J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 47 (1989), 71-82

ON THE ARITHMETIC PROPERTIES OF THE VALUES OF G-FUNCTIONS

K. VÄÄNÄNEN and XU GUANGSHAN

(Received 7 September 1987)

Communicated by J. H. Loxton

Abstract

In a recent paper Chudnovsky considered the arithmetic properties of certain values of classical Siegel G-function solutions of a system of linear homogeneous differential equations without any restrictive conditions. The present paper generalizes some results of Chudnovsky in both the archimedian and the *p*-adic case.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.) (1985 Revision): 10 F 35.

In 1929 Siegel [9] developed a method for studying the arithmetic properties of the values of certain classes of analytic functions, called E- and G-functions. Later this method has been applied to G-functions by Nurmagomedov [8], Galochkin [5], Flicker [4], Väänänen ([10], [11], [12], [13]), Matveev [6] and Xu ([15], [16]), for example, but their results use the additional Galochkin's [5] condition. This is replaced by another condition in an important work of Bombieri [2]. Then, in a recent paper, Chudnovsky [3], using ingenious new ideas, succeeded in considering the arithmetic properties of the values of classical G-function solutions of a system of linear homogeneous differential equations without any restrictive conditions.

In Väänänen and Xu [14] some generalizations of certain results of Chudnovsky [3] are obtained. The purpose of this paper is to generalize Theorem II of Chudnovsky [3], in both the archimedian and the *p*-adic case. Our proof

^{© 1989} Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/89 \$A2.00 + 0.00

[2]

follows closely the main lines of Väänänen ([12], [13]), but here we essentially use the ideas of Chudnovsky [3] in the construction of approximation forms and apply the local to global technique, as in Bombieri [2].

2. Notations and results

Let K be an algebraic number field of degree d over Q, and let O_K denote the domain of integers in K. For every place v of K we write $d_v = [K_v : \mathbf{Q}_v]$. If the finite place v of K lies over the prime number p, we write v|p, for infinite place v of K we write $v \mid \infty$. We normalize the absolute value $\mid \mid_v$ so that

(i) if v|p, then $|p|_v = p^{-d_v/d}$,

(ii) if $v \mid \infty$, then $|x|_v = |x|^{d_v/d}$,

here || denotes the ordinary absolute value in **R** or **C**.

The absolute height h(x) of $x \in K$ is defined by the formula

$$h(x) = \prod_{v} \max(1, |x|_{v}).$$

For any polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i z^i \in K[z]$ we denote

$$|P|_v = \max\left(1, \max_i |p_i|_v\right),\,$$

and define the absolute height of P by $h(P) = \prod_{v} |p|_{v}$.

We write $\log a = \log \max(1, a)$ for all $a \ge 0$, and denote

$$\alpha_v = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v | p, \\ 0, & \text{if } v | \infty, \end{cases} \qquad \beta_v = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v | p, \\ d_v / d, & \text{if } v | \infty. \end{cases}$$

The power series

(1)
$$y_i(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m,i} z^m, \quad i = 1, ..., n,$$

are said to belong to the class $KG(\gamma, C, C_0), \gamma, C, C_0 \ge 1$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $a_{m,i} \in K$, i = 1, ..., n, m = 0, 1, ...;(ii) $\max_{i} |a_{m,i}|_{v} \le \gamma^{\beta_{v}} C^{\beta_{v}m}$, m = 0, 1, ..., for every $v |\infty;$

(iii) there exists a sequence of natural numbers (r_l) such that

$$r_l a_{m,i} \in O_K$$
, $i = 1, ..., n$, $m = 0, 1, ..., l$, $l = 0, 1, ..., l$

and

$$r_l \leq \gamma C_0^l, \qquad l=0,1,\ldots$$

By (iii),

$$\max_{\substack{1 \le i \le n \\ 0 \le m \le l}} |a_{m,i}|_v \le 1/|r_l|_v \le r_l \le \gamma C_0^l$$

for every finite place v of K and l = 0, 1, ... Thus the functions (1) are v-adically convergent in

$$|z|_v < C^{-\beta_v} C_0^{-\alpha_v}.$$

In the following we suppose that the functions (1) satisfy a system of linear differential equations

(2)
$$\frac{d}{dz}Y = AY$$

where $Y = (y_1(z), \dots, y_n(z))^t$, $A = (A_{ij}(z))_{n \times n}$, $A_{ij} \in K(z)$. Let $T(z) \in K[z]$ denote the common denominator of A_{ij} , and put

 $s = \max(\deg T, \deg TA_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n).$

In the following theorem we shall estimate the v-value of

$$P(y_1(\theta),\ldots,y_n(\theta)),$$

 $\theta \in K, P \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. In writing $|P(y_1(\theta), \dots, y_n(\theta)|_v)$ we consider all the coefficients of P and the power series y_i as elements of the corresponding completion K_v , and thus this v-value is defined for all $|\theta|_v < C^{-\beta_v} C_0^{-\alpha_v}$.

THEOREM. Assume that the functions (1) satisfying (2) are algebraically independent over K(z) and belong to the class $KG(\gamma, C, C_0)$. Let

$$P \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \qquad P \neq 0,$$

be a polynomial of degree at most λ and height h(P). There then exist positive constants c, Λ , depending only on the functions (1) and n, such that, for any $\theta \in K$ of height $h(\theta) \leq h \geq e^e$ satisfying

(3)
$$\begin{aligned} \theta T(\theta) \neq 0, \quad \log h \geq (1 + \max(3, \lambda))^{4n} \log \log h, \\ |\theta|_n < e^{-c\lambda (\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n} (\log \log h)^{1/4n}} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|P(y_1(\theta),\ldots,y_n(\theta))|_v > h(P)^{-\Lambda(\log h)^{1/4}(\log \log h)^{-1/4}}$$

for all $h(P) \ge H$, where

$$\log H = \max\left\{ \bar{c}\lambda(\log h)^{2-1/4n} (\log\log h)^{(1-4n)/4n}, \log\max_i(1,|y_i(\theta)|_v) \right\}$$

with a constant $\bar{c} > 0$ depending only on (2).

We note that our condition (3) slightly sharpens the corresponding condition of Chudnovsky [3]. In fact, we shall prove the above estimate for $|P(\theta)|_v$ under a condition (3)' (see Section 4), which is better that (3) in some cases. Further, it should be noted that 4n in (3) can be replaced by 2n under the restrictive conditions used in Bombieri [2] or Väänänen [12], and then also 1/4 in the conclusion can be replaced by 1/2.

The authors thank the referee for his useful suggestions and advise. In particular, a bound for H was not given in the original manuscript.

3. Lemmas

Let

(4) $g_0(z) \equiv 1, \qquad g_1(z), \dots, g_m(z)$

denote the power-products

$$y_1^{k_1}(z) \cdots y_n^{k_n}(z), \qquad 0 \le k_1 + \cdots + k_n \le S, \qquad m = \binom{n+S}{S} - 1,$$

where S is a natural number ≥ 3 . As in [12], we see that the functions (4) belong to the class $KG((2\gamma)^S, 2C, C_0^{1+(\log S)^u})$ for some u satisfying $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Thus these functions are v-adically defined in $|z|_v < (2C)^{-\beta_v}C_0^{-\alpha_v(1+(\log S)^u)}$. Further, the functions (4) satisfy a system of linear differential equations of type (2), where the rational function coefficients again have a common denominator T(z).

First we give a lemma on Padé approximations of the second kind (for the definition, see Chudnovsky [3]).

LEMMA 1. For any δ , $0 < \delta < 1/m$, and an arbitrary positive integer Dand $M = [(m^{-1} - \delta)D]$, there exists a system $(Q(x); P_1(z), \ldots, P_m(z))$ of Padé approximations of the second kind with parameters (D, D, M) for the functions (4) such that Q(z), $P_i(z) \in K[z]$ and

$$\log h(Q) \le ((\delta m)^{-1} - 1)(1 + m^{-1} - \delta)D(\log 2C + (1 + (\log S)^u)\log C_0) + (\delta m)^{-1}(\log 2(D+1) + 2S\log 2\gamma + \log \Gamma),$$

where Γ is a positive constant depending only on K.

PROOF. The proof is completely analogous to that of Lemma 4 in Väänänen and Xu [14], using Siegel's lemma in the form given by Bombieri [2].

The following result is Theorem 1.1 of Chudnovsky [3].

LEMMA 2. Let $(Q(z); P_1(z), \ldots, P_n(z))$ be a system constructed in Lemma 1. Let $k \in N$ and suppose that $M \ge k(s+1)$. We define

$$Q^{\langle k \rangle}(z) = T^{k}(z) \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{k} Q(z)/k!,$$

$$P_{i}^{\langle k \rangle}(z) = Q^{\langle k \rangle}(z)g_{i}(z)]_{D+ks}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$$

(this means that $P_i^{\langle k \rangle}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq D+ks$ such that the order of zero of $Q^{\langle k \rangle}(z)g_i(z)-P_i^{\langle k \rangle}(z)$ at z=0 is at least D+ks+1). Then $(Q^{\langle k \rangle}(z);$ $P_1^{\langle k \rangle}(z), \ldots, P_m^{\langle k \rangle}(z))$ is a system of Padé approximations of the second kind with parameters (D+ks, D+ks, M-k(s+1)) for the functions (4).

Analogously to Lemma 5 of Väänänen and Xu [14], we now have the following

LEMMA 3. Let $(Q(z); P_1(z), \ldots, P_m(z))$ be a system constructed in Lemma 1. Let $k \in N$ and assume that $M \ge k(s+1)$. Then the system $(Q^{\langle k \rangle}(z); P_1^{\langle k \rangle}(z), \ldots, P_m^{\langle k \rangle}(z))$ defined in Lemma 2 has the following properties:

 $\begin{aligned} |r_{D+ks}Q^{\langle k \rangle}|_{v} &\leq (C(k,D)(2\gamma)^{S}C_{0}^{(1+(\log S)^{u})(D+ks)})^{\beta_{v}}|Q|_{v}|T|_{v}^{k}, \\ |r_{D+ks}P_{i}^{\langle k \rangle}|_{v} &\leq (C(k,D)^{2}(2\gamma)^{2S}(2CC_{0}^{1+(\log S)^{u}})^{D+ks})^{\beta_{v}}|Q|_{v}|T|_{v}^{k}, \quad i=1,\ldots,m, \end{aligned}$ where $C(k,D) = (k+1)(s+1)^{k}(D+1)2^{D}.$

Let us denote, for all $k = 0, 1, ..., Q_0^{\langle k \rangle} = r_{D+ks}Q^{\langle k \rangle}$, $Q_i^{\langle k \rangle} = r_{D+ks}P_i^{\langle k \rangle}$, i = 1, ..., m. We then have the following lemma, analogous to Lemma 2 of Väänänen and Xu [14].

LEMMA 4. Let δ , $0 < \delta < 1/(m + m^2(s+1))$, be given, and let $\theta \in K$ satisfy $\theta T(\theta) \neq 0$. There exists a positive constant c_0 , depending only on the system (2), such that, for all

$$D > N = c_0(m^{-1} - \delta)^{-1}Sm^2$$
,

there exist integers k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_m ,

$$0 \le k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_m \le J = D - mM + m(m+1)(s+1)/2,$$

satisfying

$$\Delta(\theta) = \begin{vmatrix} Q_0^{\langle k_0 \rangle}(\theta) & Q_1^{\langle k_0 \rangle}(\theta) & \dots & Q_m^{\langle k_0 \rangle}(\theta) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_0^{\langle k_m \rangle}(\theta) & Q_1^{\langle k_m \rangle}(\theta) & \dots & Q_m^{\langle k_m \rangle}(\theta) \end{vmatrix}.$$

PROOF. We prove here that the determinant

$$\nabla(x) = \begin{vmatrix} Q^{(0)}(x) & \dots & Q^{(m)}(x) \\ P_1^{(0)}(x) & \dots & P_1^{(m)}(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_m^{(0)}(x) & \dots & P_m^{(m)}(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

is not identically zero for D > N. Then the proof follows immediately from the important Theorem 1.2 of Chudnovsky [3]. In our proof we follow Chudnovsky [3], Section 3.

Suppose the $\nabla(x) \equiv 0$. Let $1 \leq m$ be the integer such that the first l columns of ∇ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}(x)$, but the (l+1)st column is linearly dependent on them. Let F denote the matrix formed by these l columns, and let R and U denote the matrices formed by the first l rows and last m - l + 1 rows of F, respectively. We may assume, without loss of generality, that det $R \neq 0$.

Following Nesterenko [7], Section 3, we see that rational functions elements of the matrix

$$UR^{-1} = (e_{ij}(x)/e(x)), \qquad e_{ij}, e \in \mathbb{C}(x),$$

satisfy $\max(\deg e_{ij}, \deg e) \leq c(1)Sm$, where the constant c(1) > 0 depends only on the system (2). Denote by G the $l \times (m + 1)$ matrix with l rows $(g_i(x), 0, \ldots, -\delta_{i+1,j}, \ldots, 0), i = 1, \ldots, l$, and let G_0 and G_1 denote the matrices formed by the first l column and the last m - l + 1 last columns of G, respectively. Denoting T = GF we see, as in [3], Section 3, that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x=0} \det(e(x)TR^{-1}) \ge l(M - (l-1)(s+1)).$$

On the other hand,

$$e(x)TR^{-1} = e(x)G_0 + G_1E$$
,

where $E = (e_{ij}(x))$. Thus $\det(e(x)TR^{-1})$ is a polynomial in $x, y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x)$, say $P(x, y_1(x), \ldots, y_n(x))$, satisfying $\deg_x P \le c(1)Sml$, $\deg_y P \le S$. By the algebraic independence of y_1, \ldots, y_n we know that P is not identically zero in x. Using the result of Bertrand and Beukers [1] we obtain the estimate

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x=0} \det(e(x)TR^{-1}) \le c(1)Slm^2 + c(2)m^2$$

with positive constant c(2) depending only on (2). Thus

$$l(M - (l - 1)(s + 1)) \le c(1)Slm^2 + c(2)m^2.$$

The above inequality is impossible for all $(m^{-1} - \delta)D > c_0 Sm^2$ with some positive constant c_0 depending only on (2). This proves our Lemma 4.

We next define rational functions $L_{t,j} = L_{t,j}(\theta)$, t, j = 0, 1, ..., m, as the solutions of the system

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m} L_{i,j} Q_i^{\langle k_i \rangle}(\theta) = \delta_{i,j}, \qquad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

of linear equations. By Cramer's rule,

$$L_{t,j}(\theta) = R_{t,j}(\theta) / \Delta(\theta), t, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

where $R_{t,j}(\theta)$ is the *t*, *j*-cofactor of the matrix corresponding to $\Delta(\theta)$. We now define linear forms F_j in $g_0(\theta)$, $g_1(\theta)$,..., $g_m(\theta)$ by the formulae

$$F_j(\theta) = \sum_{t=0}^m M_{t,j}(\theta)g_t(\theta), \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

where $M_{t,j}(\theta) = R_{t,j}(\theta)\theta^{-\omega}$, $\omega = (m-1)(M+D) = (m-1)J$. Using Theorem 4.1 of Chudnovsky [3] we immediately obtain the following important result.

LEMMA 5. Let the hypothesis of Lemma 4 be satisfied. For all D > N, the linear forms $F_0(\theta), \ldots, F_m(\theta)$ in $g_0(\theta), \ldots, g_m(\theta)$ are linearly independent and have polynomial coefficients $M_{t,j} = M_{t,j}(\theta)$ satisfying

$$\deg_{\theta} M_{t,j} \le D - (m-1)M + J(ms+m-1), \qquad t, j = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

Further, we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\theta=0} F_j(\theta) \geq D + M - J, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

LEMMA 6. The polynomials $M_{t,j}$ appearing in Lemma 5 satisfy the estimate $|M_{t,j}|_v \leq (m!)^{\beta_v} ((D + Js + 1)C(J, D)^2 (2\gamma)^{2S} \cdot (2CC_0^{(1+(\log S)^u)})^{(D+Js)})^{m\beta_v} |Q|_v^m |T|_v^{mJ},$ t, j = 0, 1, ..., m.

PROOF. The result follows immediately from Lemma 3 and the definition of the polynomials $M_{t,j}$.

LEMMA 7. Let
$$\delta$$
, $0 < \delta < 1/(3m^2(s+1))$, be given. Assume that
 $D > \max\{\delta^{-1}(1 + (m+1)(s+1)/2), m/(1 - 3\delta m^2(s+1)), N\}.$
If $\theta \in K$, then
 $|M_{t,j}(\theta)|_v \le (D(m^{-1} + \delta(m+2m^2(s+1))) + 1)^{\beta_v}|M_{t,j}|_v$
 $\cdot \max(1, |\theta|_v^{D(m^{-1} + \delta(m+2m^2(s+1)))}), \quad t, j = 0, 1, ..., m.$
Further, if $|\theta|_v < (4C)^{-\beta_v} C_0^{-\alpha_v(1 + (\log S)^u)}$, then we have the estimates
 $|F_i(\theta)|_v \le (2v)^{S(\beta_v + \alpha_v)}(2(m+1)(D(m^{-1} + \delta(m+2m^2(s+1))) + 1))$

$$|F_{j}(\theta)|_{v} \leq (2\gamma)^{S(\beta_{v}+\alpha_{v})}(2(m+1)(D(m^{-1}+\delta(m+2m^{2}(s+1)))+1)^{\beta_{v}})$$

$$\cdot \max_{l,j} |M_{l,j}|_{v}((2C)^{\beta_{v}}C_{0}^{\alpha_{v}(1+(\log S)^{u})}|\theta|_{v})^{D(1+m^{-1}-3\delta m)},$$

PROOF. It follows from the hypothesis that the hypotheses of Lemmas 4, 5 and 6 are valid, and $J \leq 2\delta mD$. In addition, we obviously have

$$D - (m-1)M + J(ms + m - 1) \le D(m^{-1} + \delta(m + 2m^2(s+1)))$$

and

78

$$D+M-J\geq (1+m^{-1}-3\delta m)D.$$

The estimate for $|M_{t,j}(\theta)|_v$ now follows from Lemma 5. To prove the second estimate, we write

$$M_{t,j}(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{R} m_{t,j,l} \theta^l, \quad g_t(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{t,i} \theta^i,$$

where $R \leq D(m^{-1} + \delta(m + 2m^2(s+1)))$, by Lemma 5. Lemma 5 also implies

$$F_{j}(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{m} M_{t,j}(\theta) g_{l}(\theta) = \sum_{i \ge D+M-J} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m} \sum_{l=0}^{\min(i,R)} m_{t,j,l} g_{t,i-l} \right) \theta^{i}.$$

$$|F_{j}(\theta)_{v} \le \sum_{i \ge D+M-J} \left| \sum_{t=0}^{m} \sum_{l=0}^{\min(i,R)} m_{t,j,l} g_{t,i-l} \right|_{v} |\theta|_{v}^{i}$$

$$\le ((m+1)(R+1))^{\beta_{v}} \max_{t,j} |M_{t,j}|_{v} (2\gamma)^{S\beta_{v}} \sum_{i \ge D+M-J} ((2C)^{\beta_{v}} |\theta|_{v})^{i}$$

$$\le (2^{S+1}\gamma^{S}(m+1)(R+1))^{\beta_{v}} \max_{t,j} |M_{t,j}|_{v} ((2C)^{\beta_{v}} |\theta|_{v})^{(1+m^{-1}-3\delta m)D}$$

In the case v|p we have $|r_ig_{t,i-l}|_v \leq 1$, which implies

$$|g_{t,i-l}|_v \leq 1/|r_i|_v \leq r_i \leq (2\gamma)^S C_0^{(1+(\log S)^{\mu})i}.$$

Thus

$$|F_{j}(\theta)|_{v} \leq \max_{t,j} |M_{t,j}|_{v} (2\gamma)^{S} (C_{0}^{1+(\log S)^{u}}|\theta|_{v})^{(1+m^{-1}-3\delta m)D}$$

This proves Lemma 7.

4. Proof of the Theorem

The main lines of the proof follow the work Väänänen [12]. Let $\theta \in K$ satisfy $h(\theta) \leq h \geq e^{e}$ and

(3')
$$\begin{cases} \theta T(\theta) \neq 0, \quad \log h \ge (1 + \max(3, \lambda))^{4n} (\log \log h)^{u}, \\ |\theta|_v < e^{-c\lambda (\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n} (\log \log h)^{u/4n}}, \end{cases}$$

where c will be given in (6). We define the natural number S by $S = [l(h)^{1/4n}]$, where $l(h) = (\log h)/(\log \log h)^{u}$, and denote

$$t = \binom{n+S-\lambda}{n}, \qquad w = m+1 = t.$$

As in Väänänen [12], we obtain the estimates

(5)
$$S^n/n! \le m \le c_1 S^n, \qquad t < m, \ w \le c_2 \lambda S^{n-1},$$

with positive constants c_1 and c_2 depending only on *n*. For the constant *c* appearing in (3) or (3)' we take the value

(6)
$$c = c_1^3 c_2 A / (4n)^u + 3c_2(n!) + 1$$

where

$$A = 4(s+3)(\log 4\gamma \Gamma C C_0^2 + 1) + \log h(T).$$

We now choose a natural number D in such a way that

$$(D-1)\lambda(\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n}(\log\log h)^{u/4n} \le t\log h(P) < D\lambda(\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n}(\log\log h)^{u/4n}.$$

Here we assume h(P) to be large enough, say $h(P) \ge H_0$, that D satisfies the conditions of Lemma 7:

$$D > \max\{\delta^{-1}(1 + (m+1)(s+1)/2), m/(1 - 3\delta m^2(s+1)), N\},\$$

where we choose

$$\delta = 1/(2m(m+2m^2(s+1))).$$

By the definitions of D and N it follows that we may choose

$$\log H_0 = \bar{c}\lambda(\log h)^{2-1/4n}(\log\log h)^{u(1-4n)/4n}$$

where $\bar{c} > 0$ is a constant depending only on (2).

By multiplying the polynomial $P(y_1(z), \ldots, y_n(z))$ by the power-products

$$y_1^{k_1}(z)\cdots y_n^{k_n}(z), 0\leq k_1+\cdots+k_n\leq S-\lambda,$$

we obtain linear forms in $g_0(z), \ldots, g_m(z)$, say

$$\psi_i(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_{j,i} g_j(z), \qquad i=1,\ldots,t,$$

where the $a_{i,i}$ are the coefficients of P or zero.

We now use Lemma 5 to find w linear forms, say $F_{j_k}(\theta)$, k = 1, ..., w, such that these forms together with the forms $\psi_i(\theta)$, i = 1, ..., t, are linearly independent (by (3)', we have $|\theta|_v < (4C)^{-\beta_v} C_0^{-\alpha_v(1+(\log S)^u)}$). Then the

determinant of these forms, say

$$\Delta_{1}(\theta) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{01} & \dots & a_{m1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{0t} & \dots & a_{mt} \\ M_{0,j_{1}}(\theta) & \dots & M_{m,j_{1}}(\theta) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ M_{0,j_{w}}(\theta) & \dots & M_{m,j_{w}}(\theta) \end{vmatrix}$$

must differ from zero. This determinant $\Delta_1(\theta) \in K$, and thus the product formula gives $\prod_v |\Delta_1(\theta)|_v = 1$.

By the above formula, we first obtain a lower bound

(7)
$$\log |\Delta_1(\theta)|_v = -\sum_{v_1 \neq v} \log |\Delta_1(\theta)|_{v_1}$$

 $\geq -\sum_{v_1 \neq v} (\beta_{v_1}(m+1)\log(m+1) + t\log|P|_{v_1} + w\log\max_{i,k} |M_{i,j_k}(\theta)|_{v_1}).$

On the other hand,

(8)
$$|\Delta_1(\theta)|_v \leq (m+1)^{\beta_v} \max\left\{\max_{1\leq j\leq t} |\text{cofactor } (1,j)|_v |\Psi_j(\theta)|_v, \\ \cdot \max_{1\leq i\leq w} |\text{cofactor } (1,t+i)|_v |F_{j_i}(\theta)|_v\right\},$$

and here cofactor (1, j) means the 1, *j*-cofactor of the matrix corresponding to $\Delta_1(\theta)$.

Since

$$\begin{split} \log\left((m+1)^{\beta_v} \max_{1 \le i \le w} |\operatorname{cofactor} (1,t+i)|_v |F_{j_i}(\theta)|_v\right) \\ \le \beta_v(m+1) \log(m+1) + t \log |P|_v \\ + (w-1) \log \max_{i,k} |M_{i,j_k}(\theta)|_v + \log \max_i |F_{j_i}(\theta)|_v, \end{split}$$

we have, by Lemma 7, the upper estimate

$$(9) \log \left((m+1)^{\beta_{v}} \max_{1 \le i \le w} |cofactor (1, t+i)|_{v} |F_{j_{i}}(\theta)|_{v} \right) - \log |\Delta_{1}(\theta)|_{v} \\ \le (m+1) \log(m+1) + t \log h(P) \\ + w \sum_{v_{1} \ne v} \log^{+} \max_{i,k} |M_{i,j_{k}}(\theta)|_{v_{1}} + (w-1) \log^{+} \max_{i,k} |M_{i,j_{k}}(\theta)|_{v} \\ + \log\{(2\gamma)^{S(\beta_{v}+\alpha_{v})}(2(m_{1})D(m^{-1}+\delta(m+2m^{2}(s+1)))+1)^{\beta_{v}} \\ \cdot \max_{t,j} |M_{t,j}|_{v}((2C)^{\beta_{v}} C_{0}^{\alpha_{v}(1+(\log S)^{u})} |\theta|_{v})^{D(1+m^{-1}-3\delta m)} \}.$$

Using Lemma 1, 6 and 7 we see that (9) is smaller than

$$(m+1)\log(m+1) + t\log h(P) + w \sum_{v_1} \{\beta_{v_1} \log(2D/m) + D(m^{-1} + \delta(m+2m^2(s+1))) \log^+ |\theta|_{v_1} + \beta_{v_1} m \log m + m\beta_{v_1} (\log(D+Js+1) + 2\log C(J,D) + 2S \log 2\gamma + (D+Js)(\log 2C + (1 + (\log S)^u) \log C_0) + m \log |Q|_{v_1} + mJ \log |T|_{v_1} \} + S(\beta_v + \alpha_v) \log 2\gamma + \beta_v \log 2(m+1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ D(1 + m^{-1} - 3\delta m)(\beta_v \log 2C + \alpha_v (1 + (\log S)^u) \log C_0) \\ &+ D\log|\theta|_v \le wmD(\log h(T) + 2\log 2\gamma + 10 + 3\log 2C) \\ &+ 3wmD(1 + (\log S)^u) \log C_0 + wm \log h(Q) \\ &+ 2wm^{-1}D\log h(\theta) + t\log h(P) + D\log|\theta|_v \\ &\le Awm^3D(\log S)^u + 2wm^{-1}D\log h(\theta) + t\log h(P) + D\log|\theta|_v \\ &\le Ac_1^3c_2\lambda S^{4n-1}(\log S)^u D + 2c_2(n!)\lambda S^{-1}D\log h(\theta) + t\log h(P) + D\log|\theta|_v \\ &\le (c - 1)\lambda(\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n}(\log \log h)^{u/4n}D + t\log h(P) + D\log|\theta|_v \\ &\le t\log h(P) - D\lambda(\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n}(\log \log h)^{u/4n} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows from (7) and (8) that

$$-\sum_{v_1\neq v} \left(\beta_{v_1}(m+1)\log(m+1)+t\log|P|_{v+1}+w\log\max_{i,k}|M_{i,j_k}(\theta)|_{v_1}\right)$$
$$\leq \log\left((m+1)^{\beta_v}\max_{1\leq j\leq t}|\text{cofactor }(1,j)|_v|\Psi_j(\theta)|_v\right).$$

Completely analogously to the above deduction we now obtain

$$\log \max_{1 \le j \le t} |\Psi_j(\theta)|_v > -(t \log h(P) + (c-1)\lambda(\log h)^{(4n-1)/4n}(\log \log h)^{u/4n}D)$$

> -(c+1)t log h(P) > -(c+1)c_1l(h)^{1/4} log h(P).

Since

$$\max_{1\leq j\leq t} |\Psi_j(\theta)|_v \leq \max_i (1, |y_i(\theta)|_v)^S |P(y_1(\theta), \ldots, y_n(\theta))|_v,$$

the truth of the Theorem follows.

Acknowledgement

The second author wishes to thank the Department of Mathematics of the University of Oulu for their support and hospitality.

References

- [1] D. Bertrand and F. Beukers, 'Équations différentielles linéaires et majorations de multiplicités,' Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 18 (1985), 181-192.
- [2] E. Bombieri, 'On G-functions,' Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. II, edited by H. Halberstam and C. Hooley (Academic Press, 1981).
- [3] G. V. Chudnovsky, 'On applications of diophantine approximations,' Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 8 (1984), 7261-7265.
- [4] Y. Flicker, 'On p-adic G-functions,' J. London Math. Soc. 15 (1977), 395-402.
- [5] A. I. Galochkin, 'Lower bounds for polynomials of the values of the class of analytic functions,' Mat. Sb. 95 (137) (3) (1974), 396-417.
- [6] E. M. Matveev, 'Linear forms in the values of G-functions, and diophantine equations,' Mat. Sb. 117 (159) (3) (1982), 379-396.
- [7] Yu. V. Nesterenko, 'Estimates for the orders of zeros of functions of a certain class and applications in the theory of transcendental numbers,' *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 41 (1977), 239-270.
- [8] M. S. Nurmagomedov, 'The arithmetic properties of the values of G-functions,' Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I, Mat. Meh. 26 (6) (1971), 79-86.
- [9] C. L. Siegel, 'Uber einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen,' Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Phys.-Mat. Kl. No. 1 (1929).
- [10] K. Väänänen, 'On linear forms of a certain class of G-functions and p-adic G-functions,' Acta Arith. 36 (1980), 273-295.
- [11] K. Väänänen, 'On the arithmetic properties of *p*-adic *G*-functions,' Arch. Math. 35 (1980), 364-373.
- [12] K. Väänänen, 'On a class of G-functions,' Mathematics, University of Oulu 1/81, 1981.
- [13] K. Väänänen, 'On a class of G-functions,' Progress in mathematics, 38, edited by J. Coates and S. Helgason (Birkhäuser, 1983).
- [14] K. Väänänen and Xu Guangshan, 'On linear forms of G-functions,' Acta Arith. 50 (1988), 251-263.
- [15] Xu. Guangshan, 'On lower estimate of linear forms involving a class of G-functions,' Acta Math. Sinica 24 (1981), 578-586.
- [16] Xu. Guangshan, 'A note on linear forms in a class of E-functions and G-functions', J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 35 (1983), 338-348.

Department of Mathematics University of Oulu Oulu Finland Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica Beijing China