THE CLASSICAL MODULAR GROUP AS A SUBGROUP OF $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})^{\dagger}$

by MORRIS NEWMAN

For R. A. Rankin, on the occasion of his 70th birthday

The title is somewhat misleading, since the classical modular group $\Gamma = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is certainly not a subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. What is meant of course are the faithful representations of Γ as a subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where Γ is to be thought of as the free product of a cyclic group of order 2 and a cyclic group of order 3. No such representation is possible as a subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$; it is necessary to have matrices of determinant -1 as well.

Thus we seek all matrices A, B of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that A is of period 2, B is of period 3, and the group generated by A and B satisfies

$$\{A, B\} = \{A\} * \{B\},\tag{1}$$

the free product of the cyclic group $\{A\}$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\{B\}$ of order 3.

It is clear that if (1) holds, then neither A nor B can be scalar. Furthermore, we are at liberty to replace A by SAS^{-1} and B by SB^eS^{-1} , where S is any element of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $e = \pm 1$. This allows us to choose a specially simple form for A, which facilitates the analysis.

For notational simplicity, the 2×2 matrix

will be written as

[*a*, *b*; *c*, *d*].

 $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$

We require some preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let A be any non-scalar element of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of period 2. Then A is similar over $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ to [1, f; 0, -1], where f may be chosen modulo 2.

Proof. This lemma is well-known in a much more general form. For a convenient reference, see [2, p. 54].

LEMMA 2. Suppose that

 $T = [1, 0; 0, -1], \quad R = [a, b; -c, -1-a],$

where a, b, c are real numbers such that

 $bc = a^2 + a + 1$, $a \ge 0$, b > 0, c > 0.

Then $\{T, R\}$, the group generated by T and R, is just $\{T\}*\{R\}$, the free product of the cyclic group $\{T\}$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\{R\}$ of order 3.

[†]The preparation of this paper was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

Glasgow Math. J. 27 (1985) 161-164.

MORRIS NEWMAN

Proof. Certainly $T^2 = R^3 = I$. We have TR = [a, b; c, 1+a], $TR^2 = TR^{-1} = -[1+a, b; c, a]$. The diagonal elements of TR are nonnegative, and at most one diagonal element may be 0. The off-diagonal elements of TR are positive. Both observations also hold for $-TR^2$. It is then easy to show that the semigroup generated by TR and TR^2 is free, which in turn implies the truth of the lemma. A detailed proof along these lines of a related result may be found in [1].

LEMMA 3. Let A = [a, b; c, -a], $a^2 + bc = 1$, be any non-scalar element of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of period 2. Suppose that A is similar over $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ to [1, f; 0, -1]. Then f is even if and only if b and c are even.

Proof. Let S = [x, y; u, v] be an element of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$SAS^{-1} = [1, f; 0, -1].$$
 (2)

There are four cases.

(i) $A \equiv I \mod 2$. Then (2) implies that $[1, f; 0, -1] \equiv I \mod 2$, so that f is even.

(ii) $A \equiv [1, 0; 1, 1] \mod 2$. Then (2) implies that $f \equiv y \mod 2$, $v \equiv 0 \mod 2$. But $v \equiv 0 \mod 2$ implies that $y \equiv 1 \mod 2$, so that f is odd.

(iii) $A \equiv [1, 1; 0, 1] \mod 2$. Then (2) implies that $f \equiv x \mod 2$, $u \equiv 0 \mod 2$. But $u \equiv 0 \mod 2$ implies that $x \equiv 1 \mod 2$, so that f is odd.

(iv) $A \equiv [0, 1; 1, 0] \mod 2$. Then (2) implies that $f \equiv x + y \mod 2$, $u \equiv v \mod 2$. But $u \equiv v \mod 2$ implies that $1 \equiv xu + yv \equiv u(x + y) \mod 2$, which in turn implies that $x + y \equiv 1 \mod 2$, so that f is odd.

This completes the proof.

The first theorem we wish to prove is the following.

THEOREM 1. Let A, B be non-scalar elements of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of periods 2, 3 respectively. Suppose that $A \equiv I \mod 2$. Then $\{A, B\}$, the group generated by A and B, is just $\{A\}*\{B\}$, the free product of the cyclic group $\{A\}$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\{B\}$ of order 3.

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 3, we may assume that an element S of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ exists such that

$$T = SAS^{-1} = [1, 0; 0, -1],$$
(3)

$$R = SBS^{-1} = [a, b; -c, -a - 1],$$
(4)

where a, b, c are integers such that $bc = a^2 + a + 1$. Since in addition R may be replaced by R^{-1} , and $R^{-1} = [-a-1, -b; c, a]$, we may assume that in (4) $a \ge 0$. Now the similarity $TTT^{-1} = T$, $TRT^{-1} = [a, -b; c, -a-1]$ leaves T unchanged, leaves the diagonal elements of R unchanged, but changes the signs of the off-diagonal elements of R. Hence we may also assume that in (4) b > 0, c > 0, since b and c are different from 0 and of the same sign. But now Lemma 2 implies the truth of the theorem, and the proof is concluded.

We must now consider the case when the element of period 2 is not similar over $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ to [1,0;0,-1]. Once again, Lemmas 1 and 3 allow us to assume that the

element T of period 2 may be taken as

$$T = [1, -1; 0, -1], \tag{5}$$

and the element R of period 3 may be taken as

$$R = [a, b; -c, -a - 1], \tag{6}$$

where $bc = a^2 + a + 1$, and b > 0, c > 0 (it may be necessary to replace R by its inverse to achieve the positivity of b and c). We then get the next theorem.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that T and R are as given in (5) and (6), respectively. Suppose in addition that in (6)

$$a \ge 0. \tag{7}$$

Then $\{T, R\} = \{T\} * \{R\}$, the free product of the cyclic group $\{T\}$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\{R\}$ of order 3.

Proof. We have TR = [a+c, a+b+1; c, a+1], $TR^2 = TR^{-1} = -[a+c+1, a+b; c, a]$. Thus the semigroup generated by TR and TR^2 is free, and the conclusion follows.

The case when a < 0 cannot be treated completely (indeed, the desired theorem no longer holds) but a partial answer is possible, as in the next theorem.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that T and R are as given in (5) and (6), respectively. Suppose in addition that in (6)

$$a < 0, 2a + c \ge 0. \tag{8}$$

Then $\{T, R\} = \{T\} * \{R\}$, the free product of the cyclic group $\{T\}$ of order 2 and the cyclic group $\{R\}$ of order 3.

Proof. Put $U = [1, -\frac{1}{2}; 0, 1]$ (so that U does not belong to $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, but does belong to $SL(2, \mathbb{Q})$). Then

$$UTU^{-1} = [1, 0; 0, -1],$$

$$URU^{-1} = [a + \frac{1}{2}c, b'; -c, -1 - a - \frac{1}{2}c],$$

where 4b' = 4a + 4b + 2 + c must be positive, since c is positive and $4b'c = (2a + c + 1)^2 + 3$. But then Lemma 2 implies the result, and the proof is concluded.

Finally, we point out that the desired result is not universally true; for example, if

$$T = [1, -1; 0, -1], \quad R = [-1, 1; -1, 0],$$

then

$$T^2 = R^3 = (TR)^2 = I.$$

In this case $\{T, R\}$ is finite, and is in fact just the dihedral group of order 6.

MORRIS NEWMAN

REFERENCES

M. Newman, Some free products of cyclic groups, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 369–373.
 M. Newman, Integral Matrices (Academic Press, 1972).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA CALIFORNIA 93106 U.S.A.

164