Development and preliminary evaluation of a patient portal messaging for research recruitment service
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Introduction. We developed a service to identify potential study participants through electronic medical records and deliver study invitations through patient portals.

Methods. The service was piloted in a cohort study that used multiple recruitment methods.

Results. Patient portal messages were sent to 1303 individuals and the enrollment rate was 10% (n = 127). The patient portal enrollment rate was significantly higher than email and post mail (4%) strategies.

Conclusion. Patient portal messaging was an effective recruitment strategy.

Introduction

With the proliferation of electronic medical records (EMRs) and accompanying tools including patient portals, researchers conducting studies on human subjects are increasingly leveraging electronic methods of cohort identification, recruitment, and data collection. Failure to efficiently recruit sufficient numbers of participants is commonplace with substantial consequences, including increased trial costs and delays in generating and translating research findings [1–3].

Electronic methods of recruiting and administering surveys have the potential to save time and cost compared with traditional methods [4]. The patient portal serves as a technology platform for healthcare systems, including healthcare providers, to securely connect with patients and coordinate care, and has great potential to engage patients in research.

The mandated meaningful use criteria of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Electronic Health Record Incentive Program includes providing secure messaging between the patient and provider [5]. Patient portal messaging has been shown effective as a patient engagement strategy. For example, the use of patient portal messaging to communicate preventive care and appointment reminders found that it successfully decreased missed appointments and encouraged higher usage rates of preventive services [6]. Sending messages to patients through the patient portal allows their contact and health information to remain within the secure environment of the EMR. The patient portal can engage patients in research through notification of research opportunities, recruitment, informed consent, survey administration, and participant retention efforts such as disseminating study newsletters and results [6].

The limited available evidence suggests that patient portal messaging may be more effective than email, while also being cost and time efficient compared with traditional research recruitment methods. A surgical study found that there was a 14% enrollment rate using patient portal messaging recruitment, and there were similar demographics between participants recruited from both patient portal and traditional methods [7]. Findings indicate that secure message reminders are most effective when they are tailored to population and context [6]. However, the majority of research exploring the effectiveness of patient portal as a recruitment tool was done in situations where the
providers recruiting the participants had an ongoing relationship with
the patients [8–10]. An institution-wide patient portal messaging
recruitment service differs by allowing investigators to send patient
portal messages to patients within the health system for whom the
investigator is not a provider. Rigorous evaluations and comparisons of
recruitment strategies are sparse [11–13]. Examining whether patient
portal messaging is an effective, cost-efficient, and well-received
recruitment strategy is essential to determining whether it is worth
devoting resources to employ an institution-wide patient portal
messaging recruitment service.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the creation of an institution-
wide patient portal messaging research recruitment service, and
to report pilot results for patient satisfaction with the service and
comparison of the service to traditional methods in meeting
recruitment goals.

Methods

A team of data analysts, experts in research participant recruitment
methods, and experienced clinical researchers collaborated to create
the patient portal messaging recruitment service. By creating an insti-
tutional service, we are promoting an efficient process for studies
seeking to use this recruitment method and proactively addressing
concerns regarding satisfaction and privacy among patients within the
health system. We have engaged key stakeholder groups including the
hospital’s Patient and Family Advisory Council, health system clinicians,
the Institutional Review Board (IRB), and the Center for Clinical Data
Analytics in establishing the service.

Through an iterative process, the recruitment service is working
closely with the IRB to develop required elements for inclusion in
patient portal messages. Any patient portal message sent for research
recruitment must contain (1) directions on how to opt-out of
receiving future research recruitment messages, (2) directions on who
to contact for more details regarding the use of patient portal for
research, and (3) a link to a “Frequently Asked Questions” webpage
specific to the use of patient portal messaging for research. In addition,
the patient portal “Terms and Conditions” were updated to include a
statement notifying patients that the portal may be used to send
research messages and directions on how to opt-out of receiving these
messages. Before roll-out of the service, the vice dean for clinical
investigation sent an email introducing the patient portal recruitment
strategy to clinicians so they would be prepared to address questions
that they might receive from patients in the health system.

We worked with 2 study teams led by experienced principal investi-
gators to pilot the service. The multistage process is detailed in Fig. 1.

Once the studies receive IRB approval, the study team meets with the
clinical data analytics team to identify eligible participants through
EMRs. Together, they determine how to apply their inclusion and
exclusion criteria to the available EMR data to discern eligible study
participants. An iterative process is required to refine the phenotype
and optimize sensitivity and specificity. The result is a computable
phenotype, a Structured Language Query (SQL) code identifying the
desired study population. The EMR Research Team applies the com-
putable phenotype to the EMR (EPIC software, WI), and which results
in a workbench report of patients that meet the study’s criteria. A
recruitment staff member trained in human subjects research is given
access to the report in the EMR and is responsible for sending bulk
patient portal messages to the identified patients. Each recruitment
project begins with a small sample of 250 patients to identify any
problems. Following the first batch of 250 messages, study teams may
contact as many as 1000 patients through bulk messaging on a biweekly
basis. During the pilot phase of the service, we are administering a
brief, 3-item survey to assess patient perceptions of patient portal use
for research recruitment messaging.

The patient portal messaging committee oversees the patient portal
messaging service. The committee meets monthly and includes experts
from the IRB, clinical data analysts, and EMR Research Committee. The
committee collaborates with patient advocates and clinicians to ensure
they are maximizing the effectiveness of patient portal messaging
recruitment and minimizing any burden for patients and clinicians. Initial
committee policies developed as a result of stakeholder input include:
(1) one-time messaging per study, (2) limiting frequency of messages to
no more than 1 in 30 days for any given patient, and (3) simple opt-out
process for patients who prefer to not receive recruitment messages.
This committee is responsible for overall governance of research
recruitment using the patient portal, and creates and refines guidelines
and policies related to the use of EMRs for recruitment.

Results of Pilot Efforts

Patient portal messaging for recruitment, consent, and survey admin-
istration was piloted with a longitudinal cohort study investigating patient-
reported outcomes in atrial fibrillation patients. Potential participants
were identified through EMRs using a computable phenotype with the
inclusion and exclusion criteria. The query to identify patients in EMRs
included: atrial fibrillation ICD9 and 10 codes, at least 3 nonemergency
department visits within the last 4 years, age ≥18. The process for
identifying and recruiting eligible patients through EMRs was developed
collaboratively across the 4 academic medical centers participating in
this longitudinal cohort study [14]. The effectiveness and patient-
reported satisfaction with patient portal messaging in comparison with
other recruitment methods and patient-reported satisfaction with
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**Fig. 1.** Process for patient portal messaging recruitment. IRB, Institutional Review Board; EMR, electronic medical record.
A recent pilot project aimed at increasing patient portal usage in medical institutions [17, 18]. All of these factors could potentially limit the representativeness of samples recruited through patient portal messaging. Identified potential participants were contacted with study invitations through in-clinic, email, phone, patient portal messaging and post mail techniques. Potential participants were approached in a clinic or called only after receiving a post mail or email indicating their interest in the study. Ultimately, 6666 participants were contacted, 5363 through post mail, email, telephone, or in-clinic, and 1303 through patient portal messages. The message text was identical across all platforms. Of these, 318 participants (5%) were enrolled.

Of the 5363 potential participants contacted through post mail, email, telephone, and in-clinic, 3.5% (n = 191) were enrolled (Fig. 2). Patient portal messages were sent to 1303 potential participants and of those 9.7% (n = 127) were enrolled in the study. In this preliminary analysis, the patient portal enrollment rate (9.7%) was significantly higher than that of email and post mail (3.5%) strategies (p < 0.05). The majority of the participants recruited were White (91%), male (67%), and on average 70 years old (±10).

The vast majority (91%) of patients reported that research recruitment messaging was a good use of the patient portal, and only 1% reported that it was not a good use. The majority of patients (59%) reported that receiving the recruitment message through the patient portal did not change their satisfaction with being a patient at the medical institution. A proportion of patients (40%) reported increased satisfaction with being a patient at Johns Hopkins because of the recruitment message.

Discussion

In our early experience, patient portal messaging was found to be almost twice as effective as traditional methods in recruiting and enrolling study participants. However, there are important considerations in using patient portal messaging for recruitment. The generalizability of the sample may be impacted by using the EMR which requires prior engagement with the healthcare system. The sample recruited in the atrial fibrillation study was largely White and male, though this may reflect the population in treatment for atrial fibrillation [15]. In addition, patients receive patient portal messaging via personal email and therefore require an email address and basic internet proficiency. A systematic review of online survey methods in older adults determined that individuals of higher socio-economic status were more likely to be recruited [16]. Racial, ethnic, and age barriers to patient portal use have been reported across multiple studies [17, 18]. All of these factors could potentially limit the representativeness of samples recruited using patient portals.

Efforts at increasing patient portal use have reported promising results. A recent pilot project aimed at increasing patient portal usage in patients attending an ambulatory cardiac clinic identified lack of knowledge of the health portal, patient motivation, and portal functionality and usability as themes that support patient portal utilization [19]. Previous studies have addressed the challenge of older adults’ inability to use the internet by including an in-person educational intervention to teach older adults how to access and use the internet [16]. Patients who are made aware of the benefits the portal can offer, as well as the convenience factor, viewed the portal more favorably [19]. Providers encouraging patients and teaching patient portal use could increase uptake in patient portal utilization [20]. The opportunity for hands-on learning with the patient portal increased patients’ satisfaction with the portal [19]. By helping patients access the patient portal, there would be increased likelihood that researchers could reach a larger and more generalizable population for their studies. Our findings of high patient satisfaction with MyChart Recruitment are limited by response bias, individuals dissatisfied with receiving a message related to research may have been less inclined to open the message and complete the satisfaction survey. However, less than 1% of patients have reported complaints related to MyChart Recruitment messages since the service’s pilot. The small number of patient complaints are concerns over the use of patient information for research purposes.

Required resources for the patient portal messaging recruitment must be considered. Institutional leadership decided that research teams could not initiate portal research messages by themselves but had to work with a single office. We found that we needed engaged representatives from the center for clinical data analytics, the IRB, the EMR research team, and clinical investigators. The costs associated with this service include time spent by the data analysts, the EMR research team, and the staff member interacting with study teams and delivering the patient portal messages. The principal investigators and other study team members also spent considerable time working with the service to develop the optimal phenotype, receive IRB approval, and track responses and complaints to the messages. Importantly, use of the EMR for cohort discovery with the patient portal as an engagement tool is not the panacea for research recruitment. Research teams must be well-trained in effective communication and participant recruitment skills and armed with a toolkit of recruitment strategies to convert a patient’s potential interest in a study to an enrolled study participant. Since piloting, there are currently 5 studies actively recruiting through this service, and 10 studies working with the service to begin recruitment.

In conclusion, use of the patient portal for research was both effective as a research recruitment strategy and met with high patient satisfaction in pilot efforts. Developing a service for patient portal messaging required a knowledgeable, engaged team from diverse departments of the healthcare system. Resources and a high level of engagement are needed both for the service and for the studies using the service. However, research teams report the costs for recruitment through patient portal messaging are substantially less than direct mailings. The patient portal offers a novel opportunity to increase patient engagement in research.
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