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> AbSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the LindelofČirka theorem.

1. Introduction and notation. Denote by $B^{n}$ the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and by $H\left(B^{n}\right)$ ( $H^{\infty}\left(B^{n}\right)$ ) the (bounded) analytic functions on $B^{n}$.

A continuous curve $\Gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \overline{B^{n}}$ is called special at $\xi \in \partial B^{n}$ if $\Gamma(t) \in B^{n}, t \in$ $[0,1[; \Gamma(1)=\xi$ and if

$$
\frac{|\Gamma(t)-\langle\Gamma(t), \xi\rangle \xi|^{2}}{1-|\langle\Gamma(t), \xi\rangle|^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 1
$$

For $A>0$ we define an approach region

$$
D_{A}(\xi)=\left\{z \in B^{n} ;|1-\langle z, \xi\rangle|<\frac{A}{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Definition. A function $f$ defined on $B^{n}$ is said to have a $K$-limit at $\xi \in \partial B^{n}$ if $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in D_{A}(\xi)\right\}$ exists for all $A$.

Koranyi [4] showed that if $f \in H^{\infty}\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ then $f$ has K-limit for almost all $\xi \in \partial B^{n}$ (with respect to $\mathrm{d} \sigma$, the Lebesgue measure on $\partial B^{n}$ ).
On the other hand, we have the following example:
Example 1. (Čirka [2, p. 631]). Put $f(z, \omega)=\omega^{2} /\left(1-z^{2}\right)$, then $f \in H^{\infty}\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $f(z, 0)=0$ so the radial limit of $f$ at $\xi=(1,0)$ equals zero. But $f$ has no K-limit at 1 . Choose $c \in] 0,1\left[\right.$ and take $\Gamma(t)=\left(t, c \sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)$. Then $f(\Gamma(t))=c^{2}$ and since $|1-\langle\Gamma(t), \xi\rangle|=|1-t|, 1-|\Gamma(t)|^{2}=1-t^{2}-c^{2}\left(1-t^{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\frac{|1-\langle\Gamma(t), \xi\rangle|}{1-|\Gamma(t)|^{2}}=\frac{(1-t)}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left(1-c^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{(1+t)\left(1-c^{2}\right)}
$$

so

$$
\Gamma(t) \in D_{2 /\left(1-c^{2}\right)}(1), \quad \forall t \in[0,1[.
$$

To avoid this we follow Čirka [2], and introduce restricted approach regions and limits.
Let $A>0$ and $g(t)$ a positive decreasing function with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} g(t)=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
R_{A, g}(\xi)=\left\{z \in B^{n} ; \frac{|1-\langle z, \xi\rangle|}{1-|z|^{2}}<\frac{A}{2}, \frac{|z-\langle z, \xi\rangle|^{2}}{1-|\langle z, \xi\rangle|^{2}}<g(|z|)\right\} .
$$

Each set $R_{A, g}(\xi)$ is then called a restricted approach region and if $f$ is a function defined on $B^{n}$ so that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in R_{A, g}(\xi)\right\}
$$

exists for every restricted approach region $R_{A, g}(\xi)$, then $f$ is said to have a restricted K -limit at $\xi$.

The Lindelöf-Čirka theorem [5], [2] now reads as follows.
Theorem 1. If $f \in H^{\infty}\left(B^{n}\right)$ and if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} f(\Gamma(t))$ exists for a special curve $\Gamma(t)$ with $\Gamma(1)=\xi$ then $f$ has a restricted $K$-limit at $\xi$.
(In particular, $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\{f(z): z \in \mathrm{~K}\}$ exists where K is any cone in $B^{n}$ with vertex at $\xi$ ).
We shall generalize this theorem for $f \in H\left(D_{A}(\xi)\right)$ in the case where $\Gamma(t)=t \xi$, i.e., we shall assume that $f$ has a radial limit at $\xi$.

Observe that, if $n \geq 2$ and if $f$ has a restricted K-limit, then $f$ has a tangential limit in certain directions. The curve

$$
\Gamma(t)=\left(t,\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2 / 3}\right), \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

shows this.
2. The extremal function. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded and open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and put

$$
F=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{PSH}(\Omega) ; \varphi \leq 0, \lim _{z^{\prime} \rightarrow z} \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right) \text { exists } \forall z \in \partial \Omega\right\} .
$$

If $E$ is any subset of $\bar{\Omega}$ we define the extremal function $h_{E}$ by

$$
h_{E}(z)=\sup \{\varphi(z) ; \varphi \in F, \varphi \leq-1 \text { on } E\}, \quad z \in \bar{\Omega} .
$$

Denote by $M_{z}, z \in \bar{\Omega}$, the class of positive measures $\mu$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\varphi(z) \leq \int \varphi(\xi) \mathrm{d} \mu(\xi), \forall \varphi \in F
$$

Lemma 1. For every compact set K in $\bar{\Omega}$ and every $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ there is a $\mu_{z} \in M_{z}$ so that

$$
-h_{\mathrm{K}}(z)=\mu_{z}(\mathrm{~K}) .
$$

Proof. Proposition 2:1 in Cegrell [1].
Lemma 2. Assume that $\Omega$ is convex and that $\mu_{v} \in M_{z_{v}}$ where $z_{v} \rightarrow \xi, v \rightarrow+\infty$ and that $\xi$ is a strictly convex boundary point. Then $\lim _{v \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{\nu}(\mathrm{K})=0$ for every compact subset of $\bar{\Omega}$ not containing $\xi$.

Proof. The assumption implies that there is a convex function $\psi$ on $\Omega$, continuous up to the boundary such that $\psi(\xi)=0$ but $\psi(z)<0$ for $z \in \bar{\Omega} \backslash\{\xi\}$. Since convex
functions are plurisubharmonic, the inequalities $\psi\left(z_{\nu}\right) \leq \int \psi(\xi) \mathrm{d} \mu_{\nu}(\xi), \nu \in N$ proves Lemma 2.
3. The case $\boldsymbol{n}=1$. If $\Omega$ is an open and bounded subset of the plane, then the extremal function $h_{E}(E \subset \bar{\Omega})$ is harmonic on $\Omega \backslash \bar{E}$.

Proposition 1. Let $\Omega$ be an open, bounded, convex and symmetric subset of the plane and let $l$ denote the segment of symmetry. If $E$ is the part of $\partial \Omega$ that lies on one given side of $l$ then $\left.h_{E}\right|_{l}=-\frac{1}{2}$.

If K is any closed cone in $\Omega$ with vertex $\xi \in l \cap \partial \Omega$ such that $\mathrm{K} \backslash\{\xi\} \cap B(\xi, r)$ $\subset \Omega$ for some $r>0$ then there is an $\epsilon>0$ so that

$$
\mathrm{K} \cap B(\xi, \epsilon) \subset\left\{z \in \bar{\Omega} ; h_{l}(z) \leq-\epsilon\right\}
$$

( $B(\xi, \epsilon)$ is the ball with center $\xi$ and radius $\epsilon$.)
Proof. The first part follows easily from the symmetry and the second part is proved by repeated use of symmetry.

Proposition 2. Let K be a closed convex cone with vertex $\xi$ and $l$ a line segment in $\mathrm{K}^{0}$ with endpoint $\xi$. If $f \in H^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{K}^{0} \cap B(\xi, r)\right)$ for some $r>0$ and if $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\{f(z): z \in l\}$ exists then $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}\right\}$ exists for every closed cone $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{K}^{0} \cup\{\xi\}$ with vertex at $\xi$.

Proof. (By a wellknown method). We can assume that $|f| \leq 1$ and that $\lim \{f(z)$ : $z \in l\}=0$. Let $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ be given and choose $\epsilon>0$ as in Proposition 1. For $z \in \mathrm{~K}^{, z \vec{n}^{\xi}} B(\xi, \epsilon)$ there is a $\mu_{z} \in M_{z}$ with $\mu_{z}(l) \geq \epsilon$. If we let $z$ tend to $\xi$ in $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ we get by Lemma 2 $\int \log |f(\eta)| \mathrm{d} \mu_{z}(\eta) \rightarrow-\infty, z \rightarrow \xi$. But since $\log |f(z)| \leq \int \log |f(\eta)| \mathrm{d} \mu_{z}(\eta)$ so $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}\right\}=0$ which completes the proof.

Example 2. Proposition 2 cannot be generalized to bounded harmonic functions. Let $E$ be the part of the unit circle in the lower halfplane. Then $h_{E}=-\frac{1}{2}$ on the real axes (by the observation in the beginning of this section). The restriction of $h_{E}$ to segment of $y=x-1$ in $B^{\prime}$ does not exceed $-\frac{3}{4}$. Thus $h_{E}$ has a radial but not non-tangential limit.

As pointed out by J. C. Taylor, the next lemma is a consequence of Harnack's inequality.

Lemma 3. Let $h$ be a positive harmonic function on $D_{A}(\xi)=\left\{z \in B^{1} ;|\xi-z|<\right.$ $\left.(A / 2)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right\}\left(\xi \in \partial B^{\prime}\right)$. If $\sup _{0<r<1} h(r \xi)<+\infty$ then $\sup _{z \in D_{A^{\prime}}(\xi)} h(z)<+\infty$ for every $A^{\prime}<A$.
4. Statement of the theorems.

Theorem 2. Let $A>0$ and $g$ with property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ be given. Assume that
(1) $f \in H\left(D_{A}(\xi)\right)$
(2) $\sup \left\{|f(\omega \xi)|: \omega \in B^{1}, \frac{|1-\omega|}{1-|\omega|^{2}}<\frac{A}{2}\right\}<+\infty$
(3) $|f|$ has a plurisuperharmonic majorant $\psi$ such that

$$
\lim _{\omega \rightarrow 1}\left\{\psi(\omega \xi) g(|\omega|)^{1 / 2}: \omega \in B^{\prime}, \frac{|1-\omega|}{1-|\omega|^{2}}<\frac{A}{2}\right\}=0
$$

If $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$ exists, then $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}\right\}$ exists for every $A^{\prime}<A$.
Remark. Related results have been obtained by Cima and Krantz in [3]. However, our results also apply to non-normal functions, e.g.

$$
z_{2}^{2}\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{-1} \log \left(1 / 1-z_{1}\right) .
$$

Corollary 1. Assume that $f \in H^{1}\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (i.e., $|f|$ has a harmonic majorant). Then $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)=f^{*}(\xi)$ exists a.e. $(\mathrm{d} \sigma)$ on $\partial B^{n}$ and $f^{*} \in L^{1}(\mathrm{~d} \sigma)$. If

$$
\sup _{0<r<1} \int P(r \xi, \eta)\left|f^{*}(\eta)\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta)<+\infty
$$

where

$$
P(z, \eta)=\frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{n}}{|(1-\langle z, \eta\rangle)|^{2 n}}
$$

and if $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$ exists, then $f$ has a restricted $K$-limit at $\xi$.
Proof. It follows from Koranyi [4] and Rudin [6, Theorem 5.4.12] that $|f|$ is bounded in every $D_{A}(\xi)$. Thus, Theorem 2 applies.

Theorem 3. Assume that
(1) $f \in H\left(D_{A}(\xi)\right)$
(2) $\sup \left\{|f(\omega \xi)|: \omega \in B^{\prime}, \frac{|1-\omega|}{1-|\omega|^{2}}<\frac{A}{2}\right\}<+\infty$
(3) $|f|$ has a plurisuperharmonic majorant $\psi$ such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}\left\{g(t+\delta(t-1))^{1 / 2} \psi(t \xi): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}=0
$$

for some $0<\delta \leq 1$.
If $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$ exists then $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}\right\}$ exists for every $A^{\prime}<A$.
Corollory 2. Assume that $f \in H\left(D_{A}(\xi)\right)$ and that $|f|$ has a plurisuperharmonic majorant $\psi$ on $D_{A}(\xi)$ such that

$$
\sup _{0<r<1} \psi(\xi r)<+\infty .
$$

If $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$ exists, then $\lim _{z \rightarrow \xi}\left\{f(z): z \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}\right\}$ exists for every $A^{\prime}<A$.
Proof. Assumptions (1) and (3) in Theorem 3 are clearly fulfilled. It remains to prove that (2) holds. Denote by $U$ the part of the complex line through zero and $\xi$ that is contained in $D_{A}(\xi)$. The restriction of $\psi$ to $U$ is superharmonic (and not identically $+\infty)$. Hence, there is a harmonic function $h$ on $U$ so that

$$
|f(z)| \leq h(z) \leq \psi(z), \quad \forall z \in U
$$

An application of Lemma 3 gives (2).
5. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0<A^{\prime}<A$ and a sequence $z_{\nu} \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}, \lim _{v \rightarrow+\infty} z_{v}=\xi$ be given. To prove the theorem it is enough to prove that $\lim _{v \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(z_{v}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$. Consider for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C},(1-\lambda)\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right) \xi+\lambda z_{\nu}$. This point is in $D_{A}(\xi)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|1-\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle\right|<\frac{A}{2}\left(1-\left|(1-\lambda)\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle \xi+\lambda z_{\nu}\right|^{2}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow\left|\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle \xi+\lambda\left(z_{\nu}-\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right)\right|^{2}<1-\frac{2}{A}\left|1-\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle\right| \\
\Leftrightarrow\left|\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}+|\lambda|^{2}\left|z_{\nu}-\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right|^{2}<1-\frac{2}{A}\left|1-\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle\right| \\
\Leftrightarrow|\lambda|^{2}<\frac{-\frac{2}{A}\left|1-\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle\right|-\left|\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}+1}{\left|z_{v}-\left\langle z_{\nu}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right|^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

But since $z_{v} \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}$ we have

$$
\left|1-\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle\right|<\frac{A^{\prime}}{2}\left(1-\left|\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right)
$$

so the right hand side above is not smaller than

$$
\frac{-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\left(1-\left|\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right)-\left|\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}+1}{\left|z_{v}-\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left(i-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)\left(1-\left|\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|z_{v}-\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right|^{2}}
$$

which in turn are not smaller than

$$
\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g\left(\left|z_{v}\right|\right)}
$$

again because $z_{\nu} \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}(\xi)$.
Hence, if

$$
|\lambda|^{2} \leq\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g\left(\left|z_{\nu}\right|\right)}
$$

it follows that

$$
\tau(\lambda)=(1-\lambda)\left(z_{v}, \xi\right) \xi+\lambda z_{v} \in D_{A}(\xi)
$$

so $f(\tau(\lambda))$ is analytic in

$$
|\lambda|^{2}<\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g\left(\left|z_{v}\right|\right)}
$$

and Cauchy's integral formula gives

$$
\left.f(\tau(1))-f(\tau(0))=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\left[\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g\left|\tau_{v}\right|}\right]}\right]^{1 / 2} \frac{f(\tau(\lambda))}{\lambda(\lambda-1)} \mathrm{d} \lambda .
$$

Hence

$$
\left|f\left(z_{\nu}\right)-f\left(\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{|\lambda|=\left[\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{g\left(\mid z_{v}\right]}\right]} \frac{|f(\tau(\lambda))|}{|\lambda||\lambda-1|}
$$

(i)

$$
\leq \frac{\psi\left(\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right)}{\left|\left[\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right) \frac{1}{g\left(\left|z_{v}\right|\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}-1\right|}
$$

The right hand side of (i) equals

$$
\frac{g\left(\left|z_{v}\right|\right)^{1 / 2} \psi\left(\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right)}{\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2}-g\left(\left|z_{v}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

by assumption 3 . Thus,

$$
f\left(z_{\nu}\right)-f\left(\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Now, by assumption 2, we can apply Proposition 2 and we have that $\lim _{v \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(\left\langle z_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \xi\right)$ exists and equals $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$. Thus, $\lim _{v \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(z_{\nu}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r \xi)$ and the proof is complete.
6. Proof of theorem 3. Let $1<A^{\prime}<A$ be given and choose $A^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime}<A^{\prime \prime}<A$ and let $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ be two cones with vertex at 1 , symmetrical with respect to the real axis and so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} ;|1-\omega|<\frac{A^{\prime}}{2}\left(1-|\omega|^{2}\right)\right\} \subset \mathrm{K}_{1} \\
& \subset \\
& \not \neq \mathrm{K}_{2} \subset\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} ;|1-\omega|<\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2}\left(1-|\omega|^{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on, we think of $z \in B^{n}$ to be close to $\xi$. For $z \in R_{A^{\prime}, g}$ denote by $t_{2}$ the non-negative number such that $\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z} \perp \partial \mathrm{~K}_{2}$. Consider, for $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
L(\eta)=z+\left(\eta+t_{z}-\langle z, \xi\rangle\right) \xi .
$$

There is a real number $\mathrm{K}>1$ ( K independent of $z$ ) so that if $|\eta| \leq \mathrm{K}\left|\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}\right|$ then $\eta+t_{z} \in \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ and a calculation shows that then $L(\eta) \in R_{A^{\prime \prime \prime} . g}$ for every fixed $A^{\prime \prime \prime}$, $A^{\prime \prime}<A^{\prime \prime \prime}<A$.

Let now P be the Poisson kernel for some smooth simply connected domain $D \subset$ $\left\{\eta \in \mathbb{C} ;|\boldsymbol{\eta}|<\mathrm{K}\left|\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}\right|\right\}$ containing $\langle z, \xi)-t_{z}$ and zero. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid f\left(L\left(\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}\right)-f\left(\left\langle L\left(\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}\right), \xi\right\rangle \xi\right) \mid\right. \\
\leq & \int_{\partial D}|f(L(\eta))-f(\langle L(\eta), \xi\rangle \xi)| \mathrm{P}\left(\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta) \\
\leq & (\text { Harnack’s inequality }) \\
\leq & C \int_{\partial D} \mid f(L(\eta))-f(\langle L(\eta), \xi\rangle \xi) \mathrm{P}(0, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta) \leq(i)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \int_{\partial D} \frac{\psi(\langle L(\eta), \xi\rangle \xi) \mathrm{P}(0, \eta)}{\left|\left(1-\frac{A^{\prime \prime \prime}}{A}\right) \frac{1}{g(|L(\eta)|)}\right|^{1 / 2}-1} \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\eta) \\
& \leq C \cdot C_{1} \sup _{\partial D} g(|L(\eta)|)^{1 / 2} \int_{\partial D} \psi(\langle L(\eta), \xi\rangle \xi) \mathrm{P}(0, \eta) \mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta) \\
& \leq C \cdot C_{1} \sup _{\partial D} g(|L(\eta)|)^{1 / 2} \psi(\langle L(0), \xi\rangle \xi) \\
& =C \cdot C_{1} \sup _{\partial D} g(|L(\eta)|)^{1 / 2} \psi\left(t_{z} \xi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant $C$ (that comes from the Harnack inequality) depends on the shape of $D$, and not on the scale. We can thus take $\partial D$ to be an ellipse with focus 0 and $\langle z, \xi\rangle-$ $t_{z}$ so that

$$
\left|\eta+t_{z}\right| \geqslant t_{z}+\delta\left(t_{z}-1\right), \quad \forall \eta \in \partial D .
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(z)-f(\langle z, \xi\rangle \xi)|=\mid f\left(L\left(\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}\right)-f\left(L\left(\left\langle\langle z, \xi\rangle-t_{z}\right), \xi\right\rangle\right) \mid\right. \\
& \quad \leq C \cdot g\left(t_{z}+\delta\left(t_{z}-1\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \psi\left(t_{z} \xi\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad z \rightarrow \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is now finished in the same way as the end of the proof of Theorem 2.
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