v_n} = u_n + v_n$$
.

We hope to publish elsewhere an account of this and other results connected with Beatty sequences.

The author would like to thank Dr. N.S. Mendelsohn for his advice and encouragement.

## REFERENCES

- 1. W.A. Wythoff, A modification of the game of Nim, Nieuw. Archief. voor Viskunde (2), 7 (1907), 199-202.
  - S. Beatty, Amer. Math. Monthly 33 (1926), 159. (problem); solutions, ibid. 34 (1927), 159.

3. T. Skolem, Mathematica Scandinavica, 5(1957), 57.

 H.S.M. Coxeter, The golden section, phyllotaxis and Wythoff's game, Scripta Mathematica 19 (1953), 135-143.

# SOME PROPERTIES OF BEATTY SEQUENCES I\*

### Ian G. Connell

(received June 2, 1959)

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Two sequences of natural numbers are said to be complementary if they contain all the positive integers without repetition or omission. S. Beatty [1] observed that the sequences

(1) 
$$u_n = [n (1 + 1/\alpha)]$$
,  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...,$ 

(2) 
$$v_n = [n(1 + \alpha)]$$
,  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...,$ 

(where square brackets denote the integral part function) are complementary if and only if  $\ll > 0$  and  $\ll$  is irrational. We call the pair (1),(2) Beatty sequences of argument  $\ll$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Excerpt from Master of Science Thesis, University of Manitoba, 1959.

The particular values of  $\alpha$  ,

(3) 
$$A = \frac{1}{2}(k + \sqrt{k^2 + 4}), k = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

give rise to Beatty sequences which are connected with a generalization of Wythoff's game. (See [2] where the proof of Theorem 1 may be used to prove Beatty's statement.) Since

$$1 + A = 1 + (1/A) + k$$
,

$$u_n = u_n + kn .$$

When k = 1,  $A = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$  and  $v_n = u_n + n$ . The Beatty sequences of this argument are given in Table I which was constructed by the rules:

- (i)  $u_1 = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $v_n = u_n + n$ ;

(iii)  $u_{n+1}$  is the least positive integer distinct from the 2n integers  $u_1, u_2, \ldots u_n, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ .

n	<sup>u</sup> n	vn
1	1	2
2	3	5
3	4	7
4	6	10
5	8	13
6	9	15
7	-11	18
8	12	20
9	14	23
10	16	26
11	17	28
12	19	31
13	21	34

#### Table I

It was conjectured from this table that

$$(5) u_{v_n} = u_n + v_n .$$

This is proved below. The main object of this paper is to determine all  $\propto > 0$  for which the Beatty sequences of argument

 $\propto$  obey (5) for every positive integer n.

2. If 
$$0 < \alpha < 1$$
 then  $u_1 > 1$ ,  $v_1 = 1$  and  $u_{v_1} = u_1 \neq u_1 + v_1$ ,

so that (5) is violated for n = 1. Thus we assume  $\propto > 1$ . Writing

(6) 
$$\xi = 1 + 1/\alpha$$
,  $\eta = 1 + \alpha$ ,

(whence  $1 < \xi < 2$ ), Beatty's theorem becomes:  $[n\xi]$  and  $[n\eta]$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  are complementary if and only if  $\xi > 1$ ,  $\xi$  is irrational and

(7) 
$$\xi^{-1} + \eta^{-1} = 1$$

Thus (5) is

(8) 
$$[[n\eta]\xi] = [n\xi] + [n\eta],$$

or 
$$[n\xi] + [n\eta] < [n\eta]\xi < [n\xi] + [n\eta] + 1$$

(strict inequalities since  $\xi$  is irrational).

Denote by r(x) = x - [x] the fractional part of x and let

(9) 
$$r(n\xi) = \varepsilon$$
,  $r(n\eta) = \delta$ .

Rewriting (8) we have,

$$n(\xi + \eta) - \varepsilon - \delta < n\eta\xi - \delta\xi < n(\xi + \eta) - \varepsilon - \delta + 1$$
,

or since

$$\xi + \eta = \xi \eta,$$

$$-\varepsilon - \delta < -\delta \xi < -\varepsilon - \delta + 1.$$

Now  $-\delta\xi < -\epsilon - \delta$  +1 is invariably true since  $\xi > 1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , and  $\epsilon < 1$ . Thus (5) is equivalent to

or, since  $\xi = 1 + 1/\alpha$ ,

(10) 
$$\delta < \propto \epsilon$$
.

We require the following well known result which we state without proof.

LEMMA. If  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  and 1 are linearly independent the set of points with cartesian coordinates

$$(r(n \xi), r(n \gamma)), n = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

is uniformly distributed in the unit square. If one and only one relation

$$(11) c \eta = a \xi + b$$

for (not all zero) integers a, b, c holds, then the set is uniformly distributed on the segments within the unit square of the lines

 $cy = ax + \gamma$ 

where  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  is any integer. The values of  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  which give rise to segments within the unit square are (with c > 0):

a positive: 
$$-a < \forall < c$$
,  
a negative:  $o < \forall < c-a$ .

 $\delta < \alpha \epsilon$ . Thus, plotting the points Our condition is  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$  we see that if a point lies in region A (fig. 1) then (5) is



false and if it lies in region B, (5) is true. Hence (5) cannot be true for every n if  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  and 1 are linearly independent, for region A contains infinitely many points. If there exists a relation (11), the possible situations are shown in figures 2-5. We disregard a = 0 and c = 0 which correspond to  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  rational, for by the additional condition (7) they would then both be rational and two relations of type (11) would exist. We take c > 0.

Fig. 1



We see that  $\delta < \alpha \epsilon$  for all n only in the case c = 1, 0 < a < $\alpha$  . From (7) and (11) we calculate

(12) 
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (a+b-1) + \sqrt{(a+b-1)^2 + 4a} \right\}.$$

The condition  $\ll$  > a gives

$$\sqrt{(a+b-1)^2 + 4a} > a-b+1$$
,

or 4ab > 0, and since a > 0, b > 0.

We notice that the values (12) of  $\alpha$  are irrational; for let

$$(a + b - 1)^2 + 4a = (a + b - 1 + m)^2$$
,

where m is a positive integer. Then

 $4a = 2m(a + b - 1) + m^2$ 

and  $m = 2m_1$ . Hence

$$a = m_1(a + b - 1) + m_1^2$$
.

Since  $b \ge 1$  and  $m_1 > 0$ ,

 $a \gg m_1(a + m_1)$ 

which is impossible for a a positive integer.

The equation  $\eta = a \xi + b$  is equivalent to

(13) 
$$v_n = u_{an} + bn$$

We have

THEOREM 1. If  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are Beatty sequences of argument  $\ll$ , (14)  $u_{v_n} = u_n + v_n$ , n = 1, 2, 3, ...is true if and only if there exists a relation (15)  $v_n = u_{an} + bn$ , n = 1, 2, 3, ...with fixed positive integers a and b. The only values of  $\propto$  for

with fixed positive integers a and b. The only values of  $\propto$  for which this is true are

(16) 
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \{ (a + b - 1) + \sqrt{(a + b - 1)^2 + 4a} \},$$
  
 $a = 1, 2, 3, \dots, b = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

3. A restatement of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. The statement

(17) 
$$\left[ \left[ n(1+\alpha) \right] / \alpha \right] = \left[ n(1+\alpha) / \alpha \right], n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

is true for

(i) positive integers,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

(ii) the irrational numbers

$$= \frac{1}{2} \{ (a + b - 1) + \sqrt{(a + b - 1)^2 + 4a} \},\$$

 $a = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, b = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$ 

and is false for all other values of  $\ll > 0$ . Written in order of magnitude the possible values of  $\ll$  are:

**1**, 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (1+ $\sqrt{5}$ ), 2, 1+ $\sqrt{2}$ , 1+ $\sqrt{3}$ , 3,  $\frac{1}{2}$  (3+ $\sqrt{13}$ ),  $\frac{1}{2}$  (3+ $\sqrt{17}$ ),  $\frac{1}{2}$  (3+ $\sqrt{21}$ ), 4, ...

Proof: Writing (8) in terms of 
$$\alpha$$
,  

$$\begin{bmatrix} [n(1+\alpha)] (1+1/\alpha) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n(1+1/\alpha) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n(1+\alpha) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [n(1+\alpha)] 1/\alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n(1+1/\alpha) \end{bmatrix},$$

or

and

which is (17). By Theorem 1, (17) is true for irrational  $\alpha > 0$  only for the values listed.

If 
$$0 < \alpha < 1$$
, set  $n = 1$ . Then  

$$\left[ \left[ n(1+\alpha) \right] / \alpha \right] = \left[ 1 / \alpha \right],$$

$$\left[ n(1+\alpha) / \alpha \right] = 1 + \left[ 1 / \alpha \right],$$

so that (17) is violated for n = 1.

Clearly (17) is true if  $\alpha$  is a positive integer. But it is false for any non-integral rational; for let

 $\alpha = p/q$ , (p,q) = 1, 1 < q < p, and set n = p. Let  $p^2 = rq + s$ , 0 < s < q.

Then

 $\left[ \left[ n(1+\alpha) \right] / \alpha \right] = \left[ (p+r)q/p \right] = q + \left[ (p^2-s)/p \right] \\ = p+q + \left[ -s/p \right] = p+q-1 ,$ 

whereas,

$$[n(1+\alpha)/\alpha] = [p(1+p/q)q/p] = p + q,$$
  
and (17) is violated for n = p.

4. Some asymptotic formulas. Define  $\lambda(n) = 1$  if (5) is true and  $\lambda(n) = 0$  if (5) is false; set

(18) 
$$\bigwedge (N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda(n) .$$

Theorem 1 gives the values of  $\propto$  for which  $\bigwedge(N) = N$  for all N. In the other cases it is possible to evaluate  $\bigwedge(N)$  asymptotically.

If a relation (11) exists then by (7)  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are quadratic surds and the points ( $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta$ ) are uniformly distributed on line segments in the unit square. Hence  $\Lambda(N)/N$  is asymptotic to the ratio of the length of line segments in region B (fig. 1) to the total length of line segments in the unit square. However the expressions in the various cases are complicated.

196

If  $\xi$  ,  $\eta$  and 1 are linearly independent, then, by the uniform distribution,

 $\lim_{N \to \infty} \Lambda(N)/N$  = area of region B.

That is,

THEOREM 3. If  $\propto > 1$  is irrational but not a quadratic surd, (19)  $\wedge (N) \sim N(2 \propto -1)/2 \propto$ 

Using a theorem of W. Sierpinski [3] we can get a weaker result, but one which is valid for all irrational  $\alpha$ .

(20) THEOREM 4. If 
$$\alpha > 1$$
 is irrational,  
$$\bigwedge(N) > N((\alpha - 1)/2\alpha) + o(1).$$

Proof. If (8) is true,

 $[n \eta] \xi < [n \xi] + [n \eta] + 1,$ and if it is false,  $[n \eta] \xi < [n \xi] + [n \eta] .$ In either case,  $(21) \qquad [n \eta] \xi < [n \xi] + [n \eta] + \lambda(n) .$ Sierpinski's result states that for x irrational,

(22) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} [nx] = \frac{1}{2}N(N+1)x - \frac{1}{2}N + o(1).$$

Adding inequalities (21) and applying (22),

 $\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)\eta\xi - \frac{1}{2}N\xi + o(1) < \frac{1}{2}N(N+1)(\xi + \eta) - N + \Lambda(N) + o(1) ,$ 

i.e.,  $\bigwedge(N) > \frac{1}{2}N(2-\xi) + o(1)$ , which is the theorem.

## REFERENCES

- S. Beatty, Amer. Math. Monthly, 33 (1926), 159 (problem); solutions, ibid., 34 (1927), 159.
- I.G. Connell, A generalization of Wythoff's game, Can. Math. Bull. 2 (1959) ,
- 3. W. Sierpinski, Bull. Inter. Acad. Sc., Cracovie, II(1909), 725-727.

C.A.R.D.E., Quebec