

## A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR DISTRIBUTIVE $l$ -MONOIDS

BY

MARLOW ANDERSON AND C. C. EDWARDS

**ABSTRACT.** In this note the Holland representation theorem for  $l$ -groups is extended to  $l$ -monoids by the following theorem: an  $l$ -monoid is distributive if and only if it may be embedded into the  $l$ -monoid of order-preserving functions on some totally ordered set. A corollary of this representation theorem is that a monoid is right orderable if and only if it is a subsemigroup of a distributive  $l$ -monoid; this result is analogous to the group theory case.

By an  $l$ -semigroup we mean a semigroup  $S$  equipped with a lattice order so that multiplication distributes over  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  on the left and the right. An  $l$ -semigroup is *distributive* if it is distributive when considered as a lattice. If  $T$  is a totally ordered set and  $S(T)$  is the set of order-preserving functions on  $T$ , then  $S(T)$  is a distributive  $l$ -monoid which is considered in some detail in [4].

A *right  $l$ -congruence* on an  $l$ -semigroup is a right congruence on the semigroup structure and a congruence on the lattice structure. The first theorem provides a criterion for the existence of such congruences. The proof of this theorem relies heavily on an analogous result of T. Merlier [8] for  $l$ -congruences. We shall need the following definition: a subset  $H$  of an  $l$ -semigroup  $S$  is a *prime  $l$ -ideal* if it is a prime lattice ideal of  $S$ ; that is,  $H$  is a sublattice of  $S$ , if  $a \leq b \in H$  then  $a \in H$ , and if  $a \wedge b \in H$ , then  $a$  or  $b \in H$ . And we define the relation  $\mathcal{R}_H$  on  $S$  by  $a \mathcal{R}_H b$  iff  $(a)H = (b)H$  where  $(a)H = \{x \in S: ax \in H\}$ . The relation  ${}_H\mathcal{R}$  is defined dually with  $H(a) = \{x \in S: xa \in H\}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $H$  is a prime  $l$ -ideal of  $S$  and  $a, b \in S$ , then*

- (i)  $(a)H$  is a prime  $l$ -ideal of  $S$ ;
- (ii)  $(a \wedge b)H = (a)H \cup (b)H$  and  $(a \vee b)H = (a)H \cap (b)H$ ;
- (iii)  $\{(a)H: a \in S\}$  is totally ordered under set inclusion; and
- (iv)  $\mathcal{R}_H$  is a right  $l$ -congruence on  $S$  for which  $S/\mathcal{R}_H$  is a totally ordered set.

**Proof.** The proofs of (i) and (ii) are straightforward. To show (iii), let  $a, b \in S$  and assume that  $(a)H \not\subseteq (b)H$ . Then there exists  $x \in (a)H \setminus (b)H$ . Let  $y \in (b)H$ . Then by (i) and (ii),  $x \wedge y \in (a)H \cap (b)H = (a \vee b)H$ . Thus  $x$  or  $y \in (a)H \cap (b)H$ . Since  $x \notin (b)H$ , we must have that  $y \in (a)H$ . Consequently,  $(b)H \subseteq (a)H$ , thus

---

Received by the editors February 12, 1982 and, in revised form, October 30, 1982.

1980 A.M.S. Mathematics subject classification: Primary 06 F 05; Secondary 20 M 20.

© Canadian Mathematical Society, 1984.

proving part (iii). That  $\mathcal{R}_H$  is a right congruence follows from ([2], p. 182). And by (ii),  $\mathcal{R}_H$  is a right  $l$ -congruence. To show that  $S/\mathcal{R}_H$  is totally ordered, let  $\bar{a}$  denote the  $\mathcal{R}_H$ -class of  $S$  containing  $a$ . Then  $\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}$  iff  $\overline{a \wedge b} = \bar{a}$  iff  $(a)H \cup (b)H = (a \wedge b)H = (a)H$  iff  $(b)H \subseteq (a)H$ . So it follows from (iii) that  $S/\mathcal{R}_H$  is totally ordered.

In an analogous manner we may deduce that when  $H$  is a prime  $l$ -ideal of  $S$ ,  ${}_H\mathcal{R}$  is a left  $l$ -congruence on  $S$  for which  $S/{}_H\mathcal{R}$  is totally ordered. Henceforth, we will be assuming that  $S$  has a right identity. However, we remark that the following results can be easily dualized.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $S$  be an  $l$ -semigroup with right identity  $e$ . Then  $S$  admits a right  $l$ -congruence  $\rho$  such that  $S/\rho$  is totally ordered and nontrivial if and only if  $S$  has a proper prime  $l$ -ideal.*

**Proof.** Since  $S/\rho$  is totally ordered and nontrivial,  $a\rho < b\rho$  for some  $a, b \in S$ . Set  $H = \{x \in S : x\rho \leq a\rho\}$ . It is easily verified that  $H$  is the required proper prime  $l$ -ideal. Conversely, suppose that  $H$  is a prime  $l$ -ideal such that  $a \in H$  but  $b \notin H$  for some  $a, b \in S$ . Then  $e \in (a)H$  and  $e \notin (b)H$ . Thus by Lemma 1.  $\mathcal{R}_H$  is the desired right  $l$ -congruence.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $S$  be an  $l$ -semigroup with right identity  $e$ . Then  $S$  is distributive if and only if  $S$  admits a collection  $\{H_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of prime  $l$ -ideals such that  $\bigcap \{\mathcal{R}_{H_\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is the identity.*

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) In this case  $S$  as a lattice may be represented as a subdirect product of totally ordered sets and so is clearly distributive.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Given  $a, b \in S$ , Iseki [7] has shown that the lattice  $S$  admits a prime  $l$ -ideal  $H$  such that  $a \in H$  and  $b \notin H$ . Since  $S$  has a right identity,  $a \neq b(\mathcal{R}_H)$ . And thus the intersection of all such right  $l$ -congruences is clearly the identity.

Holland's representation theorem [6] states that each  $l$ -group may be  $l$ -embedded into the  $l$ -group of order-preserving permutations of some totally ordered set. Since an  $l$ -group is always distributive ([1], p. 19), the generalization of this to  $l$ -semigroups is the following theorem. The proof merely mimics the one for groups.

**THEOREM 4.** *An  $l$ -semigroup with right identity is distributive if and only if it may be  $l$ -embedded into the  $l$ -monoid of order-preserving functions on some totally ordered set.*

**Proof.** It is obvious that any  $l$ -subsemigroup of  $S(T)$  for some totally ordered set  $T$  is distributive. Conversely, suppose that  $S$  is a distributive  $l$ -semigroup with right identity. Then  $S$  admits a collection  $\{\rho_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of right  $l$ -congruences such that each  $S/\rho_\lambda$  is totally ordered, and  $\bigcap \rho_\lambda$  is the identity. Choose a total order for  $\Lambda$ , and then order the disjoint union  $\bigcup (S/\rho_\lambda)$

lexicographically. That is,  $[a]_\lambda \leq [b]_\mu$  if and only if  $\lambda < \mu$ , or  $\lambda = \mu$  and  $[a]_\lambda \leq [b]_\mu$ . This is the totally ordered set  $T$ . Then define  $\phi : S \rightarrow S(T)$  by setting  $[a]_\lambda (s\phi) = [as]_\lambda$ . This is well-defined since the  $\rho_\lambda$ 's are right congruences. It is one-to-one since if  $a \neq b$ , there exists  $\rho_\lambda$  such that  $a \notin b(\rho_\lambda)$  and so  $[l]_\lambda (a\phi) \neq [l]_\lambda (b\phi)$ . Finally, it is easily checked that  $\phi$  is an  $l$ -homomorphism.

In a partially ordered semigroup an element  $s$  is right positive if  $xs \geq x$  for all  $x$ ; left positive and right and left negative are defined analogously ([5], p. 216). But in  $S(T)$ , left and right coincide and in fact an element is positive (negative) if it is greater (less) than the identity  $e$ . Furthermore, direct calculation reveals that each element  $\alpha \in S(T)$  may be written as  $\alpha = (\alpha \vee e)(\alpha \wedge e)$ , a product of a negative and positive element, just as in  $l$ -groups. Our representation theorem enables us to now conclude that this decomposition property also holds for arbitrary distributive  $l$ -monoids.

A monoid  $S$  is *right-orderable* if it admits a total order which is preserved by multiplication on the right. From Holland's representation theorem it follows easily that the class of right-orderable groups coincides with the class of subgroups of  $l$ -groups ([3] and [6]). The same proof and Theorem 4 allows us to conclude that the class of right-orderable monoids coincides with the class of submonoids of distributive  $l$ -monoids.

Can Theorem 4 be stated for distributive  $l$ -semigroups lacking a one-sided identity? If so, such a semigroup would then be embeddable in an  $l$ -semigroup with identity, namely  $S(T)$ . Thus this question reduces to the following: which distributive  $l$ -semigroups can be embedded into some distributive  $l$ -monoid?

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Bigard, K. Keimel and S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Volume II, AMS, Providence, 1967.
3. P. Conrad, *Right-ordered groups*, Michigan Math. J. **6** (1959), 267–275.
4. C. C. Edwards and M. Anderson, *Lattice properties of the symmetric weakly inverse semigroup on a totally ordered set*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **31** (1981), 395–404.
5. L. Fuchs, *Teilweise Geordnete Algebraische Strukturen*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1966.
6. W. C. Holland, *The lattice-ordered group of automorphisms of an ordered set*, Michigan Math J. **10** (1963), 399–408.
7. K. Iséki, *A characterization of distributive lattices*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser A **54** (1951), 388–389.
8. T. Merlier, *Sur les demi-groupes réticulés et les o-demi-groupes*, Semigroup Forum **2** (1971), 64–70.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE UNIVERSITY AT FORT WAYNE  
FORT WAYNE, INDIANA 46805