THE HYPERCORE OF A SEMIGROUP

by T. E. HALL and W. D. MUNN (Received 11th May 1984)

In this paper the "hypercore" of a semigroup S is defined to be the subsemigroup generated by the union of all the subsemigroups of S without non-universal cancellative congruences, provided that at least one such subsemigroup exists: otherwise it is taken to be the empty set. It is shown first that if the hypercore of S is nonempty (which holds, for example, when S contains an idempotent) then it is the largest subsemigroup of S with no non-universal cancellative congruence, is full and unitary in S, and is contained in the identity class of every group congruence on S (Theorem 1).

A semigroup S is E-inversive if and only if, for all $x \in S$, there exists $y \in S$ such that $(xy)^2 = xy$. If S is E-inversive [in particular, regular] then the hypercore of S is the largest E-inversive [regular] subsemigroup of S with no non-universal group congruence (Theorem 2). Another description of the hypercore in the E-inversive case, this time in terms of a descending sequence of full unitary subsemigroups, is provided by Theorem 3. To conclude, there is a discussion of some particular cases.

The concept of the hypercore plays a crucial part in a companion paper, by one of us, on congruence-free regular semigroups [8].

1. Definitions and properties

The notation and terminology, with few exceptions, will be that of [1]. The set of idempotents (possibly empty) of a semigroup S will be denoted by E(S) and the subsemigroup of S generated by a nonempty subset A of S will be denoted by $\langle A \rangle$. We say that a subsemigroup T of S is

- (i) full if and only if $E(S) \subseteq T$,
- (ii) unitary if and only if

 $(\forall t \in T)(\forall x \in S)$ $[tx \in T \Rightarrow x \in T]$ and $[xt \in T \Rightarrow x \in T]$.

A congruence ρ on S is termed a group congruence [cancellative congruence] if and only if S/ρ is a group [cancellative semigroup]; further, the ρ -class containing $x \in S$ is written as $x\rho$. It is clear that every group congruence is a cancellative congruence and that the universal congruence, $S \times S$, is a group congruence on S.

The following result is elementary and well-known.

Lemma 1. Let ρ be a group congruence on a semigroup S. Then the identity ρ -class is a full unitary subsemigroup of S. \square

For a semigroup S, let \mathcal{S}_S denote the set of all subsemigroups A of S such that A has no cancellative congruence except the universal congruence $A \times A$. We define the hypercore of S, denoted by hyp(S), as follows:

$$hyp(S) = \begin{cases} \langle \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{S}_S} A \rangle & \text{if } \mathcal{S}_S \neq \emptyset, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that if $E(S) \neq \emptyset$ then hyp $(S) \neq \emptyset$, since $\{e\} \in \mathcal{S}_S$ for all $e \in E(S)$. On the other hand, if S is a cancellative semigroup with no identity element then clearly hyp $(S) = \emptyset$. An example of a semigroup S with $E(S) = \emptyset$ and hyp (S) = S was given by McAlister and O'Carroll [6, Ex. 1.5].

Theorem 1. Let S be a semigroup with hyp(S) $\neq \emptyset$. Then

- (i) hyp(S) $\in \mathscr{S}_S$;
- (ii) hyp(S) is full and unitary in S;
- (iii) if ρ is a group congruence on S then each $A \in \mathcal{S}_S$ is contained in the identity ρ -class and so hyp(S) is contained in the identity ρ -class.

Proof. For brevity, write $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_S$ and T = hyp(S).

- (i) Let ρ be any cancellative congruence on T. Then, for each $\in \mathcal{S}$, $\rho \cap (A \times A)$ is a cancellative congruence on A and so $\rho \cap (A \times A) = A \times A$; that is, $A \times A \subseteq \rho$. Thus, for each $x \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{S}} A$, $x\rho \in E(T/\rho)$. But a cancellative semigroup contains at most one idempotent and so $x\rho = y\rho$ for all $x, y \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{S}} A$. It follows that $t\rho = u\rho$ for all $t, u \in T$; that is, $\rho = T \times T$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{S}$.
 - (ii) If $e \in E(S)$ then $\{e\} \in \mathcal{S}$ and so $e \in T$. Thus T is full.

Next, we show that T is unitary. Take any $t \in T$ and $x \in S$. Suppose that $tx \in T$. Let ρ be a cancellative congruence on $\langle T \cup \{x\} \rangle$. Since $\rho \cap (T \times T)$ is a cancellative congruence on T, we see from (i) that $T \times T \subseteq \rho$. Thus, in $\langle T \cup \{x\} \rangle / \rho$,

$$(t\rho)(x\rho) = (tx)\rho = t\rho = (t\rho)^2.$$

Hence $x\rho = t\rho$, by cancellation. This shows that ρ is the universal congruence on $\langle T \cup \{x\} \rangle$. Consequently, $\langle T \cup \{x\} \rangle \subseteq T$ and so $x \in T$. A similar argument shows that if $xt \in T$ then $x \in T$. Thus T is unitary.

(iii) Let ρ be a group congruence on S. Take $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Then, as in the proof of (i), $A \times A \subseteq \rho$. Hence A is contained in an idempotent element of S/ρ ; that is, A is contained in the identity ρ -class. Thus the same is true for hyp $(S) = \langle \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{S}} A \rangle$. \square

Following Clifford and Preston [1, Section 3.2, Ex. 8], we say that a semigroup S is E-inversive if and only if for all $x \in S$ there exists $y \in S$ such that $xy \in E(S)$. This property can be shown to have left-right symmetry: indeed S is E-inversive if and only if for all $x \in S$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $xz \in E(S)$ and $zx \in E(S)$. The class of E-inversive semigroups is extensive: besides containing all semigroups with a zero it contains the class of all eventually regular semigroups [2], which, in turn, contains all regular semigroups and all group-bound semigroups (see [4]).

Characterisations of the hypercore of an E-inversive (in particular, regular) semigroup, in terms of group congruences, are provided by Theorems 2 and 3 below.

Lemma 2. Every full unitary subsemigroup of an E-inversive [regular] semigroup is E-inversive [regular].

Proof. Let S be an E-inversive semigroup and T a full unitary subsemigroup of S. Take $x \in T$. Then there exist $y \in S$ and $e \in E(S)$ such that xy = e. But $e \in T$, since T is full. Hence, since T is unitary, $y \in T$. Thus T is E-inversive. A similar argument gives the result for the regular case.

The next lemma was essentially noted by McAlister and O'Carroll [6, p. 13]: we omit the proof.

Lemma 3. Every cancellative congruence on an E-inversive semigroup is a group congruence. \square

Since every semigroup has a least cancellative congruence it follows at once from Lemma 3 that an E-inversive semigroup S always possesses a least group congruence. We denote this congruence by $\sigma(S)$. The identity $\sigma(S)$ -class will be called the *core* of S and designated by core(S). By Lemma 1, core(S) is a full unitary subsemigroup of S; hence, by Lemma 2, core(S) is E-inversive and is regular if S is regular. Note that S has no non-universal group congruence if and only if core(S) = S.

Theorem 2. Let S be an E-inversive [regular] semigroup. Then hyp(S) is the greatest E-inversive [regular] subsemigroup of S with no non-universal group congruence; that is, the greatest E-inversive [regular] subsemigroup A of S with core(A) = A.

Proof. By Theorem 1(ii), hyp(S) is a full unitary subsemigroup of S. Hence, by Lemma 2, hyp(S) is E-inversive [regular]. Also, by Theorem 1(i), hyp(S) has no non-universal group congruence. Let T be any E-inversive [regular] subsemigroup of S with no non-universal group congruence. Then, by Lemma 3, $T \in \mathcal{S}_S$. Thus $T \subseteq \text{hyp}(S)$.

For an arbitrary semigroup S with $E(S) \neq \emptyset$ the following two statements are readily verified: (a) if T is a full unitary subsemigroup of S and if U is a full unitary subsemigroup of T then U is a full unitary subsemigroup of S, (b) the intersection of any nonvacuous family of full unitary subsemigroups of S is again a full unitary subsemigroup of S.

Now suppose again that S is E-inversive [regular]. In view of (a) and (b) above, together with Lemmas 1 and 2, we can define a family (S_{α}) of full unitary (and therefore E-inversive [regular]) subsemigroups of S, indexed by the ordinals, inductively by the rule:

$$S_0 = S$$
, $S_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{core}(S_{\beta}) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta + 1, \\ \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} S_{\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{cases}$

Evidently if $\beta \leq \alpha$ then $S_{\beta} \supseteq S_{\alpha}$. We call (S_{α}) the *core series* of S. From cardinality considerations it can be seen that there exists a unique ordinal τ such that

$$S_{\tau} = S_{\tau+1} = S_{\tau+2} = \dots$$
, $S_{\alpha} \neq S_{\alpha+1}$ if $\alpha < \tau$.

The subsemigroup S_{τ} is a full unitary E-inversive [regular] subsemigroup of S with the additional property that $core(S_{\tau}) = S_{\tau}$. We call S_{τ} the *limit* of the core series of S.

Our final result relates the hypercore to the core series of S—and provides motivation for the terminology.

Theorem 3. Let S be an E-inversive semigroup. Then hyp(S) is the limit of the core series of S.

Proof. Let (S_{α}) be the core series of S, with limit S_{τ} . As remarked above, S_{τ} is an E-inversive subsemigroup of S with core $(S_{\tau}) = S_{\tau}$. Hence, by Theorem 2, $S_{\tau} \subseteq \text{hyp}(S)$.

It remains to prove that $hyp(S) \subseteq S_{\tau}$. First, $hyp(S) \subseteq S = S_0$. Assume, inductively, that $hyp(S) \subseteq S_{\tau}$ for all $\gamma < \alpha$. We show that $hyp(S) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$. There are two cases.

Case (i): $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some ordinal β . By Theorem 1(i), $hyp(S) \in \mathcal{S}_S$. Hence, since $hyp(S) \subseteq S_{\beta}$, we have that $hyp(S) \subseteq core(S_{\beta})$, by Theorem 1(iii) (with S_{β} replacing S); that is, $hyp(S) \subseteq S_{\alpha}$.

Case (ii): α is a limit ordinal. For all $\beta < \alpha$, hyp $(S) \subseteq S_{\beta}$. Hence hyp $(S) \subseteq \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} S_{\beta} = S_{\alpha}$.

Consequently, by transfinite induction, hyp $(S) \subseteq S_{\tau}$. \square

2. Remarks and examples

Every semigroup S with $E(S) \neq \emptyset$ contains a least full unitary subsemigroup, namely the intersection of all full unitary subsemigroups of S. We shall denote this by U(S). From Theorem 1(ii), (iii) we see that, for an arbitrary E-inversive semigroup S,

$$U(S) \subseteq \text{hyp}(S) \subseteq \text{core}(S).$$
 (1)

If S is a semigroup with a zero or if S is an idempotent-generated regular semigroup then clearly U(S) = hyp(S) = core(S) = S.

Now consider the case of an inverse semigroup S. By [7, Theorem 1], for all $a, b \in S$ we have that

$$(a,b) \in \sigma(S) \iff (\exists e \in E(S)) \ ea = eb.$$

Thus $core(S) = \{a \in S: (\exists e \in E(S))ea = e\}$ $(=E(S)\omega$, in the notation of [5]), from which it follows that U(S) = hyp(S) = core(S).

For a regular semigroup, however, the inequalities in (1) may be strict, as will be demonstrated below. Before proceeding to an example we mention a characterisation, due to Feigenbaum [3], of the core of such a semigroup. A subsemigroup A of a regular

semigroup S is termed self-conjugate if and only if, for all $a \in A$, for all $x \in S$ and for all inverses x' of x, $x'ax \in A$. The intersection of all the full unitary self-conjugate subsemigroups of S is itself such a subsemigroup and is just core (S).

Example. Let S denote the Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}(G; I, \Lambda; P)$, where G is a group, I and Λ are nonempty sets and $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over G [1, Section 3.1]. We assume, without loss of generality, that $1 \in I \cap \Lambda$ and that P is normalised so that $p_{1i} = p_{\lambda 1} = e$, the identity of G, for all $i \in I$ and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let H denote the subgroup of G generated by $\{p_{\lambda i}: \lambda \in \Lambda, i \in I\}$. Then, as can readily be verified,

$$U(S) = \mathcal{M}(H; I, \Lambda; P). \tag{2}$$

For any subgroup K of G with $H \subseteq K \subseteq G$ let H^K denote the normal closure of H in K. From the characterisation of $\operatorname{core}(S)$ as the least full unitary self-conjugate subsemigroup of S it is straightforward to prove that

$$core(S) = \mathcal{M}(H^G; I, \Lambda; P). \tag{3}$$

(Furthermore, $S/\sigma(S) \cong G/H^G$, as noted by Stoll [9]).

Now define a sequence (N_a) of subgroups of G, indexed by the ordinals, inductively as follows:

$$N_0 = G$$
, $N_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} H^{N_{\beta}} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta + 1, \\ \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} N_{\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{cases}$

Thus if $\beta \leq \alpha$ then $N_{\beta} \supseteq N_{\alpha}$. By considering cardinality we see that there is a unique ordinal τ such that

$$N_{\tau} = N_{\tau+1} = N_{\tau+2} = \dots, \quad N_{\alpha} \neq N_{\alpha+1} \text{ if } \alpha < \tau.$$

Let (S_{α}) denote the core series of S. Then, using (3), we can easily show, by transfinite induction, that

$$(\forall \alpha \leq \tau) S_{\alpha} = \mathcal{M}(N_{\alpha}; I, \Lambda; P).$$

In particular, S_{τ} is the limit of the core series and so, by Theorem 3,

$$hyp(S) = \mathcal{M}(N_t; I, \Lambda; P). \tag{4}$$

Finally, we mention two (finite) special cases.

(a) Take G to be the alternating group of degree 4, $I = \Lambda = \{1, 2\}$ and

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} e & e \\ e & g \end{bmatrix},$$

where g is an element of G of period 2. Then, from (2), (3) and (4), $U(S) = \text{hyp}(S) = \text{core}(\text{core}(S)) \neq \text{core}(S)$.

(b) Replace G in (a) by the alternating group of degree 5 and choose I, Λ , g, P as before. Then $U(S) \neq \text{hyp}(S) = \text{core}(S) = S$.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. H. CLIFFORD and G. B. PRESTON, *The algebraic theory of semigroups* Vol. I, Math. Surveys 7 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961).
 - 2. P. Edwards, Eventually regular semigroups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 28 (1983), 23-38.
- 3. R. Feigenbaum, Kernels of regular semigroup homomorphisms (Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of S. Carolina, 1975).
- 4. T. E. HALL and W. D. MÜNN, Semigroups satisfying minimal conditions II, Glasgow Math. J. 20 (1979), 133-140.
- 5. J. M. Howie, The maximum idempotent-separating congruence on an inverse semigroup, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) 14 (1964), 71-79.
- 6. D. B. McALISTER and L. O'CARROLL, Maximal homomorphic images of commutative semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. 12 (1971), 12-17.
- 7. W. D. Munn, A class of irreducible matrix representations of an arbitrary inverse semigroup, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* 5 (1961), 41-48.
- 8. W. D. Munn, Congruence-free regular semigroups, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 28 (1985), 113-119.
- 9. R. R. Stoll, Homomorphisms of a semigroup onto a group, Amer. J. Math. 73 (1951), 475-481.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MONASH UNIVERSITY CLAYTON, VICTORIA AUSTRALIA 3168 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW GLASGOW G12 8QW SCOTLAND